

Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy questionnaire in Italian and investigation of the association between

Original

Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy questionnaire in Italian and investigation of the association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain / Minetto, Marco A; Busso, Chiara; Giannini, Alessandro; Meiburger, Kristen; Massazza, Giuseppe; Maffulli, Nicola. - In: EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHYSICAL AND REHABILITATION MEDICINE. - ISSN 1973-9095. - 56:6(2020), pp. 764-770. [10.23736/S1973-9087.20.06209-7]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2874839 since: 2021-03-18T11:32:57Z

Publisher:

Edizioni Minerva Medica

Published

DOI:10.23736/S1973-9087.20.06209-7

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

ARTICLE ONLINE FIRST

This provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance.

A copyedited and fully formatted version will be made available soon.

The final version may contain major or minor changes.

Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy questionnaire in Italian and investigation of the association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain

Marco Alessandro MINETTO, Chiara BUSSO, Alessandro GIANNINI, Kristen M MEIBURGER, Giuseppe MASSAZZA, Nicola MAFFULLI

European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine 2020 Jul 07

DOI: 10.23736/S1973-9087.20.06209-7

Article type: Original Article

© 2020 EDIZIONI MINERVA MEDICA

Article first published online: July 7, 2020

Manuscript accepted: July 3, 2020

Manuscript revised: June 15, 2020

Manuscript received: February 10, 2020

Subscription: Information about subscribing to Minerva Medica journals is online at:

<http://www.minervamedica.it/en/how-to-order-journals.php>

Reprints and permissions: For information about reprints and permissions send an email to:

journals.dept@minervamedica.it - journals2.dept@minervamedica.it - journals6.dept@minervamedica.it

Cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy questionnaire in Italian and investigation of the association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain

Marco Alessandro Minetto ^{1*}, Chiara Busso ¹, Alessandro Giannini ¹,
Kristen Meiburger ², Giuseppe Massazza ¹, Nicola Maffulli ^{3,4,5}

¹ Division of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ² Biolab, Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, Polytechnic of Turin, Turin, Italy; ³ Department of Musculoskeletal Disorders, University of Salerno School of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, Salerno, Italy; ⁴ Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Bart's and London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK; ⁵ School of Pharmacy and Bioengineering, Keele University School of Medicine, Stoke on Trent, UK

***CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

Minetto Marco Alessandro, Department of Surgical Sciences, Corso Dogliotti 14, 10126 Torino, e-mail: marco.minetto@unito.it; phone: +390116705472

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: The Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal tendinopathy (VISA-G) questionnaire has recently been proposed as a condition-specific patient reported outcome measurement tool to assess the tendinopathy-related disability.

AIM: To evaluate the reliability of the Italian version of the VISA-G questionnaire and its construct validity and to investigate the association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain.

DESIGN: Cross-sectional study.

SETTING: University laboratory.

POPULATION: Gluteal tendinopathy patients (n=38) and healthy controls (n=38).

METHODS: Subjects were asked to fill the VISA-G questionnaire twice to evaluate its reliability. The construct validity was evaluated by comparing the VISA score with the Oswestry Disability Index score. Moreover, pain intensity, extent and location were also investigated.

RESULTS: The VISA-G scores showed non-significant changes in the median values and the values of intraclass correlation coefficient showed very high correlation between the first and second administration (ICC > 0.90 in both populations). No significant correlations were found between VISA-G score and either pain extent (R= -0.05, P=0.76), or resting pain intensity (R= -0.13, P=0.45), or palpation pain intensity (R= 0.01, P=0.97). Conversely, a high (and significant) negative correlation was obtained between VISA-G score and Oswestry Disability Index score (R= -0.80, P<0.0001).

CONCLUSIONS: These results indicated that the VISA-G Italian version presents excellent test-retest reliability.

CLINICAL REHABILITATION IMPACT: The evaluation of gluteal tendinopathy-related disability through VISA-G can be useful for the prognostic assessment and/or follow-up of tendinopathy patients in combination with the pain drawing assessment of pain extent.

Keywords: greater trochanteric pain syndrome, International Physical Activity Questionnaire, Oswestry Disability Index, pain drawing, VISA-G.

