CO2 valorisation towards alcohols by Cu-based electrocatalysts: challenges and perspectives

CO_2 valorisation towards alcohols by Cu-based electrocatalysts: Challenges and perspectives

Hilmar Guzmán,[a,b] Nunzio Russo [a] and Simelys Hernández [a,b,*]

[a] *CREST group, Department of Applied Science and Technology (DISAT), Politecnico di Torino, C.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24, 10129, Turin, Italy.*

[b] *IIT – Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Via Livorno, 60, 10144, Turin, Italy.*

* *Corresponding author. E-mail address:* simelys.hernandez@polito.it

Supporting Information

Summary

S1. CO_2-to-methanol via thermochemical route

Outstanding results following TC reactions for methanol production from our work1 with data taken from references2–[6.] Table S1 shows the best results following thermochemical reactions for methanol production.

Table S1**.** Outstanding results following TC reactions for methanol production from our work[1] with data taken from references[2–6].

Catalysts	CO_2 conversion, %	Selectivity Methanol, %	Temperature, °C	Pressure, MPa	Ref.
Cu/ZnO	5.5	50	240	2	[3]
Cu/ZrO_2	2.5	78	240	2	[3]
Cu/ZnO/Ga	6	88	270	2	[5]
Cu/ZrO_2/Ga	13.7	75.5	250	2	[5]
$Cu/ZnO/ZrO_2$	13	32	240	2	[3]
$Cu/ZnO/ZrO_2/SiO_2$	13.9	36	240	2	[4]
$Cu/ZnO/ZrO_2/TiO_2$	17.4	43.8	240	3	[2]
$Cu/ZnO/ZrO_2/Al_2O_3/SiO_2$	11.7	99.7	250	5	[6]

S2. CO_2-to-methanol via liquid phase electrochemical route

Table S2**.** State-of-the-art performance of the best catalysts to produce methanol from liquid-phase electrochemical CO_2 conversion.

Electrocatalysts	Faradaic efficiency to Methanol, %	Total current density, mA cm^{-2}	Cell configuration	Ref.
$Cu_{63,9}Au_{36,1}$/NCF	15.9	-	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	7
Anodiz. Cu foils	100.0	1.4	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	8
Electroch. Anodiz. Cu foils	20.0	5.0	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	
Cu_2O/stainless steel	38.0	5.0	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	
$Cu\backslash_{88}Sn_6Pb_6$ alloy foil	34.0	0.6	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	
Cu/Carbon paper	0.4	8.9	Two compartmentss (SPEEK)	
Electropolished Cu	0.1	10.0	Two compartmentss (AMV Selemion)	
Cu/ZnO	2.8	12.0	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	
Cu_2O /Carbon paper	20.0	2.4	Two compartmentss SPE (AMI-7001/ MEA)	
Cu/CuO	2.5	17.3	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	
Ru/Cu	42.0	0.1	Two compartmentss (agar bridge)	
Mo/Cu	84.0	0.8	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	
Cu_2O/ZnO	17.7	10.6	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	9
Cu-10-CNT/C	8.3	16.7	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	10
Cu_2O-MWCNTs	38.0	7.5	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	11
Oxide-der, Cu/ C (MOF)	18.0	1.0	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	12
CuO_x/ZnO	34.0	2.7	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	13
CuCNT-nanowires	47.4	0.9	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	14
CuCNT-Impregnation	23.3	0.9	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	14
Cu/Graphene	12.7	0.3	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	15
Cu/TiO_2/Graphene	19.5	0.3	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	15
Cu/Cu_2O	47.5	7.8	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	16
Cu-Y/CS:PVA MCE	68.0	0.3	Membrane coated electrode (MCE)	17
$Pd_{83}Cu_{17}$ Aerogels	80.0	31.8	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	18
$Cu_{1,63}Se_{1/3}$	77.6	41.5	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	19
CuSAs/TCNFs	44.0	93.0	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	20

S3. Faradaic efficiencies for different CO_2R products of the best liquid-phase electrocatalysts.

