POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

On the Efficiency of Packet Telephony

Original

On the Efficiency of Packet Telephony / Baldi, Mario; Bergamasco, D.; Risso, FULVIO GIOVANNI OTTAVIO. - (1999). (Intervento presentato al convegno 7th IFIP International Conference on Telecommunication Systems (ICTS 99) tenutosi a Nashville (TN) nel March 18-21, 1999).

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/1417036 since:

Publisher:

Published DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Alternative Horizontal Markings Along Curved Exit Ramp Terminals to Improve Driver

- **Safety-Related Performance**
- 6 Giorgia RAIMONDO
- giorgia.raimondo@studenti.polito.it

Alessandra LIOI

alessandra.lioi@polito.it

Abrar HAZOOR

- abrar.hazoor@polito.it

Alberto PORTERA

- alberto.portera@polito.it
- Luca TEFA*
- luca.tefa@polito.it (* = corresponding author)

Marco BASSANI

- marco.bassani@polito.it

- Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering
- Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy,10129

1 ABSTRACT

2

Previous investigation revealed that diverging maneuvers along curved terminals lead to a deterioration in
the longitudinal and transversal performances of drivers with respect to linear ones. As a countermeasure,
innovative horizontal markings (HMs) may be used to compel drivers to drive more prudently and maintain
better vehicle control.

In this driving simulation study, the behavioral effects of alternative HMs along curved exit ramp terminals were investigated. Forty-eight voluntary participants drove along randomly assigned exit ramp terminals, the design of which involved combinations of the following input variables: (i) horizontal markings (standard HM1, HM2 with internal lane bands, HM3 with external zebra stripes); (ii) lighting conditions (day and night); (iii) traffic flow in the motorway (1000 pc/h and 3000 pc/h), and (iv) ramp terminal connection type (continuous and reverse). Longitudinal (i.e., speed) and transversal (i.e., lateral position and diverging abscissa) behavioral data were collected.

HM2 leads to greater improvements in the level of road safety thanks to better longitudinal and transversal driver behavior. However, drivers did delay their exit from the motorway with respect to the baseline condition (HM1) independently of the connection type. No relevant improvements were observed with HM3, apart from speed reductions at the end of the terminal and more centered trajectories when approaching the ramp. Results also show that drivers tended to enter the reverse terminal later than the continuous one (where drivers correctly used the taper), thus revealing that the use of the innovative HMs was not able to compensate for this inappropriate behavior adopted along reverse terminals.

21

22 23 **K**ouw

23 Keywords

Horizontal markings, exiting terminal, diverging maneuver, driving simulation, driver behavior, statistical
 data modelling.

1 INTRODUCTION

2

3 Interchange ramp terminals are intended to facilitate the smooth and safe transition and change in speed of 4 vehicles entering and exiting the motorway (1). However, on these road facilities a number of conflicts 5 among vehicles occur, resulting in a relevant crash frequency rate (2, 3). Ramp terminals are specialized 6 lanes on which drivers contextually change speed and lateral position, so their geometric characteristics 7 should be carefully designed to guarantee safe and efficient operations. Literature reveals that exit-ramps 8 are more dangerous than entry ones i.e., they have a higher crash frequency rate (4-6). Lane-changing 9 maneuvers, fluctuations in speed, and decision-making actions all contribute to an increase in the inherent 10 risk of exit ramps (7, 8).

Some studies have identified inconsistencies between the design criteria of ramp terminals and real driving operations. Kinematic models used at the design stage assume uniform deceleration during diverging maneuvers (9-11), while in a simulation-based investigation, Calvi et al. (12) showed that drivers approaching exit ramps start reducing speed before the deceleration lane, thus slowing down the general traffic flow along the motorway. Furthermore, Lyu et al. (13) found that in diverging maneuvers drivers adopt speeds which are significantly higher than the posted speed limit when approaching exit ramp terminals.

18 Several naturalistic and driving simulator-based studies have also investigated the factors affecting 19 the safety of linear deceleration terminals along tangent sections of mainline motorways. The volume of 20 traffic is one of the most critical factors determining safety at interchanges (14, 15) as well as the terminal 21 layout (16-18). During the deceleration operation, factors affecting driver behavior include the length of 22 the deceleration lane (19, 20), the number of lanes (21), the type of deceleration lane (parallel or tapered) 23 (22, 23), and the ramp geometry (5, 6).

24

25 **Problem statement**

26 Recently, Portera and Bassani (24) investigated driver behavior along the curved diverging terminals of 27 exit ramps. They found that the direction of the exit ramp curve with respect to the curvature of the 28 motorway has a strong impact on longitudinal and transversal driver behavior. Along continuous ramp 29 terminals (Figure 1d), i.e., ramps which have the same curvature as that of the motorway, drivers behave 30 similarly to the way they would along straight terminals. Conversely, along reverse ramp terminals (Figure 31 1g), i.e., ramps which have a different curvature to that of the motorway, drivers do not always select 32 appropriate speeds and lane change positions, thus highlighting critical driving situations that need to be 33 considered when adopting appropriate safety countermeasures at the design stage.

34 Road markings are generally regarded as a low-cost and effective measure for improving 35 longitudinal and transversal behavior along horizontal curves (25-27). In the past, different types of 36 perceptual road markings were proposed as a means to reduce speed and improve lateral position in 37 hazardous locations (28, 29). Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of perceptual horizontal 38 markings (HMs), i.e., transverse strips, colored median, herringbone patterns, etc. in speed reduction (30-39 33). Perceptual treatments are specifically designed to enhance the perception of speed in drivers (34), with 40 this higher perception of risk being unconsciously induced in accordance with the risk homeostasis theory 41 (35). The majority of these studies focused on curved sections of rural roads (36-39), where any miscalculations in speed and perception of curvature tend to be reflected in a higher incidence of crashes 42 43 (31). In motorway interchanges, Gu et al. (8) suggested the use of more efficient HMs as a safety 44 improvement.

Road markings can also affect the perception of lane width thus improving the lateral position of the vehicle within the lane (28, 31). Rumble strips were found to be useful in decreasing the standard deviation of lateral position (SDLP) of drivers travelling along a curve on a two-lane road section (40). Awan et al. (37) observed that drivers followed a safe path along a curve when herringbone pattern markings were present. They pointed out that this kind of perceptual marking can help to reduce the number of head-on crashes along curves where drivers tend to adopt inappropriate lateral positions. When considering exit ramps, the before-after observational study of Retting et al. (41) indicated that the installation of lateral pavement marking patterns narrowing the lane width led to an effective reduction in average speeds. In contrast, Hunter et al. (42) observed that the use of chevron pavement markings had only a moderate influence on driver speeds. They argued that these markings are only effective for a limited time following their installation but then their impact declines as drivers become familiar with them.

