POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Longitudinal and Transversal Driver Behaviour with Innovative Horizontal Markings Along Curved Motorway On Ramps and Terminals

Original

Longitudinal and Transversal Driver Behaviour with Innovative Horizontal Markings Along Curved Motorway On Ramps and Terminals / Bassani, Marco; Portera, Alberto; Raimondo, Giorgia. - In: TRANSPORTATION LETTERS. - ISSN 1942-7867. - ELETTRONICO. - (2023). [10.1080/19427867.2022.2035121]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2954845 since: 2022-02-08T12:08:29Z

Publisher: Taylor and Francis

Published DOI:10.1080/19427867.2022.2035121

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Taylor and Francis preprint/submitted version

This is an Author's Original Manuscript of an article published by Taylor and Francis in TRANSPORTATION LETTERS on 2023, available at http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/19427867.2022.2035121

(Article begins on next page)

- 1 Longitudinal and Transversal Driver Behaviour with Innovative Horizontal
- 2 Markings Along Curved Motorway On-Ramps and Terminals
- 3 4 Marco Bassani¹ (*) 5 6 (*) = corresponding author 7 marco.bassani@polito.it ORCID: 0000-0003-2560-1497 8 9 10 Alberto Portera¹ 11 12 alberto.portera@polito.it 13 ORCID: 0000-0002-6685-4805 14 https://it.linkedin.com/in/alberto-portera-75a40367 15 16 Giorgia Raimondo¹ 17 giorgia.raimondo@studenti.polito.it 18 19 https://it.linkedin.com/in/giorgia-raimondo-484291197 20 ¹ Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering (DIATI), Politecnico di Torino 21 24, corso Duca degli Abruzzi, Torino, 10129 - Italy. 22 23 24 2021 World Transport Conference paper 25 26 Paper number: GL0404-P-001 27

28 ABSTRACT

29 The manuscript presents a driving simulation study on the effectiveness of two innovative horizontal marking 30 designs (in comparison with the conventional marking) along acceleration ramps and reverse and continue 31 terminals on curved motorway sections. Longitudinal and transversal behavioural data were collected from 32 forty-eight test drivers in response to variations in marking type, lighting conditions, and traffic-flow along the 33 motorway. Although the innovative markings did not have a significant impact on speeds along continue 34 terminals, they did have an impact on the lane gap and the standard deviation of lateral positions. Along the 35 reverse terminal design type, their impact was evident on all the investigated longitudinal and transversal 36 outcomes. This study proved that the perceptual techniques used by drivers engaged in speed and trajectory management along curved terminals are effective in promoting better driving performances. 37

38

39 **KEYWORDS:** On-ramp terminal, clothoid, driver behaviour, driving simulation, horizontal markings.

- 40
- 41

42 1 INTRODUCTION

Horizontal road markings delimit spaces for the different categories of traffic and promote traffic alignment by obliging road users to follow orderly and common trajectories. They facilitate adherence to the traffic rules and serve to guarantee safe and comfortable conditions for road users (Babić et al., 2020). The markings placed on the road surface are in the form of stripes, symbols, and numbers which serve to convey information to road users. To avoid any confusion, markings must be recognizable and interpretable. For these reasons, road markings are standardized according to national highway codes.

49 However, ordinary markings may prove ineffectual in situations where the driver is forced to accelerate 50 or decelerate over short distances, or when the driver has to deal with complex road geometries, such as on 51 entry and exit ramp-terminals (Calvi & De Blasiis, 2011; Kondyli & Elefteriadou, 2012). The literature 52 evidences that the ramp-terminal geometry (i.e., type, shape, width, and length) has a significant impact on the 53 operational and safety performance of these facilities (Ahammed et al., 2008, Gu et al., 2019; Reinolsmann et 54 al., 2019). Greater difficulties in trajectory control arise when ramps are connected to curved sections of the 55 motorway, where the connection to the motorway is through road sections with continuously variable curvature 56 (Bassani & Portera, 2020, Portera and Bassani, 2021).

In situations where they have to make significant changes to speed and/or trajectory, drivers may make inappropriate decisions and, consequently, make mistakes (Bassani & Portera, 2020). In such circumstances, drivers should be encouraged to adopt adequate longitudinal and transversal behaviour. In this context, innovative solutions can increase driver awareness when making decisions, and help to ensure adequate safety conditions.

Denton (1980) and Godley et al. (1999) observed that innovative horizontal markings act as perceptive countermeasures which can induce changes in driver behaviour. Innovative markings have been tested and used to reduce the speed at tangents (Ariën et al., 2017), curves (Charlton, 2007; Ariën et al., 2017, Awan et al., 2019; Babić and Brijs, 2021), and transition zones (Hussain et al., 2021). The markings lead to a reduction in speed since they provide drivers with an enhanced perception of their speed. They are used to transmit useful information to drivers which allow them to discriminate between the different road types and, consequently, to select the most appropriate speed (Charlton, et al., 2010).

69 Not only can horizontal markings influence the perception of speed, but they can also influence the 70 perception of the narrowness of the road through perceptual processing (Montella, et al., 2011). The perceived 71 reduction in the lane width results from the painting of strips on the road surface (Godley, et al., 2004) or by 72 delimiting the width available with shoulder rumble strips (Zaidel, et al., 1986). Perceptual countermeasures 73 are designed to make drivers think that they are travelling at higher speeds than they are. This perception of 74 increased speed leads to a greater sense of danger (perception of risk) and, thereby, encourages the driver to 75 drive more prudently (Fildes, et al., 1993) following the so-called principle of risk homeostasis (Wilde, 1998). 76 These perceptual techniques are already used in other contexts, such as in playrooms and amusement parks, 77 where lights which, initially, flash at a constant frequency, then begin to flash at an accelerated pace, which 78 serves to heighten the sense of movement (Meyer, 2001).