INTRODUCTION

Gluteal tendinopathy, also known as greater trochanteric pain syndrome, presents with pain and tenderness over the greater trochanter that interfere with physical function and sleep. Clinical risk factors for development of this condition include older age, female gender, back pain, overweight/obesity, poor abductor hip function, altered gait parameters, and psychological distress.¹⁻³

The Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal tendinopathy (VISA-G) questionnaire has recently been proposed as a condition-specific patient reported outcome measurement tool to assess the tendinopathy-related disability.⁴ The VISA-G has the same structure of the VISA-P, VISA-A and VISA-H tools, which have been widely adopted to quantify the disability associated with patellar, Achilles and hamstring tendinopathy, respectively.⁵⁻⁷ The VISA-G has excellent reliability and validity in gluteal tendinopathy patients,⁴ as well as good responsiveness in patients undergoing hip abductor tendon repair.⁸ The VISA-P and VISA-A questionnaires have been cross-culturally adapted to Italian,^{9,10} and the VISA-G questionnaire has recently been adapted to Danish¹¹ and French¹² but no Italian version is currently available. Moreover, no previous study investigated the relationship between VISA-G and pain extent, although the association between pain extent and disability has already been found for other chronic musculoskeletal pain conditions such as neck pain¹³ and low back pain¹⁴ that may co-occur with gluteal tendinopathy.

Therefore, the aims of the present study were to: i) evaluate the reliability of the VISA-G Italian version (in gluteal tendinopathy patients and healthy subjects) and

its construct validity (in gluteal tendinopathy patients); ii) investigate the possible association between tendinopathy-related disability and extent of pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Thirty-eight patients [29 women and 9 men, median (1st–3rd quartile) age: 64.5 (54.5–76.0) years; body mass index: 24.5 (22.9–26.8) kg/m²] and thirty-eight healthy controls [29 women and 9 men, median (1st–3rd quartile) age: 56.5 (53.2–64.0) years; body mass index: 23.5 (22.7–27.2) kg/m²] were recruited and evaluated by a medical doctor.

Exclusion criteria were: hip osteoarthritis, neurological or systemic inflammatory diseases, lumbar spine nerve root signs, history of lumbar spine or ipsilateral hip joint surgery.⁴ Inclusion criteria for patients were: lateral hip pain aggravated with activity and affected side lying position, for more than 3 months,^{2,3} and clinical diagnosis of gluteal tendinopathy performed by a medical doctor and confirmed by the ultrasound evidence of one or more of the following findings: decreased and heterogeneous echogenicity of the gluteus minimus and/or medius tendons, tendon thickening, calcification at the tendon attachment with the greater trochanteric, cortical irregularities deep to the gluteal insertions, bursal fluid collection.¹⁵

Ethics approval (protocol n. 133282) was granted by Ethics Committee of the University of Turin (Italy), and the procedures were conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants read an information sheet and signed an informed consent form prior to the study.

Study design and procedure

The original English version of the VISA-G ⁴ was first cross-culturally adapted so as to be used for evaluating Italian-speaking subjects (see below).

According to the COSMIN checklist,^{16,17} reliability (internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and measurement error), validity, and floor and ceiling effects were evaluated. All subjects were asked to fill the questionnaire twice (median number of days between the first and second administration: 7 days in both populations; range: 7-8 days in healthy subjects, 7-22 days in gluteal tendinopathy patients) to evaluate its reliability. This reliability study design was chosen to prevent recall and to ensure that no clinical changes occurred between the two evaluation sessions. The construct validity of the VISA-G questionnaire was evaluated by comparing the VISA score (1st administration) with the Oswestry Disability Index score.

Moreover, the following assessments were also performed during the first administration of the questionnaires: physical activity (assessed in both groups through the Italian short version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire - IPAQ short),¹⁸ pain intensity, extent and location (assessed in only in the patient group as described below).¹⁴

Cross-cultural adaptation

The cross-cultural adaptation process was performed according to previously published guidelines (e.g., the translators worked independently from each other, the items were translated forward and backward, translations were reviewed by bilingual people) ^{16,19} and comprised the following five steps. Step 1 included

forward translation from English to Italian by two independent bilingual translators. Step 2 comprised the review of the versions produced by the two translators by a group of bilingual individuals, ensuring that the translation was acceptable to monolingual people, and their synthesis into one version. In step 3, the latter version of the questionnaire was translated from Italian back to English (back translation) by two independent bilingual translators. Step 4 comprised a consensus meeting of all individuals involved in the translation to review the back-translation and decide on the final version. Step 5 involved testing the final version (Table 1) in 10 consecutive subjects to examine the accuracy of wording and ease of understanding.

Assessments of physical activity and disability

The IPAQ short comprises seven items investigating different physical activity intensities (vigorous or moderate), the time spent walking and sitting (as a proxy for sedentary behavior) during the last 7 days.²⁰ Based on IPAQ results, three levels of physical activity were proposed in a categorical score:^{18,20} 1) low physical activity level (sedentary subjects): IPAQ score below 600 MET*min/week; 2) moderate physical activity level (moderately active subjects): IPAQ score above 600 MET*min/week and below 3000 MET*min/week; 3) high physical activity level (active subjects): IPAQ score of at least 3000 MET*min/week.