7

8 Study objective

9 In this driving simulation study, the effects of innovative horizontal markings along curved exit ramp 10 terminals were investigated. The main hypothesis is that HMs designed to have an impact on perceptual 11 lane width and speed may improve the lateral and longitudinal control of drivers as they exit from 12 motorways. The experiment involved forty-eight volunteers. Four main factors were considered in the 13 experimental design: (i) the HM layout (standard vs. innovative), (ii) the traffic flow along the motorway 14 (1000 vs. 3000 pc/h/lane), (iii) the terminal geometry (continuous vs. reverse), and (iv) the environmental 15 lighting conditions (i.e., day vs. night). Speeds and lateral positions at specific sections and the diverging abscissa i.e., the point at which drivers changed lane when moving from the motorway to the terminal, were 16 17 taken into account in the analysis. Generalized Linear and Linear Mixed-Effects models were used to 18 interpret the collected data and identify the factors influencing driver behaviour.

19

20 METHODS

21

22 Scenarios, design of the experiment, and independent factors

The virtual road scenarios consisted of two-lane highway and motorway segments and were designed in accordance with the prescribed Italian standard (43). Segments of these two road categories were linked through direct deceleration ramps (**Figure 1**) with a horizontal radius $R_r = 150 \text{ m}$ (44). A ramp with the same curvature as the curved motorway, i.e., a continuous transition (**Figure 1d**), was included together with a ramp with the opposite curvature sign to that of the curved motorway segment, i.e., a reverse transition (**Figure 1g**).

The circuit was developed with no longitudinal slope. The motorway segments included two 3.75 m wide lanes per direction, while the highway segments had two 3.75 m wide lanes (one per direction). The motorway ramps included one 4.00 m wide lane, with shoulders of 1.00 m in width. The exit lane included a taper and a terminal. Both were curved and parallel to the adjacent through lanes as indicated in **Figure 1**. The deceleration length was calculated using the following equation:

34
$$L_{d,u} = \frac{v_1^2 - v_2^2}{2a}$$
(1)

where v_1 (m/s) is the entry speed into the deceleration terminal (140 km/h), v_2 (m/s) is the exit speed at the end of the deceleration segment (60 km/h), and *a* is the deceleration rate assumed equal to 3 m/s². Following these design rules, the deceleration length was 205 m. The taper length was set at 90 m according to the Italian standard (*44*).

Experimental independent factors included: (i) the horizontal marking (HM), i.e., conventional (HM1), alternative type 2 (HM2), and alternative type 3 (HM3); (ii) the connection type (CT) between terminal and ramp, i.e., continuous or reverse; (iii) the traffic flow (TF) in the motorway through lanes, i.e., 1000 and 3000 pc/h/lane; and (iv) the lighting conditions (LC), i.e., day and night.

43

FIGURE 1. Images showing the three HMs and the two ramp terminal connection types (continuous and reverse). Vision of the three HMs from the driver point of view: (a) HM1 (baseline), (b) HM2 (type 2), and (c) HM3 (type 3). Plan view of the three layouts: (d) HM1 continuous ramp terminal, (e) HM2 continuous e ramp terminal, (f) HM3 continuous ramp terminals, (g) HM1 reverse ramp terminals, (h) HM2 reverse ramp terminals, and (i) HM3 reverse ramp terminals (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-

49 spiral, SC = spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).

1 Each circuit was composed of two exit ramp terminals, one with the continuous design and the 2 other with the reverse design. For each scenario, a random combination of HM (3 levels), TF (2 levels) and 3 LC (2 levels) was assumed. Considering all possible combinations, a total of twelve (= $3 \times 2 \times 2$) circuits were 4 generated.

5 HM2 (Figure 1b) consisted of internal white spaced bands of variable thickness placed inside the 6 lane of the exit ramp terminal. These internal bands widen along the ramp terminal, hence reducing the 7 perception of available lane width. The longitudinal size and spacing of the white bands, 0.70 m and 2.5 m 8 respectively, were maintained constant along the entire length of the exit ramp terminals. The thickness of 9 the bands changed at specific stations: between the taper-to-terminal (TT) and the terminal-to-spiral (TS) 10 sections it was 0.25 m, with the bands located on the right side of the lane only. From the TS to the 11 spiral-to-curve (SC) sections the thickness was equal to 0.40 m, while between SC and the ramp curve end 12 (CE) it was set equal to 0.55 m. The hypothesis with the use of HM2 is that drivers maintain better control of their lateral position inside the ramp terminal lane (i.e., more centered trajectories in the lane), as 13 14 observed by Katz et al. (33) and Charlton (31).

15 HM3 consisted of stripes with variable longitudinal spacing placed on the shoulders only. In this 16 case, the hypothesis is that markings act on driver peripheral field of vision to increase the perception of 17 speed during deceleration (45). Figure 1c shows that HM3 has a spacing with stripes 0.15 m thick and 18 1.0 m long along the entire exit ramp terminal. Their spacing changed between TT and TS (10.0 m), TS 19 and SC (5.0 m), and SC and CE (2.5 m). When travelling at a constant speed, the shorter distance between 20 consecutive stripes leads drivers to believe that they are travelling at a higher speed than they actually are. 21 This perception should prompt drivers to reduce their speed during the maneuver, thereby adopting speeds 22 closer to those assumed at the design stage of the terminal (31, 41).

The third variable in the experiment was the rate of traffic flow along the motorway. In this experiment, the same traffic conditions used by Portera and Bassani (*46*) were adopted. Specifically, (i) high-volume traffic conditions (Level of Service, LOS C, with a flow of 3000 pc/h), and (ii) low-volume traffic conditions (LOS A, 1000 pc/h flow) were used. These flows refer to pc/h per the whole travelled way in the investigated direction. Finally, test drivers were subjected to both daytime and night-time driving conditions. Since reduced visibility can make it difficult for drivers to clearly see the markings, this variable can be decisive in the control of speed and trajectory.