In 1975, Rutley suggested that the perception of speed is based on the rapidity with which objects placed on the side of the road move in the peripheral field of vision. It follows that if the road markings were progressively spaced out along the road, motorists would get a sense of acceleration that they would compensate for by slowing down. In addition to acting on the perception of speed, markings can also act on driver perception of the available lane space ahead within which to manoeuvre the vehicle, and lead to changes in the trajectory of the driven vehicle.

While innovative horizontal markings have been examined for several road components such us intersections, tangents, curves and deceleration ramps (Denton 1980; Godley, et al. 1999; Charlton, 2007; Montella, et al., 2011; Charlton, et al., 2017; Godley, et al., 2004), there are no studies relative to the introduction of these facilities to accelerating transitions zones, such as motorway on-ramps.

On the merging ramp terminals, the driver makes a drastic adjustment to his speed in line with new geometric and operational conditions. On curved on-ramp-terminals, the driver has to manage the vehicle speed when joining the motorway and must also maintain control of the vehicle when changing trajectory. In these sections, entry manoeuvres demand greater control to avoid collisions with fixed installations and other vehicles in the surroundings.

Two different innovative horizontal markings and an ordinary design (i.e., the experimental control condition) were investigated. The research hypothesis was that innovative markings increase the perception of speed and restrict the width of the available road space, both of which may encourage the driver to make better speed decisions (i.e., consistent with the design hypothesis) and maintain superior lateral control of the vehicle.

- The data were collected on curved on-ramp-terminals. The experiment was carried out at the fixed-base driving simulator at the Road Safety and Driving Simulation Lab of the Politecnico di Torino. The behaviour of forty-eight licensed participants was evaluated for the three different horizontal markings, two traffic flows (1000 pc/h and 3000 pc/h), and two lighting conditions (day and night). In addition, simulations included driving on two different curved on-ramp terminals, one continue ramp-terminal and one reverse ramp-terminal.
- 103

104 **2 METHOD**

105 **2.1 Setting**

The fixed-base driving simulator (AV Simulation, France) was relatively validated for speed (Catani, 2019, Bassani et al., 2018) and lateral behaviour (Catani & Bassani, 2019). The vision system was made up of three 32-inch full HD covering approximately 130° of the driver field of view. SCANeRStudioTM (AV Simulation, France) was used to design tracks, manage the vehicle parameters, generate the experimental scenarios, run the simulations, collect and extract data.

111

112 **2.2 Design of road scenarios and horizontal markings**

Twelve circuits including direct ramps to connect two-lane rural highways to motorway segments were designed to facilitate the performance of merging manoeuvres. Each circuit consisted of two curved motorway sections with an entry ramp located along each motorway. The first ramp had the same direction as the motorway curve, so a continue ramp-terminal was designed according to Figure 1a. A second ramp in the circuit had an opposite curvature to the motorway curve, so a reverse ramp-terminal design was adopted (Figure 1b). Ramps were designed in accordance with the Italian policy for intersection and interchanges (MIT, 2006), with terminal lengths designed according to the Highway Capacity Manual (TRB, 2016) for a level of service corresponding to B. The motorway cross-section presented two lanes per direction, with a lane width of 3.75 m and a right shoulder width of 3 m. According to the Italian Policy (MIT, 2006), the ramp had one 4 m wide lane and two 1.5 m wide shoulders.

123 Ramp terminals were located along curved sections. The radius of the motorway (R) was set equal to 124 964 m, which is the minimum radius for the maximum design speed for Italian motorways (140 km/h). The ramp radius was set to r = 150 m with a design speed of 60 km/h. In the case of the continue terminal 125 126 (Figure 1a), the connection between terminal and ramp was an egg-shaped 125 m long clothoid, and the ramp 127 terminal of 285 m long. In the reverse terminal (Figure 1b), the clothoid was a reverse S-shape design and was 263 m long, and the ramp terminal was 185 m long. The clothoid lengths are different because of their shape 128 despite having the same scale factor (set equal to 150 m). The terminal lengths also differ so as to make it 129 130 possible for drivers to reach the same design speed at the TT section (120 km/h) under the design acceleration 131 of 1 m/s² according to the Italian standard (MIT, 2006). In both cases, the taper was set at a length of 75 m.

The three different horizontal markings were implemented along the entire length of the ramp-terminal 132 system (Figure 2). Each ramp was divided into three zones (Figure 1). Zone A is the circular portion of the 133 134 ramp which starts at the SC section (spiral-to-curve) and ends at the CS section (curve-to-spiral). Zone B 135 covers the whole clothoid segment of the ramp from CS to ST (spiral-to-terminal). Zone C consists of the 136 circular terminal from ST to TT (terminal-to-taper). The final taper ends 75 m after the TT section (i.e., the TE 137 section). In each zone, the same marking was implemented albeit with different characteristics. In zones A and B, the markings were on both sides (i.e., shoulders) of the lane, while in zone C (i.e., the merging zone from 138 terminal to the motorway through lane) they were only along the right side of the terminal. Conventional road 139 140 markings, denominated HM1, were considered together with two unconventional horizontal markings HM2 141 and HM3 (Figure 2).







 $\begin{array}{c} 147\\ 148 \end{array}$

143 144

Figure 2. Design details of non-conventional horizontal markings HM2 and HM3 in the three zones of a merging
 ramp: zone A, ramp with constant radius; zone B, ramp-terminal connection with variable radius; zone C,
 terminal with constant radius.

