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We describe a general method to study the ground-state phase diagram of electronic models on chains whose extended Hubbard Hamiltonian is formed by a generalized permutator plus a band-controlling term. The method, based on the appropriate interpretation of Sutherland’s species, yields under described conditions a reduction of the effective Hilbert space. In particular, we derive the phase diagrams of two new models; the first one exhibits a band-controlled insulator-superconductor transition at half-filling for the unusually high value $U_c=6t$; the second one is characterized by a filling-controlled metal-insulator transition between two finite regions of the diagram.
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Metal-insulator and insulator-superconductor transitions in chain systems of interacting electrons have recently become a matter of great interest for the physics of new compounds and devices. Although many experimental data are nowadays at our disposal, an important open question of this issue is to determine the Hamiltonian that could fairly describe these kinds of transitions. The task is particularly difficult just owing to the low dimensionality, which causes usual mean-field and perturbative approaches to often fail in providing reliable predictions. Fortunately, one-dimensionality (1D) allows us to exploit exact analysis techniques which can provide —although only for some particular cases— rigorous information on the structure of the ground state and on low-energy excitations. For this reason a probative test for theoretical models is the comparison between experimental results and theoretical predictions on the ground-state phase diagram.

The ground state is usually given as a function of the filling $n$, i.e., the number of effective carriers, and of a band parameter, which indicates the intrinsic unit of energy of the system (its actual definition depends on the theoretical approach envisaged, see below). One can thus distinguish between filling-controlled (FC) and band-controlled (BC) transitions, according to which kind of parameter (number of carriers or energy scale respectively) is tuned to let the transition occur. Both kinds of transitions are very important in practical applications: BC transitions are relevant, for instance, in Vanadium oxides, where one can modify the bandwidth through hydrostatic pressure on the sample; FC transitions are frequent in perovskitelike materials such as $R_{1-x}A_xTiO_3$ ($R=$ rare-earth ion, and $A=$ alkaline-earth ion), as well as in hole-doped compounds like $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_2.5$. In order to describe these materials, at least as far as their low-energy excitations are concerned, where single band picture are often reliable, the class of extended Hubbard models provides an interesting starting point. For these models, which involve strong electronic correlations, the band parameter is usually taken to be the on-site Coulomb repulsion ($U$), instead of $w=4t$ ($t$ = hopping amplitude), the latter being typical in mean-field approaches. A number of exact results have been obtained in terms of $n$ and $U$. For the ordinary Hubbard model, a FC metal-insulator-metal transition has been shown to occur at half filling ($n=1$) for any $U>0$ ($U$ being the on-site Coulomb repulsion); on the contrary, no BC transition takes place for $U>0$. More recently, some models (Refs. 3 and 4) were solved in which a BC insulator-superconductor transition occurs at half-filling at finite values of $U>0$, while the usual FC metal-insulator-metal transition takes place for $n=1$ and $U>U_c$.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, no detailed investigation has been devoted to either of the following issues: for the BC transitions it has not been pointed out yet what interaction terms are relevant to tune the critical value $U_c$ at which the transition occurs: this is quite important because $U_c$ can assume different values according to the chemical structure of the material. Second, for the FC transitions, all the above models provide an insulating state only at half filling; on the contrary, doped materials exhibit an insulating phase for a finite region of filling values. In this rapid communication we examine the above subjects providing the exact ground-state phase diagram of some 1D electronic models. In particular, we obtain rigorous results which allow us to both discuss the dependence of $U_c$ of BC transitions on the Hamiltonian parameters, and to find a FC metal-insulator transition between two finite regions of the phase diagram.

We consider here a quite general one-band extended isotropic Hubbard model preserving the total spin and number $N$ of electrons, which reads

$$
\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle,\sigma} [t - X(\hat{n}_j, -\sigma + \hat{n}_k, -\sigma)] c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma} + U \sum_j \hat{n}_{j,\uparrow}\hat{n}_{j,\downarrow} + V \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_k + W \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle,\sigma,\sigma'} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma'} c_{j,\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{k,\sigma} + Y \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} c_{j,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k,\downarrow} c_{k,\uparrow} + P \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} \hat{n}_{j,\uparrow} \hat{n}_{j,\downarrow} + Q \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} \hat{n}_{j,\downarrow} \hat{n}_{k,\uparrow} \hat{n}_{k,\downarrow} \hat{n}_{j,\uparrow},
$$

In Eq. (1) $c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{j,\sigma}$ are fermionic creation and annihilation operators on a one-dimensional chain with $L$ sites, $\sigma$
\[ H = - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Pi_{\alpha\beta} + U N_{[1]} - \text{const terms}, \]
BAND AND FILLING-CONTROLLED TRANSITIONS IN . . . PHYSICAL REVIEW B 63 121103(R)

FIG. 1. Ground-state phase diagram of the model $X = 1; \vec{x} = (1 - \sigma); Y = -\sigma; P = -1; Q = 2$. The model exhibits a BC transition insulator-superconductor transition at $n = 1$, for $U_c = 6$. The dashed line is the EKS model, and the dotted line corresponds to the AAS model.

