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Abstract—This paper discusses a new approach to QoS routing, introducing the notion of algorithm resilience (i.e., its capability to adapt to network and load modifications) as performance index of the algorithm itself, for a given network topology, load and traffic pattern.

The new approach can be summarized as Network Graph Reduction, i.e., a modification of the graph describing the network before the routing path is computed, in order to exclude from the path selection over-congested portions of the network. This solution leads to a class of two-step routing algorithms, where both steps are simple, hence allowing efficient implementation.

Simulation experiments, run on randomly-generated topologies and traffic patterns, show that these routing algorithms outperform both the standard Minimum Hop algorithm and those QoS-based algorithms based on the same metrics but not using the notion of Network Graph Reduction.

I. INTRODUCTION

Dynamic, QoS-based routing received considerable attention in recent years [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], especially considering the difficulty in predicting Internet traffic patterns and the consequent impossibility to properly plan and dimension the network.

The core of any QoS-based routing algorithm is a network-status-dependent cost function that is used to find the optimal (or at least a suitable) route across the network by solving an optimization problem. In particular, given the best-effort nature of the current Internet where elastic data flows are in the majority, the most commonly used metric aims at the maximization of either network utilization or user throughput.

Several proposals introduced cost functions, algorithms and protocols that give them advantages over traditional, topology-based algorithms such as the Minimum Hop (MH)1 presently used in TCP/IP networks [8], [9]. For example in [1], the authors introduce the bottleneck bandwidth as a metric and then define the maximization of user throughput as optimization target. Similarly, in [2], [3] the authors study how to improve the throughput of high-bandwidth traffic, such as large file transfers, in a network where resources are fairly shared among connections. Their findings, obtained by simulation, show that, at high loads, a Minimum-Hop routing algorithm maximizes network and user performance; for low-congested networks, instead, they propose an algorithm, named Minimum Distance (MD) routing, offering better performance. However they are unable to provide an algorithm that merges the two behaviors.

Researchers focused their attention upon other aspects of QoS routing, namely protocol overhead [5], [7], implementation issues [4], [6], impact of update policies [5].

A major drawback, however, affects all QoS-based routing algorithms. The cost function at the core of the algorithms is used to find portions of the network where resources are underutilized and exploits them to the benefit of connections that would otherwise cross a congested portion of the network. In doing so, as shown in [11] for the case of simple alternate routing, the algorithm ends up consuming more resources than Minimum Hop routing does, hence, in case of heavy congestion, QoS-based routing wastes resources and performs poorly compared with MH. A formal proof of this observation can be found in [10]. The extention of this property to TCP/IP networks is not straightforward, since flows often exhibit a greedy, elastic behavior, using up the available bandwidth. Minimum Hop routing has been already conjectured to be asymptotically optimal as the load $\rho$ offered to the network tends to infinity, and many simulation results confirm this intuition [2], [3], [12], [15].

We stress at this point that the poor performance of QoS-based routing at high loads is not due to the sub-optimality of the used algorithms, but is rooted in the locality of the routing decision. Whenever a call is routed, the given cost function is minimized/maximized for the current state of the network, necessarily disregarding the future network evolution. Under heavy load, the overall network benefit does not coincide with the cost function of a single call, hence the minimization of the one does not lead to the maximization of the other.

In the light of the above discussion, the drawback of QoS routing in the Internet is clear: whatever is gained at low or medium network loads, it is paid for at high network loads. What is needed to solve this problem is a resilient algorithm that allows the migration of a QoS-based routing algorithm to

\footnote{In this paper we refer to the Minimum Hop path as a special case of the Shortest Path, in which all links have unitary costs.}
The optimality of A4H routing at high loads. The goal is obtained by reducing the graph that describes the network topology, and with uniform and non-uniform, time-varying traffic.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II provides a general description of the NGR algorithm, along with implementation issues. Section III presents the network and traffic models used in the simulation presented in Section IV, in which the performance of the NGR algorithm is compared to the one of classic QoS routing algorithms, both in simple network scenarios, and in more complex ones. Finally, conclusions and future work are discussed in Section V.