30

31 **Participants and equipment**

The study was conducted in line with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association included in the Declaration of Helsinki (47). Forty-eight licensed drivers took part in the experiment on a voluntary basis without any remuneration. The sample set of participants was constructed to be representative of the general Italian driving population (full driving license holders) in terms of gender and age (21 females and 27 males divided into three classes: < 25 years old; 25-44 years old; 45-64 years old). Before participating in the experiment, test drivers signed a privacy consent form as required by Italian law. **Table 1** summarizes the aggregated information on participants in the experiment by age group.

The fixed-base simulator (AV Simulation, France) available at the Road Safety and Driving Simulation laboratory (RSDS Lab) of Politecnico di Torino was employed. The system was relatively validated for longitudinal, lateral, and passing behavior (48-50). The apparatus consists of three 32-inch screens that provide an angle of view of 130° , a true force steering wheel, pedals, and gearbox. The resolution of the visual scene is 1920×1080 pixel, and the refresh rate is 60 Hz. The simulator also includes equipment to reproduce the sounds of both the engine and the surrounding environment. The recording system acquired all data with a frequency of 100 Hz.

- 46
- 47

48

49

50

Participant characteristics	< 25 years	25-44 years	45-64 years	Total Sample	
Total number (females)	5 (2)	20 (9)	23 (10)	48 (21)	
Age (years)	21.4 (1.7)	33.2 (6.1)	53.8 (4.0)	41.4 (12.9)	
Driving Experience (years)	3.0 (2.0)	15.0 (6.3)	34.8 (4.6)	22.8 (12.8)	
Distance travelled (km/year)	5,000 (3,082)	11,500 (10,000)	15,635 (11,890)	12,615 (10,790)	
Number of accidents	0.20 (0.45)	0.60 (0.75)	1.81 (2.34)	1.11 (1.77)	

1 **TABLE 1.** Information on the sample of drivers (mean values and standard deviation between brackets).

2

3 **Experimental protocol**

4 Before starting the driving session, test drivers were asked to fill in a pre-drive questionnaire to evaluate 5 their physical condition and health. Then, all drivers performed visual and auditory cognitive tests (available at: www.cognitivefun.net) to measure their reaction times to stimuli and detect any possible 6 7 changes in their cognitive performances due to impairments resulting from the driving test. Reaction times 8 were found to be normally distributed as per the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (pre-drive visual reaction: 9 $D_{48} = 0.08$, p = .847; pre-drive auditory reaction: $D_{48} = 0.14$, p = .228; post-drive visual reaction: $D_{48} = 0.12$, 10 p = .435; post-drive auditory reaction: $D_{48} = 0.17$, p = .102). The duration of reactions to visual stimuli were 11 evidently longer than those to auditory stimuli because of the difference in time needed to process and react 12 to the signal received, which is longer in the case of visual stimuli (51). These results are consistent with 13 previous observations from Thompson et al. (52) and Pain and Hibbs (53). Test results before and after the 14 driving task for both visual ($F_{47,47} = 0.728$, p = .140; $t_{94} = 0.463$, p = .644) and auditory ($F_{47,47} = 1.018$, 15 p = .475; $t_{94} = 0.087$, p = .930) reaction times were not found to be statistically different. Hence, the auditory and visual performances of participants were not altered by the experimental protocol adopted. 16

Prior to the experiment, each participant drove on a trial test track to gain familiarity with the simulator. Then, each participant drove on three circuits which were randomly assigned from the twelve possible. In addition, the age and gender of the drivers assigned to each circuit was proportionate to the age and gender makeup of the total sample of drivers. After the experimental drive, the participants performed the same two cognitive tests and completed a post-drive questionnaire.

22 The post-drive questionnaire was designed to elicit information from drivers on their experience of 23 the driving simulation and to determine whether the alternative HMs represented a disturbance or 24 distraction for them during the driving session. The questionnaires revealed that during the simulation 25% 25 of participants experienced very minor ailments like visual fatigue, and blurred vision. These discomforts, being very mild in intensity, were deemed acceptable for the purpose of the experiment. Only one driver 26 27 experienced a level of simulation sickness which prevented him from completing the driving task. Hence, 28 he was replaced with another driver of the same age and gender. The decision to consider all the data 29 collected valid was corroborated by the cognitive responses before and after the driving test.

30

31 Observed variables, data collection, and manipulation

32 Data on speed (S), lateral position in the lane (LP) and diverging abscissa (DA), the position where drivers 33 changed lane to leave the motorway) were collected for each driver along the ramp terminal systems at a 34 number of specific sections. The outcomes for S and LP were used to calibrate Linear Mixed-Effects models 35 (LMM) which integrate both fixed and random effects and are suitable for the interpretation of experimental 36 design with repeated measurements. The four experimental factors (HM type, rate of traffic flow, lighting 37 conditions and connection type) and the covariates (age and driving experience) were accounted for as fixed 38 effects, with the identification code of the driver regarded as a random effect (i.e., the cluster variable in 39 the experiment). The LMM was calibrated by adopting the backward elimination technique. Data for LMM 40 were extracted at TT, TS and SC sections for speeds, and at TS and SC sections for lateral position models. 41 Finally, diverging abscissa data were used to calibrate a Generalized Linear Model (glm). Statistical data 42 analyses and modelling were carried out with Jamovi ver. 1.8.1.0, with the three modules GAMLi ver. 2.4.5, Moretests ver. 0.9.3, and Scatr ver. 1.2.0. Significance levels were always set at 0.05. 43 44

1 **RESULTS**

2

23

24

Figure 2 for speeds (*S*), **Figure 3** for lateral position (*LP*), and **Figure 4** for the diverging abscissa (*DA*) provide a summary of the results. They have been sub-divided by rate of traffic flow (i.e., 1000 pc/h and 3000 pc/h), lighting conditions (i.e., day and night), and connection type (i.e., continuous and reverse). In each graph, the results obtained when drivers negotiated ramp terminals with different horizontal markings (i.e., HM1, HM2, and HM3) are presented. Each line represents the average speed value or lateral position resulting from 12 data from station 0 m (section TB, taper beginning), 450 m (section TS, terminal-to-spiral) and 640 m (section SC, spiral-to-curve).

Figure 3 provides the LP of the vehicle center of gravity (CoG) with respect to the deceleration lane centerline. Negative average values indicate that the vehicular CoG was located on the left side of the lane centerline. The lateral position does not appear to be strongly influenced by *HM* during the diverging maneuver, from the beginning of the taper (section TB), until the end of the deceleration lane (section TS).