	Faradaic efficiency, % *											
Electrocatalysts	H_2	CO	C_2H_4	$HCOO^-$ /HCOOH	CH_3OH	C_2H_5OH	C_3H_8O	C_2H_6	CH_3COO^-	CH_4	J_{total}, mA cm^{-2}	Ref.
$Pd_{83}Cu_{17}$ Aerogels		<1.0			80.0						31.8	[18]
$Cu_{1.63}Se1/3$	<1.0	2.0	-	22.0	77.6	-	-	-	-	-	41.5	[19]
CuSAs/TCNFs	<1.0	54.0	-	-	44.0	-	-	-	-	-	93.0	[20]
Cu_2O					42.3	10.1	2.4				10.0	[43]
Cu_2O/ZnO					27.5	3.9	-				10.0	[43]
CuB_9 MOF	34.0	11.0	6.0	13.0	10.0	17.0	-	-	-	-	20.0	[33]
$CuBi_{12}$ MOF	30.0	9.0	7.0	16.0	9.0	28.0	-	-	-	-	20.0	[33]
B-OD-Cu	45.0	<1.0	20.0	5.0	-	20.0	-	8.0	-	-	33.4	[44]
Cu 25nm thickness	5.0	5.0	70.0	1.0	-	11.0	-	-	5.0	-	275.0	[35]
$Ag_{0.14}/Cu_{0.86}$ /PTFE	10.0	3.0	31.0	-	-	41.0	-	-	10.0	5.0	250.0	[36]
Electrodeposited CuAg alloy	9.8	6.5	55.2	3.0	-	25.9	2.4	-	1.6	1.6	300.0	[37]
Nanoporous Cu	7.6	14.7	38.6	1.9	-	16.6	4.5	-	2.2	-	653.0	[34]
Cu Nps/Carbon paper	10.6	38.9	35.0	7.6	-	11.2	2.1	-	0.4	-	430.0	[38]
Cu_2S–Cu-V	12.6	5.5	21.2	15.4	-	25.0	7.0	-	3.0	1.1	400.0	[39]
Ce(OH)x/Cu/PTFE	14.2	0.5	33.8	1.1	-	42.6	0.6	-	3.3	2.9	300.0	[45]
NGQ/Cu-nr	10.0	5.0	23.0	5.0	-	45.0	7.0	-	4.0	-	282.0	[46]
34% N-C/Cu/PTFE	7.4	0.3	37.5	1.7	-	52.3	1.4	-	2.3	1.2	300.0	[40]
$La_{1.8}SrO_{0.2}CuO_4$					2.0	30.5	10.0				180.0	[41]
Porous copper foam	69.2	4.6	4.0	5.9	-	3.2	4.9	2.8	0.7	-	37.5	[42]

Empty cells: no information available. (-) These products were not detected. * All values were approximated from the reported literature data.

S4. Ethanol and n-propanol production from CO_2 via liquid-phase electrochemical route

Table S3**.** State-of-the-art performance of the production of ethanol and n-propanol from liquid-phase electrochemical CO_2 conversion.

Electrocatalysts	Faradaic efficiency to Ethanol, %	Faradaic efficiency to n-Propanol, %	Total current density, mA cm^{-2}	Cell configuration	Ref.
Graphene/Cu_2O/Cufoil	9.9	-	5.3	Two compartments 3-electrodes cell separated by a glass frit	21
Cu(100)	9.7	1.5	5.0	Traditional undivided 3 electrode cell	22
Polycrystalline Cu	21.9	-	5.0	Two compartments separated by Selemion	23
3,6 μm film of Cu_2O	16.4	-	35.0	Two compartments separated by Selemion	24
CuO/TiO_2	37.5	5.6	8.3	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	25
$Cu_{63,9}Au_{36,1}$/NCF	12.0	-	-	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	7
Cu/N-Graphene	63.0	-	2.8	Two compartments separated by Selemion	26
Graphene/ZnO/Cu_2O/Cufoil	-	30.0	8.0	Two compartments cell separated by a glass frit	27
Cu nanocrystals	-	10.6	16.4	Two compartments separated by Selemion	28
Cu Nps/ Carbon paper x22.5 loading	13.3	5.9	12.7	Two compartments separated by Selemion	29
Cu/Graphene	24.1	3.1	1.3	Gas Diffusion electrode (Nafion 117)	30
CuO/TiO_2/Graphene	43.6	3.3	1.4	Gas Diffusion electrode (Nafion 117)	30
Cu_{1ML}/THH Pd NCs	20.4	-	0.6	H-type cell (Nafion 115)	31
Electrodeposited Cu onto Cu mesh	10.0	13.0	10.0 (normalized to the ECSA)	H-type cell (Nafion 117)	32
$CuBi_9$ MOF	17.0	-	20.0	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	33