II. NGR ROUTING ALGORITHMS

Routing can be formalized as the problem of finding a suitable set of edges connecting two nodes in a directed graph. QoS routing relies on the definition of a suitable edge metric $w$, which depends on the networks status, and of a cost function $c(\cdot)$, which should be minimized/maximized, yielding the optimal route selection under specific network conditions. As discussed in the Introduction, however, all metrics and cost functions that try to maximize network utilization or/and user throughput suffer from the same drawback: as the network load increases ($\rho \rightarrow \infty$) their performance drops below that of a simple Minimum Hop algorithm. Finding metrics that let the path selection process converge to Minimum Hop routing as $\rho$ increases seems to be a hard problem. As shown in [15] the elastic nature of the Internet traffic just worsens the problem. The difficulty of finding a suitable metric lies in the fact that the goal of such a metric should change with the network load, making the problem not easily tackled by a standard minimization approach.

Indeed, we can look at the routing problem from a slightly modified perspective. Instead of trying to find adaptive metrics, the same metric that works well for light loads can be applied at high loads to a reduced graph that only contains un congested links, i.e., links whose load is under a given threshold. Since the reduced graph may not be connected, i.e., no path may exist from a source to a destination, the minimum-hop path should always be included as possible solution of the routing problem. Thus, as the offered load $\rho$ grows, all links become congested and the algorithm necessarily chooses the minimum-hop path. While the direct measure (or evaluation) of $\rho$ is a complex task, the identification of single congested links is extremely easy, so that, overall, the methodology is simple and its implementation straightforward.

This key idea leads to the definition of the Network Graph Reduction (NGR) strategy which can be applied to any existing QoS routing algorithms.

A. Formal Description

For the purpose of providing a formal description of the class of NGR algorithms in their most general form, we use a standard graph theory formalism. Thus, we refer to a generic network as a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, where $V$ is the set of vertices (nodes, in our case), and $E$ is the set of edges (links). A path $\pi(e_j, v_k)$ of length $n$ is defined as a sequence of $n$ distinct edges $e_i$ joining $v_j$ and $v_k$, where $v_j, v_k \in V$, $e_i \in E$, $\pi(e_j, v_k) = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$.

A set $P_G$ is defined as the set of all paths existing between any two distinct vertices of $G$, i.e., $P_G = \{\pi(v_j, v_k) | v_j, v_k \in V, v_j \neq v_k\}$. Each link is assigned a weight $w$ using any metric that combines topological, physical or traffic-related characteristics of that link. To each path $\pi$ a cost $c(\pi)$ is assigned using a combination of the weights of its links. Following this, an order relation $\prec$ in the set $P_G$ is established among paths, and we will refer to a path $\pi_i$ as “lighter than” $\pi_j$ if $\pi_i \prec \pi_j$ holds.

The NGR algorithm operates two subsequent alterations upon the path set $P_G$, and applies selection criteria on the resulting subset:

- **STEP 1:** the first alteration transforms the directed graph $G$ into $G' = (V, E')$, selecting only those links whose weight satisfies a Cut-off criterion $C$ (e.g., if $w$ represented the link capacity, “slower” links could be discarded from path selection if $w < w_M$, where $w_M$ is the smallest acceptable capacity); as a result, the path set $P_G$ is reduced to $P_{G'}$ after removing those paths containing cut-off links;
- **STEP 2:** let $P_{mh}$ be the set including one minimum-hop path from each source to each destination. In case more than one minimum-hop path exist from the same source to the same destination, one at random is selected. The second alteration transforms the path set $P_{G'}$ into $P_{G''} = P_{G'} \cup P_{mh}$; the new subset is built from i) the paths belonging to $P_{G'}$ and ii) the minimum-hop paths between any two nodes in $V$, even if their links were discarded in the first step.

Finally, the decision on how to route packets between a source and a destination is made by applying the order relation $\prec$ to the path set $P_{G''}$ and picking the path, joining source and destination, that turns out to be the lightest according to the cost metric defined by the QoS routing algorithm.

B. NGR-MD and NGR-WS algorithms

In a broad sense the NGR methodology can be coupled with any metric and cost function. In order to exemplify this property, we considered the application of this methodology to two algorithms already proposed in the literature, which were shown to offer good performance [1], [2]:

- **Widest-Shortest (WS):** for each source-destination pair, the algorithm determines all the paths with the minimum-hop count; if more than one such path exist, it breaks the tie by choosing the one with the largest available bandwidth [1];
Minimum-Distance (MD): for each source-destination pair, the path \( \pi \) is chosen which minimizes the sum on each link of the inverse of the equal bandwidth share [2].

