Possible solutions for a transport system compliant with the energy supply and the environment: measurable analyses
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1. Aims of society (India, EU) related to transport systems and energy
   ➤  GENERAL TRANSPORT PLANNING

2. Measurable evaluations, well-to-wheel analysis
   ➤  MEASURABLE ANALYSES

3. EU directives, action plans on the promotion of clean and energy-efficient transport systems
   ➤  REGULATIONS

☞ CONCLUSIONS
Some European cities, 1900

A REACHED **AIM**
OF THE EUROPEAN
SOCIETY

**DIFFUSED**
**MOTORISATION**

Nowadays frequently
REGULATED, CONTROLLED
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**Vehicles circulating in the WORLD**

Approximate trend on the basis of few known data and estimates from different sources.

- ~176.5⋅10^6 vehicles in China (by the resp. Ministry, 2008), ≈21% in the world; 219⋅10^6 vehicles in 9.2011, cars ≈45.9%, ≈22% in the world; >100 millions of vehicles in India, nearly 12% in the world in 2008 (20.8⋅10^6 cars in 2011);
- India and China had in 2008 >1/3 of vehicles in the world with ≈37% of global population.

**Sources:** Various, Databook Energia e Petrolio 2009, The Physics Factbook, World motor vehicle market.
Examples: circulating vehicles (D, I), registrations (I), daily travels (US): **features of a saturated market.**
The development of the circulating vehicles, infrastructures and personal mobility, which have significantly marked the second half of the last century in Europe, show today some conditioning factors.

A. saturation of the land
B. limitedness of the energy resource
C. respect of the environment
D. maintenance of all the existing infrastructures
E. safety increase in transport systems, a will
F. relationships among people and families.

India?
new TRANSPORT SYSTEMS

- Travellers
- Infrastructures
- Vehicles
- Energy
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The urban population of the EU-27 amounted to 73% of the total population in 2008.

[Source: EU energy and transport in figures, Statistical Pocketbook 2010, p. 18]
Transport installations
(trains, metros, APMs)

Public transport

Private transport

Motor cars, ITS

Trains HST

Metros, APM, ...

two-wheeled veh., rickshaws

Private cars, ITS

India
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Bicycles, motorcycles, rickshaws and public transport (subways)?

A private motorised mobility? 2-3, 4 wheels?

A balanced scenario? With “ITS” (intelligent transport systems)?

On which basis?
Fixed installations | Emissions *in operation*

- Government presence
- Bids and tenders
- Integrated planning and managed mobility
India (2008), CO₂ Transport systems: ≈9.2%

Source: IEA, 2010 data 2008
EU (2008), Transport systems: CO₂ at 24%, energy use ≈32-33%

Sources: Eurostat and Databook, "Energia e Petrolio in Italia" 2009, 2011 by "Unione Petrolifera" - I
Private cars | Emissions
---|---

Unless new engines, hybrid vehicles, electric vehicles (EV) are pursued

City towns: energy solutions | Recharge areas | Private mobility, with more «ITS»
The country imports vehicles by foreign constructors.

Locally unsold vehicles

The country imports vehicles by foreign constructors. The traditional market, but possibly uncompliant with EU or Indian aims and regulations.

Home constructors ready.

Urban recharging possibilities.

Choices by car constructors.

EV - oriented

ICE - oriented
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Internal combustion engines (ICEs) include Diesel, Gasoline (fuel), and CNG.

Distance travelled:
- Long (>200 km)
- Short (10-100 km)

National production of electric energy from different primary energy sources.

Urban recharging possibilities:
- Electric
- Hybrid (ICE-electric)
- Electric

Point of view of the traveller or driver:
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POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS FOR A TRANSPORT SYSTEM COMPLIANT WITH THE ENERGY SUPPLY AND THE ENVIRONMENT:

MEASURABLE ANALYSES

On which basis we may prepare a high-level integrated planning?
Primary energy

- Crude oil
- Natural gas
- Coal
- Nuclear sources (Uranium, Plutonium)

Energy carrier

- Diesel, gasoline, cherosene
- Gas
- Fuels from synthesis
- Hydrogen

Use in transport systems

- ICEs for road vehicles
- ICEs for locomotives and installations
- ICEs for ships
- Jet

Internal combustion engines

Electric motors

- Batteries
- Fuel cells (electrochemical)

Power production plants

- Electric power

Renewable

- Wind
- Hydroelectric
- Sun
- Geothermal
- Biomass

Other uses

(Source: elaboration from ERTRAC, 2011)
The **Well to Tank (WTT)** evaluation accounts for the *energy expended and the associated GHG emitted in the steps required to deliver the finished fuel into the on-board tank of a vehicle*. It also considers the potential availability of the fuels, through their individual pathways and associated costs.