$CuBi_{12}$ MOF	28.0	-	20.0	Two compartmentss (Nafion 117)	[33]
Nanoporous Cu	16.6	4.5	653.0	Gas Diffusion electrode	[34]
Cu 25nm thickness	11.0	-	275.0	Flow cell reactor	[35]
$Ag_{0,14}/Cu_{0,86}$ /PTFE	41.0	-	250.0	Gas Diffusion electrode	[36]
Electrodeposited CuAg alloy	25.0	-	300.0	Flow cell reactor	[37]
Cu Nps/Carbon paper	11.0	2.1	430.0	Flow cell reactor	[38]
Cu_2S–Cu-V	25.0	7.0	400.0	Gas Diffusion electrode	[39]
34% N-C/Cu/PTFE	52.0	1.0	300.0	Gas Diffusion electrode	[40]
$La_{1,8}Sr_{0,2}CuO_4$	30.5	10.0	180.0	H-cell	[41]
Porous copper foam	-	4.93	37.5	H-cell	[42]

S5. CO_2-to-alcohols via catholyte-free electrochemical route.

Table S4**.** State-of-the-art performance of the production of alcohols from solvent-less electrochemical CO_2 conversion .

Electrocatalysts	Relative FE to methanol, %	Relative FE to ethanol, %	Relative FE to n-Propanol, %	Total current density, mA cm^{-2}	Cell configuration	Ref.
Fe_{10}-CNTox/GDL	21.7	70.5	3.3	1.4	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[47]
Pt_{10}-CNTox/GDL	16.1	25.1	34.8	1.4	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[47]
Cu-Graphite/C	75.0	-	-	2.4	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[48]
Cu-AC/C	45.0	-	-	2.4	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[48]
Cu-CNF/C	2.5	2.0	2.5	2.4	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[48]
Cu-CNF/C (PBI)	5.0	2.0	0.2	1.6	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[49]
Cu powder	92.8	-	-	1.6	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[50]
Cu_C powder	14.1	-	-	1.6	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[50]
Cu Oxide/ZnO	0.2	0.2		7.5	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[51]
Pb/CNT	6.3	-	-	16.0	Continuous gas-phase	[52]

					CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	
Cu(250nm)-C-G/PTFE	-	20.3	5.4	150.0	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[53]
Cu/TiO_2 NTs	23.5	-	-	120.0	Continuous Solid Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (SPE) Reactor	[54]
34% N-C/Cu/PTFE	-	56.5	2.7	160.0	Continuous gas-phase CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[40]
Commercial Cu_2O (solid-state electrolyte)	-	7.0	7.0	90.0	CO_2 electroreduction. MEA Configuration.	[55]

S6. Total Faradaic efficiencies of the best catholyte-free EC CO_2R electrocatalysts

