QUASI-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH TRIVIAL CHERN
HOLONOMY
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Abstract. In this paper we study almost complex manifolds admitting
a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metric (Chern-flat means that the holonomy
of the Chern connection is trivial). We prove that in the compact case
such manifolds are all nilmanifolds. Some partial classification results
are established and we prove that a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat structure
can be tamed by a symplectic form if and only if the ambient space is
isomorphic to a flat torus.

1. Introduction

A Kähler manifold is a complex manifold \((M, J)\) equipped with a com-
patible Hermitian metric \(g\) whose Levi-Civita connection preserves \(J\). The
condition \(\nabla J = 0\) imposes a strong constraint on the curvature of \(g\). In
particular if \((M, J, g)\) is a compact flat Kähler manifold, then it is isomor-
phic to a flat torus. Kähler manifolds can be seen as a subclass of almost
Hermitian manifolds. An almost Hermitian manifold is a smooth manifold
equipped with a not-necessarily integrable \(\text{U}(n)\)-structure \((g, J)\). Such a
manifold differs from the Kähler one by the non-vanishing of the covariant
derivative \(\nabla J\), so in the almost Hermitian case it is useful to study the
geometry related to connections with torsion preserving \(g\) and \(J\), in place
of the geometry of the Levi-Civita connection. This kind of connection is
usually called Hermitian (see e.g. [7]).

Any almost Hermitian manifold \((M, g, J)\) always admits a unique Hermit-
ian connection \(\tilde{\nabla}\) whose torsion has everywhere vanishing \((1, 1)\)-part. This
connection was introduced by Ehresmann and Libermann in [5] and for a
Kähler manifold coincides with the Levi-Civita connection. In the complex
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case, $\nabla$ coincides with the connection used by Chern in [2] and is called the Chern connection (in many papers it is also called the canonical Hermitian connection, the second canonical Hermitian connection or simply the canonical connection). Such a connection and its associated curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ plays a central role in almost Hermitian geometry. For instance, $\tilde{R}$ appears in the Sekigawa’s proof of the Goldberg conjecture for Einstein manifolds of non-negative scalar curvature (see [12]) and recently Tosatti, Weinkove and Yau proved a Donaldson-type conjecture under a positivity assumption on $\tilde{R}$ (see [13]). The role of $\nabla$ is also important in nearly Kähler geometry, since in this special case it has parallel and totally skew-symmetric torsion (see e.g. [6, 9] and the references therein).

We recall that a quasi-Kähler structure is an almost Hermitian structure whose Kähler form $\omega$ satisfies $\partial \omega = 0$. The aim of this paper is to study almost complex manifolds admitting a compatible quasi-Kähler metric for which the holonomy associated to its Chern connection is trivial. Such manifolds will be called quasi-Kähler Chern-flat. The point is that for quasi-Kähler manifolds, the Chern connection can be described by a very simple formula involving only the Levi-Civita connection and the almost complex structure.

The canonical example of a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifold is the Iwasawa manifold $M$ equipped with the almost Hermitian structure associated to the almost complex structure $J_3$ defined in [1, p.151]. The structure $J_3$ is the unique invariant almost complex structure on $M$ such that its associated fundamental form $\omega_3$ with respect to a canonical metric of $M$ is quasi-Kähler and not-symplectic. The pair $(M, J_3)$ is a compact almost complex manifold obtained as a quotient of an almost complex 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra by a lattice. We prove that all compact quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds have this structure.

**Theorem 1.1.** A compact almost complex manifold $(M, J)$ is quasi-Kähler Chern-flat if and only if it is isomorphic to a 2-step nilpotent nilmanifold equipped with a left-invariant almost complex structure whose almost complex Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, J)$ satisfies

$$[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}] = 0, \quad [\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^{0,1},$$

being $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ the splitting of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ in terms of the eigenspaces of $J$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies upon a theorem of Palais, which can be found in [10]. In view of Theorem 1.1, the problem of classifying quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds reduces to that of classifying 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras equipped with an almost complex structure satisfying (1.1). It is rather natural to call such Lie algebras quasi-Kähler Chern flat. In section (4.1) we show that any $n$-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra has a naturally corresponding $2n$-dimensional quasi-Kähler Chern flat Lie algebra. For
instance, the Lie algebra associated to the Iwasawa manifold equipped with the almost complex structure $J_3$ is the quasi-Kähler Chern flat Lie algebra associated to the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group. In section (4.2) we classify the 8-dimensional quasi-Kähler Chern Lie algebras (the 6-dimensional case was recently carried out by the authors in [4]) and in section (4.3) we describe all the quasi-Kähler Chern flat Lie algebras which have center of complex dimension equal to 1. At the end of section 4, we determine the vector space of the infinitesimal deformations of quasi-Kähler Chern flat Lie algebras (see section 4.4).