152

The unconventional horizontal markings (HM2 and HM3) proposed in this study were designed with reference to the solutions proposed in Ding et al. (2013). However, the designs of HM2 and HM3 were adapted to the experimental hypothesis as described in details in the following. HM2 consists of thick bands which are spaced out along the lane. It is assumed that they impact on driver perception of the lane width. The hypothesis is that when the bands are thicker (i.e., the lane appears narrower), the driver exerts a higher degree of lateral vehicle control (i.e., he/she tends to drive closer to the lane centreline). As a secondary but nonetheless important effect, it is assumed that the driver still exerts a superior speed control in the presence of HM2 markings (compared to HM1) despite the sensation of a narrower lane ahead. HM2 marking was designed with bands of variable width in each of the three zones (Figure 2a).

HM3 consists of stripes of equal width but variable spacing, located beyond the lane edge. With this marking, the hypothesis is that it acts on driver speed perception in the peripheral sphere of vision. Despite travelling at a constant speed, the driver has the impression that he is slowing down when the spacing between consecutive stripes increases as the vehicle moves from the ramp to the terminal. This false perception may induce the driver to increase speed and merge onto the motorway at a speed close to that of the vehicles proceeding along the through lanes (i.e., consistent with the design standard hypothesis). For HM3, the spacing between bars was varied in the three zones (Figure 2b).

The second control variable in the experiment was the traffic flow (*TF*) in the motorway through lanes. Traffic-flows and volumes influence the behaviour of road-users, who regulate their speed and trajectory in accordance with the surrounding vehicle density, and the level of conflict in the road (HCM, 2010). Two traffic flows of 1000 and 3000 pc/h were simulated. The traffic was generated following a Gamma probability distribution function, with α (shape) and β (scale) parameters equal to 8.466 and 0.477 respectively for 1000 pc/h, and 3.057 and 0.650 respectively for 3000 pc/h. No traffic was generated along the ramps, i.e. the simulated vehicle was not conditioned by the passage of other vehicles along the ramp and the terminal.

177 Finally, driving operations were conducted in day-time (Figure 3a) and night-time conditions (Figure 3b). This variable can be decisive when it comes to the control of speed and trajectory, as reduced 178 179 visibility can be an obstacle to the correct perception of the road geometry indicated by the horizontal 180 markings. No traffic barriers were included in the road scenario (Figure 3) to prevent any behavioural effects 181 that could impact observation data. Barriers alter the perception of safety (Ben-Bassat and Shinar, 2011) and 182 result in a shorter available sight distance (Bassan, 2016), hence they produce effects that are difficult to 183 identify and which are not among the variables of interest in the experiment. These assumptions have been 184 made to ensure a satisfactory level of control in the experiment, and to avoid any additional secondary effects 185 due to the inclusion of other factors in the experiment.

186 Combining the experimental factors (3 horizontal markings \times 2 traffic conditions \times 2 environmental 187 lighting conditions), twelve different circuits were created with each one including a continue and a reverse 188 ramp-terminal. Each participant drove on three randomly assigned circuits.



Figure 3. Examples of testing scenarios: (a) continue ramp-terminal daytime condition with HM1, (b) continue ramp-terminal night-time condition with HM2, (c) reverse ramp-terminal daytime condition with HM2, (d) reverse ramp-terminal daytime condition with HM3.

198 2.3 Participants

Forty-eight licensed drivers (27 males and 21 females) took part in the experiment voluntarily and without any compensation. All those taking part signed a consent form before the beginning of the experiments. The age of the selected drivers ranged from 18 to 64 years with a mean age of 41.4 years. Information on driving licenses on the website of the Ministry of Transport (MIT) was used to ensure that the group of drivers selected was representative of the Italian driver population. Detailed information pertaining to the test drivers' characteristics is provided in Table 1.

205

190 191

192 193

 206
 Table 1. Characteristics of participants (Mean = Mean value, Min = minimum value, Max = maximum value, 207

 207
 SD = standard deviation).

Gender		Male	Female	Total
Participants (number)		27	21	48
Age (years)	Min	19	20	19
	Mean	42.2	41.6	41.4
	Max	61	57	61
Driving Experience [y]	Mean	22.8	22.1	22.5
	SD	13.3	11.6	12.8
Distance Translad flow (a)	Mean	16,096	9,100	12,615
Distance Travened [km/y]	SD	11,652	7,643	10,787
Crash Experience [#]	Mean	1.1	1.4	1.2
Crash Experience [#]	SD	1.3	2.3	1.8

208

209 **2.4 Experiment protocol and data collection**

During the experimental phase, each test driver followed the following protocol: (i) completion of a pre-drive questionnaire; (ii) performance of pre-drive cognitive tests (visual and auditory); (iii) driving experience in three scenarios with two-minute rest intervals; (iv) performance of post-drive cognitive tests; and (v) completion of a post-drive questionnaire. The pre-drive questionnaire was used to determine the general health status of the drivers and also to establish whether they had consumed any food and/or substances prior to the experiment; the post-drive questionnaire was related to the virtual environment and the subjective judgmentof the driving experience.

217 Cognitive tests were administered to check for any possible variation in cognitive performance before 218 and after driving. The test was carried out on the available tool on http://cognitivefun.net/. Attentional response 219 times to both visual (visual reaction test) and auditory stimuli (auditory reaction test) were recorded. Cognitive 220 test results showed that the experiment did not induce any significant change(s) in attentional responses. This 221 result was confirmed by the t-test carried out on, before and after data on the visual reaction time (t_{94} = -0.463, 222 p = .64), and the auditory stimuli ($t_{94} = 0.087$, p = .93). This result confirms that driver performance remained 223 constant during the experiment, and that drivers did not suffer from any excessive mental workload, which 224 might have influenced their performance levels.