The **Tank to Wheels (TTW)** evaluation accounts for the *energy expended and the associated GHG emitted by the vehicle/fuel combinations*. It also includes an assessment of the expected relative retail prices of the various vehicle configurations.

We refer to the **Well to Wheels (WTW)** integration, giving a global assessment of the energy required and the GHG emitted per km driven on the fuel/vehicle combinations considered.

\[
\frac{MJ_t}{km} = \frac{MJ_t}{MTJ} \cdot \frac{MJ_f}{km}
\]
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### WTT of most common and promising fuels (EU)

*in collaboration with Dept. of Energy (prof. Santarelli), Politecnico di Torino - I*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUEL</th>
<th>WTT [MJ\textsubscript{f}/MJ\textsubscript{f}]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel-gasoline (petrol)</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gasoil-diesel</td>
<td>1.16\textsuperscript{1}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen from NG</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen from electrolysis (from wind power)</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen from electrolysis (European mix)</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity (European mix)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity (European mix based on carbon)</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity from wind power</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity from nuclear energy</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{1} Nearly 1 barrel each 6 cannot be benefited in its final use.

## TTW, motor-cars (EU)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROPULSIVE TECHNOLOGY</th>
<th>TTW [MJ(_f)/km]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICE, fuel/gasoline/petrol*</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE – gasoil/diesel*</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE – CNG</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE – Hydrogen</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE, hybrid- fuel/gasoline</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE- hybrid – gasoil/diesel</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car with, batteries</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC – Hydrogen</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TTW of main propulsive technologies in the motor-car field in 2010

* In 2002, the TTW of ICEs (*internal combustion engines*) – fuel/petrol and gasoil were respectively 2.25 and 2.09 MJ\(_f\)/km
## TTW, trains (EU)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIN</th>
<th>TTW [MJ$_t$/(t·km)]</th>
<th>[t/place]$^*$</th>
<th>[t/place]$_{FL}$</th>
<th>Use level</th>
<th>TTW$^*$ [MJ$_t$/(p·km)]</th>
<th>TTW$_{FL}$ [MJ$_t$/(p·km)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGV (F)</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE (D)</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>1.294</td>
<td>1.336</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE (SP)</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>1.305</td>
<td>1.346</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TTW$^*$ e TTW$_{PC}$ for some European trains**

\[
TTW^* \left[ \frac{MJ}{pkm} \right] = TTW \left[ \frac{MJ}{tkm} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{t}{place} \right] \cdot \left[ \frac{p}{place} \right]^{-1}
\]
Empirical methods for calculating the TTW in railways

\[
\left[ \frac{MJ}{tkm} \right]_{\text{average}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{MJ}{tkm} \left( v_{\text{aver.}} ; d \right) \right]_i
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{MJ}{tkm} \right] = A \cdot \frac{v_{\text{average}}^2}{\ln(x)} + B
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIN</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICE, Germany</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGV, France</td>
<td>0.0097</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT, Great Britain</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy freight trains (more than 600 tons unloaded)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RC, Sweden</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Empirical methods for calculating the TTW in railways

\[ F = A_0 + A_1 v + A_2 v^2 + mg \sin \alpha \]

\[ F' = B_0 + B_1 v + B_2 v^2 + g \sin \alpha \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRAIN</th>
<th>( B_0 )</th>
<th>( B_1 )</th>
<th>( B_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APT, GB</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>( 36.6 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>( 26 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oldest UK trains</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>( 29.2 \times 10^{-2} )</td>
<td>( 57.4 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight trains</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 84.5 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC3, Denmark – single unit</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 42.5 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC3, Denmark – multiple units</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 24 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE, Denmark – Loco BR103</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( 22.5 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ E' = \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L (a + B_0 + B_1 v + B_2 v^2) dl + g \frac{\Delta h}{L} \]