To help the reader, we report a simple description of the above algorithms, using the notation introduced in this paper.

- **WS path weight computation**: a weight \( w_l \) associated to a generic link \( l \) is computed as
  \[
  w_l = b_l
  \]  
  where \( b_l \) is an estimate of the currently available bandwidth currently on link \( l \);
  The set of paths among which the path \( P_G \) is chosen is restricted to the minimum-hop paths. Then, the cost \( c(\pi_i) \) of path \( \pi_i \) is defined as follows:
  \[
  c(\pi_i) = \min_{l \in \pi_i} \{ w_l \};
  \]
  and the ordering relation is such that \( \pi_i < \pi_j \) if \( c(\pi_i) > c(\pi_j) \) (i.e., \( \pi_i \) is lighter than \( \pi_j \) if its available bandwidth is larger than \( \pi_j \)’s).

- **MD path weight computation**: a weight \( w_l \) associated to a generic link \( l \) is computed as
  \[
  w_l = \frac{n_l + 1}{C_l}
  \]
  where \( C_l \) is the link capacity and \( n_l \) is an estimate of the number of active flows currently routed over link \( l \).
  The cost \( c(\pi_i) \) of path \( \pi_i \) is then defined as follows:
  \[
  c(\pi_i) = \sum_{l \in \pi_i} w_l;
  \]
  and the ordering relation is such that \( \pi_i < \pi_j \) if \( c(\pi_i) < c(\pi_j) \).

To implement the NGR algorithms, we define the following

Cut-off criterion \( C \): a link is discarded if its available bandwidth is found to be below a given threshold \( W_t \).

We further combine this with either the MD or WS QoS selection defined, thus obtaining the NGR-MD and NGR-WS algorithms.

In the rest of the paper, we assume \( W_t = 0 \), i.e., if a link shows a 100% utilization, it is discarded by the Cut-off criterion.

**C. Implementation issues**

After defining the algorithms, we are interested in the viability of a hop-by-hop implementation. Unfortunately, the algorithms described above cannot guarantee consistent routing in case of straightforward hop-by-hop implementation, i.e., routing decisions taken at an upstream node are liable to be superseded by downstream nodes finding a locally-optimal alternative to the path chosen earlier by upstream nodes. The proof in case of the NGR-MD metric is by counter-example, and the one relative to the NGR-WS metric can be obtained similarly. Consider the simple directed graph in Figure 1, consisting of four links, \( a, b, c, d \), each labeled with its own weight, i.e., \( w_a = 10 \), \( w_b = 5 \), \( w_c = 2 \) and \( w_d = 2 \). Our aim is to route a packet from \( V_1 \) to \( V_4 \) according to the NGR-MD criterion, locally implemented at each node. For the sake of simplicity, we consider \( V_4 \) as the only destination, such that only path ending in \( V_4 \) are shown in \( P_G \).

Let us suppose that, of all links, only link \( a \), joining \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \), does not make the cut at STEP 1, being overloaded. As a consequence, the path set is \( P_G = \{ \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{d\} \} \).

STEP 2, however, reintroduces path \( \{a, b\} \) because it is the minimum-hop path from \( V_1 \) to \( V_4 \). Therefore, we have \( P_G = \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\} \). Since only one path joining \( V_1 \) and \( V_4 \) is available, such path is the natural choice to go from \( V_1 \) to \( V_4 \).

However, from \( V_2 \)'s standpoint, the ordering is such that path \( \{c, d\} \) is lighter than path \( \{b\} \). It follows that a packet reaching node \( V_2 \) will travel over links \( c \) and \( d \), and not over link \( b \), as was originally meant by \( V_1 \).

This could happen every time a node along the path follows the congested links, so that, from that node on, the destination can be reached on the path set \( P_G \) and not necessarily on the minimum-hop path only, i.e., \( \pi(v_1, v_4) \) is congested, while \( \pi(v_2, v_4) \) is not.

For consistent routing to be preserved, the forwarding procedure must be integrated so as to signal the routing decision taken at upstream nodes. This solution can be implemented using the route-pinning property of the MPLS technology [13], or a slight modification of the IP forwarding procedure.