In the last part of the paper we study the problem of taming an almost complex structure admitting a compatible quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metric with a symplectic form. This problem arises from a conjecture of Donaldson and from the work of Tosatti, Weinkove and Yau (see [3, 13]). We prove the following

**Theorem 1.2.** Let $(M,\omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold. Assume that there exist an almost complex structure on $M$ tamed by $\omega$ and such that the pair $(\omega,J)$ induces a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metric $g$. Then $(M,J,\omega)$ is a Kähler torus.

This theorem was recently proved by the authors in the case of the Iwasawa manifold in [4].

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank Simon Salamon for useful conversations, suggestions and remarks. They are also grateful to Adrián Andrada for useful remarks.

**Notation.** When a coframe $\{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\}$ is given we will denote the $r$-form $\alpha_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_{i_r}$ by $\alpha_{i_1,\ldots,i_r}$ and in the indicial expressions the summation sign over repeated indeces is omitted.

2. Preliminaries and first results

Let $(M,J)$ be an almost complex manifold. Then the complexified tangent bundle $T \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of $M$ splits in $T \otimes \mathbb{C} = T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$. Consequently the vector space $\Omega^p$ of the complex $p$-forms on $M$ splits as $\Omega^p = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} \Omega^{r,s}$ and the de Rham differential operator $d$ decomposes accordingly to this type decomposition of complex $p$-form as $d = A + \partial + \overline{\partial} + \overline{A}$. More precisely, in the almost complex context the de Rham operator satisfies

$$d (\Omega^{r,s}) \subset \Omega^{r+2,s-1} \bigoplus \Omega^{r+1,s} \bigoplus \Omega^{r,s+1} \bigoplus \Omega^{r-1,s+2}$$

where the operator $A: \Omega^{r,s} \to \Omega^{r+2,s-1}$ is defined as the projection of $d$ onto $\Omega^{r+2,s-1}$. It turns out that $A$ is a tensor which vanishes if and only $J$ is a genuine complex structure, i.e. $J$ is integrable.
Let us fix now an almost Hermitian metric \( g \) on \((M, J)\). It’s well known that there exists a unique connection \( \tilde{\nabla} \) on \( M \) satisfying the following properties

\[
\tilde{\nabla} g = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla} J = 0, \quad \text{Tor}^{1,1}(\tilde{\nabla}) = 0,
\]

where \( \text{Tor}^{1,1}(\tilde{\nabla}) \) denotes the \((1, 1)\)-part of the torsion of \( \tilde{\nabla} \). The connection \( \tilde{\nabla} \) is usually called the \textit{canonical Hermitian connection} or the \textit{Chern connection} of \( g \). The aim of this paper is to study almost complex manifolds admitting an almost Hermitian metric having the holonomy of the Chern connection trivial. We will adopt the following conventionally definitions:

**Definition 2.1.** An almost Hermitian metric having trivial Chern holonomy will be called in this paper a \textit{Chern-flat metric}.

We will refer to an almost complex manifold admitting an almost Hermitian metric with trivial Chern holonomy as a \textit{Chern-flat manifold}.

As a first result on Chern-flat manifolds we have the following

**Proposition 2.2.** An almost complex manifold \((M, J)\) is Chern-flat if and only if there exists a global \((1, 0)\)-frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\) on \(M\) such that

\[
[Z_i, Z_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

**Proof.** Assume that there exists a \(J\)-compatible almost Hermitian metric \( g \) having the holonomy of the Chern connection trivial. Then there exists a global unitary frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\) on \(M\) such that

\[
\tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

Since \( \text{Tor}^{1,1}(\tilde{\nabla}) = 0 \), then we get

\[
0 = \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j - \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i - [Z_i, Z_j] = [Z_i, Z_j], \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

On the other hand assume that there exists a global \((1, 0)\)-frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\) such that \([Z_i, Z_j] = 0\) and let \( g \) be the metric

\[
g = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_i^*,
\]

\(\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\}\) being the dual frame of \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\). Then we have

\[
0 = \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j - \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i - [Z_i, Z_j] = \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j - \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, n,
\]

which implies \( \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = 0 \) since \( \tilde{\nabla} \) preserves \( J \). Furthermore, since the frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\) is unitary with respect to \( g \), we get

\[
g(\tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j, Z_k) = Z_i g(Z_j, Z_k) - g(Z_j, \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_k) = 0,
\]