15 FIGURE 2. Longitudinal (speed) behavior along curved deceleration ramp terminals with a range of 16 experimental factor combinations (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-spiral, SC = 17 spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).

FIGURE 3. Transversal (lateral position) behavior along curved deceleration ramp terminals with variable experimental factor combinations (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-spiral, SC = spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).

FIGURE 4. Boxplots of diverging abscissa for three HM designs in continuous and reverse ramp terminals.

2526 ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

2728 Statistical model outcomes

The Linear Mixed-Effects (*LMM*) and Generalized Linear Model (*glm*) outcomes are fully reported in **Table 2**. In *LMM*, the R² conditional value describes the variance attributable to fixed and random effects, while the R² marginal is determined by fixed effects only. Higher R² conditional values were found for speed data (i.e., > 70%) with a relatively small marginal R². This suggests that most of the variance in the model may be explained by random effects, i.e., the subjective characteristics of drivers, rather than the experimental factors. In the case of *LP*, the variance is attributable in equal measure to fixed and random factors.

37 Speeds

38 The speed outcomes from LMM (Table 2 and Figure 5) indicate that HM2 significantly reduces speeds 39 (i.e., the estimated model coefficient is negative) at the TT (p = .015), TS (p < .001) and SC sections 40 (p = .025) with respect to the baseline condition (HM1). This outcome evidences that HM2 had a secondary 41 effect on longitudinal behavior, albeit it was originally conceived to improve lateral control (35). This is 42 explained by the fact that the perception of a narrower lane compels drivers to adopt lower speeds as a compensatory reaction. Although HM3 was intended to act on longitudinal driver behavior, LMM does not 43 44 reveal any significant difference between speeds observed under the influence of HM3 and HM1 at SC 45 $(S_{SC,HM3} - S_{SC,HM1} = -1.19 \text{ km/h}, p = .288)$ and TT $(S_{TT,HM3} - S_{TT,HM1} = -1.20 \text{ km/h}, p = .372)$ sections. The effects of HM3 are relevant at the terminal end ($S_{TS,HM3}$ - $S_{TS,HM1}$ = -3.93 km/h, p = .002) where they worked 46 47 according to the hypothesis.

48 Gender was statistically significant in the *LMM* (**Table 2**). **Figure 5** shows that female (F) drivers 49 drove at lower speeds than males (M) ($S_{TT,F} - S_{TT,M} = -11.58$ km/h, p = .003; $S_{TS,F} - S_{TS,M} = -10.43$ km/h, 50 p = .009; $S_{SC,F} - S_{SC,M} = -8.63$ km/h, p = .009). This result is in line with the findings of Portera and Bassani (24) and Oltedal and Rundmo (54), who stated that male drivers are more willing to take risks than female drivers.

4**TABLE 2. Estimated model coefficients (p-value)** on significant factors affecting speeds (S), lateral position (LP)5and diverging abscissa (DA) along ramp terminals (HM = horizontal markings type, TF = traffic flow, LC = lighting6conditions, CT = connection type, R = reverse, C = continuous, F = female, M = male, N = night, D = day, " - " = not7statistically significant, N/A = not available). Significance level for p-value: *** < .001; ** < 0.01; *< .05</td>

Model type							glm	
Variables		STT	Sts	Ssc	LPTS	LPsc	DA	
Fixed Effects:					-~	~~		
Intercept		98.35 ***	84.41 ***	74.03 ***	-0.117 *	0.650 ***	68.881 ***	
HM	HM2 - HM1	-3.27 *	-4.34 ***	-2.51 *	-0.150	-0.413 ***	11.760 *	
	HM3 - HM1	-	-3.93 **	-	-	-0.198 ***	-	
TF	3000 – 1000 (pc/h)	-1.85	-2.05 *	-	-	0.075 *	-	
СТ	R-C	-	5.72 ***	1.47	-0.516 ***	-0.399 ***	30.634 ***	
Gender	F-M	-11.58 **	-10.14 **	-8.63 **	-	-	-	
Gender * LC	(F - M) * (N - D)	-	-	-	-0.30 5*	-0.221 **	-	
Gender * CT	(F-M) * (R-C)	-	-	-	-	-0.170 *	-	
CT * LC	(R-C) * (N-D)	-	-	-	0.312 **	-	-	
CT * HM	(R-C) * (HM1 - HM2)	-	-	-	-	0.230 *	-	
Experience (y)		-	-	-	-	-	3.691 **	
Age		-	-	-	-	-	-3.385 **	
Random Effects:								
Test driver ID (p-value only)		***	***	***	***	***	N/A	
Summary statistics:								
AIC		2183.7	2160.0	2088.1	494.1	245.0	2952.7	
BIC		2197.8	2173.0	2105.0	568.3	349.0	2978.4	
R ² marginal		0.166	0.160	0.118	0.211	0.326	N/A	
R ² (conditional)		0.735	0.756	0.717	0.383	0.608	0.179	
Observations		288						
Participants		48						
Observations/participants		6						
KS test on residual (p-value)		(.695)	(.905)	(.623)	(.136)	(.956)	N/A	

8

LMM in **Table 2** also revealed significant differences in speed along the two ramp terminal connection types (i.e., continuous vs. reverse). The geometric difference between the two CTs influenced driver longitudinal behaviors as confirmed by Portera and Bassani (24). This factor significantly affects speed at the TS (terminal end) section with the reverse design where drivers arrived at a higher speed than they did on a continuous one ($S_{TS,R} - S_{TS,C} = 5.72$ km/h, p < .001). A higher speed, albeit less significant in magnitude, is also evident at the SC section ($S_{SC,R} - S_{SC,C} = 1.47$ km/h, p = .082).

15 Speeds at the end of the deceleration lane (TS section) were only slightly affected by traffic volume 16 $(S_{TS,3000} - S_{TS,1000} = 2.05 \text{ km/h}, \text{ p} = .031)$, in contrast to results observed on linear terminals by Calvi et al. 17 (*12*). The same trend was detected at the taper end (TT) with a lower level of significance 18 $(S_{TT,3000} - S_{TT,1000} = -1.85 \text{ km/h}, \text{ p} = .061)$. Finally, the traffic flow had no significant bearing on speeds at 19 the SC section, i.e., the beginning of the curved ramp $(S_{SC,3000} - S_{SC,1000} = -1.31 \text{ km/h}, \text{ p} = .120)$.