If MPLS is used, each node can open an LSP (Label Switched Path) on the minimum-hop path toward each destination. Then, for each packet, the edge router selects whether to route it using an MPLS LSP, or using the classic hop-by-hop IP routing over the best path selected using the QoS routing algorithm. Potentially, a total number of \( N(N - 1) \) LSPs can be set up, where \( N \) is the number of routers in the domain. Another possibility is to always have an LSP from each source to each destination, that can either be routed through the QoS path, or through the minimum-hop path in case no available QoS path exists. This simplifies the forwarding procedure at each node, but requires a larger amount of MPLS signalling, because the QoS paths are more frequently updated than the minimum-hop paths.

If, on the other hand, standard IP forwarding is used, it is possible to have the edge router tag packets (i.e., by setting a bit in
the TOS field of an IPv4 packet header) when they are supposed to travel along a minimum-hop path. This solution prevents downstream nodes from choosing locally-optimal paths.

By adding the above mentioned tag, the algorithm is implementable using OSPF. Each node has to compute and maintain two routing tables, the first for the minimum-hop paths, the second for the QoS-based routing information. In more detail:
- routers should advertise QoS metrics for their outgoing links according to the selected algorithm, i.e., as computed by (2) or by (3);
- upon advertising them, routers should mark links that fail the cut-off criterion;
- when compiling their routing table, routers should run the MH algorithm with the usual 30-minute periodicity, using unitary metrics for each link in the complete network graph; in this way, the minimum-hop paths towards any destination are identified;
- with smaller periodicity, they should run the QoS algorithm, thus identifying the lightest path toward any destination;
- for each destination, a router should store next-hop entries associated to minimum-hop and to lightest paths.

Upon reception of a packet, a router should inspect its destination address and TOS field; if a match is found, the router will forward the packet toward the next hop on the lightest path, unless the packet is tagged, in which case the next hop in the minimum-hop path is chosen.

As a final remark, it should be noted that the asymptotical computational complexity of the NGR algorithms is the same as the complexity of the QoS algorithms without graph reduction.

III. EVALUATING ROUTING ALGORITHMS IN THE INTERNET

The performance evaluation of routing algorithms is typically based on simulations. In this paper, we adopt a traffic model and performance evaluation tool, recently introduced in [14], [15], that matches the characteristics and the dynamics of the Internet better than traditional connection-based simulation tools. Additional information about the tool itself, based on the ANCLES simulator, can be found in [14], [15], [16].

TCP/IP networks do not provide for connection admission control (CAC), hence there is no limitation on the number of concurrent connections on a given path. The dominant Internet applications (namely, all data-based applications) are elastic, adapting the transmission speed to the available resources. A connection is opened with a given amount of data to transfer and it is closed either when the transfer is complete, or when long, repeated timeouts cause the connection to reset, or when the user tires of waiting and drops the session. In other words, connection durations are not known in advance, but are determined by data file sizes and network conditions.

The evaluation tool we use takes the elastic model into account. Specifically:
- flows are characterized by a given amount of data to transfer;
- the amount of resources the flow can exploit at any given time is the minimum between a target bit rate for the flow, e.g., the access line speed, and what the flow would be assigned under perfect max-min fair resource sharing;
- the flow holding time depends on the resources it receives throughout its “life”, which determine the amount of data already transmitted at any given time;
- if the resources usable by a flow fall below a given threshold, the connection may be shut down prematurely, mimicking the behavior of impatient users.

The performance metrics we take into account are:
- the rate at which connections are shut down due to lack of resources;
- the average throughput of connections that complete the data transfer;
- the overall throughput of the network.

Further details about the traffic model and the evaluation methodology, as well as evidence of the difference between this approach and more traditional ones can be found in [14], [15].

IV. ALGORITHM PERFORMANCE AND RESILIENCE

The algorithm described in Section II necessarily behaves as a MD or WS algorithm for uncongested networks and as a MH algorithm as the offered load increases causing congestion; however, the behavior at intermediate loads can only be investigated by simulation. For the sake of comparison, result reports include the classic MD, WS algorithms and the MH algorithms.

We separately consider two cases, analyzed in the following two Sections. The first one presents elementary results on a trivial topology, which can aid the understanding of the algorithms and verify that the algorithms behave as expected. The second one analyzes results in complex, randomly-generated topologies and different traffic patterns, ensuring that the properties of the algorithm are not bound by the choice of the topology or of the traffic pattern.

