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Abstract—A generalized multiport network analyzer implemented using commercially available hardware is presented. Measurement calibration is accomplished through a novel calibration procedure which requires only conventional standards used for two-port calibrations. The calibration theory accounts for the errors due to the signal switching network but does not systematically remove errors due to signal leakage between port pairs. The approach is verified on a three-port test set implementation, and the measuring system can be expanded to n-ports with additional hardware in a straightforward manner. Experimental verification was carried out through measurement of one, two, and three ports devices connected to the test set ports in several different ways. Excellent agreement of the same corrected S-parameters measured at different test set ports and the measuring system can be expanded to n-ports with additional hardware in a straightforward manner.

I. INTRODUCTION

A S MICROWAVE integrated circuit technology advances, more complicated blocks which require multipo-t RF characterization are becoming common. Examples are balanced linear amplifiers, beam-forming networks for dual-circular polarization antennas and so on. A large amount of literature is available concerning error models, calibration procedures, and measurement of two-port devices, all differing in degree of complexity and effectiveness [1]-[7].

A generalization of network analyzer (NWA) calibration procedures to multiport measurements was proposed in a series of papers by R. A. Speciale and alii [8]-[10]. In these papers the technique of through-short-delay (TSD), developed for two-port measurements, was extended to an n-port by representing systematic errors through the S-parameter response of a 2n-port error network virtually connected between the device and an ideal error-free multiport NWA. In the more general case, which also accounted for the errors due to signal leakage among all port pairs of the error network, test set calibration was carried out by means of three n-port standards.

Because multiport network analyzers were not commercially available, an alternative approach based on a conventional two-port measurement system was proposed [10] which required several partial two-port measurements with the n-port device connected in various two by two combinations. In each of these two-port measurements the (n - 2) unused ports of the device must be terminated with perfectly matched loads; since this requirement cannot be met in practice with sufficient accuracy, mismatch errors are induced in the n-port device characterization.

An exact solution was proposed [10] in order to solve this practical problem, based on a S-parameter normalization and renormalization by means of matrix transformations, to various sets of port impedances. This approach did not employ n-port standards for test set calibration but required a large number of different complete two-port measurements, so that it appears cumbersome.

In this paper realization of a multiport S-parameter test system with commercially available instrumentation and a far simpler calibration technique is demonstrated. A new analysis and mathematical reformulation of the calibration problem results in a simple repetitive procedure. According to this new algorithm, complete calibration of the n-port NWA requires measurement of three arbitrary one-port standards connected at one NWA port, and only (n - 1) measurements of a known two-port standard, such as a "zero-length thru," connected in turn between the previously selected port and the other (n - 1) ports. The need for multiport standards is eliminated and only (n - 1) + 3 standard connections are required to perform the entire calibration.

The signal flow error model on which the calibration theory and procedure is based is exact when it is assumed that no leakage exists between any port pairs of the error network virtually connected between the device and the ideal error-free multiport network analyzer [11]. This same premise is assumed in such well-known techniques as TRL [5] or LRM [6]. When applied to on-wafer MMIC measurements this assumption should not adversely affect experimental results except for very lossy devices.

II. REALIZATION OF A MULTIPO T NWA

The multiport test system was implemented to measure three-port devices. The system block diagram, shown in Fig. 1, consists of a vector network analyzer, a pair of four channel frequency converters, microwave switches and directional couplers.
In order to allow ratioed measurements between voltage waves sampled by two different frequency converters, one channel in each frequency converter is used as a reference channel for NWA phase locking. An IF switch built into one of the two test sets connects the appropriate signal pairs to the NWA IF converter for the further down-conversion and digital signal processing.

By increasing the number of switches and four channel frequency converters this basic block diagram can be expanded to n-port measurements, where the number of test sets required is the ceiling of $2n/3$.

Since the calibration algorithm cannot be performed by standard instrument firmware, an external controller drives the NWA and test set and produces corrected measurements.