The Italian version of the Oswestry Disability Index,²¹ which has been previously cross-culturally adapted and validated,²² was adopted to assess the pain-related disability, as follows: minimum disability (0-20%); moderate disability (20-40%);

severe disability (41-60%); crippling disability (61-80%); complete disability (81-100%). Although the Oswestry score is commonly adopted for the purposes of staging back pain patients and assessing the outcomes of back pain treatment,^{21,22} this tool was used in the present study as well as in the original validation of the VISA-G⁴ because it has previously been used to investigate the disability associated with gluteal tendinopathy and because no reference standard exists to the test the VISA-G against.²³⁻²⁵

Assessment of pain intensity, extent and location

Patients were asked to rate their pain intensity using a 11-point numerical rating scale (NRS), with 0 corresponding to “no pain” and 10 corresponding to “the worst imaginable pain”. Resting pain intensity was assessed prior to any study procedures. Movement pain was assessed during active hip abduction/adduction, and palpation pain intensity was assessed during manual palpation of the greater trochanter. Pain intensity was classified as mild for NRS scores between 1 and 3, moderate for scores between 4 and 6, and severe for scores between 7 and 10.

Pain extent and location were assessed through a user-friendly digital device which includes a collection of body charts and automated analysis of the pain drawing, as previously described.¹⁴ Briefly, patients were instructed to ‘Please draw where you felt your usual pain during the last week on this body chart and try to be as precise as possible’. Patients were instructed to colour every part of the body where they perceived pain, independently from the type and the severity of pain. Pain extent was quantified as the number of pixels coloured inside the body chart perimeter,

while pain location was assessed through a pain frequency map (i.e., pain drawings of all patients were superimposed to obtain a map with different colours indicating different percentages of patients reporting pain in a specific area).

Statistical analysis

The Shapiro–Wilk test for normal distribution of the data failed, and non-parametric statistical tests were therefore used. The Mann-Whitney test and the Fisher’s test were adopted for data comparison between healthy subjects and patients. Changes in the VISA-G scores between test and retest were analyzed with the Wilcoxon test to assess the presence of systematic bias.

Reliability (i.e., the degree to which the measurement is free from measurement error) was assessed as internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and measurement error.^{16,17}

Internal consistency (i.e., the degree of inter-relatedness among questionnaire items) of the VISA-G questionnaire was determined through the Cronbach’s alpha.

Test-retest reliability (i.e., the extent to which scores from the same patients are unchanged for repeated measurements over time) was evaluated using the intra-class correlation coefficient (two-way mixed, single measure ICC2,1). A sample size of at least 38 subjects (in each of the two groups of patients and healthy controls) was considered necessary for the test-retest reliability analysis, using the approximate method developed by Walter et al.²⁶ based on $\alpha=0.05$ and $\beta=0.20$, indicating an expected level of reliability (ρ_1) of 0.93 (average of the ICC values

obtained in the previous studies by Fearon et al.,⁴ Jorgensen et al.,¹¹ Beudart et al.¹²) and a minimally acceptable level of reliability (ρ_0) of 0.85.

Measurement error (i.e., the systematic and random error of a patient's score that is not attributed to true changes in the construct to be measured) was evaluated using:

i) the standard error of measurement (SEM) that was calculated as follows: $\sqrt{\text{mean square error term from the ANOVA}}$,²⁷ ii) the smallest detectable change (SDC: i.e., the smallest individual change in a score that can be interpreted as a real change) that was calculated as follows: $1.96 \times \sqrt{2} \times \text{SEM}$.²⁷

Floor and ceiling effects were assessed for each item score and for the global score and were considered to be present if the lowest or the highest score was achieved by more than 15% of the cases.

The Spearman analysis was used to test for correlations between VISA-G score and perceived disability, pain intensity, pain extent. The criteria used for the interpretation of ICC and Spearman's correlation coefficient were as follows: 0.00–0.25: no correlation; 0.26–0.49: low correlation; 0.50–0.69: moderate correlation; 0.70–0.89: high correlation; and 0.90–1.00: very high correlation.²⁸

Data were expressed as median and 1st–3rd quartile. Threshold for statistical significance was set to $P = 0.05$. Statistical tests were performed with the IBM SPSS Statistics (version 20 - IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) software package.

