POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Scattering analysis of signal degradation and interferences on long and lossy interconnects

Original

Scattering analysis of signal degradation and interferences on long and lossy interconnects / Maio, Ivano Adolfo; Pignari, S.; Canavero, Flavio. - STAMPA. - (1993), pp. 107-110. (Intervento presentato al convegno IEEE Electrical Performance of Electronic Packaging tenutosi a Monterey, CA nel 20-22 October) [10.1109/EPEP.1993.394578].

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2500892 since:

Publisher: Piscataway, N.J. : IEEE

Published DOI:10.1109/EPEP.1993.394578

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

SCATTERING ANALYSIS OF SIGNAL DEGRADATION AND INTERFERENCES ON LONG AND LOSSY INTERCONNECTS

Ivan Maio, Sergio Pignari, Flavio G. Canavero *Dipartamento di Elettronica, Politecnico, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 1-10129 Torino, Italy Tel. +39 11 564 4060* - *Faz +39 11 564 4015*

Abstract

We present a time domain scattering formulation for **low-loss nonlinearly loaded multiconductor transmission lines, which is suitable** for **an efficient and accurate evaluation of crosstalk and field coupling. A simulation of the effects of interferences on a long interconnect is given.**

0-7803-1427-1/93/\$3.00 \circledcirc 1993 IEEE

107

SCATTERING ANALYSIS OF SIGNAL DEGRADATION AND INTERFERENCES ON LONG AND LOSSY INTERCONNECTS

Ivan **Maio,** Sergio Pignari, Flavio G. Canavero *Dipartimento di Elettronica, Politecnico, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 1-10129 Torino, Italy Tel. +39 11 564 4060* - *Fax +39 11 564 4015*

Owing to the recent tendency towards decreasing rise times of the signal waveforms and the higher frequency carriers, an increasingly larger part of interconnects, at any level of integration, require transmission line models to account for the signal degradation caused by both the propagation in the distributed structure and the effects of the interfering fields.

The field coupling to the line is described by equivalent voltage and current generators located along the line **[I, 21,** and, in the case of linear terminations, the analysis is effectively carried out in the frequency domain by a line characterization involving a proper set of network parameters. In the widely diffused case of nonlinear terminations, however, the analysis must be performed directly in the time domain and, unfortunately, the transient characteristics of the transmission line and the time evolution of the equivalent generators of the electromagnetic interference are usually particularly difficult to compute and handle.

A scattering parameter formulation of the transient problem was proved to be an efficient and fast method for the time-domain analysis of low-loss nonlinearly loaded multiconductor transmission lines (MTLs) **[3].** In this paper, we extend the formulation to the study of **MTLs** subject to **EMIS,** and we show that the effects of the external field can be handled by simply adding to the line ends proper source terms, which do not affect the structure of the solution algorithm, *and* thereby preserve **all** the advantages of the matched scattering formulation. The example discussed in this paper aims at showing that our formulation represents a very efficient and accurate method for studying the effects of interferences (due both to crosstalk and field coupling) on "long" interconnects that can be modeled as transmission lines.

SCATTERING TRANSIENT ANALYSIS OF MTLs

Our formulation of the scattering transient analysis of **MTLs** is based on the structure illustrated in Fig. 1, where the voltage sources at the line ends $(e_{pq}, p, q = 1, 2)$ account for the system excitation, and the functions g_p describe the (possibly nonlinear) loads. The N-conductor line is characterized by its impedance matrix $Z^D_0(\omega)$ and the mode propagation constants $\{K_i(\omega)\},$ $i = 1, ..., N$.

The scattering parameter formulation expresses the unknown voltages and currents v_1, v_2, i_1 , i_2 at both line ends, for each of the *N* conductors, in terms of the voltage wave vectors a_p and b_p , **i.e.,**

$$
\mathbf{v}_p = a_p + b_p , \qquad \qquad \dot{\mathbf{i}}_p = y^{\square} , \ * (a_p - b_p) , \qquad p = 1, \ 2, \tag{1}
$$

where y^{\Box} , (t) is the transient expression of the reference admittance, and $*$ denotes the convolution operator. The transient equations for the voltage waves are obtained from the line scattering equations and from the load characteristics. In the special case of a matched characterization *(i.e.,* y^{\Box} , $= y^{\Box}$, $\stackrel{\triangle}{=} \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{(Z^{\Box}{}_{o}(\omega))^{-1}\}$) of the line, the scattering equations simplify and the transient equations take the form **(31**

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\mathbf{b}_1 & = & \mathbf{s}^{\square}{}_{21} * a_2 \;, \\
\mathbf{b}_2 & = & \mathbf{s}^{\square}{}_{21} * a_1 \;, \end{array} \tag{2}
$$

Figure 1: *Nonlinearly loaded transmission line: the relevant quantities for our formulation are indicated here and ezplained in the text. Although the scheme is limited to* $N = 2$, the for*mulation is valid for any number* **of** *conductors.*

Figure **2:** *The effect of radiated EMI on a tmnsmission line translates into distributed and terminal lumped voltage sources on the line. The symbols are ezplatned in the tezt. This conceptual scheme is valid also for MTLs.*

$$
-y^{C}{}_{o}*(a_{p}-b_{p})=g_{p}(a_{p}+b_{p}-e_{p}), \ \ p=1, 2,
$$
 (3)

where s_{21}^D is the $N \times N$ transient scattering matrix of the matched line and e_p is the vector of the voltage sources connected to the p-th line end.