A. Elementary Behavior

We consider the transient behavior on a trivial tri-node topology as described in Figure 2. Node $n_0$ generates all the traffic which is directed to nodes $n_1$ and $n_2$. All links $l_{i,j}$ are unidirectional with capacity 1. $n_0$ starts sending all connection requests.
devoted to the other, thus allowing to completely exploit one of the traffic relations is idle, all available resources are network capacity. In particular, links 11, rla, l should be used by part of the traffic from the active (non-idle) traffic relation.

Fig. 3. Free bandwidth on links l1,2 and l2,1 as the load shifts from traffic relation T0,1 to T0,2 and back: NGR-MD algorithm in the lower plot, MD algorithm in the upper plot.

Analyzing Figure 3 it is clear that the NGR algorithm achieves this result almost perfectly, while the MD algorithm displays transitions (around times 150 and 500), where both links are used at the same time, thus wasting resources. This phenomenon is at the root of the poor performance of MD (in fact, of any QoS-based algorithm) when the network load increases, due to frequent transients similar to the simple ones purposely generated in our example.

B. Performance on Random Topologies

In this Section, we present results obtained on randomly-generated network topologies using the GT-ITM software [17]. All topologies were generated using the “flat random graph” model, and include 32 nodes, with an average connectivity degree of 4. Every link has the same capacity (10 Mb/s), and there is a best-effort traffic source generator connected to each node, opening connections with a maximum bandwidth of 1 Mb/s; each connection attempts to perform a bulk data transfer whose size is randomly chosen from an exponential distribution with average 2.5 MBytes. Each node adopts a fixed update period for the QoS metric, set to 30 seconds. The simulator does not model the overhead resulting from updates flooding the network.

To model the starvation effect [15], a starvation threshold B_t is set to 50 kb/s and used to identify starved connections: if the current per-connection bit rate estimate on a bottleneck link drops below B_t, then the most-backlogged connection on that bottleneck is picked and shut down. This is repeated until the sending rate of starved connections raises above the threshold. This allows us to define the starvation probability P_s as the ratio between connections that are prematurely aborted and the total number of connections that entered the network.

To get accurate results, each simulation was ended when the performance indices were such that the 95% confidence interval was within 0.1% of the point estimate.

We report results for two networks, named (A) and (B) for short. More simulations were ran on similar, random topologies, although they are not reported here for lack of space; they show results comparable to the ones reported in the paper, and thus similar conclusions can be drawn.

Results for network (A) reflect a simple stationary traffic scenario. A uniform traffic pattern is simulated, i.e., when a new connection request is generated, the source and the destination are randomly chosen with the same probability. All the sources have the same probability of generating a new connection. These probability are stationary, i.e., they do not change during the simulation.

On the contrary, results reported for network (B) refer to a more complex traffic pattern, mimicking a “client-server” scenario. Besides, the traffic matrix changes over time. In more detail, among the 32 nodes, 5 nodes, picked among those with the highest number of outgoing links, are defined as “servers”, while all the remaining one are defined as “clients”. Each server node generates 10 times the traffic generated from a client, with a non-stationary behavior: it randomly cycles over time among
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two states, high traffic and low traffic. When it is in the low-
traffic state, it reduces its offered load by a factor of 10, i.e., it
behaves as a client node. The average time spent in either the
high- or low-traffic state is 3 hours, following an exponential
distribution.

1) Network (A): Figure 4 reports the average throughput ob-
tained by single connections that have completed their informa-
tion transfer. The upper plot reports the absolute value in kb/s
versus the aggregate load offered to the network, expressed in
Mbl/s. The throughput is obviously decreasing with the network
load for all routing algorithms, since the number of competing
connections increases, and elastic connections adapt their send-
ing rate according to a max-min fair-share algorithm.

The advantage of QoS routing algorithms over MH at low
loads is clear, but while the classic MD and WS implementa-
tions fall below the MH at high loads, NGR algorithms always
remains above MH. The behavior is all the more evident if we
take the throughput normalized with respect to MH (lower plot).
This can also be seen as the gain of the algorithms as com-
pared to the well-established, simple MH. It is clear that the MD
and WS algorithms are extremely sensitive to the network load,
while the NGR versions perform better than MH, converging to
its performance as \( \rho \) grows larger. In particular, the NGR-WS
algorithm performs better than the NGR-MD, since the path set
it chooses from only includes minimum-hop ones. Indeed, the
achieved gain is always larger than 15%. The non-monotonic
relative behavior of the algorithms is due to the “randomness”
of the topology that is neither regular nor a “well defined” hier-
archical topology, which causes different portion of the network
to become congested depending on the values of offered load,
and on the specific QoS routing algorithm implemented.