III. Multiport Calibration Technique

As shown in Fig. 2 a practical multiport test set under the no-leakage hypothesis can be seen as a source, a switching network which drives each port independently and n four-port networks providing two independent readings $a_{m}$, $b_{m}$. Each of these four-port networks is a generalized model of the NWA signal separation, down-conversion and digitizing process. The quantities $a_{m}$ and $b_{m}$ are the output readings of the NWA, and they are conventionally treated as voltage waves incoming and outgoing the error box. This notation is assumed in order to make use of the concepts of an idealized NWA [6].

Let the raw pseudo-scattering matrix $S_{m}$ be defined by

$$b_{m} = S_{m}a_{m}$$

where $a_{m}$ and $b_{m}$ are the unratioed readings vectors:

$$a_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{m1} \\ a_{m2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{m1} \\ b_{m2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

In order to measure the parameter $S_{mk}$ between ports $i$ and $k$, the source is applied to port $k$ and the ratio $b_{m}/a_{mk}$ is acquired, while the other measurement ports should be ideally matched, so that the $a_{mj}$ ($j \neq k$) readings should be zero. In practice imperfect terminations and switch repeatability in the four-port networks result in nonzero $a_{mj}$ readings. In order to evaluate the corrected $S_{m}$ matrix these readings must be acquired and their effects corrected in software.

With the source connected at port $k$, $a_{mk}$ is the unique independent variable of the system. By measuring the $(n-1)$ ratios $a_{mi}/a_{mk}$ the following set of $n$ equations (one for each port) in $n^2$ unknowns $S_{mi}$ is obtained:

$$b_{m} = S_{m1}a_{m1} + S_{m2}a_{m2} + \cdots + S_{mk}a_{mk}$$

$$a_{mk} = S_{mk1}a_{m1} + S_{mk2}a_{m2} + \cdots + S_{mnn}a_{mn}$$

By switching the source of each of the $n$ positions in turn, a linear system of $n^2$ equations in $n^2$ unknowns can be formed by $n$ equation sets like (4) and the $S_{mi}$ parameters computed.

Now the relationship between the raw $S_{m}$ and the $S$ matrices of the device is considered. The set of $n$ four-port networks depicted in Fig. 2 can be represented as $n$ error.
Fig. 3. Multiport virtual error network which interfaces the device to an ideal multiport network analyzer.

Each error box is defined by the following pseudo-scattering matrix $E_i$, where $i = 1, \ldots, n$:

$$E_i = \begin{bmatrix} e_{i0}^0 & e_{i0}^1 \\ e_{i1}^{00} & e_{i1}^{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

(5)

The error box concept can be extended to a multiport measuring system, where the error coefficients become the elements of four diagonal matrices $\Gamma_i$:

$$\Gamma_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{11}^{11} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{22}^{11} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e_{10}^0 \\ 0 & e_{20}^0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\Gamma_{01} = \begin{bmatrix} e_{10}^{00} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{20}^{00} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Gamma_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & e_{10}^0 \\ 0 & e_{20}^0 \end{bmatrix},$$

(6)

After some matrix manipulation, detailed in Appendix B, it results:

$$S_m = \Gamma_{00} + \Gamma_{10} (I - S \Gamma_{11})^{-1} S \Gamma_{10}$$

(7)

where $I$ is the $n$-dimensional unitary matrix and $S$ is the scattering matrix of the multiport DUT. Equation (7) can be rewritten in the form:

$$\Gamma_{01}^{-1} (S_m - \Gamma_{00}) \Gamma_{10}^{-1} = (I - S \Gamma_{11})^{-1} S$$

(8)

Letting:

$$A = \Gamma_{01}^{-1} (S_m - \Gamma_{00}) \Gamma_{10}^{-1}$$

(9)

and rearranging (8) we obtain:

$$S = A(I + \Gamma_{11} A)^{-1}$$

(10)

Equations (9) and (10) allow simple and direct computation of the corrected scattering parameters $S$ from $S_m$ and are straightforward to implement using mathematically oriented programming languages.