	Relative Faradaic efficiency, %												
Electrocatalysts	CH_3OH	C_2H_4O	C_2H_5OH	C_3H_6O	C_3H_8O	CH_3COOH	CH_4	$C_2H_4O_2$	CO	C_2H_4	$HCOO^-$ /HCOOH	J_{total}, mA cm^{-2}	Ref.
Fe_{10}-CNTox/GDL	21.7	2.0	70.5	0.1	3.3	2.53	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	47
Pt_{10}-CNTox/GDL	16.1	19.8	25.1	0.2	34.8	4.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.4	47
Cu-Graphite/C	75.0	8.0	-	12.0	-	-	2.5	2.5	-	-	-	2.4	48
Cu-AC/C	45.0	48.0	-	5.0	-	-	1.0	1.0	-	-	-	2.4	48
Cu-CNF/C	2.5	70.0	2.0	-	2.5	-	5.0	12.0	10.0	-	-	2.4	48
Cu-CNF/C (PBI)	5.0	68.0	2.0	-	0.2	-	0.6	19.0	5.0	-	-	1.6	49
Cu_25nm powder	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.1	-	0.1	97.8	-	7.5	56
Cu powder	92.7	1.9	-	-	-	-	5.3	-	-	-	-	1.6	50
Cu_C powder	14.1	60.7	-	-	-	-	25.8	-	-	-	-	1.6	50
Cu Oxide/ZnO	0.2	-	0.2	0.2	-	-	0.5	-	0.3	98.5	-	7.5	51
Pb/CNT	6.3	-	-	-	-	-	34.4	-	9.4	-	50.0	16.0	52
Cu(250nm)-C-G/PTFE	-	-	20.3	-	5.4	6.7	-	-	13.5	54.1	-	150.0	53
Cu/TiO_2 NTs	23.5	-	-	-	-	-	17.6	-	58.8	-	-	120.0	54
34% N-C/Cu/PTFE	-	-	56.5	-	2.7	2.7	-	-	-	38.0	-	160.0	40
Commercial Cu_2O (solid-state electrolyte)	-	-	7.0	-	7.0	1.4	-	-	35.2	28.2	21.1	90.0	55

(-) These products were not detected.

S7. References

1 H. Guzmán, M. A. Farkhondehfal, K. Rodulfo Tolod, N. Russo and S. Hernández, in *Solar Hydrogen Production Processes, Systems and Technologies*, Elsevier Inc., 2019, p. 560.

2 J. Xiao, D. Mao, X. Guo and J. Yu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **338**, 146–153.

3 T. Witoon, N. Kachaban, W. Donphai, P. Kidkhunthod, K. Faungnawakij, M. Chareonpanich and J. Limtrakul, *Energy Convers. Manag.*, 2016, **118**, 21–31.

4 T. Phongamwong, U. Chantaprasertporn, T. Witoon, T. Numpilai, Y. Poo-arporn, W. Limphirat, W. Donphai, P. Dittanet, M. Chareonpanich and J. Limtrakul, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **316**, 692–703.

5 S. Saeidi, N. A. S. Amin and M. R. Rahimpour, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2014, **5**, 66–81.

6 J. Toyir, R. Miloua, N. E. Elkadri, M. Nawdali, H. Toufik, F. Miloua and M. Saito, *Phys. Procedia*, 2009, **2**, 1075–1079.

7 F. Jia, X. Yu and L. Zhang, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **252**, 85–89.

8 J. Albo, M. Alvarez-Guerra, P. Castaño and A. Irabien, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 2304–2324.

9 J. Albo, A. Sáez, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel and A. Irabien, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2015, **176–177**, 709–717.

10 C. Genovese, C. Ampelli, S. Perathoner and G. Centi, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 2406–2415.

11 M. Irfan Malik, Z. O. Malaibari, M. Atieh and B. Abussaud, *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 2016, **152**, 468–477.

12 K. Zhao, Y. Liu, X. Quan, S. Chen and H. Yu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 5302–5311.

13 M. T. H. Le, Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College, 2011.

14 B. C. Marepally, C. Ampelli, C. Genovese, F. Tavella, L. Veyre, E. A. Quadrelli, S. Perathoner and G. Centi, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2017, **21**, 534–542.

15 J. Yuan, M. P. Yang, Q. L. Hu, S. M. Li, H. Wang and J. X. Lu, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2018, **24**, 334–340.