identity $n_{\uparrow \downarrow} = (n - n_A)/2$ and minimizing $\epsilon$ with respect to $n_A$ ($n_A \in [0,n]$ for $0 \leq n \leq 1$ and $n_A \in [0.2-n]$ for $1 \leq n \leq 2$) and coincides with that derived in Ref. 4. We also notice that the model with $X = 1; \vec{x} = 2; V = W = Y = P = Q = 0$ has the same energy as AAS. In fact, using the above Remark and redefining the basis vector $| \uparrow \downarrow \rangle \rightarrow (1 - 1)| \uparrow \downarrow \rangle$, it can be cast in the form (2) with the same GP as AAS.

The method just outlined allows the solution of a wide class of models whose Hamiltonian has the form (2). In particular, as the AAS model displays both a BC and a FC transitions, here we apply it to the study of similar, but new, models in which further terms are included, yielding a change in the value of the parameters characterizing the transition. We consider the model with coupling constants $X = 1; \vec{x} = (1 - \sigma); Y = -\sigma; P = -1; Q = 2$ where $\sigma = \pm 1$. The resulting Hamiltonian has the form (2) with $\tilde{U} = -2, \tilde{\mu} = 2, \tilde{c} = -1$ in both cases $\sigma = \pm 1$. II has diagonal entries characterized by the subsets $S_1 = \{1,2\}, S_2 = \{3\}, S_3 = \{4\}$, and off-diagonal entries $\delta_{\alpha \beta} = +1 \alpha \in S_1, \beta \in S_2$, and $\delta_{\alpha \beta} = -1$ $\alpha \in S_2, \beta \in S_1$. Both conditions (a) and (b) to identify Sutherland’s species are thus fulfilled, and the species read $A = \{\uparrow \downarrow, \uparrow \downarrow\}$ (which is “odd” because $\theta_{\alpha \beta} = -1$ if $\alpha, \beta \in S_1$), $B = 0$ (“even” because $\theta_{33} = +1$) and $C = \{\uparrow \downarrow\}$ (“even” because $\theta_{44} = +1$). For the case $\sigma = +1$ one can straightforwardly apply Sutherland’s theorem [see point 2)] to reduce the number of even species to 1, ending up with a free spinless fermion problem, where occupied sites are represented by A and empty sites by B and C. The ground-state energy $\epsilon$ per site has the same form as Eq. (5), in which again $n_{\uparrow \downarrow} = (n - n_A)/2$, and it has to be minimized with respect to $n_A$. For the case $\sigma = -1$, before using Sutherland’s theorem, one has to apply again the Remark, changing $| \uparrow \downarrow \rangle \rightarrow (1 - 1)| \uparrow \downarrow \rangle$. The expression of $\epsilon$ is identical.

The phase diagram is given in Fig. 1; the lower region I is characterized by $n_A = 0$, so that only doubly occupied or empty sites are present in the ground state; in this region the ground state $| \Psi_0 \rangle$ is made of the so-called (pure) eta-pairs, i.e., $| \Psi_0 \rangle = (\eta_{\psi}^{N/2}|0\rangle$, where $\eta_{\psi} = \sum_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j c_i^\dagger c_j$ = $\sum_{i<j} \epsilon_{\psi} \approx \epsilon_{\psi} - \epsilon_{\psi} - E_\psi$ with pair momentum $\varphi = 0, \pi$. In the case $\sigma = +1$ we have 0 pairs, whereas if $\sigma = -1$ the pairs have $\pi$ momentum. The latter case is particularly important because the $\pi$ pairs (and not 0 pairs) are expected to survive as the constraint $X = 1$ is relaxed (see Ref. 8). In region II, delimited by $U_{\psi,I} = 2 - 4 \cos(m \pi)$, we have the simultaneous presence of empty (O), singly occupied (D), and doubly occupied (¥) sites; this is called mixed region and the ground state is $| \Psi_0 \rangle = (\eta_{\psi}^{N/2}|U = \infty\rangle$, where $|U = \infty\rangle$ are the eigenstates of the $U = \infty$ Hubbard model. In both region I and II the two-particle reduced density matrix exhibits long-range correlation, i.e., $g_{ij} = (\langle c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger c_i c_j \rangle|\Psi_0 \rangle$ for $|i - j| \rightarrow +\infty$, and the ground state is superconducting. Finally, the region III-a ($0 \leq n \leq 1$) is made of singly occupied and empty sites; in this region the ground state of the $U = \infty$ Hubbard model is eigenstate of the Hamiltonian and is metallic. The region III-b ($1 \leq n \leq 2$) is the particle-hole transformed of III-a, and the metallic carriers are holes. One can show that at half-filling the system is an insulator with gap $\Delta = U - 6$.