RESULTS

No problems were encountered in the forward and backward translations of the questionnaire that followed the same structure of the other VISA tools (i.e., VISA-A and VISA-P) that have previously been cross-culturally adapted to Italian.^{9,10}

Gluteal tendinopathy affected the right side in 22 patients (all patients were right side dominant): 14 of 38 patients were overweight, and 3 patients were obese, 1 patient had type 2 diabetes, 5 patients had dyslipidemia, 14 patients had hypertension, and 3 patients were smokers. Descriptive data of other clinical characteristics of patients and VISA-G scores of patients and healthy subjects (at both test and retest) are presented in Table 2.

The VISA-G scores showed overall non-significant changes in the median values (Table 2). As reported in Table 3, the ICC values showed very high correlation (>0.90) between the first and second administration in both populations of participants, in agreement with the results obtained in previous studies investigating the measurement properties of the English,⁴ Danish,¹¹ and French¹² versions of the questionnaire. Table 3 also reports other reliability analysis results (Cronbach's alpha, SEM, SDC) obtained in the present study and in the previous investigations.^{4,11,12}

No floor or ceiling effects were identified in the patient group for the total score and for five of the eight items (items number 1, 3, 4, 5, 8) with less than 15% of the cases scoring the minimum or maximum values (a floor effect was identified for items 2 and 7, while a ceiling effect was identified for items 2 and 6).

As expected on the basis of the previous studies,^{4,11,12} a ceiling effect was identified in the control subjects with more than 15% of the cases scoring the maximum value both for the total score and for each of the eight items.

Pain-related disability was between minimum and moderate for most of the patients (27 of 38 patients showed Oswestry Disability Index scores below 40%). Pain intensity was between mild and moderate in resting conditions, and between moderate and severe during both movement and manual palpation of the greater trochanter.

Pain had a peritrochanteric distribution in all patients (red and orange areas in Figure 1), with no extension to the gluteal region in any patients, and with extension to the lateral thigh in one patient only.

No significant correlations were found between VISA-G score and either pain extent ($R = -0.05$, $P = 0.76$), or resting pain intensity ($R = -0.13$, $P = 0.45$), or palpation pain intensity ($R = 0.01$, $P = 0.97$). Conversely, a high (and significant) negative correlation was obtained between VISA-G score and Oswestry Disability Index score ($R = -0.80$, $P < 0.0001$) and a low (although significant) negative correlation was obtained between VISA-G score and movement pain intensity ($R = -0.33$, $P = 0.04$).

The comparison of physical activity estimates between the two groups of subjects showed significantly ($P < 0.0001$) lower values for patients [IPAQ score: 297.0 (161.3-396.0) MET*min/week] compared with healthy subjects [IPAQ score: 1862.0 (1065.4-3512.3) MET*min/week]. Consistently, the proportion of sedentary subjects was significantly ($P < 0.0001$) higher in patients (29 of 38 patients: 76.0%) compared with healthy subjects (2 of 38 subjects: 5.0%), while the proportions of

moderately active and active subjects were significantly ($P < 0.001$ for both comparisons) lower in patients (8 of 38 patients were moderately active and 1 of 38 patients was active: 21.5% and 2.5%, respectively) compared with healthy subjects (23 of 38 patients were moderately active and 13 of 38 patients were active: 60.5% and 34.5%, respectively).

DISCUSSION

This study tested the reliability and validity of the cross-culturally adapted Italian version of the VISA-G questionnaire, and investigated the possible association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain. The VISA-G Italian version has excellent test-retest reliability, with ICC values (>0.90 for both healthy and pathological subjects) comparable to those obtained for the Danish¹¹ and French¹² versions and higher than the ICC value (0.83) obtained for gluteal tendinopathy patients studied in the original validation of the questionnaire.⁴ A possible explanation for this difference is that the time interval between the two administrations of the questionnaire was shorter in our study (median: 7 days, range: 7-22 days) compared with the original study (median interval: 7 days, range: 7-47 days).⁴

Another difference between the present and the previous study⁴ is the result of the construct validity assessment. In fact, we found in the patient group a significant negative correlation (correlation coefficient = -0.80) between the VISA-G score and the Oswestry Disability Index score, while no significant correlation between the two scores (the correlation coefficient was 0.20 in patients and -0.30 in healthy

subjects) was found in the previous study.⁴ On this basis, Fearon et al.⁴ suggested that the VISA-G measures gluteal tendinopathy-related disability rather than low back pain-related disability. Gluteal tendinopathy patients with non-specific low back pain were not excluded from both the previous and the present study. Therefore, a clinical variability between the two patient populations is a possible explanation for the discrepancy between the construct validity assessment of the two studies. We recruited gluteal tendinopathy patients presenting greater severity and variability of the perceived pain-related disability [median (1st-3rd quartile) values of the Oswestry Disability Index score: 28 (16.5-42.0)%] compared to the patients recruited in Fearon et al.'s study [mean (95% CI) values of the Oswestry Disability Index score: 11.3 (9.0 – 13.6)%].⁴ Therefore, our findings not only confirm that the VISA-G and the Oswestry Disability Index assess different disability profiles, but also indicate that tendinopathy-related disability and low back pain-related disability can be co-occurring disorders that mutually condition one another.