Evaluation of the matrices $A$ and $\Gamma_{11}$ is considered in the next section.

IV. ERROR MATRIX COMPUTATION

Since the error matrices, $\Gamma_{00}, \Gamma_{10},$ and $\Gamma_{11}$ are diagonal, matrix $A$ becomes:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} S_{m11} - e_{11}^{00} & S_{m12} & \cdots & S_{m1n} \\ S_{m21} & S_{m22} - e_{22}^{00} & \cdots & S_{m2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{mn1} & \cdots & \cdots & S_{mn} - e_{nn}^{00} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$t_i = e_i^0 e_i^{00}$$

(11)

The error coefficients $e_i^0$ of the matrix $A$ and the elements $e_i^{11}$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$ of the $\Gamma_{11}$ matrix are derived by means of a calibration technique which follows a repetitive experimental procedure based on the extension of the QSOLT calibration technique [12, 13].

Since this procedure requires the insertion of a known two-port standard, an ideal "zero-length" thru connection is first assumed and the theory will be developed using this component since the mathematics involved is simpler. The correction for the arbitrary two-port standard is presented in Appendix A.

The calibration steps are as follows:

1. At port $l$ a usual one-port calibration is performed using three known standards; acquire the corresponding values of $(b_{m1}/a_{m1})^2$ and compute the three error coefficients $t_1, e_1^0,$ and $t_1$.

2. A repetitive procedure is then carried out for the other $(n - 1)$ ports. At port $k$ $(k = 2, \ldots, n)$, the four elements of the $S_m$ matrix obtained from measurements of the ideal thru connected between port $l$ and port $k$ provide:

$$S_{mk1} = e_{k1}^{00} + \frac{t_{k1} e_{11}^{11}}{1 - e_1^{00} e_1^{11}}$$

$$S_{mk1} = \frac{t_{k1}}{1 - e_1^{00} e_1^{11}}$$

$$S_{mk1} = \frac{t_{k1} e_{11}^{11}}{1 - e_1^{00} e_1^{11}}$$

(12)

Since only one port is involved, all the $e_{mk}$ $(k \neq 1)$ are zero so that $S_{mk1}$ is $b_{mk}/a_{mk}$ and the procedure to obtain $S_m$ is needless.
Noting that:

\[ t_{ik} = e_k^{01} e_k^{11} = \frac{t_{ik}}{t_{11}}, \]  
\[ e_k^{11} = \frac{S_{mk}^{11} - e_k^{01}}{t_{11} + e_k^{01} (S_{mk}^{11} - e_k^{01})} \]  
\[ t_{ik} = S_{mk}^{11} (1 - e_k^{11} e_k^{11}) \]  
\[ t_{ik} = S_{mk}^{11} S_{mk}^{11} (1 - e_k^{11} e_k^{11})^2 / t_{11} \]

and

\[ e_k^{00} = s_{mk}^{11} - \frac{t_{ik} e_k^{11}}{1 - e_k^{11} e_k^{11}} \]

3. In case of a two-port NWA the previous formulas completely solve the calibration problem; for more than two ports the other remaining unknowns are \( t_{ij} \) and \( f_{jk} \) of the transmission path between port \( k \) and port \( j \) (\( j = 2, \ldots, k - 1 \)) with \( k > 2 \). These terms can be easily obtained noting that:

\[ t_{ij} = \frac{t_{ik} t_{kj}}{t_{11}}. \]

4. Repeating steps 2 and 3 until \( k = n \) completely determines the error coefficients of \( A \) and \( \Gamma_1 \).

The number of the thru connections required is the minimum necessary to uniquely determine all the error coefficients. For an \( n \)-port network analyzer we have \((4n - 1)\) unknowns which is equal to the number of independent measurements provided by this technique: \((4(n - 1) + 3)\). For a five-port NWA, seven connections of standards are required which is coincidentally the same number required to perform a standard SOLT calibration in a two-port system.