With respect to the AAS model we observe that the pair-hopping term has two main effects: first it removes the degeneracy in $\varphi$ in this region (only $\varphi = 0$ or $\pi$ survive, according to the sign $\sigma$ of $Y$), and this implies a restoring of the superconducting order in regions I and II of the phase diagram, absent in the AAS model. Second, it raises the borderline of such region upwards, as one could expect also from mean-field treatments, where a pair-hopping term reduces to an effective attraction ($\sim -|Y|$) renormalizing the Coulomb repulsion $U$. The superconducting region II of our model is enhanced also with respect to that of the EKS model. Indeed, although the pair-hopping term is also present in the EKS model (the boundaries of region I coincide), its effect is strongly reduced near half-filling due to the Coulomb attraction term between neighboring sites ($V = -1$), which is known to compete with the formation of on-site pairs.

As a consequence, the BC insulator-superconductor transition occurring at half-filling corresponds to the maximum critical value $U_{\psi,\infty} = \text{max}$, higher than for all other exactly solved models. This is important because the higher $U_{\psi,\infty}$, the higher the expected critical temperature of the superconducting phase.

Because of the particle-hole symmetry of the models we have considered so far, the insulating phase can exist just at half filling. In order to investigate FC transitions between finite metal-insulator regions of the phase diagram, we now discuss a simple model not particle-hole invariant, describing a competition between the $U = \infty$ Hubbard model (excluding double occupancy), and the pair-hopping (favoring the formation of pairs), modulated by the band parameter $U$ (explicitly $X = \vec{x} = 1; Y = -\sigma; V = W = P = Q = 0$). It is easy to realize that (up to the application of the Remark) this model can be set in the form (2) ($\tilde{U} = -2, \tilde{\mu} = 2, \tilde{c} = -1$). The GP is now equivalent to an ordinary permutation between the two Sutherland’s species: $A = \{\uparrow \downarrow, \uparrow \downarrow, \uparrow \downarrow\}$ (which is “odd” because $\theta_{33} = -1$ if $\alpha, \beta \in S_1 = \{1,2,4\}$; $B = 0$) (“even” because $\theta_{33} = +1$). The ground-state energy per
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phase diagram —obtained by minimizing \( e \) with respect to \( n \) in the range \( n/2 \leq n_A \leq \min(n,1) \) — is presented in Fig. 2, and exhibits again four regions. However, due to the absence of particle-hole invariance, the shape is not symmetric around half-filling.

In region I (just doubly occupied and empty sites) only the \( Y \) and \( U \) terms act: the model behaves like a spin isotropic XX model (\( \mathbf{S}_i^+ = c_i^\dagger c_i^\dagger, \mathbf{S}_j^- = c_j c_j \)) with the \( U \) term acting as a magnetic field; it is well known that at \( U = 0 \) the correlation function has a power-law decay \( g(i,j) \sim |i - j|^{-1/2} \), whereas \( g \) is not known for nonvanishing magnetic field. However, as far as \( U \leq 2 \), long-range order arises for any nonzero value of anisotropy. The borderline of this region is given by \( U_{\text{lim}} = -2 \cos(m/2) \). Notice that this region raises up to positive values of \( U \) for \( 1 \leq n \leq 2 \). The mixed region II is entered as the double occupancy begins to decrease from its maximum value, yielding the increase of the local magnetic moment \( M_0 = 3/4 L^{-1} \Sigma_j |\langle \Psi \rangle (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{j,-} - \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{j,+})|^2 |\Psi \rangle = 3/4 [2 \pi^{-1} \arccos(-U/2) - n] \). The value of \( n \) reaches its minimum for \( U_{\text{lim}} = -2 \cos(m/2) \) when \( n \leq 1 \), and for \( U_{\text{lim}} = 2 \) when \( n > 1 \). Correspondingly, regions III-a and III-b are entered. The former is metallic, the ground state is that of the \( U = \infty \) Hubbard model, and the system behaves like a Tomonaga-Luttinger liquid. The most interesting feature is that region III-b is a finite insulating region. More precisely, at exactly half filling the gap is \( \Delta = U - 2 \), while for \( 1 < n < 2 \) no empty site is present, and the model behaves like the Hubbard model in the atomic limit. Hence here the FC transition takes place between two finite regions, in analogy with experimental observations on chain hole-doped compounds. Interestingly, for the special value \( U = 2 \), our model and the \( U \)-supersymmetric model coincide. As a consequence, our ground-state energy in this case is equal to that obtained in Ref. 11.

In this Rapid Communication we have presented exact ground-state phase diagrams of two electron models, and studied their BC and FC transitions. Our analysis supports the relevance of the pair-hopping term in raising the critical value of \( U \) for BC superconducting-insulator transitions, as well as the importance of particle-hole not invariant terms in the appearance of a finite insulating region. The method we used can be implemented on all those models described by Hamiltonian \( (2) \) in which the GP verifies conditions \( (a) \) and \( (b) \). We stress that such GPs all correspond to integrable models, i.e., they are solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation (consistency equation for factorizability). The Hamiltonians exhibit therefore a set of conserved quantities mutually commuting.
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