\[ E' \approx \frac{N_{fer} + 1}{L} \cdot \frac{v_{\text{max}}^2}{2} + B_0 + B_1 \cdot v_{\text{med}} + B_2 \cdot v_{\text{med}}^2 + g \frac{\Delta h}{L} \]
### WTW for car and WTW\textsubscript{FL} of trains and cars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transport means</th>
<th>WTW [MJ/km]</th>
<th>Case 1 (driver)</th>
<th>Case 2 (average)</th>
<th>Case 3 (5 pass.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICE Train – wind power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGV Train – wind power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE Train – wind power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car – wind power</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Train – carbon mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Train – EU mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.368</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGV Train – carbon mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGV Train – EU mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car FC – H\textsubscript{2} from electrol. from wind power</td>
<td>1.583</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid car – electricity and gasoil</td>
<td>1.636</td>
<td>0.409</td>
<td>0.455</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car FC – H\textsubscript{2} from Natural gas</td>
<td>1.656</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.461</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE Train – carbon mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE Train – nuclear energy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid car – electricity and gasoline/oil</td>
<td>1.847</td>
<td>0.462</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE Train – EU mix</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGV Train – nuclear energy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal combustion car – gasol/diesel</td>
<td>1.995</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.555</td>
<td>0.611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal combustion car – oil/gasoline</td>
<td>2.177</td>
<td>0.544</td>
<td>0.605</td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal combustion car – natural gas</td>
<td>2.261</td>
<td>0.565</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVE Train – nuclear energy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car – carbon mix</td>
<td>2.849</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal combustion car – H\textsubscript{2} from electrolysis from wind energy</td>
<td>2.906</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal combustion car – H\textsubscript{2} from natural gas</td>
<td>3.039</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car – EU mix</td>
<td>3.146</td>
<td>0.787</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car – Nuclear energy</td>
<td>4.103</td>
<td>1.026</td>
<td>1.141</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TTW, WTW* e WTW\textsubscript{FL} for some Italian trains**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRENTO</th>
<th>Primary energy source</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>TTW\textsubscript{FL} [kJ/(t·km)]</th>
<th>TTW* [MJ/(p·km)]</th>
<th>TTW\textsubscript{FL} [MJ/(p·km)]</th>
<th>WTW\textsubscript{FL} [MJ/(p·km)]</th>
<th>WTW* [MJ/(p·km)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC</td>
<td>EU Mix carbon. Eur. Mix</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
<td>70.49</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>EU Mix carbon. Eur. Mix</td>
<td>&gt; 50%</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR 500</td>
<td>EU Mix carbon. Eur. Mix</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
<td>74.35</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wind energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example:
Absolute energy consumption on the Turin – Milan link (by rail, road by private car or PT)

- Train ETR 500: 48.54 GJ
- Train Intercity: 51.44 GJ
- Bus, in line: 65.05 GJ
- Inter-regional Train: 72.85 GJ
- Private car 2010: 169.80 GJ
- Private car 2002: 297.31 GJ

HST (high speed train): minor length and absence of stops
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The EU Parliament has launched extensive measures to enhance energy efficiency and energy saving and for the integration of climate change objectives into transport and energy policies as well as the need for specific measures in the transport sector to address energy use and greenhouse gas emissions.

In order to introduce a new culture for urban mobility, the community approved also the support for stakeholders in promoting more efficient vehicles.

The approach is based on the internalisation of external costs by means of lifetime costs of fuel, CO₂ emissions and pollutant emissions of the vehicles.

According to requirements of Directive 33/2009, it is possible to obtain details of the various vehicle categories and sub-categories.

Results for passenger cars only on the base of a **TTW analysis**, in I semester 2011 (costs of NG and Diesel/Fuel vary)

Source: Santarelli, De Oliveira – Politecnico di Torino, I Semester, 2011

---

**Operational costs of passenger cars (T2W)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>Gasoline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euro 1</td>
<td>Euro 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 2</td>
<td>Euro 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 3</td>
<td>Euro 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 4</td>
<td>Euro 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 5</td>
<td>Euro 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euro 6</td>
<td>Euro 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiat Panda CNG</td>
<td>VW/Golf EU56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNG</td>
<td>BIODIESEL Euro 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOH</td>
<td>FC H2 on site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD</td>
<td>H2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Electricity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- PM
- NMHC
- NOx
- CO2

Source: Santarelli, De Oliveira – Politecnico di Torino, I Semester, 2011

- Particulate matter
- Non methanique hydrocarbures
- Nitrogen oxides
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>Description of SCENARIOS for TTW and WTW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCN1</td>
<td>Cost based on the internalization of external costs by means of lifetime costs of fuel, CO₂ emissions and pollutant emissions of the vehicles (TTW) → previous graph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN2</td>
<td>It considers the well to wheel analysis of the electricity and also of all others energy carriers or vectors (WTW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN3</td>
<td>Inclusion of analysis of a short term forecast, in which new technologies under development are taken into account and compared with previous scenarios (WTW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCN4</td>
<td>Inclusion of analysis of a long term forecast, in which new technologies and fuels will become affordable and applicable in large scale (WTW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cost based on the internalization of external costs by means of lifetime costs of fuel, CO2 emissions and pollutant emissions of the vehicles (T2W)

It considers the well to wheel (W2W) analysis of the electricity and also of all others energy vectors.

Source: Dalla Chiara, Pinna, Navarro Herdy – Politecnico di Torino, November 2011
Inclusion of analysis of a short term forecast, in which new technologies (turbocharging/downsizing, variable valve actuation) under development are taken into account and compared with previous scenarios (W2W+new technologies); estimations!