which implies \( \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = 0 \), for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \). Hence there exists a global frame of type \((1, 0)\) on \(M\) which is preserved by \( \tilde{\nabla} \) and this is equivalent to requiring \( \text{Hol}(\tilde{\nabla}) = 0 \). \( \square \)
2.1. **Left-invariant Chern-flat structures on Lie groups.** Let $G$ be a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant almost complex structure $J$. Then $J$ induces an almost complex structure, which we denote with the same letter, on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated to $G$. By an almost complex structure on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ we just mean an endomorphism $J$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $J^2 = -I$. Such an endomorphism has no relation with the bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$. Anyway $J$ allows us to split the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g}^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$. The space $\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ can be clearly identified with the space of left-invariant vector fields of type $(1,0)$ on the Lie group $(G,J)$. In view of Proposition 2.2, the existence of left-invariant almost Hermitian Chern-flat metrics on $(G,J)$ can be characterized in terms of $(\mathfrak{g},J)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $(G,J)$ be a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant almost complex structure. If the almost complex Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},J)$ associated to $(G,J)$ satisfies

$$[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}] = 0,$$

then every left-invariant almost Hermitian metric $g$ on $(G,J)$ is Chern-flat.

**Proof.** Let $g$ be an arbitrary left-invariant almost Hermitian metric on $(G,J)$ and let $\nabla$ be the Chern connection of $g$. Since $g$ is left-invariant, then we can find a left-invariant unitary frame $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$ on $(G,J)$. Again using $\text{Tor}^{1,1}(\nabla) = 0$ and $\nabla g = 0$ we get that $\nabla_i Z_j = \nabla_i Z_j$ for every $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence $\text{Hol}(\nabla) = 0$. □

Proposition 2.3 justifies the following

**Definition 2.4.** An almost complex Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},J)$ is called Chern-flat if it satisfies $[\mathfrak{g}^{1,0}, \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}] = 0$.

The condition to be Chern-flat can be also characterized as follows:

**Proposition 2.5.** An almost complex Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},J)$ is Chern-flat if and only if $J$ satisfies $[JX,Y] = [X,JY]$ for every $X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 2.5 has the following immediate consequence

**Corollary 2.6.** Let $(\mathfrak{g},J)$ be a Chern-flat Lie algebra, then the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ is $J$-invariant.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be an arbitrary vector belonging to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we have $[JX,Y] = [X,JY] = 0$. Hence $JX$ belongs to the center of $\mathfrak{g}$. □

3. **Quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds and proof of Theorem 1.1**

In this section we introduce quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds and we prove Theorem 1.1.
We recall that an almost Hermitian metric $g$ on an almost complex manifold $(M, J)$ is called quasi-Kähler if the Kähler 2-form $\omega_g(\cdot, \cdot) := g(J \cdot, \cdot)$ associated to $(g, J)$ is $\bar{\partial}$-closed. In this case the Chern connection of $g$ is simply the connection described by the formula
\[ \tilde{\nabla} = \nabla - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla J , \]
where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to $g$ (see for instance [7]).

**Definition 3.1.** In this paper we refer to an almost complex manifold admitting a compatible almost Hermitian metric which is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat as a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifold.

The canonical example of a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifold is the Iwasawa manifold equipped with the almost complex structure $J_3$ defined in [1, p. 151]. This almost complex manifold is defined as follows:

Let $G$ be the 3-dimensional complex Heisenberg group
\[ G := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : z_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, 3 \right\} \]
and let $M$ be the compact manifold $M = G/\Gamma$, where $\Gamma$ is the co-compact lattice of $G$ formed by the matrices with integral entries. Then $M$ is a 2-step nilpotent nilmanifold usually called the Iwasawa manifold. This manifold admits a global frame $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_6\}$ satisfying the following structure equations
\[ [X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_4, X_5] = -X_3 \quad [X_2, X_4] = X_6, \quad [X_5, X_1] = X_6. \]

The structure $J_3$ can be defined as the almost complex structure
\[ J_3 X_1 = X_4, \quad J_3 X_2 = X_5, \quad J_3 X_3 = X_6, \quad J_3 X_4 = -X_1, \quad J_3 X_5 = -X_2, \quad J_3 X_6 = -X_3. \]

Such an almost complex structure induces the $(1, 0)$-frame
\[ Z_1 = X_1 - i X_4, \quad Z_2 = X_2 - i X_5, \quad Z_3 = X_3 - i X_6. \]

Clearly
\[ [Z_1, Z_2] = 2 Z_3, \quad [Z_7, Z_7] = 2 Z_3. \]

Let $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \zeta_3\}$ be the coframe associated to $\{Z_1, Z_2, Z_3\}$. Then it easy to show that the canonical metric $g = \zeta_1 \circ \zeta_1 + \zeta_2 \circ \zeta_2 + \zeta_3 \circ \zeta_3$ is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat with respect to $J_3$.