20

FIGURE 5. Speeds (S) for horizontal markings designs at TT (a, d), TS (b, e) and SC (c, f) sections. (a), (b) and
(c) plots refer to male drivers, while (d), (e) and (f) refer to female drivers.

24 Lateral positions

LMM outcomes indicate that *LP* was significantly influenced by innovative HMs (**Table 2** and **Figure 6**). In particular, HM2 had a considerable impact on the lateral position maintained by drivers. At the SC section, the trajectory of drivers travelling in the ramp terminal with HM2 was significantly closer to the lane centerline, a result in keeping with the experimental hypothesis ($LP_{SC,HM1} - LP_{SC,HM1} = -0.413$ m, p < .001). However, this difference is only marginally significant at the end of the terminal

8

1 $(LP_{\text{TS,HM2}} - LP_{\text{TS,HM1}} = -0.150 \text{ m}, \text{ p} = .055)$. In the case of HM3, the results at the SC section indicate that 2 drivers stayed closer to the lane centerline with respect to the conventional marking HM1 3 $(LP_{\text{SC,HM3}} - LP_{\text{SC,HM1}} = 0.198 \text{ m}, \text{ p} < .001)$, albeit no significant differences (p < .556) were found at the 4 terminal end (TS section).

5 The effect of the connection type (CT) on lateral position was significant for both sections (TS and 6 SC). At the TS section, drivers maintained their position on the right side of the lane in the continuous 7 connection type but failed to do so on the reverse one ($LP_{TS,R} - LP_{TS,C} = -0.516$ m, p < .001). At the 8 beginning of the ramp (SC), results show that drivers tended to drive closer to the lane centerline in the 9 reverse connection type ($LP_{SC,R} - LP_{SC,C} = -0.399 \text{ m}, p < .001$). While traffic volume did have a slight impact 10 on the lateral behavior of drivers, it was only at the beginning of the ramp curve (SC) as evidenced in **Table** 11 2 ($LP_{SC,3000}$ - $LP_{SC,1000}$ = 0.075 m, p = .042). The results are in line with those obtained by Portera and 12 Bassani (24): traffic volume on the motorway has no impact on the lateral position of the vehicle at the end 13 of the terminal (TS).

14 15

16

FIGURE 6. Plots of lateral position (*LP*), for the three horizontal markings designs at (a) TS and (b) SC sections.

17 Figure 6 shows the effect of the interaction between HM and connection type on lateral positions 18 at TS and SC sections. In Figure 6a, similar trends for both connection types with different HMs are depicted. Although no statistically significant differences were found at the TS section, Figure 6a indicates 19 20 that HM2 led to an improved LP (LP close to 0) with respect to HM1 and HM3 in continuous ramp-terminal 21 connections. Conversely, HM2 increased the distance between the vehicle CoG and the lane centerline in 22 reverse connections. Significant effects on the lateral position at the beginning of the ramp (SC section) 23 were found for both continuous and reverse ramp designs (Figure 6b). At the TS section, the HM2 was on 24 the right side of the lane only (see Figure 1e and Figure 1h), and thus the perception of a narrowing lane 25 was not as strong. Conversely, at the SC section, the HM2 is on both sides and, therefore, has a greater 26 impact on driver perception. A post-hoc test with Bonferroni correction indicates a significant difference 27 between HM1 and HM2 ($LP_{SC,R,HM1} - LP_{SC,R,HM2} = 0.298$ m, $t_{257} = 4.17$, p < .001). Similar outcomes were 28 found for the continuous connection type ($LP_{SC,C,HM1} - LP_{SC,C,HM2} = 0.528$ m, $t_{243} = 7.80$, p < .001). In this 29 case, the differences between HM1 and HM3 were also significant ($LP_{SC,CHM3} - LP_{SC,CHM1} = 0.292$ m, t_{260} 30 = 4.05, p = .001).

31

32 Diverging abscissa

The *LMM* for the diverging abscissa produced poor quality results, with residuals that were not normally distributed (p < .001). A Shapiro-Wilk test revealed that 7 out of the 24 groups of *DA* data split into the four experimental factors (HM, connection type, lighting conditions, and traffic volume, levels: $3 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2 = 24$) were not normally distributed. As a result, the *glm* was used to interpret this set of experimental data.

38 glm outputs (Table 2) indicate that innovative HMs impacted on DA in different ways: drivers 39 responded to HM2 by adopting a longer DA than they did with HM1, conversely HM3 did not significantly 40 reduce the DA in comparison to HM1. A post-hoc test with Bonferroni correction confirmed this outcome $(DA_{HM1} - DA_{HM3} = 3.04 \text{ m}, z = 0.51, p = .605)$. Furthermore, according to the data in **Figure 4**, the connection 41 type had a relevant impact for reverse ramp terminal connections exhibiting larger values of DA than those 42 43 observed along continuous ones ($DA_c - DA_R = -30.63$ m, z = -6.47, p < .001). Driver experience and age 44 also had a relevant effect on DA, with more experienced drivers tending to enter the terminal at higher DA 45 values than less experienced ones, and older drivers tending to initiate the diverging maneuver into the terminal sooner than younger drivers. These trends are in line with previous studies which observed a 46 47 different attitude to risk taking among drivers of different ages (55) and with different levels of experience 48 (56).

- 49
- 50
- 51

1 CONCLUSIONS

2

3 This study investigated the hypothesis that innovative horizontal markings (HMs) might result in 4 longitudinal and transversal driving performances along diverging ramp terminals superior to those 5 achieved with conventional markings. In this experiment, horizontal marking of type 2 (HM2) was designed 6 to act on the driver's perception of the lane width. The sense of lateral constraint would encourage the driver 7 to maintain a centered trajectory in the lane. Horizontal marking of type 3 (HM3) was intended to work 8 mainly on speed perception by acting on driver peripheral vision (i.e., the distance between the external 9 bands was progressively reduced), leading drivers to believe they were increasing speed. With HM3, the 10 hypothesis is that drivers react to this perception by reducing their speed to values lower than those observed 11 with conventional markings (HM1).