16 J. Hazarika and M. S. Manna, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **328**, 135053.

17 A. Marcos-Madrazo, C. Casado-Coterillo and Á. Irabien, *ChemElectroChem*, 2019, **6**, 5273–5282.

18 L. Lu, X. Sun, J. Ma, D. Yang, H. Wu, B. Zhang, J. Zhang and B. Han, *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 14149–14153.

19 D. Yang, Q. Zhu, C. Chen, H. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Zhao, X. Zhang, S. Liu and B. Han, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–9.

20 H. Yang, Y. Wu, G. Li, Q. Lin, Q. Hu, Q. Zhang, J. Liu and C. He, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12717–12723.

21 R. A. Geioushy, M. M. Khaled, A. S. Hakeem, K. Alhooshani and C. Basheer, 2017, **785**, 138–143.

22 Y. Hori, I. Takahashi, O. Koga and N. Hoshi, *J. Mol. Catal. A Chem.*, 2003, **199**, 39–47.

23 Y. Hori and R. Takahashi, 1989, **85**, 2309–2326.

24 D. Ren, Y. Deng, A. D. Handoko, C. S. Chen, S. Malkhandi and B. S. Yeo, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2814–2821.

25 J. Yuan, J. J. Zhang, M. P. Yang, W. J. Meng, H. Wang and J. X. Lu, *Catalysts*, 2018, **8**, 171.

26 Y. Song, R. Peng, D. K. Hensley, P. V. Bonnesen, L. Liang, Z. Wu, H. M. Meyer, M. Chi, C. Ma, B. G. Sumpter and A. J. Rondinone, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, **1**, 6055–6061.

27 R. A. Geioushy, M. M. Khaled, K. Alhooshani, A. S. Hakeem and A. Rinaldi, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **245**, 456–462.

28 D. Ren, N. T. Wong, A. D. Handoko, Y. Huang and B. S. Yeo, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 20–24.

29 D. Kim, C. S. Kley, Y. Li and P. Yang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2017, **114**, 10560–10565.

30 J. Yuan, M. P. Yang, Q. L. Hu, S. M. Li, H. Wang and J. X. Lu, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2018, **24**, 334–340.

31 F. Y. Zhang, T. Sheng, N. Tian, L. Liu, C. Xiao, B. A. Lu, B. Bin Xu, Z. Y. Zhou and S. G. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 8085–8088.

32 M. Rahaman, A. Dutta, A. Zanetti and P. Broekmann, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 7946–7956.

33 J. Albo, M. Perfecto-Irigaray, G. Beobide and A. Irabien, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2019, **33**, 157–165.

34 J. J. Lv, M. Jouny, W. Luc, W. Zhu, J. J. Zhu and F. Jiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1–8.

35 C. T. Dinh, T. Burdyny, G. Kibria, A. Seifitokaldani, C. M. Gabardo, F. Pelayo García De Arquer, A. Kiani, J. P. Edwards, P. De Luna, O. S. Bushuyev, C. Zou, R. Quintero-Bermudez, Y. Pang, D. Sinton and E. H. Sargent, *Science (80-.).*, 2018, **360**, 783–787.

36 Y. C. Li, Z. Wang, T. Yuan, D. H. Nam, M. Luo, J. Wicks, B. Chen, J. Li, F. Li, F. P. G.

De Arquer, Y. Wang, C. T. Dinh, O. Voznyy, D. Sinton and E. H. Sargent, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 8584–8591.

37 T. T. H. Hoang, S. Verma, S. Ma, T. T. Fister, J. Timoshenko, A. I. Frenkel, P. J. A. Kenis and A. A. Gewirth, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5791–5797.

38 S. Ma, M. Sadakiyo, R. Luo, M. Heima, M. Yamauchi and P. J. A. Kenis, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **301**, 219–228.

39 T. Zhuang, Z. Liang, A. Seifitokaldani, Y. Li, P. De Luna, T. Burdyny, F. Che, F. Meng, Y. Min, R. Quintero-bermudez, C. T. Dinh, Y. Pang, M. Zhong, B. Zhang, J. Li, P. Chen, X. Zheng, H. Liang, W. Ge, B. Ye, D. Sinton, S. Yu and E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **1**, 421–428.