**Remark 3.2.** The notation used in this paper is slightly different from the one taken into account in [1], where the Lie algebra associated to the complex Heisenberg group is described using the following structure equations
\[ [e_1, e_3] = -e_5, \quad [e_2, e_4] = e_5, \quad [e_1, e_4] = -e_6, \quad [e_2, e_3] = -e_6. \]
The following relations describe an explicit isomorphism between our frame and the one taken into account in [1]

\[ X_1 \leftrightarrow e_1, \quad X_4 \leftrightarrow -e_2, \]
\[ X_2 \leftrightarrow e_4, \quad X_5 \leftrightarrow e_3, \]
\[ X_3 \leftrightarrow e_5, \quad X_6 \leftrightarrow e_6. \]

With respect to the frame \{e_i\}, the fundamental 2-form \( \omega_3 \) associated to the pair \((g,J_3)\) is

\[ \omega_3 = -e^{12} - e^{34} + e^{56}, \]

where \( e^{ij} \) means \( e^i \wedge e^j \). In view of [1] the almost complex structure \( J_3 \) is the unique almost complex structure on the Iwasawa manifold which is quasi-Kähler with respect to the metric \( g_3 \) and it is invariant under the natural action of \( T^3 \) on the space of the \( g_3 \)-compatible almost Hermitian structures on \( M \).

The Iwasawa manifold is an example of a compact 2-step nilpotent nilmanifold equipped with a left-invariant almost complex structure which is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat. A \textit{2-step nilpotent nilmanifold} is by definition a homogeneous space which is obtained by a quotient of a 2-step nilpotent Lie group \( G \) with a discrete subgroup \( \Gamma \). Any left-invariant almost complex structure \( J \) on a nilmanifold induces an almost complex structure \( J \) on the Lie algebra \( g \) associated to \( G \).

Now we prove Theorem 1.1:

\textit{Proof of Theorem 1.1.} Let \((M,J)\) be a compact almost complex manifold and assume that there exists a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metric \( g \) compatible with \( J \) on \( M \). Then we can find a global unitary frame on \( M \) \( \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\} \) satisfying

\[ \tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i = 0 \]

for every \( i, j = 1, \ldots, n \), where \( \tilde{\nabla} \) denotes the Chern connection of \( g \). Such a condition reads in terms of brackets as

\[ [Z_i, Z_j] = 0, \quad [Z_i, Z_j] \in \Gamma(T^{0,1}M). \]

We can write \([Z_i, Z_j] = \sum_{r=1}^n c_{ij}^r Z_r\). The Jacobi identity implies

\[ 0 = \mathcal{G}([Z_i, Z_j], Z_k) = [[Z_i, Z_j], Z_k] = [c_{ij}^r Z_r, Z_k] \]
\[ = c_{ij}^r [Z_r, Z_k] - Z_k(c_{ij}^r)Z_r = c_{ij}^r c_{r}^l Z_l - Z_k(c_{ij}^r)Z_r, \quad i, j, k = 1, \ldots, n, \]

where the symbol \( \mathcal{G} \) denotes the cyclic sum. Then

\[ c_{ij}^r c_{r}^l = 0, \quad Z_k(c_{ij}^r) = 0. \]

Thus, the functions \( c_{ij}^r \)'s are holomorphic maps on \( M \). Since \( M \) is compact, the \( c_{ij}^r \)'s are constant. In view of [10], the universal cover of \( M \) inherits a structure of the Lie group and \( M \) has a natural structure of homogeneous
space obtained as a quotient of a Lie group with a lattice. Since the finite dimensional Lie algebra generated by the fields \( \{ Z_1, \ldots, Z_n \} \) is 2-step nilpotent, we get that \( M \) is indeed a 2-step nilpotent nilmanifold. The almost complex structure \( J \) is clearly left-invariant on \( M \). Note that the almost complex Lie algebra associated to the universal cover of \( M \) satisfies conditions (1.1).

On the other hand assume that \( M = G/\Gamma \) is a 2-step nilpotent nilmanifold equipped with a left-invariant almost complex structure \( J \) such that the almost complex Lie algebra \((\mathfrak{g},J)\) associated to \((G,J)\) satisfies (1.1). Fix an arbitrary \((1,0)\)-coframe \( \{ \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n \} \) on \((\mathfrak{g},J)\) and let
\[
g := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_i^*.
\]
Then \( g \) induces an almost Hermitian metric on \((M,J)\). The fundamental form of \( g \) is \( \omega_g = i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \zeta_r \wedge \zeta_r^* \). Since conditions (1.1) read in terms of a left-invariant coframe as
\[
\partial \zeta_r = \overline{\partial} \zeta_r = 0,
\]
then
\[
\overline{\partial} \omega_g = \sum_{r=1}^{n} \left( \overline{\partial} (\zeta_r) \wedge \zeta_r + \overline{\partial} (\zeta_r^*) \wedge \zeta_r \right) = 0
\]
and \( g \) is a quasi-Kähler metric. Finally Proposition 2.3 implies that \( g \) is Chern-flat, as required. □

**Remark 3.3.** The condition \( \text{Hol}(\overline{\nabla}) = 0 \) is quite strong. For instance we have the following results:

- in almost Kähler manifolds and in nearly Kähler manifolds condition \( \text{Hol}(\overline{\nabla}) = 0 \) implies the integrability (see [4, 15]);
- in dimension 4 there are no strictly quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metrics (see [4]).