12 The experimental outcomes revealed that both alternative HM types (i.e., HM2 and HM3) had a 13 positive effect on the behavior of drivers involved in this maneuver. In particular, exposure to HM2 resulted 14 in a clear improvement in lateral behavior as hypothesized with drivers closer to the continuous terminal 15 centerline when approaching the ramp (section SC, spiral-to-curve). This is due to the perception of a narrower path which prompts the driver to select a more central trajectory than that adopted in response to 16 17 the standard design (HM1). HM2 also had a significant impact on speeds, which were lower than in cases 18 where drivers interacted with HM1. In fact, the effect of a perceived reduction in lane width is also extended 19 to speeds, which were lower in all the considered sections in the case of the alternative design HM2 20 independently of the traffic and environmental lighting conditions. Similarly, and in line with the hypothesis, HM3 had a significant effect on speed, resulting in improved driver longitudinal control at the 21 22 end of the terminal (section TS, terminal-to-spiral). Nevertheless, it promoted better lateral behavior only 23 when the driver was close to the ramp. In those specific sections, the ramp shoulders were indicated by the 24 markings with the result that the lane contours were clearly visible making it easier for drivers to position 25 their vehicles in the center.

26 In this study, the geometric difference between the two types of connection joining the curved 27 terminal to the ramp was considered. With the continuous connection type, HM2 had a significant positive 28 impact on longitudinal and transversal driver response. HM3, in contrast, had a lower and, indeed, in some 29 cases negligible impact on both speed and lateral position. With the reverse design, drivers merged into the 30 terminal and arrived at the ramp at a higher speed than they did with the continuous design. However, the 31 innovative markings contributed more than conventional one to a reduction in speeds. The connection type 32 also impacted on the driver position in the lane along the same connection, with HM2 allowing drivers to 33 maintain a trajectory which was mostly centered in the lane. However, innovative HMs were not able to 34 contrast the tendency of some drivers to change lane at the end of the reverse terminal when exiting from 35 same. As a result, in this specific case, it is necessary to contrast this inappropriate behavior with the use of 36 alternative countermeasures.

This research confirms the effectiveness of the perceptual techniques used in these specific areas of road design, where drivers are engaged in maneuvers involving changes to speed and/or trajectory. The study demonstrated that innovative markings influence both lateral and longitudinal perceptions leading to improved driver performances and, consequently, contribute in part to an increase in the safety of diverging operations as drivers move from the motorway to the ramp.

The work carried out has limitations as it focused on the influence of a limited number of independent variables, while excluding others from active consideration. The effects of the motorway radius, traffic barriers, and surrounding vehicles in the terminal are all variables which merit investigation in future studies.

47 FUNDING

46

48

49 This research did not receive any funds from public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. All activities

- 50 were conducted in the Laboratory of Road Safety and Driving Simulation (RSDS Lab) at the Department
- 51 of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy).

1 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors confirm contribution to the paper as follows: study conception and design: M. Bassani, G.
Raimondo, A. Portera; data collection: A. Portera, G. Raimondo; analysis and interpretation of results: M.
Bassani, A. Portera, L. Tefa, A. Lioi, A. Hazoor; draft manuscript preparation: M. Bassani, A. Portera, L.
Tefa, A. Lioi, A. Hazoor. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the manuscript.

78 REFERENCES9

- Bauer, K. M., and D. W. Harwood. *Statistical Models of Accidents on Interchange Ramps and Speed- Change Lanes*. Publication FHWA-RD-97-106. Office of Safety and Traffic Operations Research and
 Development Federal Highway Administration, McLean, Virginia, US, 1998.
- Torbic, D. J., D. W. Harwood, D. K. Gilmore, K. R. Richard, and J. G. Bared. Safety Analysis of Interchanges. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2092, No. 1, 2009, pp. 39–47. https://doi.org/10.3141/2092-05.
- Farah, H., A. van Beinum, and W. Daamen. Empirical Speed Behavior on Horizontal Ramp Curves in Interchanges in the Netherlands. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2618, No. 1, 2017, pp. 38–47. https://doi.org/10.3141/2618-04.
- McCartt, A. T., V. S. Northrup, and R. A. Retting. Types and Characteristics of Ramp-Related Motor
 Vehicle Crashes on Urban Interstate Roadways in Northern Virginia. *Journal of Safety Research*, Vol.
 35, No. 1, 2004, pp. 107–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsr.2003.09.019.
- Lord, D., and J. A. Bonneson. Calibration of Predictive Models for Estimating Safety of Ramp Design Configurations. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 1908, No. 1, 2005, pp. 88–95. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198105190800111.
- Lundy, R. A. The Effect of Ramp Type and Geometry on Accidents. *California Department of Public Works*, 1965.
- Zhang, L., C. Chen, J. Zhang, S. Fang, J. You, and J. Guo. Modeling Lane-Changing Behavior in
 Freeway Off-Ramp Areas from the Shanghai Naturalistic Driving Study. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, Vol. 2018, 2018, p. e8645709. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8645709.
- Su Gu, X., M. Abdel-Aty, J. Lee, Q. Xiang, and Y. Ma. Identification of Contributing Factors for Interchange Crashes Based on a Quasi-Induced Exposure Method. *Journal of Transportation Safety & Security*, 2020, pp. 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439962.2020.1812783.
- Harwood, D. W., and J. M. Mason Jr. Ramp/Mainline Speed Relationships and Design Considerations.
 Transportation Research Record, No. 1385, 1993.
- Garcia, A., and M. A. Romero. Experimental Observation of Vehicle Evolution on Deceleration Lanes
 with Different Lengths. Presented at the Compendium of 85th Transportation Research Board Annual
 Meeting, Washington D.C., 2006.
- 11. Livneh, M., A. Polus, and J. Factor. Vehicle Behavior on Deceleration Lanes. Journal of Transportation Engineering, Vol. 114, No. 6, 1988, pp. 706–717.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(1988)114:6(706).
- 12. Calvi, A., A. Benedetto, and M. R. De Blasiis. A Driving Simulator Study of Driver Performance on
 Deceleration Lanes. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 45, 2012, pp. 195–203.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.06.010.
- Lyu, N., Y. Cao, C. Wu, J. Xu, and L. Xie. The Effect of Gender, Occupation and Experience on
 Behavior While Driving on a Freeway Deceleration Lane Based on Field Operational Test Data.
 Accident Analysis & Prevention, Vol. 121, 2018, pp. 82–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.07.034.
- 47 14. Cirillo, J. A., S. K. Dietz, and R. L. Beatty. Analysis and Modeling of Relationships Between Accidents
 48 and the Geometric and Traffic Characteristics of the Interstate System. *Federal Highway* 49 *Administration*, 1969.
- 50 15. Bared, J., G. L. Giering, and D. L. Warren. Safety Evaluation of Acceleration and Deceleration Lane
 51 Lengths. *ITE Journal*, Vol. 69, No. 5, 1999.