225 The driving task was divided into two sessions. In the first one, drivers drove along a simple urban 226 road to gain familiarity with the simulator. The second session was the real simulation in which data were 227 collected. The second session consisted of three driving scenarios with a rest time of two minutes between 228 each scenario. Data on vehicle positions and driver actions on pedals and the steering wheel were collected at 229 a frequency of 100 Hz. Output factors from driving included longitudinal speed (S), lateral position (LP) of 230 the vehicle centre of gravity (CoG) from the road centreline, and standard deviation of lateral position (SDLP). 231 Negative LP values indicate a CoG on the right side of the terminal lane centreline. SDLP describes the driver's 232 ability to maintain control of the vehicle along a stretch of roadway. Low SDLP values indicate a good level 233 of transversal vehicle control; as the SDLP value increases, the trajectory control capacity decreases. In the 234 present case, this parameter was used to determine whether the markings influence on trajectory control 235 (transversal behaviour).

Since each driver drove on three randomly assigned circuits, there were twelve data available for each output. The simulation outcome data were collected, validated, and processed to get an overview of driving performances and their variability on continue and reverse merging ramp-terminals with different horizontal markings, traffic-flows, and lighting conditions.

240

241 **2.5 Data analysis and modelling**

The Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test for normality was performed on S and LP, with each set of data found to be always normally distributed.

Linear mixed-effect models (LMM) fit with a restricted maximum likelihood (REML) algorithm were calibrated to determine which factors conditioned the driver's longitudinal and transversal behaviour along the investigated road scenarios. LMM include both fixed and random effects and predict the degree to which the experimental outcomes depend on the variables (i.e., horizontal marking type, traffic conditions, lighting conditions, and gender) and covariates (i.e., age and driving experience of participants) as fixed effects, and clustered variables (i.e., test driver ID) which were included as random effects. In LMM, random effects are assumed to be normally distributed with a null mean. Model calibration and all statistical tests (e.g., post-hoc analyses with Holm correction, and simple effect analysis) were carried out through Jamovi (ver. 1.8.1.0), with

the submodule GAMLj (ver. 2.4.7) (www.jamovi.org/).

253

254 **3 RESULTS**

255 **3.1 Continue ramp-terminal**

Longitudinal behaviour has been described through speed data. Figure 4a shows the average speeds recorded along seven significant sections indicated in Figure 1a, with the three different horizontal markings, the two lighting and the two-traffic flow conditions in the through motorway lanes. In Figure 4a, the three zones (A, circular arc in the ramp; B, continue clothoid in the ramp; C, circular arc terminal) are highlighted with a changing background greyscale.

The speeds adopted by drivers were found to be always higher than the design speed (60 km/h). Any difference between the design speed and the speed adopted by drivers always occurs because of the conservative values of the design factors adopted by the reference standard, e.g., curves are designed assuming lateral friction values based on wet pavement conditions. Since the experiments were conducted under dry pavement conditions, most of the drivers adopted a higher operating speed.

In the case of a 1000 pc/h traffic flow in Figures 4a(A) and Figure 4a(B), the lowest speeds were recorded with HM2. Conversely, in the daily case with 3000 pc/h flow, the highest speeds were observed with HM2. Drivers subjected to HM1 and HM3 generated similar speed values; in Figure 4a(D), the average difference between the speeds observed with these two markings (S_{HM1} - S_{HM3}) was 5.7 km/h.

These results are difficult to interpret (at both an individual and collective level) because they are affected by the independent factors and variables included in the experimental design. Furthermore, the results depict the response of a subgroup of drivers only. Hence, the driving style of participants belonging to a specific subgroup may have influenced the data outcomes. Consistent with the indications provided in Section 2.5, the effects associated with driver subjectivity were more correctly interpreted by regarding the test driver ID as a random effect in the LMM.



277

278

Figure 4. (a) Average speeds and (b) average lateral position values observed on a continue ramp-terminal. Each
 point represents the average of twelve experimental data.

282 Lateral position (LP) data were also recorded and reported in Figure 4b. LP equal to zero means that the 283 driver is at the centre of the ramp/terminal lane. Positive values indicate that the driver maintained the vehicle 284 on the left side of the lane. In Figure 4b, the two lines for an LP equal to +2 and -2 m indicate the left and right 285 edges of the ramp-terminal lane respectively. In this specific case, data collected in zones A and B refer to the vehicle position in the ramp and in the circular arc (zone A) and clothoid (zone B). In zone C (the circular 286 287 terminal) the driver can change lanes and merge onto the motorway through lanes. Consequently, at the point 288 of exiting zone B, most of the drivers started moving their vehicle to the left side of the lane, which explains 289 why the recorded values in zone C are generally positive.

As shown in figure 4b, HM2 and HM3 promote a slightly better trajectory control, prompting drivers to maintain more centred trajectories. Specifically, for HM2, in day-time conditions, centred trajectories are more

evident in zone A, while in night-time conditions this effect also extends to zone B. HM3 had greater
effectiveness only in the daytime case with the highest conditioned traffic flow (3000 pc/h).

294

295 **3.2 Reverse ramp-terminal**

296 Figure 5a shows speeds for the reverse terminal (Figure 1b). In this case, HM2 and HM3 seem to have had a 297 positive effect on longitudinal behaviour prompting drivers to adopt higher speeds than with HM1, which means they reach a merging speed closer to that of vehicles travelling along the motorway through lane (in 298 299 this experiment simulated vehicles travelled at speeds in the 120-130 km/h range). The only exception observed was in the case of a 1000 pc/h traffic-flow and daytime condition, where HM3 resulted in lower 300 speeds than HM1. HM2 and HM3 both had a positive impact on transversal behaviour during day-time 301 302 conditions (i.e., the LP values were closer to zero) both on the ramp and on the reverse terminal (Figure 5b). 303 Under night-time conditions, the innovative HM had little to no effect.