Other conditions that we found associated with (and that may predispose to) gluteal tendinopathy were female gender, older age, and sedentary behavior. The over-representation of females and older individuals in the present investigation is probably related to the higher incidence of this disorder in women compared with men, and in older compared with younger adults. A possible explanation underlying the association between sedentary behavior and tendinopathy is that tendinopathy-related pain limits the physical activity of patients. Alternatively, it may be proposed that the sedentary behavior may contribute to the development of tendinopathy. The association between inactivity and tendinopathy could, in turn, be underlain by

the association between inactivity and body composition impairment (17 of 38 patients were overweight or obese in the present series). In fact, visceral and ectopic adiposity are linked to an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines that may influence tissue homeostasis by influencing tendon repair rates.^{29,30}

To our knowledge, this is the first study that investigated the pain distribution in gluteal tendinopathy patients and the possible association between tendinopathy-related disability and pain extent. The observation that pain had a peritrochanteric distribution in all the patients in the present investigation confirms the typical clinical presentation of this disorder, and highlights the relevance of accurate physical examination of gluteal tendinopathy patients. In fact, different imaging biomarkers may precede (and predict) the development of tendinopathy and may also co-occur with peritrochanteric pain, but only the presence of pain provides a clean-cut diagnostic distinction between the different pathophysiological stages of the disorder (i.e., the subclinical and overt tendinopathy). Therefore, pain distribution as well as its extent should be systematically investigated in gluteal tendinopathy patients. The lack of association between tendinopathy-related disability and the extent of perceived pain is an original observation of the present study, and suggests that the factors underlying the perceived disability differ from those underlying the perceived pain extent.

Although the software adopted to compute pain extent eliminates estimation errors, there are some methodological issues that should be considered. First, we collected data from a sample of 38 patients, which may be considered a small sample. Second, we did not investigate the presence of psychological features that can be associated

with abnormal pain drawings in individuals with chronic pain.^{31,32} Third, we collected from the patients a single pain drawing during a single evaluation session. Nevertheless, the reliability of pain drawing analysis for the assessment of pain extent has been previously demonstrated.¹⁴ Notwithstanding these limitations, we showed that pain drawings may constitute an easily feasible approach for pain assessment in gluteal tendinopathy patients and we suggest that the pain extent and VISA-G scores could represent independent predictors of response to treatment in these patients. Treatment response predictors are symptomatic and physiologic characteristics of patients that emerge early in the course of treatment. Leuchter et al.³³ proposed the term “response endophenotypes” to describe this class of predictors which consist in latent measurable symptomatic or neurobiologic responses of individual patients that carry strong predictive power for individual patient outcomes. Future studies are required to examine the prognostic value and the responsiveness to change (as well as to establish the minimal clinical difference) of pain extent and VISA-G scores in large populations of gluteal tendinopathy patients.

CONCLUSIONS

The findings in this study indicated that the VISA-G Italian version presents excellent reliability and provides a measure of gluteal tendinopathy-related disability that can be useful for the prognostic assessment and/or follow-up of tendinopathy patients in combination with the pain drawing assessment of pain extent.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. Jill Cook (La Trobe University, Melbourne, Australia) for reading and commenting on preliminary versions of this manuscript.

This study was supported by University of Turin (Fondo per la Ricerca Locale - ex-60%) and by the Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR) under the programme "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza ex L. 232/2016" to the Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin.

REFERENCES

1. Reid D. The management of greater trochanteric pain syndrome: A systematic literature review. *J Orthop* 2016;13:15-28.
2. Plinsinga ML, Coombes BK, Mellor R, Nicolson P, Grimaldi A, Hodges P, Bennell K, Vicenzino B. Psychological factors not strength deficits are associated with severity of gluteal tendinopathy: A cross-sectional study. *Eur J Pain* 2018; 22:1124-33.
3. Plinsinga ML, Ross MH, Coombes BK, Vicenzino B. Physical findings differ between individuals with greater trochanteric pain syndrome and healthy controls: A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2019;43:83-90.
4. Fearon AM, Ganderton C, Scarvell JM, Smith PN, Neeman T, Nash C, Cook JL. Development and validation of a VISA tendinopathy questionnaire for greater trochanteric pain syndrome, the VISA-G. *Man Ther* 2015;20:805-13.
5. Visentini PJ, Khan KM, Cook JL, Kiss ZS, Harcourt PR, Wark JD. The VISA score: an index of severity of symptoms in patients with jumper's knee (patellar tendinosis). Victorian Institute of Sport Tendon Study Group. *J Sci Med Sport* 1998;1:22-8.
6. Robinson JM, Cook JL, Purdam C, Visentini PJ, Ross J, Maffulli N, Taunton JE, Khan KM; Victorian Institute Of Sport Tendon Study Group. The VISA-A questionnaire: a valid and reliable index of the clinical severity of Achilles tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med* 2001;35:335-41.