4. **Quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebras**

In view of Theorem 1.1, the problem of classifying quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifolds reduces to that of classifying 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras equipped with an almost complex structure satisfying (1.1). It is quite natural to introduce the following definition:

**Definition 4.1.** An almost complex Lie algebra \((\mathfrak{g},J)\) satisfying equations (1.1) is said to be quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat.

The following easy-prove Proposition will be useful

**Proposition 4.2.** Let \((\mathfrak{g},J)\) be an almost complex Lie algebra. The following facts are equivalent:

1. \((\mathfrak{g},J)\) is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat;
2. \( \partial \Lambda^1.0 \mathfrak{g}^* = \overline{\partial} \Lambda^1.0 \mathfrak{g}^* = 0; \)
3. the almost complex structure $J$ satisfies

$$J[X, Y] = -[JX, Y] = -[X, JY]$$

for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The following result can be viewed as a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let $(M, J)$ be a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifold. Then every left-invariant almost Hermitian metric on $(M, J)$ is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat.

**Proof.** Let $g$ be a left-invariant almost Hermitian metric on $(M, J)$, then we can find a left-invariant $(1,0)$-coframe $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\}$ with respect to which $g$ writes as $g = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i \otimes \zeta_i$. Now using (1.1) it easy to see that the frame $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$ dual to $\{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_n\}$ satisfies

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i Z_j = \tilde{\nabla}_j Z_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

This implies that every left-invariant almost Hermitian metric $g$ on $(M, J)$ is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat. □

### 4.1. Examples of quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebras.

In this subsection we show that it is possible to construct a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_C$ starting from an arbitrary 2-step nilpotent real Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}_\mathbb{R}\{X, Y, Z\}$ be the 3-dimensional real Heisenberg Lie algebra, i.e. $\mathfrak{h}$ is the 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with bracket $[X, Y] = Z$. Let $(\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}, J_0)$ be its associated quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$ is the Lie algebra of the Iwasawa manifold described in Section 3 equipped with the almost complex structure $J_3$. An explicit isomorphism between the two Lie algebras can be described as follows:

$$X_1 \equiv X \otimes 1, \quad X_4 \equiv X \otimes i,$$

$$X_2 \equiv Y \otimes 1, \quad X_5 \equiv Y \otimes i,$$

$$X_3 \equiv Z \otimes 1, \quad X_6 \equiv Z \otimes i.$$
4.2. Quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebras in low dimension. In this subsection we take into account quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebras in low dimension. In complex dimension 2 there exists only the abelian case. In view of [4] in complex dimension 3 there are the abelian Lie algebra and the Lie algebra of the complex Heisenberg group. The 4-dimensional case is described by the following

**Theorem 4.5.** Let \((\mathfrak{g}, J)\) be a non-complex quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebra of complex dimension 4. Then there exists a \((1,0)\)-frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_4\}\) on \(\mathfrak{g}\) such that

\[
[Z_1, Z_2] = Z_3
\]

and the other brackets involving the vectors of the frame vanish.

**Proof.** Let \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_4\}\) be an arbitrary complex frame of type \((1,0)\) on \(\mathfrak{g}\). Since \(J\) is not integrable, there exists at least a bracket involving two vectors of the frame different from zero. We may assume that \([Z_1, Z_2] \neq 0\) and we can write \([Z_1, Z_2] = \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i2}Z_i\). The Jacobi identity implies that it is not possible that \([Z_1, Z_2] \in \text{Span}_C\{Z_1, Z_2\}\). Indeed if \([Z_1, Z_2] = c_{12}^1Z_1 + c_{12}^2Z_2\), then

\[
[[Z_1, Z_2], Z_1] = 0, \quad [[Z_1, Z_2], Z_2] = 0
\]

imply \([Z_1, Z_2] = 0\) which is a contradiction. So we can assume that \(c_{12}^1 \neq 0\). Replacing \(Z_3\) with \(Z_3 = \frac{1}{c_{12}^1}(Z_3 - \sum_{i \neq 3} c_{i2}^1 Z_i)\), we obtain

\[
[Z_1, Z_2] = Z_3.
\]

Now we observe that the Jacobi identity implies that the vector \(Z_3\) belongs to the center of \(\mathfrak{g}\) since

\[
0 = [[Z_1, Z_2], Z_k] = [Z_3, Z_k], \quad k = 1, \ldots, 4.
\]