- Cirillo, J. A. The Relationship of Accidents to Length of Speed-Change Lanes and Weaving Areas on
 Interstate Highways. *Highway Research Record*, No. 312, 1970, pp. 17–32.
- 17. Chen, H., P. Liu, J. J. Lu, and B. Behzadi. Evaluating the Safety Impacts of the Number and
 Arrangement of Lanes on Freeway Exit Ramps. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 41, No. 3, 2009,
 pp. 543–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2009.01.016.
- 18. Wang, Z., H. Chen, and J. J. Lu. Exploring Impacts of Factors Contributing to Injury Severity at
 Freeway Diverge Areas. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2102, No. 1, 2009, pp. 43–52.
 https://doi.org/10.3141/2102-06.
- 9
 19. Chen, H., H. Zhou, and P.-S. Lin. Freeway Deceleration Lane Lengths Effects on Traffic Safety and
 10 Operation. *Safety Science*, Vol. 64, 2014, pp. 39–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2013.11.007.
- Sarhan, M., Y. Hassan, and A. O. Abd El Halim. Safety Performance of Freeway Sections and Relation
 to Length of Speed-Change Lanes. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol. 35, No. 5, 2008, pp.
 531–541. https://doi.org/10.1139/L07-135.
- Calvi, A., F. Bella, and F. D'Amico. Evaluating the Effects of the Number of Exit Lanes on the
 Diverging Driver Performance. *Journal of Transportation Safety & Security*, Vol. 10, No. 1–2, 2018,
 pp. 105–123. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439962.2016.1208313.
- Ahammed, M. A., Y. Hassan, and T. A. Sayed. Modeling Driver Behavior and Safety on Freeway
 Merging Areas. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, Vol. 134, No. 9, 2008, pp. 370–377.
 https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2008)134:9(370).
- 23. Calvi, A., F. Bella, and F. D'Amico. Diverging Driver Performance along Deceleration Lanes: Driving
 Simulator Study. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2518, No. 1, 2015, pp. 95–103.
 https://doi.org/10.3141/2518-13.
- 24. Portera, A., and M. Bassani. Experimental Investigation into Driver Behavior along Curved and
 Parallel Diverging Terminals of Exit Interchange Ramps. *Transportation Research Record*, 2021, p.
 0361198121997420. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198121997420.
- 26 25. Retting, R., and C. Farmer. Use of Pavement Markings to Reduce Excessive Traffic Speeds on
 27 Hazardous Curves. *ITE Journal*, Vol. 68, 1998, pp. 30–41.
- 26. Carlson, P. J., E. S. Park, and C. K. Andersen. Benefits of Pavement Markings: A Renewed Perspective
 Based on Recent and Ongoing Research. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2107, No. 1, 2009, pp.
 59–68. https://doi.org/10.3141/2107-06.
- 27. Hummer, J. E., W. Rasdorf, D. J. Findley, C. V. Zegeer, and C. A. Sundstrom. Curve Collisions: Road
 and Collision Characteristics and Countermeasures. *Journal of Transportation Safety & Security*, Vol.
 2, No. 3, 2010, pp. 203–220. https://doi.org/10.1080/19439961003734880.
- 34 28. Godley, S. T., T. J. Triggs, and B. N. Fildes. Perceptual Lane Width, Wide Perceptual Road Centre
 35 Markings and Driving Speeds. *Ergonomics*, Vol. 47, No. 3, 2004, pp. 237–256.
 36 https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130310001629711.
- Babić, D., M. Fiolić, D. Babić, and T. Gates. Road Markings and Their Impact on Driver Behaviour
 and Road Safety: A Systematic Review of Current Findings. *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, Vol.
 2020, 2020, p. e7843743. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7843743.
- 30. Montella, A., F. Galante, F. Mauriello, and L. Pariota. Effects of Traffic Control Devices on Rural
 Curve Driving Behavior. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2492, No. 1, 2015, pp. 10–22.
 https://doi.org/10.3141/2492-02.
- 43 31. Charlton, S. G. The Role of Attention in Horizontal Curves: A Comparison of Advance Warning,
 44 Delineation, and Road Marking Treatments. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 39, No. 5, 2007, pp.
 45 873–885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2006.12.007.
- 32. Ding, H., X. Zhao, J. Rong, and J. Ma. Experimental Research on the Effectiveness of Speed Reduction
 Markings Based on Driving Simulation: A Case Study. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, Vol. 60, 2013,
 pp. 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.08.007.
- Katz, B., J. Molino, and H. A. Rakha. Evaluation of Design Alternatives of Peripheral Transverse Bars
 to Reduce Vehicle Speeds and Center Line Encroachment in a Driving Simulator. Presented at the