7. Cacchio A, De Paulis F, Maffulli N. Development and validation of a new visa questionnaire (VISA-H) for patients with proximal hamstring tendinopathy. *Br J Sports Med* 2014;48:448-52.
8. Ebert JR, Fearon AM, Smith A, Janes GC. Responsiveness of the Victorian Institute for Sport Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy (VISA-G), modified Harris hip and Oxford hip scores in patients undergoing hip abductor tendon repair. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract* 2019;43:1-5.
9. Maffulli N, Longo UG, Testa V et al. VISA-P score for patellar tendinopathy in males: adaptation to Italian. *Disabil Rehabil* 2008;30:1621-24.
10. Maffulli N, Longo UG, Testa V, Oliva F, Capasso G, Denaro V. Italian translation of the VISA-A score for tendinopathy of the main body of the Achilles tendon. *Disabil Rehabil* 2008; 30:1635-39.
11. Jorgensen JE, Fearon AM, Mølgaard CM, Kristinsson J, Andreasen J. Translation, validation and test-retest reliability of the VISA-G patient-reported outcome tool into Danish (VISA-G.DK). *PeerJ* 2020;8:e8724.
12. Beaudart C, Gillier M, Bornheim S, Van Beveren J, Bruyère O, Kaux JF. French Translation and Validation of the Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment for Gluteal Tendinopathy Questionnaire [published online ahead of print, 2020 Apr 23]. *PM R* 2020;10.1002/pmrj.12391. doi:10.1002/pmrj.12391
13. Ris I, Barbero M, Falla D, Larsen MH, Kraft MN, Søggaard K, Juul-Kristensen B. Pain extent is more strongly associated with disability, psychological factors, and neck muscle function in people with non-traumatic versus traumatic

- chronic neck pain: a cross sectional study. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2019;55:71-8.
14. Barbero M, Moresi F, Leoni D, Gatti R, Egloff M, Falla D. Test-retest reliability of pain extent and pain location using a novel method for pain drawing analysis. *Eur J Pain* 2015;19:1129-38.
15. Kong A, Van der Vliet A, Zadow S. MRI and US of gluteal tendinopathy in greater trochanteric pain syndrome. *Eur Radiol* 2007;17:1772-83.
16. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, Alonso J, Stratford PW, Knol DL, Bouter LM, de Vet HCW. The COSMIN checklist for assessing the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties of health status measurement instruments: an international Delphi study. *Qual Life Res* 2010;19:539-49.
17. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Knol DL, Stratford PW, Alonso J, Patrick DL, Bouter LM, de Vet HCW. The COSMIN checklist for evaluating the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties: a clarification of its content. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2010;10:22.
18. Minetto MA, Motta G, Gorji NE, Lucini D, Biolo G, Pigozzi F, Portincasa P, Maffiuletti NA. Reproducibility and validity of the Italian version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire in obese and diabetic patients. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2018;41:343-49.
19. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;25:3186-91.

20. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, Pratt M, Ekelund U, Yngve A, Sallis JF, Oja P. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2003;35:1381-95.
21. Fairbank JC, Pynsent PB. The Oswestry Disability Index. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2000;25:2940-52.
22. Monticone M, Baiardi P, Ferrari S, Foti C, Mugnai R, Pillastrini P, Vanti C, Zanolli G. Development of the Italian version of the Oswestry Disability Index (ODI-I): A cross-cultural adaptation, reliability, and validity study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)* 2009;34:2090-95.
23. Rompe JD, Segal NA, Cacchio A, Furia JP, Morral A, Maffulli N. Home training, local corticosteroid injection, or radial shock wave therapy for greater trochanter pain syndrome. *Am J Sports Med* 2009;37:1981-90.
24. Fearon AM, Scarvell JM, Cook JL, Smith PN. Does ultrasound correlate with surgical or histologic findings in greater trochanteric pain syndrome? A pilot study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;468:1838-44.
25. Fearon AM, Cook JL, Scarvell JM, Neeman T, Cormick W, Smith PN. Greater trochanteric pain syndrome negatively affects work, physical activity and quality of life: a case control study. *J Arthroplasty* 2014;29:383-6.
26. Walter SD, Eliasziw M, Donner A. Sample size and optimal designs for reliability studies. *Stat Med* 1998;17:101-10.