The last step consists to show that we may assume \([Z_1, Z_4] = [Z_2, Z_4] = 0\). Setting \([Z_1, Z_4] = c_{14}^3Z_3\), we have

\[
0 = [[Z_1, Z_4], Z_3] = -c_{14}^3Z_3 - c_{14}^3[Z_1, Z_3],
\]

\[
0 = [[Z_2, Z_4], Z_3] = c_{14}^T Z_3 - c_{24}^T[Z_2, Z_3],
\]

i.e.

\[
c_{14}^3 Z_3 = -c_{14}^3[Z_1, Z_3], \quad c_{14}^T Z_3 = c_{24}^T[Z_2, Z_3]
\]

which imply \([Z_1, Z_4] = c_{14}^3 Z_3\), \([Z_2, Z_4] = c_{24}^T Z_3\). Hence if \([Z_1, Z_4] \neq 0\), then it is necessary to be \(c_{14}^3 \neq 0\). So in the case \([Z_1, Z_4] \neq 0\) if we replace \(Z_4\) with \(\frac{1}{c_{14}^3}Z_4 - Z_3\) we force \([Z_1, Z_4]\) to be a multiple of \(Z_3\) and the Jacobi identity implies that \([Z_1, Z_4] = 0\). Analogously if \([Z_2, Z_4] \neq 0\), then one replaces \(Z_4\) with \(\frac{1}{c_{24}^T}Z_4 - Z_3\) obtaining \([Z_1, Z_4] = [Z_2, Z_4] = 0\). □
In view of this last theorem, any Chern-flat quasi-Kähler Lie algebra of complex dimension 4 is reducible. In complex dimension 5 things work differently: for instance the almost complex Lie algebra \((g, J)\) admitting a \((1, 0)\)-frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_5\}\) satisfying the following structure equations
\[
[Z_1, Z_2] = Z_3, \quad [Z_2, Z_4] = Z_5
\]
gives rise to an example of a irreducible quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebra.

4.3. Chern-flat Lie algebras with small center. In this subsection we study quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebras having the center of complex dimension equal to one. Such a condition is admissible when the Lie algebra has complex dimension odd, only and in this case the space reduces to a standard model:

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \((g, J)\) be a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat Lie algebra having center \(\mathfrak{z}\) of complex dimension one. Then the complex dimension of \(g\) is odd. Moreover, there exists a \((1, 0)\)-frame \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}\) on \(g\) such that
\[
[Z_i, Z_j] = Z_{n}, \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \quad i < j.
\]
where \(Z_n\) is a generator of \(\mathfrak{z}^{(0,1)}\).

**Proof.** Since \((g, J)\) is Chern-flat, then the center of \(g\) is \(J\)-invariant. Let \(g = v \oplus \mathfrak{z}\) be a decomposition \(g\), where \(v\) is a \(J\)-invariant subspace of \(g\). Then \(g^{1,0}\) splits in \(g^{1,0} = v^{1,0} \oplus \mathfrak{z}^{1,0}\). The Lie bracket \([, ]\) gives rise to a non-degenerated skew-symmetric two form \(\omega\) on \(v^{1,0}\). Namely,
\[
[X, Y] := \omega(X, Y)A
\]
where \(X, Y \in v^{1,0}\) and \(A\) is a generator of \(\mathfrak{z}^{1,0}\). It is clear that \(\omega\) must be non-degenerated since otherwise \(\dim Cz > 1\). This imply that \(\dim Cz\) is even. Let \(\dim Cz = 2m\), then \(\dim Cg = 2m + 1\). Now we consider the following skew-symmetric 2-form on \(C^{2k}\):
\[
\Omega_k = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2k} dz_i \wedge dz_j
\]
Notice that
\[
\Omega_k = \Omega_{k-1} + \alpha \wedge \beta + dz_{2k-1} \wedge dz_{2k},
\]
where \(\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 2k-2} dz_i\) and \(\beta = dz_{2k-1} + dz_{2k}\). Then
\[
\Omega_k^k = \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega}_{k\text{-times}} = \Omega_{k-1}^k \wedge dz_{2k-1} \wedge dz_{2k}.
\]
It follows that \(\Omega_k^k = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{2k} dz_i\). So \(\Omega_k\) is not degenerated for all \(k \in \mathbb{N}\). Since any two non-degenerated skew-symmetric 2-forms on \(C^{2k}\) are equivalent, we get that there exists a complex basis \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_{2k}\}\) of \(v^{1,0}\) such that:
\[
\omega = \sum_{i < j} Z_i \wedge Z_j.
\]
Hence \(\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n-1}, Z_n = A\}\) is the desired \((1, 0)\)-frame. \(\square\)
4.4. Deformations of quasi-Kähler Chern-flat structures on Lie algebras. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra and let $\mathcal{M}$ be the moduli space of Chern-flat quasi-Kähler almost complex structures on $\mathfrak{g}$. The goal of this section is to determine the virtual tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ at an arbitrary $[J] \in \mathcal{M}$. Such a space is defined as the quotient of all infinitesimal deformations of $J$ by the subspace of the deformations obtained by Lie derivations.