- Transportation Research Board 87th Annual MeetingTransportation Research Board, Washington,
 D.C., 2008.
- 3 34. Liu, B., S. Zhu, H. Wang, and J. Xia. Literature Review and Prospect on the Study of Perceptual Speed
 4 Reduction. In 2008 IEEE International Conference on Service Operations and Logistics, and
 5 Informatics, No. 1, 2008, pp. 342–346.
- 6 35. Wilde, G. J. S. Risk Homeostasis Theory: An Overview. *Injury Prevention*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1998, pp.
 7 89–91. https://doi.org/10.1136/ip.4.2.89.
- 8 36. Ariën, C., K. Brijs, G. Vanroelen, W. Ceulemans, E. M. M. Jongen, S. Daniels, T. Brijs, and G. Wets.
 9 The Effect of Pavement Markings on Driving Behaviour in Curves: A Simulator Study. *Ergonomics*,
 10 Vol. 60, No. 5, 2017, pp. 701–713. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2016.1200749.
- Awan, H. H., A. Pirdavani, A. Houben, S. Westhof, M. Adnan, and T. Brijs. Impact of Perceptual Countermeasures on Driving Behavior at Curves Using Driving Simulator. *Traffic Injury Prevention*, Vol. 20, No. 1, 2019, pp. 93–99. https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2018.1532568.
- 38. Babić, D., and T. Brijs. Low-Cost Road Marking Measures for Increasing Safety in Horizontal Curves:
 A Driving Simulator Study. Accident Analysis & Prevention, Vol. 153, 2021, p. 106013.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.106013.
- 39. Calvi, A., F. D'Amico, C. Ferrante, L. Bianchini Ciampoli, and F. Tosti. Applying Perceptual
 Treatments for Reducing Operating Speeds on Curves: A Driving Simulator Study for Investigating
 Driver's Speed Behavior. Cham, 2020.
- 40. Räsänen, M. Effects of a Rumble Strip Barrier Line on Lane Keeping in a Curve. Accident Analysis &
 Prevention, Vol. 37, No. 3, 2005, pp. 575–581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2005.02.001.
- 41. Retting, R. A., H. W. McGee, and C. M. Farmer. Influence of Experimental Pavement Markings on
 Urban Freeway Exit-Ramp Traffic Speeds. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 1705, No. 1, 2000,
 pp. 116–121. https://doi.org/10.3141/1705-17.
- 42. Hunter, M., S. Boonsiripant, A. Guin, M. O. Rodgers, and D. Jared. Evaluation of Effectiveness of
 Converging Chevron Pavement Markings in Reducing Speed on Freeway Ramps. *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 2149, No. 1, 2010, pp. 50–58. https://doi.org/10.3141/2149-06.
- 43. Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti. Norme Funzionali e Geometriche per la Costruzione delle
 Strade. Decreto Ministeriale 5 novembre 2001, n. 6792, Gazzetta Ufficiale n.3 del 4-1-2002-Suppl.
 Ordinario n.5, 2001.
- 44. Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti. Norme Funzionali e Geometriche per la Costruzione delle
 Intersezioni Stradali. Decreto Ministeriale 19 aprile 2006, Gazzetta Ufficiale n.170 del 24-7–2006,
 2006.
- 45. Rakha, H. A., B. J. Katz, and D. Duke. Design and Evaluation of Peripheral Transverse Bars to Reduce
 Vehicle Speed. Presented at the Transportation Research Board 85th Annual MeetingTransportation
 Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2006.
- 46. Portera, A., and M. Bassani. Factors Influencing Driver Behaviour along Curved Merging Interchange
 Terminals. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, Vol. 75, 2020, pp.
 187–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2020.10.006.
- 40 47. World Medical Association. WMA Declaration of Helsinki Ethical Principles for Medical Research
 41 Involving Human Subjects. 2018.
- 48. Bassani, M., L. Catani, A. A. Ignazzi, and M. Piras. Validation of a Fixed-Base Driving Simulator to
 Assess Behavioural Effects of Road Geometrics. Presented at the DSC 2018 EUROPE VR Driving
 Simulation Conference & Exhibition, Antibes, France, 2018.
- 45 49. Catani, L., and M. Bassani. Anticipatory Distance, Curvature, and Curvature Change Rate in
 46 Compound Curve Negotiation: A Comparison between Real and Simulated Driving. Presented at the
 47 98th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C., 2019.
- 48 50. Karimi, A., A. M. Boroujerdian, and M. Bassani. Investigation of Influential Variables to Predict 49 Passing Rate at Short Passing Zones on Two-Lane Rural Highways. Journal of Transportation 50 Engineering, Part Systems, Vol. 146, No. 10, 2020, p. 04020117. A: https://doi.org/10.1061/JTEPBS.0000440. 51

- Kemp, B. J. Reaction Time of Young and Elderly Subjects in Relation to Perceptual Deprivation and
 Signal-on versus Signal-off Conditions. *Developmental Psychology*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 1973, pp. 268–272.
 https://doi.org/10.1037/h0034147.
- 4 52. Thompson, P. D., J. G. Colebatch, P. Brown, J. C. Rothwell, B. L. Day, J. A. Obeso, and C. D. Marsden. 5 Voluntary Stimulus-Sensitive Jerks and Jumps Mimicking Myoclonus or Pathological Startle 6 Disorders, Vol. 7. No. 3. 1992. Syndromes. Movement pp. 257-262. 7 https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.870070312.
- 53. Pain, M. T. G., and A. Hibbs. Sprint Starts and the Minimum Auditory Reaction Time. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, Vol. 25, No. 1, 2007, pp. 79–86. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640410600718004.
- 10 54. Oltedal, S., and T. Rundmo. The Effects of Personality and Gender on Risky Driving Behaviour and 11 Accident Involvement. Safety Science. Vol. 44. No. 7. 2006. pp. 621-628. 12 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2005.12.003.
- 55. Krahé, B., and I. Fenske. Predicting Aggressive Driving Behavior: The Role of Macho Personality,
 Age, and Power of Car. *Aggressive Behavior*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2002, pp. 21–29.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/ab.90003.
- 56. Karimi, A., A. M. Boroujerdian, L. Catani, and M. Bassani. Who Overtakes More? Explanatory
 Analysis of the Characteristics of Drivers from Low/Middle and High-Income Countries on Passing
 Frequency. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, Vol. 76, 2021, pp.
 167–177. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2020.11.005.



FIGURE 1. Images showing the three HMs and the two ramp terminal connection types (continuous and reverse). Vision of the three HMs from the driver point of view: (a) HM1 (baseline), (b) HM2 (type 2), and (c) HM3 (type 3). Plan view of the three layouts: (d) HM1 continuous ramp terminal, (e) HM2 continuous e ramp terminal, (f) HM3 continuous ramp terminals, (g) HM1 reverse ramp terminals, (h) HM2 reverse ramp terminals, and (i) HM3 reverse ramp terminals (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-spiral, SC = spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).



FIGURE 2. Longitudinal (speed) behavior along curved deceleration ramp terminals with a range of experimental factor combinations (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-spiral, SC = spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).



FIGURE 3. Transversal (lateral position) behavior along curved deceleration ramp terminals with variable experimental factor combinations (TB = taper begin, TT = taper-to-terminal, TS = terminal-to-spiral, SC = spiral-to-curve, CE = curve end).



FIGURE 4. Boxplots of diverging abscissa for three HM designs in continuous and reverse ramp terminals.



Figure 5



(d) (e) (f) **FIGURE 5.** Speeds (*S*) for horizontal markings designs at TT (a, d), TS (b, e) and SC (c, f) sections. (a), (b) and (c) plots refer to male drivers, while (d), (e) and (f) refer to female drivers.



FIGURE 6. Plots of lateral position (LP), for the three horizontal markings designs at (a) TS and (b) SC sections.