27. Weir JP. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient and the SEM. *J Strength Cond Res* 2005;19:231-40.
28. Munro BH. *Statistical Methods for Health Care Research* (5th edn). Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2005
29. O'Neill S, Watson PJ, Barry S. A Delphi study of risk factors for achilles tendinopathy - opinions of world tendon experts. *Int J Sports Phys Ther* 2016;11:684-97.
30. Magnusson SP, Kjaer M. The impact of loading, unloading, ageing and injury on the human tendon. *J Physiol* 2019;597:1283-98.
31. Abbott JH, Foster M, Hamilton L, Ravenwood M, Tan N. Validity of pain drawings for predicting psychological status outcome in patients with recurrent or chronic low back pain. *J Man Manip Ther* 2015;23:12-19.
32. Falla D, Peolsson A, Peterson G, Ludvigsson ML, Soldini E, Schneebeli A, Barbero M. Perceived pain extent is associated with disability, depression and self-efficacy in individuals with whiplash-associated disorders. *Eur J Pain* 2016;20:1490-501.
33. Leuchter AF, Cook IA, Hunter AM, Korb AS. A new paradigm for the prediction of antidepressant treatment response. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2009;11:435-46.

Data _____	Valutazione iniziale <input type="checkbox"/>	Valutazione finale <input type="checkbox"/>																																																				
Nome _____	Cognome _____																																																					
Età _____	Peso _____	Statura _____																																																				
1) Il mio solito dolore all'anca è:	<table style="margin: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">Nessun dolore</td> <td colspan="11" style="text-align: center;"> <table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> </table> </td> <td style="text-align: left;">Dolore forte</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">0</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1</td> <td style="text-align: center;">2</td> <td style="text-align: center;">3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">5</td> <td style="text-align: center;">6</td> <td style="text-align: center;">7</td> <td style="text-align: center;">8</td> <td style="text-align: center;">9</td> <td style="text-align: center;">10</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>			10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0		Nessun dolore	<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> </table>																								Dolore forte		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0																																											
Nessun dolore	<table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse; width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%; height: 20px;"></td> </tr> </table>																								Dolore forte																													
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																											
2) Posso rimanere sdraiato sulla mia anca dolente:	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Per più di 1 ora</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 30 e 60 minuti, poi devo cambiare posizione</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 15 e 30 minuti, poi devo cambiare posizione</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 5 e 15 minuti, poi devo cambiare posizione</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Non posso affatto rimanere sdraiato sul lato dolente</p>																																																					
3) Camminare in salita o in discesa su una rampa di scale:	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Utilizzo normalmente le scale, senza avvertire dolore all'anca</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Utilizzo normalmente le scale, ma avverto lieve dolore all'anca</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Utilizzo normalmente le scale, ma tenendomi alla ringhiera a causa del dolore all'anca</p>																																																					

	<p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 5 e 15 minuti, poi devo cambiare posizione</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Non posso affatto utilizzare le scale a causa del dolore all'anca</p>
<p>4) Camminare in salita o in discesa su una rampa o una pendenza:</p>	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Cammino normalmente in salita o in discesa, senza avvertire dolore all'anca</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Cammino normalmente in salita o in discesa, ma avverto un leggero dolore all'anca</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Ho lievi difficoltà nel camminare in salita o in discesa, a causa del dolore all'anca</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Ho importanti difficoltà nel percorrere una rampa o una pendenza, a causa del dolore all'anca</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Non posso affatto camminare in salita o in discesa, a causa del dolore all'anca</p>
<p>5) Dopo aver mantenuto per 30 minuti una posizione seduta, muoversi per alzarsi e poi camminare è:</p>	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Possibile senza problemi</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Difficoltoso per alcuni passi</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Devo rimanere in piedi per qualche momento, prima di iniziare a camminare</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Devo rimanere in piedi per meno di 20 secondi, prima di</p>