Let $\{J_t\}_{t \in (-\delta, \delta)}$ a family of left-invariant Chern-flat quasi-Kähler almost complex structures on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $J_0 = J$ and let $L := \frac{d}{dt}J_t|_{t=0}$. Since for any $t$ we have

$$J_t^2 = -I, \quad J_t[X, Y] = -[J_tX, Y] = -[X, J_tY],$$

then $L$ satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad LJ = -JL, \quad L[X, Y] = -[LX, Y] = -[X, LY]$$
for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, if $\phi_t$ is a smooth family of endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\phi_tJ\phi_t^{-1}|_{t=0} = \mathcal{L}_XJ,$$

being $\mathcal{L}$ the Lie derivative and $X$ the infinitesimal vector associated to the path $\phi_t$. We have

$$(\mathcal{L}_XJ)Y = [X, JY] - J[X, Y] = -2J[X, Y],$$
for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Hence the virtual tangent space to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ at an arbitrary point $[J]$ is defined by

$$T_{[J]}\mathcal{M} = \left\{ L \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid LJ = -JL, \quad L[X, Y] = -[LX, Y] = -[X, LY] \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g} \right\}.$$ 

Note that conditions (4.1) imply that if $\mathfrak{z}$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{g}$, then

$$L([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0, \quad L(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}.$$

Indeed, if $Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$ then $L(Z) \in \mathfrak{g}^{0,1}$ and if $Z_1, Z_2$ are vectors of type $(1, 0)$ one has

$$L[Z_1, Z_2] = [L(Z_1), Z_2] = 0$$
and if $X \in \mathfrak{z}$, then

$$[L(X), Y] = -L[X, Y] = 0$$
for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

We have the following

**Proposition 4.7.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{z} = 1$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is discrete.

**Proof.** Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\mathfrak{z} = 1$. We show that for every quasi-Kähler Chern-flat almost complex structure $J$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ one has $T_{[J]}\mathcal{M} = 0$. Let $L$ be an endomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying (4.1); then it has to be

$$L(Z) = l(Z)\overline{A}, \quad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{g}^{1,0}$$
for some \( l \in g^\ast \), where \( A \) is a fixed generator of \( \mathfrak{z}^{1,0} \). Since \( \mathfrak{z} \) is a \( J \)-invariant subspace of \( g \), we can split \( g = v \oplus \mathfrak{z} \), being \( v \) a \( J \)-invariant complement of \( \mathfrak{z} \). The form \( l \) can be viewed as a 1-form on \( v \). Since the bracket of \( g \) can be identified with a non-degenerate 2-form \( \omega \) on \( v \) by the relation \([Z_1, Z_2] = \omega(Z_1, Z_2) A \), then there exists a vector \( X_L \) of \( g \) such that \( l(Y) A = [X_L, Y] \) for every \( Y \in g \). That easily implies that \( T_{J^I} M \) is trivial, as required. \( \square \)

Note that this last Proposition accords to Theorem 4.6.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we consider almost complex structures tamed by a symplectic form. We recall that a symplectic form \( \omega \) on a manifold \( M \) tames an almost complex \( J \) if \( \omega_x(v, J_x v) \geq 0 \) for every \( x \in M \), \( v \in T_x M \) and \( \omega_x(v, J_x v) = 0 \) if and only if \( v = 0 \).

In [4] we proved that the almost complex structure \( J_3 \) on the Iwasawa manifold can not be tamed by a symplectic form such that the pair \((\omega, J)\) induces a quasi-Kähler Chern-flat metric. Theorem 1.2 is the analogue of this result in an arbitrary quasi-Kähler Chern-flat manifold. In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we need to work explicitly with the operator \( A \). Here we recall that such operator is a linear map
\[
A : \Omega^{r,s} \rightarrow \Omega^{r+2,s-1}
\]
which is defined by composing the de Rham operator
\[
d : \Omega^{r,s} \rightarrow \Omega^{r+2,s-1} \bigoplus \Omega^{r+1,s} \bigoplus \Omega^{r,s+1} \bigoplus \Omega^{r-1,s+2}
\]
with the projection onto \( \Omega^{r+2,s-1} \). If \( \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\} \) is a local \((1,0)\)-frame with associated coframe \( \{\zeta^1, \ldots, \zeta^n\} \), then
\[
A(\zeta^I)(Z_l, Z_k) = -\zeta^I([Z_l, Z_k])
\]
and we can write
\[
A\zeta^I = -\sum_{l,k=1}^{n} c_{lk}^I \zeta^l \wedge \zeta^k,
\]
where
\[
[Z_r, Z_k] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{rk}^i Z_i + \sum_{l=1}^{n} c_{rk}^l Z_l.
\]
Theorem 1.2 can be viewed as a consequence of the following