305

306

309

Figure 5. (a) Average speeds (b) and average lane gap along reverse ramp-terminals. Each point represents the
 average of twelve experimental data.

310 4 ANALYSIS

Based on the data presented in Figure 4 and Figure 5, recorded data were analysed at the specific sites shown in Figure 1. Speed was analysed at the beginning and the end of the terminal, i.e. at the ST (S@ST) and TT (S@TT) sections. Because of the significant number of lane movements observed immediately before the terminal, LP was evaluated at CS (LP@CS) when drivers were moving from the ramp to the variable curvature design connection. Finally, SDLP was evaluated along the connection between the ramp and the terminal (i.e., form CS to ST), which is indicated in Figure 1 and Figure 2 as zone B (SDLP@zone B).

318 4.1 Continue ramp-terminal

319 From a calibration of the LMM on speed data, the influence of the innovative HM on speeds proved negligible 320 $(S@ST: F_{106.0} = 0.216, p = .806; S@TT: F_{106.9} = 1.1933, p = .305)$ as depicted in Table 2. In both models 321 developed for speeds @ST and @TT, the fixed effects associated with participants accounted for more than 322 22% of the total variance in the model. Gender was considered as a factor and proved significant 323 $(F_{44.7} = 14.966, p = <.001)$ with males being faster than females. However, covariates relating to the personal characteristics of participants (driver experience and age) were all found to be irrelevant across all the models. 324 325 According to the experimental hypothesis, driver speeds in zones B and C featuring HM2 and HM3 were 326 expected to travel at a higher speed than those travelling with HM1, with drivers merging into the motorway 327 at speeds close to that of drivers in the motorway through lanes. However, the LMM outcomes fail to support 328 this hypothesis. This is explained by the fact that the peripheral vision of drivers merging into zone C cannot process the information provided by the additional markings (Figure 2). Finally, no other experimental factors 329 330 had an impact on the speed decision.

331 Contrary to what was observed for speeds, LMM indicates that HM type significantly influenced the 332 lateral position of the vehicle. Figure 6 evidences that vehicles subject to the influence of innovative HM remain closer to the ramp centreline than they do in the presence of ordinary HM. When TF = 3000 v/h and 333 334 during daytime, the difference in LP between HM3 and HM1 is significant ($t_{111} = 2.383$, p = 0.019), as it is during night-time between HM2 and HM1 ($t_{103} = 3.014$, p = .003), and between HM3 and HM1 ($t_{116} = 2.227$, 335 336 p = .028). Figure 6b also indicates that during night-time, drivers reacted to the higher volume of traffic on the 337 motorway by keeping a larger lateral distance, i.e. maintaining the vehicle closer to the right lane edge. In 338 contrast, drivers approaching the terminal in conditions of lower traffic volumes did not adopt such wide lateral 339 distance values.

Nevertheless, the positive influence of HM2 on transversal behaviour is evident in both Figure 6c and 6d, with drivers maintaining better trajectory control during both daytime and night-time conditions. Surprisingly, HM3 was found to be ineffective on SDLP in daytime conditions (Figure 6c). It is worth noting that the calibrated LMM for SDLP suffers from heteroscedasticity of residuals as indicated by the normal distribution violation as per the KS test (p < .001), due to the excessive SDLP values of four of the 48 drivers. It is also worth noting that Schielzeth et al. (2020) observed that LMM are robust enough even when assumption checks are violated.

Traffic volume impacts on the lateral behaviour of drivers as evidenced in Table 2. This effect is explained by the absence of any traffic barriers or sight obstructions restricting the view of oncoming motorway traffic from the ramp. During night-time when TF = 3000 v/h, drivers drove closer to the right lane edge than they did under daytime conditions and in the presence of lower traffic flows. Furthermore, under lower traffic levels in the motorway drivers in the ramp-terminal connection were able to maintain superior transversal vehicle control with respect to scenarios with 3000 v/h on the motorway travelled way.

- 353
- 354
- 355

Table 2. LMM outputs on significant factors affecting speeds, LP and SDLP along continue terminals (HM = horizontal markings type, TF = traffic flow, LC = lighting conditions)

		Estimated model coefficients (p-value)				
Variables	Effect	S@ST	S @ TT	LP @ CS	SDLP @ Zone B	
Intercept		70.616 (<.001)	93.498 (<.001)	-0.7668 (<.001)	0.2457 (<.001)	
FE: HM	(HM2-HM1)	-	-	0.1429 (.039)	-	
	(HM3-HM1)	-	-	0.2012 (.006)	-	
TF		-	-	-	0.0565 (.077)	
LC * TF		-	-	-0.2052 (.060)	-	
HM * LC	(HM2-HM1)	-	-	-	-	
	(HM3-HM1)	-	-	-	-0.1784 (.021)	
Gender		10.842 (<.001)	11.863 (<.001)	-	-	
RE: Test driver ID		(<.001)	(<.001)	(<.001)	(.245)	
Summary statistics						
AIC		1078.8	1118.1	135.9	-55.029	
BIC		1089.5	1108.1	230.9	39.197	
R ² marginal		.227	.238	.135	.106	
R ² conditional		.664	.571	.464	.210	
Observations				144		
Participants				48		
Observations/participants				3		
KS test on residual (p-value)		.995	.670	.754	<.001	
-1.2 HM1 HM2 Horizontal marking	HM3 type	5% CI) OB 1000 3000	-0.9 -1.2 HM1 Horizon	HM2 HM3 tal marking type	(95% CI) • 1000 • 3000	
0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 HM1 HM2	Tra (9	ffic (v/h) 5% Cl) 1000 3000 dTIGS	0.4 - 0.3 - 0.2 - 0.1 -	HM2 HM3	Traffic (v/h) (95% CI) • 1000 • 3000	
Horizontal marking	type		Horizon	tal marking type	1	
0		(c)			(