EFFECT OF THE EXTERNAL INTERFERENCES

To describe the radiated **EM1** effects, we employ a set of distribuited voltage generators along each conductor of the line and two lumped voltage generators at the ends, as illustrated in Fig. **2, [2].** The contributions of these generators are included in the above formulation in a straightforward manner, *i.e.*, by adding to the left hand side of (2) a source vector b_{0p} , whose elements are the voltage waves caused by the interference generators, when both line ends are matched. This result **is** derived here in the frequency domain, since it involves only the line which is the linear part of the system. For simplicity, the derivation is limited to a single conductor transmission line: however, the extension to MTLs is straightforward. With reference to Fig. 2, and for a plane wave external interference, the distributed voltage source can be expressed as $\hat{V}(z) = \hat{E}(\omega) \exp\{-j\beta z\}$, where \hat{E} depend on the interference spectrum, and β is the wavenumber along the transmission line direction. Complete expressions for $\hat{E}(\omega)$ and for the terminal lumped voltage sources $V^p(0)$, $V^p(\mathcal{L})$ are not given here for lack of space, but can be found in [2]. The frequency domain expressions of the voltage waves that account for the EM1 generators are

$$
B_{01} = \frac{V^{\nu}(0)}{2} - S_{21} \frac{V^{\nu}(L)}{2} - \frac{\hat{E}}{2} (1 - e^{-(\gamma + j\beta)L}) / (\gamma + j\beta)
$$

\n
$$
B_{02} = -S_{21} \frac{V^{\nu}(0)}{2} + \frac{V^{\nu}(L)}{2} + \frac{\hat{E}}{2} (e^{-j\beta L} - e^{-\gamma L}) / (\gamma - j\beta) ,
$$
\n(4)

where the contribution of the distributed generators is integrated along the line, $S_{21} = \exp\{-\gamma \mathcal{L}\}\$ is the transmission scattering parameter of the matched line, and γ is the line propagation function. It is convenient, after the inclusion of the voltage waves B_{0p} in the scattering equations, rearrange them in the form

$$
B_{01} = S_{21}(A_2 + \bar{A}_{02}) + B_{01}
$$

\n
$$
B_{02} = S_{21}(A_1 + \bar{A}_{01}) + \bar{B}_{02}
$$
 (5)

where

$$
\bar{A}_{01} = -\frac{1}{2} \Big(V^p(0) + \hat{E}/(\gamma - j\beta) \Big) , \qquad \bar{B}_{01} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(V^p(0) - \hat{E}/(\gamma + j\beta) \Big) , \n\bar{A}_{02} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(- V^p(\mathcal{L}) + \hat{E} e^{-j\beta \mathcal{L}}/(\gamma + j\beta) \Big) , \qquad \bar{B}_{02} = \frac{1}{2} \Big(V^p(\mathcal{L}) + \hat{E} e^{-j\beta \mathcal{L}}/(\gamma - j\beta) \Big) . \qquad (6)
$$

With this new set of source terms, **all** propagation effects included in the expressions of the voltage wave equivalents are extracted and accounted for by the transfer function S_{21} appearing in (5). The advantage of this new form of the scattering equations is that the terms \bar{A}_{0p} and \bar{B}_{0p} are simple transformations of the impinging signal and can be easily inverse transformed in the time **domain.** Additionally, multiple reflections are absent in the time behavior of the terms *bop:* this nice property is a consequence of the matched scattering characterization of the line, adopted in this formulation. In fact, if y^{\Box} , $\neq y^{\Box}$, were used, not only the scattering parameter matrices in (2) whould have been more complex, but also the B_{0p} terms whould have had a different form from (4) , and contain multiple reflections. For this reason, we decided not to adopt the formulation in terms of Thevenin equivalents of the EM1 generators (see [2]) which, however, is valid and convenient when the entire system response is sought in the frequency domain. **RESULTS**

This approach to the EM1 evaluation of MTLs was extensively validated by comparison with the result of a standard frequency domain analysis applied to structures with linear loads **[2].** An example of the EM1 evaluation for a realistic structure is given in Fig. 3, where the end voltage *v22* caused by **a** double exponential pulse impinging on a two-conductor symmetric line driven and Ioaded by inverter circuits is shown. The transmission line is 0.5 m-long, and is composed of two parallel circular conductors with a diameter of 0.05 mm, separated by 0.2 mm, and at a distance of **0.5** mm above the ground plane; line losses include both the conductor DC resistance and the **skin effect.** The second of the second

The inverters are assumed to be in the "high" logical state and are modeled as in [4]. The results of Fig. 3 show the time shape and levels of the noise induced into the electronic system: the abrupt variations of the voltage curve can be justified in terms of the reflections at the mismatched ends of the line. The efficiency of our formulation, which is implemented in an inter-
-0.25 preted programming language, is proved by the fact that the results are produced in a matter of minutes on a personal computer.

REFERENCES

Figure 3: End voltage v_{22} due to a plane wave interference on the transmission line loaded by inverters.

- [1] C. R. Paul, "Frequency response of multiconductor transmission lines illuminated by an electromagnetic field," IEEE Trans. on EMC, vol. EMC-18, pp. 183-190, Nov. 1976.
- [2] F. Canavero, V. Daniele and R. Graglia, "Electromagnetic pulse interaction with multiconductor transmission lines," Electromagnetics, vol. 8, pp.293-310, Aug. 1988.
- [3] I. Maio, S. Pignari e F. G. Canavero, "Efficient transient analysis of nonlinearly loaded lowloss interconnects," Int. J. Analog Integrated Circuits and Signal Processing, in press, June 1993.
- **[4] J. E.** Schutt-Aine and **R.** Mittra, "Scattering parameters transient analysis of transmission lines loaded with nonlinear terminations," *IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech.,* vol. MTT-**36,** pp. 529-536, Mar. 1988.