40 X. Wang, Z. Wang, F. P. G. De Arquer, C. Dinh, A. Ozden, Y. C. Li, D. Nam, J. Li, Y. Liu, J. Wicks, Z. Chen, M. Chi, B. Chen, Y. Wang, J. Tam, J. Y. Howe, A. Proppe, P. Todorović, F. Li, T. Zhuang, C. M. Gabardo, A. R. Kirmani, C. Mccallum, S. Hung, Y. Lum, M. Luo, Y. Min, A. Xu, C. P. O. Brien, B. Stephen, B. Sun, A. H. Ip, L. J. Richter, S. O. Kelley, D. Sinton and E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Energy*, 2020, **5**, 478–486.

41 M. Schwartz, R. L. Cook, K. Victoria M., R. C. MacDuff, J. Patel and A. F. Sammells, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1993, **140**, 614.

42 J. A. Rudd, E. Kazimierska, L. B. Hamdy, O. J. E. Bain, S. Ahn, A. R. Barron and E. Andreoli, *ChemRxiv*, , DOI:10.26434/chemrxiv.12022623.v1.

43 J. Albo and A. Irabien, *J. Catal.*, 2016, **343**, 232–239.

44 C. Chen, X. Sun, L. Lu, D. Yang, J. Ma, Q. Zhu, Q. Qian and B. Han, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 4579–4583.

45 M. Luo, Z. Wang, Y. C. Li, J. Li, F. Li, Y. Lum, D. H. Nam, B. Chen, J. Wicks, A. Xu, T. Zhuang, W. R. Leow, X. Wang, C. T. Dinh, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, D. Sinton and E. H. Sargent, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1–7.

46 C. Chen, X. Yan, S. Liu, Y. Wu, Q. Wan, X. Sun, Q. Zhu, H. Liu, J. Ma, L. Zheng, H. Wu and B. Han, *Angew. Chemie*, 2020, **100049**, 16601–16606.

47 C. Genovese, C. Ampelli, S. Perathoner and G. Centi, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **308**, 237–249.

48 N. Gutiérrez-Guerra, L. Moreno-López, J. C. Serrano-Ruiz, J. L. Valverde and A. de Lucas-Consuegra, *Appl. Catal. B Environ.*, 2016, **188**, 272–282.

49 N. Gutiérrez-Guerra, J. L. Valverde, A. Romero, J. C. Serrano-Ruiz and A. de Lucas-Consuegra, *Electrochem. commun.*, 2017, **81**, 128–131.

50 N. Gutiérrez-Guerra, J. A. González, J. C. Serrano-Ruiz, E. López-Fernández, J. L. Valverde and A. de Lucas-Consuegra, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2019, 46–53.

51 I. Merino-Garcia, J. Albo, J. Solla-Gullón, V. Montiel and A. Irabien, *J. CO2 Util.*, 2019, **31**, 135–142.

52 J. García, C. Jiménez, F. Martínez, R. Camarillo and J. Rincón, *J. Catal.*, 2018, **367**, 72–80.

53 C. M. Gabardo, P. Colin, O. Brien, P. Jonathan, J. Li, H. Edward, D. Sinton, C. P. O. Brien, J. P. Edwards, C. Mccallum, Y. Xu, C. Dinh, J. Li, E. H. Sargent and D. Sinton, *Joule*, 2019, **3**, 2777–2791.

54 S. K. S. Hossain, J. Saleem, S. U. Rahman, S. M. J. Zaidi, G. McKay and C. K. Cheng, *Catalysts*, 2019, **9**, 1–19.

55 C. Xia, P. Zhu, Q. Jiang, Y. Pan, W. Liang, E. Stavitsk, H. N. Alshareef and H. Wang, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, **4**, 776–785.

56 I. Merino-Garcia, J. Albo and A. Irabien, *Nanotechnology*, 2018, **29**, 14001.