One might wonder whether connections completing their in-
formation transfer obtain a larger throughput simply thanks to
the larger number of starved connections shutting down, thus
leaving more resources up for grabs. Figures 5 and 6 shed some
light on this issue. Figure 5 reports the probability that connec-
tions close up because they are starved. NGR algorithms show a
starvation probability that is between MH (the highest) and the
classic MD and WS algorithms. In more detail, the starvation
probability measured is negligible for all the QoS algorithms
for values of the offered traffic smaller than about 300 Mbl/s,
while the MH algorithm shows an earlier increase in the starva-
tion probability, at about 200 Mbl/s of offered traffic. Then, a
linear increase is shown by all the proposed algorithms.

Figure 6, finally, reports the total network throughput, i.e.,
the total amount of useful information carried by the network,
normalized with respect to the total installed bandwidth\textsuperscript{3}.

While all the QoS routing algorithms sport a better utilization of the network capacity at low values of offered load, the classic MD and WS algorithms show steep decreases in the throughput, mitigated by the NGR implementations, which shows the highest throughput, up to 30% larger than either MH, MD or WS algorithms.

2) Network (B): In the case of the client-server model with non-stationary traffic, it is more interesting to separately look at client’s and server’s behavior, especially in view of the high level of traffic generated by the servers during their active cycles. Figure 7 shows the average throughput per connection normalized to the one obtained by MH, while Figure 8 reports the absolute values. The upper plot refers to clients while the lower plots refer to servers. Also in this case the NGR version of the algorithms outperforms the classic QoS routing. The more complex nature of the traffic pattern which keeps changing during time, reduces the gain observed for the client connections to less than 20%. On the contrary, the performances obtained by server nodes are greatly improved by any QoS routing for relatively low load, with the classic MD showing a clear edge. But as soon as the network load increases over 500Mbps, their performance worsen, while the NGR versions still exhibit a better utilization of the network capacity.

Figures 9 and 10 report the probability of starvation and the total network throughput (again, only the useful portion of it) respectively; in both cases, results are averaged including both servers and clients. The starvation probability confirms results discussed about network (A). It shows that the use of QoS routing greatly reduces the starvation probability, reflecting a better distribution of the traffic on the network. Also in this case, the NGR-MD and NGR-WS algorithms exhibit a slightly higher starvation probability.

Considering the network throughput reported in Figure 10, we can observe that the network capacity is not yet completely saturated by the offered traffic, at least during the period of time when the servers are in the low-traffic regime. Again, given the complex network topology and traffic pattern, it is very difficult to predict the performance, especially for high values of the offered load. However, also in this case the best performance is obtained by the NGR algorithms.

V. DISCUSSION AND WORK EVOLUTION

The search for efficient and robust routing algorithms that can replace the traditional Minimum Hop routing used in TCP/IP networks has received, and is still receiving, considerable attention; however, so far, none of the proposals seems to offer enough benefits to counter-balance the necessarily increased complexity. In particular, robustness to changes in traf-
Since the optimality of Minimum Hop routing can be demonstrated under some simplifying assumptions, and can be inferred under more realistic scenarios, a robust and resilient routing algorithm should converge to the Minimum Hop routing as the traffic load grows larger and larger.

Pursuing this idea, in this paper we have proposed and discussed a new class of routing algorithms that exhibit this property and that are shown to outperform both the Minimum Hop and traditional QoS-based algorithms in simulation. These routing algorithms are based on a technique which reduces the graph describing the network to a graph containing only uncongested links. The section of the path is then performed on a set containing paths evaluated on the reduced graph, plus the Minimum Hop itself. In their current form, these routing algorithms cannot be implemented with a standard hop-by-hop procedure, but require either the use of MPLS techniques, or a (simple) modification of the IP forwarding.

Further research in this area should address the possibility of finding a similar class of routing algorithms that are, however, implementable in a hop-by-hop fashion without requiring any modification to the standard IP forwarding.
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