360

358

359

Figure 6. (a, b) Lateral position @CS and (c, d) standard deviation of lateral position along zone B (the ramp-terminal connection) during day (a, c) and night-time (b, d) lighting conditions for the continue ramp-terminal

364

365 4.2 Reverse ramp-terminal

In the case of the reverse ramp-terminal, the interrelation between the innovative horizontal markings and longitudinal and transversal user behaviour is more complex. Interactions between HM type and lighting conditions were captured by the LMM (Table 3). Along the ST section during daytime conditions and low traffic volumes, HM2 ($t_{99.8} = 3.187$, p = .002) results in drivers travelling at higher speeds than with HM1, while speeds at the highest traffic volumes for both HM2 ($t_{101.7} = 2.413$, p = .018) and HM3 ($t_{96.6} = 2.577$, p = .011) were found to be significantly higher than speeds with baseline conditions (i.e., HM1). Similar trends were observed with the TT section. This outcome differs from that of the continue case, because the reverse 373 clothoid used for this ramp-terminal connection is longer than that used in the continue connection. A longer 374 ramp-terminal connection ensures that drivers are exposed to the innovative marking for a longer time before 375 merging into the motorway. It is worth noting that the higher the speed of merging vehicles along the terminal, 376 the less disruptive the interaction between motorway through traffic and merging vehicles.

- 377 As expected, the lateral position was significantly influenced by the innovative HM. HM2 378 $(t_{119} = 3.081, p = .003)$ and HM3 $(t_{116} = 3.199, p = .002)$ resulted in trajectories which were significantly closer 379 to the lane centreline than was the case with conventional HM irrespective of traffic volumes. HM2 always 380 induces drivers to maintain a more central trajectory in the lane.
- Slightly lower values for SDLP were recorded in the case of HM2 and night-time conditions (Figure 7). 381 In night-time conditions, no significant differences were observed between the three horizontal markings. In 382 daytime conditions and for low traffic levels, significant reductions in SDLP values under HM3 ($t_{112} = -2.976$, 383 p = .004) and non-significant reductions under HM2 ($t_{116} = -1.613$, p = .110) were observed with respect to 384 385 conventional HM.
- Finally, the calibrated LMM for reverse terminals passed the violation check carried out with the KS 386 387 test (p < .001)
- 388

389	Table 3. LMM outputs on significant factors affecting speeds, LP and SDLP along reverse terminal
390	(HM = horizontal markings type, TF = traffic flow, LC = lighting conditions)

		Estimated model coefficients (p-value)				
Variables	Effect	S @ ST	S @ TT	LP @ CS	SDLP @ Zone B	
Intercept		75.215 (<.001)	91.236 (<.001)	-0.6620 (<.001)	0.4223 (<.001)	
FE: HM	(HM2-HM1)	6.968 (.003)	-	0.2174 (.007)	-	
	(HM3-HM1)		-	0.1713 (.035)	-0.0612 (.068)	
HM * LC	(HM2-HM1)	-10.889 (.011)	-12.177 (.006)	-0.4413 (.004)	-	
	(HM3-HM1)	-	-	-0.3257 (.033)	-	
HM * LC * TF	(HM3-HM1)	-	-	0.6613 (.036)	-0.3733 (.004)	
HM * Gender	(HM3-HM1)	-12.112 (.011)	-24.421 (.009)	-	-	
Gender		11.223 (.003)	10.279 (.004)	-	-	
Driving experience (y)		289 (.048)	-	-	-	
RE: Test driver ID		(<.001)	(<.001)	(.001)	(<.001)	
Summary statistics						
AIC		1145.2	1146.3	157.4	-94.834	
BIC		1138.0	1135.3	236.2	6.932	
R ² marginal		.258	.191	.158	.088	
R ² conditional		.702	.630	.410	.420	
Observations				144		
Participants				48		
Observations/participants				3		
KS test on residual (p-value)		.620	.500	.764	.427	

- 391 392
- 393



395

396 Figure 7. (a, b) Lateral position @CS and (c, d) standard deviation of lateral position along zone B (the 397 ramp-terminal connection) during day (a, c) and night-time (b, d) lighting conditions for the reverse 398 ramp-terminal 399

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS 5 400

401 Alternative horizontal markings (HM2 and HM3) were put in place with the hypothesis that an alternative 402 marking design might lead to improved longitudinal and transversal driving control along transitional elements 403 such as merging motorway terminals. The HM2 design with its use of interior bands (Figure 2a) acts on the 404 drivers' perception of the lane width causing them to sense a lateral constraint and maintain a more central trajectory. Moving from the ramp to the terminal, the bands become progressively smaller such that the drivers 405 406 perceive a lower level of lateral control and are confident enough to increase their speed before merging onto 407 the motorway through lane. HM3 (Figure 2b) is intended to work mainly on speed perception (longitudinal behaviour). The distance between the external bands are progressively extended, causing drivers to think they 408 409 are reducing their speed. In this case, the hypothesis is that drivers react to this perception by increasing their 410 speed to levels that are generally higher than those typically observed with ordinary markings.