Source: Dalla Chiara, Pinna, Navarro Herdy – Politecnico di Torino, November 2011
Hypotheses on the future on the base of the present situation (in Europe, the CNG network has been already paid)

Total operational costs - all scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diesel</th>
<th>Gasoline</th>
<th>Fiat Panda CNG</th>
<th>VW Golf EU5/6</th>
<th>BIODIESEL Euro 5/6</th>
<th>FCH2 onsite</th>
<th>Panda Z.E.</th>
<th>Twingo Z.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Dalla Chiara, Pinna, Navarro Herdy – Politecnico di Torino, November 2011

Inclusion of analysis of a long term forecast (nearly 2030), in which new technologies would become affordable and applicable in large scale (W2W)
Established and emerging battery technologies

(Source: ABB Batteries & Electric Vehicles, elab. 2010-2011)

- **Li-P, Li-ion**: New systems
  - Ref: 18650s; 2.6Ah

- **Li-ion**:
  - Ref: AA alkaline

- **Li-polymer**:
  - 5 mm prismatic cells <1300 mAh

- **Li-metal**:
  - 5 mm prismatic cells <1300 mAh

- **Zn/Air**:

- **Al/Air**:

- **Li/Air**:

- **Ni-MH**:

- **Ni-Cd**:

- **Lead - acid**:
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Comparison of energy storage technologies suitable for HEVs
(Source: Mi, Marsur and Wenzhong- HEV, elab. 2011)
- Wired recharging
- Wireless recharging, contactless, inductive
- Wireless recharging with contact, conductive
- Battery substitution
Most important European Communications, Action Plans and legislation concerning urban ITS deployment and Energy issues include:

- **The ITS Directive (2010/40/EU)**
  
  concerned with the coordinated and coherent deployment of ITS within the Union including the development of specifications and standards.

  
  on sustainable urban mobility and concerned with ITS deployment in urban areas in regard to “Action 20: ITS for urban mobility” (e.g., electronic ticketing and payment, traffic management, travel information, access regulation and demand management and opportunities via Galileo).

  
  adopted to accelerate and coordinate the deployment of ITS is especially concerned with urban ITS in regard to “Action 6.4: Set up of a European Urban ITS collaboration platform on urban mobility”. As a result of this action the “Urban ITS Expert Group” has been established by the European Commission with key stakeholders and organisations.

[Source: elaboration from ERTICO thematic paper: “ITS for Urban Mobility”, Nov 2011]
White Paper “Roadmap to a Single European Transport Area – Towards a competitive and resource efficient transport system” (COM (2011) 144):

Published in 2011, concerned with competitive and resource efficient European transport systems which includes inter alia “clean urban transport and commuting”. Use of “conventionally fuelled” cars in urban transport should be halved by 2030 and they should be phased out in 2050. Furthermore, major urban logistics should be CO2 free by 2030. In addition goals 8 (the establishment of the framework for European multimodal transport information, management and payment system by 2020) and 9 (close to zero road fatalities on road transport by 2050) are concerned with urban mobility.


In the Green Paper on urban mobility the deployment of ITS in urban areas is clearly emphasised in section 2.3 “Towards smarter urban transport”.

Digital Agenda for Europe


A European strategy on clean and energy efficient vehicles (COM (2010) 186):

According to this communication fully electric vehicles (FEV) are said to be most promising especially in urban use.

[Source: elaboration from ERTICO thematic paper: “ITS for Urban Mobility”, Nov 2011]

- Aims to stimulate the market for clean and energy-efficient road transport, and especially – since this would have a substantial environmental impact – to influence the market for standardized vehicles produced in larger quantities: passenger cars, buses, coaches and trucks;
- the aim is to ensure a level of demand for clean and energy-efficient road transport vehicles which is sufficiently substantial to encourage manufacturers and the industry to invest in and further develop vehicles with low energy consumption, CO₂ emissions, and pollutant emissions.
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Conclusions

Towards:

OIL-DEPENDENT ROAD TRANSPORT

ITS

RAILWAYS, APMs, METROS, systems in fixed guideways, including rope traction
Some EU cities, today

Technological solutions

FUTURE AIMS OF SOCIETY

QUALITY, SAFETY, SECURITY, EFFICIENCY

Automated People movers and Metros

Intelligent transport systems

More oil-independent vehicles and green motor vehicles

including bike sharing
Some EU trends in cities

Transport systems in guided ways

Intelligent transport systems

Oil-independent road transport

B. Dalla Chiara, Politecnico di Torino - IT, EBTC, New Delhi 4th of December 2011
Motorised road transport

Energy: Country

Energy: Country

Natural gas

Oil importer

Research and industrial capabilities

Electricity producer

Energy mix

Multifuel

Short travels

Battery intensive

Internal combustion intensive

Role of the city

Urban recharging possibilities
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