The method used for calculating the error elements of the matrices \( A \) and \( \Gamma_1 \) can be made more general and less sensitive to imperfectly defined standards than the one suggested here. Any well-known self calibration procedure such as TRL [5] or LRM [6] can be applied to determine the error coefficients for two of the \( n \) ports. The remaining error coefficients for all the other \((n - 2)\) ports can be obtained by connecting only the thru line between one of the calibrated ports and each of the other \((n - 2)\) ports in turn following the previously suggested algorithm. Furthermore any two by two combination of the \( n \) ports instead of the here suggested one (i.e., port 1 with all the other ones) can be used given that one has been previously calibrated.

V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To verify the calibration technique and show consistent results, measurements were performed on one-, two-, and three-port passive components using the system described in Fig. 1. A 3 to 18 GHz calibration was performed: at port 1 a coaxial 3.5 mm sliding load and two offset short standards were connected, next port 1 was in turn connected with port 2 and port 3 (i.e., zero length thru). An external controller was used to control the NWA.
Fig. 7. Air-line S-parameters. The group delays of the transmission parameters are plotted so as to highlight the phase spreadings.

Fig. 8. Port connections used for directional coupler measurements.

absorb the raw data from the NWA, calculate the error coefficients, and display corrected S-parameters.

The first test device was a broadband 50 Ω load. S-parameters were measured on ports 2 and 3 respectively while the unused ports were left open. This measurement could not have been performed at port 1 since the port connector gender was the same as that of the test device. The results reported in Fig. 4 demonstrate that the NWA test ports are able to measure well matched loads (-40 dB) with good agreement and presents low residual directivity errors contribution at these ports.

A similar test was performed using a coaxial short standard at ports 2 and 3. The resulting data, shown in Fig. 5, are in close agreement and low residual source match seems to affect the results.

Next a 15 cm air-line of 500 ps nominal delay was connected, as shown in Fig. 6, between ports 1 and 2 and ports 1 and 3 respectively. The whole S-parameters were measured in the two cases of Fig. 6 and with an ordinary two-port NWA. The results, reported in Fig. 7, are satisfactory and show good agreement of all the different
tests. The 3-port NWA shows a precision comparable with the two port one regardless the higher test set complexity.

Finally the full 3-port S-parameter matrix of a directional coupler connected as shown in Fig. 8 was measured. In Fig. 9 the magnitude of the $S_n$ parameters is presented while the more significant transmission parameters $S_{12}$ and $S_{13}$ were compared with each other in Fig. 10, Fig. 11, and Fig. 12.

Unfortunately the multiport accuracy determination can only be accomplished when multiport verification standards become available. Presently only the reciprocity property of a passive three-port test device can be verified as a measure of the overall system accuracy.

VI. CONCLUSION

A generalized multiport network analyzer has been presented based on commercial instrumentation and it can be calibrated using the same components used for two-port S-parameter calibration. The system architecture and calibration procedure are suitable for expansion of the number of test ports. The calibration theory accounts for errors due to switching network repeatability; the errors due to possible signal leakage between all port pairs are not included but are negligible for all but very lossy devices.

A three-port test set was built and several experiments were carried out in order to verify its performance. The quality of the resulting data approaches those obtained using commercial two-port S-parameter test sets. The test set is well-suited to measurement of two-port devices having either the same or different gender connectors without recalibrating the test set or using cumbersome adapter removal procedures.

APPENDIX A

ARBITRARY THRU STANDARD

If a known but non-ideal "zero-length thru" two-port network is connected between ports 1 and $k$, the system of equations (12) in the second step of the above suggested algorithm is no longer valid. To compute the error coefficients a more useful notation based on the transmission matrix of each error box will be used.

Fig. 9. Directional coupler $S_n$ parameters magnitude.

Fig. 10. Direction coupler $S_{12}$ and $S_{13}$ magnitudes and their difference DELTA.

Fig. 11. Directional coupler $S_{12}$ and $S_{13}$ magnitudes and their difference DELTA.