411 The results of this experimental study indicate that innovative horizontal markings designed to increase 412 speed and positively influence lane width perception are not always effective on curved on-ramp terminals. A 413 different effect was observed between the only two possible solutions for ramp-terminal connections: the 414 continue (egg-shaped) and the reverse (S-shaped) designs. Although innovative markings do not have a 415 significant impact on speeds in the first terminal type, they were found to have a significant impact on lane gap and standard deviation of lateral positions; in the second terminal type a positive impact was evident for 416 417 all the investigated longitudinal and transversal outcomes. A possible explanation for this may be the difference 418 in length between the two connection types, with the continue connection being significantly shorter than the 419 reverse one.

420 Of the different marking designs investigated and compared with the conventional type, it is the HM2 421 rather than the HM3 which seems to have a more positive effect on lateral vehicle control. This is due to the 422 perception of a narrower path which prompts the driver to select a more central trajectory than that adopted in 423 response to the other designs. The effect of a perceived increment in lane width when the driver passes from 424 the ramp to the terminal is also extended to speeds, which are frequently higher in the case of the alternative 425 design investigated here independent of the particular traffic and environmental lighting conditions. Under 426 daytime conditions, innovative markings delivered better results than they did under night-time lighting 427 conditions. Aggregating the results from both ramp-terminal connection types, traffic, and lighting conditions, 428 HM2 produced lower SDLP values which is indicative of good lateral vehicle control. With such complex 429 results, the experimental hypothesis can only be partially confirmed.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates the effectiveness of the perceptual techniques used in these specific areas of road design, where drivers are engaged in speed and trajectory management of their vehicles in very dynamic and fluid scenarios. The study shows that even though speed results are not as expected for the continue ramp-terminal connection, the use of innovative markings which influence both lateral perception of the lane and speed may improve driver performances and, as a consequence, the safety of merging operations.

The work carried out has limitations as it focused on the influence of a few specific variables while excluding others from consideration. Examples of variables not considered are the motorway radius and other environmental factors that may affect driver visibility. The presence of traffic along the ramp is another factor that was not considered in this experiment, and which should be the subject of future study. To overcome these limitations, future investigations will analyse the effect of innovative horizontal markings when safety barriers are located along ramps.

442

443 **REFERENCES**

- Ahammed, M. A., Hassan, Y., and T.A. Sayed. 2008. "Modeling Driver Behavior and Safety on Freeway Merging
 Areas". *Journal of Transportation Engineering* 134(9): 370-377. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-</u>
 947X(2008)134:9(370).
- Ariën, C., Brijs, K., Vanroelen, G., Ceulemans, W., Jongen, E.M., Daniels, S., Brijs, T., and G. Wets. 2017. "The
 effect of pavement markings on driving behaviour in curves: a simulator study". *Ergonomics* 60(5): 701-713.
 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140139.2016.1200749.
- Awan, H.H., Pirdavani, A., Houben, A., Westhof, S., Adnan, M., and T. Brijs. 2019. "Impact of Perceptual
 Countermeasures on Driving Behavior at Curves Using Driving Simulator". *Traffic Injury Prevention*20(1): 93–99. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15389588.2018.1532568</u>.
- 453 Catani, L., and M. Bassani. 2019. "Anticipatory Distance, Curvature, and Curvature Change Rate in Compound
 454 Curve Negotiation: a Comparison Between Real and Simulated Driving". *Proceedings of the 98th*455 *Transportation Research Board Annual Meeting*, Washington DC, US.
- 456 Babić, D., Fiolić, M., Babić, D., and T. Gates. 2020. "Road Markings and Their Impact on Driver Behaviour and
- 457 Road Safety: A Systematic Review of Current Findings". *Journal of Advanced Transportation*, 2020: 7843743.
 458 doi: https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/7843743.