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \((M, J)\) be a compact Chern-flat quasi-Kähler manifold and let \( \beta \in \Omega^{2,0} \) be a \((2,0)\)-form satisfying
\[
\bar{\partial} \beta + A \bar{\beta} = 0,
\]
then \( \beta \) is closed, i.e.
\[
d \beta = 0.
\]
Proof. In view of Theorem 1.1, \( J \) induces a structure of nilmanifold on \( M \). Let \( G \) be the universal cover of \( M \) and let \((\mathfrak{g}, J)\) be the almost complex Lie algebra associated to \( G \). We can find a \((1,0)\)-frame \( \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_l, W_1, \ldots, W_m\} \) on \( \mathfrak{g} \) such that \( \{W_1, \ldots, W_m, W_T, \ldots, W_{T'}\} \) is a frame of the complexified of the center of \( \mathfrak{g} \). With respect to this frame we have

\[
[Z_i, Z_j] = \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{ij}^k W_k, \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, l.
\]

Let \( \{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_l, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m\} \) be the coframe dual to \( \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_l, W_1, \ldots, W_m\} \); then

\[
d\zeta_j = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l; \quad d\eta_i = c_{ij}^k \zeta_k, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.
\]

Identifying \( \beta \) with its pull-back on the universal cover of \( M \), we can write

\[
\beta = a_{ij} \zeta_i \wedge \zeta_j + b_{ij} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + d_{ij} \eta_j.
\]

and

\[
\overline{\beta} = \overline{a_{ij}} \wedge \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + \overline{b_{ij}} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + \overline{d_{ij}} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j.
\]

where \( a_{ij}, b_{ij}, d_{ij} \) are smooth maps on \( M \). Since the coframe \( \{\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_l, \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_m\} \) is \((\partial + \partial)\)-closed, we have

\[
\partial \beta = \partial (a_{ij}) \wedge \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + \partial (b_{ij}) \wedge \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + \partial (d_{ij}) \wedge \eta_j.
\]

Furthermore

\[
\overline{A\beta} = \overline{a_{ij}} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j \wedge \zeta_j + 2d_{ij} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + (\overline{a_{ij}} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + 2d_{ij} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j) \wedge \zeta_i.
\]

Hence equation \( \overline{\partial} \beta + \overline{A\beta} = 0 \) reads in terms of \( a, b, d \)'s as

\[
\begin{cases}
\overline{\partial}(a_{ij}) = \overline{b_{ij}} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j + 2d_{ij} \zeta_i \wedge \eta_j \\
\overline{\partial}(b_{ij}) = \overline{\partial}(d_{ij}) = 0
\end{cases}
\]

Since \( M \) is compact the \( b_{ij} \)'s and the \( d_{ij} \)'s have to be constant on \( M \). Moreover the \( a_{ij} \)'s satisfies

\[
\partial \overline{\partial}(a_{ij}) = 0.
\]

Again by the compactness of \( M \) we get the \( a_{ij} \)'s are constant. Hence \( \beta \) is a left-invariant form. Consequently it satisfies

\[
\partial \beta = \overline{\partial} \beta = 0.
\]

Finally equation \( \overline{\partial} \beta + \overline{A\beta} = 0 \) implies \( A\beta = 0 \). Hence \( \beta \) is a closed form on \( M \). \( \square \)

Now we can prove Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since by hypothesis the metric \( g \) induced on \( M \) by the pair \( (\omega, J) \) is quasi-Kähler and Chern-flat, then \( J \) induces a structure of nilmanifold on \( M \). Let \((\mathfrak{g}, J)\) be the Lie algebra associated to \((M, J)\) and let \( \omega_g \) be the Kähler form of \((g, J)\). Then it has to be

\[
\omega = \omega_g + \beta + \overline{\beta}.
\]
being \( \beta \) a \((2,0)\)-form on \( M \). Since \( \omega_g \) is \( \overline{\partial} \)-closed, condition on \( \omega \) to be closed in terms of \( \omega_g \) and \( \beta \) reads as

\[
\begin{cases}
A \omega_g + \partial \beta = 0 \\
\overline{\partial} \beta + A \overline{\beta} = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In view of Lemma 5.1 such equations imply \( d \omega_g = 0 \). There follows that \( g \) is an almost-Kähler metric on \((M,J)\). Since \( g \) is Chern-flat, then \( J \) is integrable (see [4]). Hence \( g \) is a Kähler metric and \( M \) is a torus. \( \square \)
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