Fig. 12. Directional coupler $S_{12}$ and $S_{13}$ parameters: (a) Magnitudes and their difference DELTA (b) Phase difference PDELTA.
Let

\[
X_k = \begin{bmatrix}
-A & e_k^0 \\
\tau_{1k} & \tau_{1k} \\
-e_k^1 & 1 \\
\tau_{1k} & \tau_{1k}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(20)

and

\[
X_k = \begin{bmatrix}
e_{k}^0 \\
\tau_{1k} & \tau_{1k} \\
1 & \Delta_j \\
\tau_{1k} & \tau_{1k}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(21)

which link the measured and actual quantities at each error box by means of the following relationships:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
b_{m1} \\
a_{m1}
\end{bmatrix} = e_{k}^{01} X_k\begin{bmatrix}
b_1 \\
a_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(22)

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a_{mk} \\
b_{mk}
\end{bmatrix} = e_{k}^{01} X_k\begin{bmatrix}
a_k \\
b_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(23)

From (22) and (23) it is straightforward to obtain:

\[
T_{mk} = X_k^T T_{st} X_k^{-1}
\]  

(24)

where \(T_{mk}\) is the transmission matrix obtained from the four related elements of \(S_m\) as

\[
T_{mk} = \begin{bmatrix}
S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} & S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} \\
S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} & S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} \\
S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} & 1 \\
S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}} & S_{mk}^{\tau_{1k}}
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(25)

while \(T_{st}\) is the known two-port standard transmission matrix.

From equation (24) it follows that:

\[
X_k = T_{mk}^{-1} X_k T_{st}
\]  

(26)

eventually

\[
\begin{align*}
e_{k}^0 &= X_k^{21} \\
e_{k}^1 &= -X_k^{12} \\
\tau_{1k} &= \det X_k \\
\tau_{1k} &= \frac{1}{X_k^{11}} \\
\tau_{1k} &= \det X_k \\
\tau_{1k} &= \frac{1}{X_k^{11}}
\end{align*}
\]  

(27)

and the repetitive procedure can be resumed from step 3.

\section*{APPENDIX B}

\subsection*{Error Box Concept Extension to a Multiport}

For each error box \(E_i\), we have two equations which relate the normalized voltage waves at the ideal test set, labeled with \(a_{mi}, b_{mi}\), and at the actual port, labeled as usual \(a_i, b_i\):

\[
b_{mi} = e_{i}^{00} a_{mi} + e_{i}^{01} b_i
\]  

(28)

\[
a_i = e_{i}^{10} a_{mi} + e_{i}^{11} b_i
\]  

(29)

Extending these equations to \(n\) ports, we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= e_{1}^{11} b_n + e_{1}^{10} a_{1m1} \\
a_2 &= e_{1}^{11} b_2 + e_{1}^{10} a_{2m1} \\
& \vdots \\
a_n &= e_{1}^{11} b_n + e_{1}^{10} a_{nm1}
\end{align*}
\]  

(30)

\[
b_{m1} = e_{i}^{01} b_1 + e_{i}^{00} a_{m1} \\
b_{m2} = e_{i}^{01} b_2 + e_{i}^{00} a_{m2} \\
& \vdots \\
b_{mn} &= e_{i}^{01} b_n + e_{i}^{00} a_{mn}
\]  

(31)

Introducing the matrices \(\Gamma_{ij}\) and the vectors \(a, b, a_m\) and \(b_m\), we have:

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= \Gamma_{11} b + \Gamma_{10} a_m \\
b &= \Gamma_{01} b + \Gamma_{00} a_m
\end{align*}
\]  

(32)

(33)

From the \(S\) matrix definition and (32) it is obtained:

\[
b = S \Gamma_{11} b + S \Gamma_{10} a_m
\]  

(34)

then:

\[
b = |I - S \Gamma_{11}|^{-1} S \Gamma_{10} a_m
\]  

(35)

Substituting (35) into (33) we eventually obtain:

\[
b_m = (\Gamma_{00} + \Gamma_{01} (I - S \Gamma_{11})^{-1} S \Gamma_{10}) a_m
\]  

(36)
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