- Babić, D., and T. Brijs. 2021. "Low-Cost Road Marking Measures for Increasing Safety in Horizontal Curves: A
 Driving Simulator Study". *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 153: 106013. doi
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2021.106013.
- Bassan, S. 2016. "Sight Distance Restriction on Highways' Horizontal Curves: Insights and Sensitivity Analysis".
 European Transport Research Review 8(3): 21. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12544-016-0208-6</u>.
- Bassani, M., Catani, L., Ignazzi, A. A., and M. Piras. 2018. "Validation of a Fixed Base Driving Simulator to Assess
 Behavioural Effects of Road Geometrics". *Proceedings of the DSC 2018 EUROPE VR Driving Simulation Conference & Exhibition* (pp. 101–108), Antibes, France.
- Ben-Bassat, T., and D. Shinar. 2011. "Effect of Shoulder Width, Guardrail and Roadway Geometry on Driver
 Perception and Behavior". *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 43(6): 2142-2152.
 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.06.004.
- Calvi, A., and M.R. De Blasiis. 2011. "Driver Behavior on Acceleration Lanes: Driving Simulator Study".
 Transportation Research Record 2248(1): 96-103. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.3141/2248-13</u>.
- 472 Charlton, S. G. 2007. "The Role of Attention in Horizontal Curves: a Comparison of Advance Warning, Delineation,
 473 and Road Marking Treatments". *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 39(5): 873-885.
 474 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2006.12.007.
- Charlton, S. G., Mackie, H. W., Baas, P. H., Hay, K., Menezes, M., and C. Dixon. 2010. "Using Endemic Road
 Features to Create Self-explaining Roads and Reduce Vehicle Speeds". *Accident Analysis and Prevention*42(6): 1989-1998. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2010.06.006</u>.
- Charlton, S. G., Starkey, N. J., and N. Malhotra. 2018. "Using Road Markings as a Continuous Cue for Speed
 Choice". *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 117: 288-297. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2018.04.029</u>.
- MIT, Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei Trasporti. 2006. Norme Funzionali e Geometriche per la Costruzione delle
 Intersezioni Stradali (in Italian). Decreto Ministeriale 19 Aprile 2006, Roma, Italy.
- 482 MIT, Ministero delle Infrastrutture e dei trasporti. 2001. Norme Funzionali e Geometriche per la Costruzione delle
 483 Strade (in Italian). Decreto Ministeriale 5 novembre 2001, n. 6792, Roma, Italy.
- 484 Denton, G. G. 1980. "The Influence of Visual Pattern on Perceived Speed". *Perception* 9(4): 393-402.
 485 doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1068/p090393</u>.
- 486 Ding, H., Zhao, X., Rong, J., and J. Ma. 2013. "Experimental Research on the Effectiveness of Speed Reduction
 487 Markings Based on Driving Simulation: a Case Study". *Accident Analysis and Prevention* 60: 211-218.
 488 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.08.007.
- Godley, S.T., Fildes, B.N., Triggs, T.J., and L.J. Brown. 1999. *Perceptual Countermeasures: Experimental Research*. Monash University Accident Research Centre, Report Number CR 182, Clay, Victoria, Australia.
- Godley, S.T., Triggs, T.J., and B.N. Fildes. 2004. Perceptual Lane Width, Wide Perceptual Road Centre Markings
 and Driving Speeds. *Ergonomics* 47(3): 237–256. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130310001629711</u>.
- Hunter, M. P., Guin, A., Boonsiripant, S., and M. Rodgers. 2011. *Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Converging Chevron Pavement Markings*. Governor's Office of Highway Safety, No. FHWAGA-10-0713, Georgia, US.
- Hunter, M. P., Rodgers, M. O., and P. Pratyaksa. 2014. Safety Performance Evaluation of Converging Chevron
- 496 *Pavement Markings*. Georgia Dept. of Transportation. Office of Materials & Research, FHWA-GA-14-1202,
 497 Georgia, US.

- 498 Hunter, M., Boonsiripant, S., Guin, A., Rodgers, M. O., and D. Jared. 2010. "Evaluation of Effectiveness of 499 Converging Chevron Pavement Markings in Reducing Speed on Freeway Ramps". Transportation Research 500 Record 2149(1): 50-58. doi: https://doi.org/10.3141/2149-06.
- 501 Hussain, Q., Alhajyaseen, W.K., Reinolsmann, N., Brijs, K., Pirdavani, A., Wets, G., and T. Brijs. 2021. "Optical 502 pavement treatments and their impact on speed and lateral position at transition zones: A driving simulator 503 study". Accident Analysis and Prevention 150: 105916. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105916.
- 504 Kondyli, A., and L. Elefteriadou. 2012. "Driver Behavior at Freeway-Ramp Merging Areas Based on Instrumented 505 Vehicle Observations". Transportation Letters 4(3): 129-142. doi: https://doi.org/10.3328/TL.2012.04.03.129-<u>141</u>.
- 506
- 507 Meyer, E. 2001. "A New Look at Optical Speed Bars". ITE Journal (Institute of Transportation Engineers) 508 71(11): 44.
- 509 Montella, A., Aria, M., D'Ambrosio, A., Galante, F., Mauriello, F., and M. Pernetti. 2011. "Simulator Evaluation 510 of Drivers' Speed, Deceleration and Lateral Position at Rural Intersections in Relation to Different Perceptual 511 Cues". Accident Analysis and Prevention 43(6): 2072-2084. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2011.05.030.
- 512 Portera, A., and M. Bassani. 2020. "Factors Influencing Driver Behaviour Along Curved Merging Interchange 513 Terminals". Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour 75: 187-202. 514 doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2020.10.006.
- Portera, A., and M. Bassani, M. 2021. "Experimental Investigation into Driver Behavior along Curved and Parallel 515 516 Diverging Terminals of Exit Interchange Ramps". Transportation Research Record, 2675: 254-267. doi: 517 https://doi-org/10.1177/0361198121997420.
- 518 Pratyaksa, P. 2013. Safety Evaluation of Converging Chevron Pavement Markings. Doctoral dissertation, Georgia 519 Institute of Technology, US.
- 520 Reinolsmann, N., Alhajyaseen, W., Brijs, T., Pirdavani, A., Hussain, Q., and K. Brijs. 2019. "Investigating the 521 Impact of Dynamic Merge Control Strategies on Driving Behavior on Rural and Urban Expressways - A 522 Driving Simulator Study". Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour 65: 469 484. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2019.08.010. 523
- 524 Schielzeth, H., Dingemanse, N. J., Nakagawa, S., Westneat, D. F., Allegue, H., Teplitsky, C., Réale, D., 525 Dochtermann, N.A., Garamszegi, L.Z., and Y.G. Araya-Ajoy. 2020. "Robustness of Linear Mixed - Effects 526 Models to Violations of Distributional Assumptions". Methods in Ecology and Evolution 11(9): 1141-1152. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13434. 527
- 528 TRB, Transportation Research Board. 2010. Highway Capacity Manual. National Academy of Sciences, US.
- 529 Verster, J. C., and T. Roth. 2011. "Standard Operation Procedures for Conducting the on-the-Road Driving Test, 530 and Measurement of the Standard Deviation of Lateral Position (SDLP)". International Journal of General 531 Medicine 4: 359. doi: https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S19639.
- 532 Voigt, A., and S.P. Kuchangi. 2009. Evaluation of Chevron Markings on Freeway-to-Freeway Connector Ramps 533 in Texas. Texas Transportation Institute No. 09-1941, Austin, US.