	<p>iniziare a camminare</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Devo rimanere in piedi per più di 20 secondi, prima di iniziare a camminare</p>
<p>6) Lavori domestici o giardinaggio (o attività simili):</p>	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Posso fare lavori domestici e/o giardinaggio per 1 ora o più</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Posso fare lavori domestici e/o giardinaggio per intervalli di 30-60 minuti, a causa del dolore all'anca</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Posso fare lavori domestici e/o giardinaggio molto limitatamente, a causa del dolore all'anca</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> Posso fare limitatamente lavori domestici ma non giardinaggio, a causa del dolore all'anca</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Non posso affatto fare lavori domestici e/o giardinaggio</p>
<p>7) Svolge regolarmente esercizio, attività fisica o sport?</p>	<p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Sì, posso fare esercizio come al solito</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Un po' meno esercizio del solito</p> <p>4 <input type="checkbox"/> Molto meno esercizio del solito</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> No, non posso fare esercizio, non voglio o non ho tempo</p>
<p>8) Questa domanda ha 3 sezioni. Risponda solo alla sezione A, oppure B oppure C</p> <p><i>Il suo attuale dolore all'anca interferisce con la sua capacità di</i></p>	

<p><i>svolgere attività in carico (camminare, fare la spesa, correre, chinarsi, eseguire un affondo)?</i></p> <p>8A) Il mio dolore all'anca è così forte da impedirmi di camminare, fare la spesa, correre o svolgere altra attività in carico.</p> <p>Se questo è il caso, per quanto tempo svolge quotidianamente queste attività?</p> <p>8B) Il mio dolore all'anca è presente durante l'attività fisica, ma non è così forte da impedirmi di camminare, fare la spesa, correre o svolgere altra attività in carico.</p> <p>Se questo è il caso, per quanto tempo svolge quotidianamente queste attività?</p> <p>8C) Non avverte dolore durante l'attività fisica come camminare, fare la spesa, correre o svolgere altra attività in carico.</p> <p>Se questo è il caso, per quanto tempo svolge quotidianamente queste attività?</p>	<p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Mi muovo solo in casa, senza svolgere altra attività in carico</p> <p>2 <input type="checkbox"/> < 10 minuti</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 10 e 19 minuti</p> <p>7 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 20 e 29 minuti</p> <p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 30 minuti</p> <p>0 <input type="checkbox"/> Mi muovo solo in casa, senza svolgere altra attività in carico</p> <p>5 <input type="checkbox"/> < 10 minuti</p> <p>10 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 10 e 19 minuti</p> <p>15 <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 20 e 29 minuti</p> <p>20 <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 30 minuti</p> <p>6 <input type="checkbox"/> Mi muovo solo in casa, senza svolgere altra attività in carico</p>
--	--

	<i>12</i> <input type="checkbox"/> < 10 minuti
	<i>18</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 10 e 19 minuti
	<i>24</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Tra 20 e 29 minuti
	<i>30</i> <input type="checkbox"/> ≥ 30 minuti

Table 1. Italian version of the VISA-G questionnaire. Scoring numbers are reported in italic.

	Patients (n=38)	Healthy subjects (n=38)
Resting pain intensity (0-10)	4 (0.0-5.0)	-
Movement pain intensity (0-10)	6 (4.0-6.8)	-
Palpation pain intensity (0-10)	7.0 (5.3-8.0)	-
Oswestry Disability Index (0-100%)	28.0 (16.5-42.0)	-
Pain extent (pixels)	11770.5 (8277.5-29213.5)	-
VISA G - test (0-100)	54.0 (34.2-63.0)	100 (94.2-100)
VISA G - retest (0-100)	51.5 (37.5-66.5)	100 (94.2-100)
Wilcoxon test (P value)	0.21	0.67

Table 2. Median (1st-3rd quartile) values of clinical characteristics of patients and VISA-G scores of healthy subjects and patients (at both test and retest).

Variables	English version ⁴	Danish version ¹¹		French version ¹²	Italian version	
Sample size	26 patients	49 patients	58 controls	52 patients + 54 controls	38 patients	38 controls
ICC(2,1)	0.827	0.96	0.98	0.99	0.91	0.95
Cronbach's alpha	0.52	0.98	0.86	0.81	0.79	0.43
SEM	1.883	0.60		1.64	4.1	
SDC	-	3.17		4.55	11.4	

Table 3. VISA-G questionnaire reliability analysis results. ICC: intra-class correlation coefficient; SEM: standard error of measurement; SDC: smallest detectable change.

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1

Pain frequency map for the whole group of 38 patients. The colour bar represents the frequency of coloured areas. Red and yellow represent, respectively, the most and less frequently reported areas of pain.

Perc: percentage of patients.

Perc.	N. Subjects	N. Pixel
87%	33	29
79%	30	729
71%	27	779
61%	23	930
53%	20	1195
45%	17	1319
37%	14	1297
29%	11	2484
18%	7	2352
11%	4	9094
3%	1	43424

