POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs

Original

Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs / Putame, Giovanni; Gabetti, Stefano; Carbonaro, Dario; Di Meglio, Franca; Romano, Veronica; Maria Sacco, Anna; Belviso, Immacolata; Serino, Gianpaolo; Bignardi, Cristina; Morbiducci, Umberto; Castaldo, Clotilde; Massai, DIANA NADA CATERINA. - In: MEDICAL ENGINEERING & PHYSICS. - ISSN 1873-4030. - ELETTRONICO. - 84:(2020). [10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2842487 since: 2020-08-11T21:09:51Z

Publisher: Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medengphy.2020.07.018

(Article begins on next page)

1 Compact and tunable stretch bioreactor advancing tissue engineering

2 implementation. Application to engineered cardiac constructs

3 Giovanni Putame^{a,b}, Stefano Gabetti^{a,b}, Dario Carbonaro^{a,b}, Franca Di Meglio^c, Veronica Romano^c, 4 Anna Maria Sacco^c, Immacolata Belviso^c, Gianpaolo Serino^{a,b}, Cristina Bignardi^{a,b}, Umberto 5 Morbiducci^{a,b}, Clotilde Castaldo^c, and Diana Massai^{a,b} 6 7 ^aPolito^{BIO}Med Lab, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, 8 9 Turin, Italy ^bInteruniversity Center for the Promotion of the 3Rs Principles in Teaching and Research, Italy 10 ^cDepartment of Public Health, University of Naples "Federico II", Naples, Italy 11 12 *Correspondence: 13 Diana Massai 14 diana.massai@polito.it 15 16 Keywords: Bioreactor, Cyclic stretching, Mechanical stimulation, Tissues engineering, Cardiac 17 18 tissue maturation. 19 20

Abstract

22

23 Physical stimuli are crucial for the structural and functional maturation of tissues both in vivo and in vitro. In tissue engineering applications, bioreactors have become fundamental and effective tools 24 for providing biomimetic culture conditions that recapitulate the native physical stimuli. In addition, 25 26 bioreactors play a key role in assuring strict control, automation, and standardization in the production process of cell-based products for future clinical application. In this study, a compact, 27 28 easy-to-use, tunable stretch bioreactor is proposed. Based on customizable and low-cost 29 technological solutions, the bioreactor was designed for providing tunable mechanical stretch for 30 biomimetic dynamic culture of different engineered tissues. In-house validation tests demonstrated 31 the accuracy and repeatability of the imposed mechanical stimulation. Proof of concepts biological 32 tests performed on engineered cardiac constructs, based on decellularized human skin scaffolds seeded with human cardiac progenitor cells, confirmed the bioreactor Good Laboratory Practice 33 34 compliance and ease of use, and the effectiveness of the delivered cyclic stretch stimulation on the 35 cardiac construct maturation.

1. Introduction

- 37 Tissue engineering (TE) is a multidisciplinary research field whose primary purpose is the *in vitro*
- development of functional tissue constructs used as models for basic research, drug testing, and
- disease investigations, or ultimately aimed at repairing injured tissues or even organs [1,2].
- 40 According to the TE paradigm, the bioprocess for generating functional constructs is based on three
- 41 key elements: cells, scaffolds, and culture environmental cues [3,4].
- 42 Cells play a crucial role, since they generate the new tissue through proliferation, differentiation and
- maturation. In particular, the use of human stem or progenitor cells, which can differentiate into
- 44 tissue-specific functional cell types, provides promising perspectives for patient-specific tissue
- 45 models and personalized TE [5–10].
- 46 Scaffolds substantially serve as active biochemical and structural support for cell growth. In
- 47 particular, decellularized extracellular matrix (ECM) is recognized as one of the most promising
- 48 biological scaffolds, because of its native biochemical and biomechanical features, and its three-
- 49 dimensional (3D) microarchitecture [11,12].
- Lastly, biomimetic chemical and physical environmental cues have proven to be fundamental for
- defining the fate and the functionality of the engineered constructs [13–16]. Focusing on strategies
- for engineering tissues that *in vivo* are physiologically subjected to mechanical stimuli (e.g., tensile
- or compressive load), several studies demonstrated that the use of dynamic culture devices
- 54 providing adequate *in vitro* mechanical stimuli leads to significant improvements in structural and
- functional tissue maturation [17–20]. For example, it was observed that the controlled exposure of
- 56 engineered skeletal muscle tissues to mechanical cyclic stretch promotes their development, with
- 57 improved morphological, contractile and myogenic properties [21–24]. Furthermore, stretch was
- successfully applied for cultivating *in vitro* tendon and ligament grafts, with several studies
- 59 demonstrating that mechanical stimulation is crucial for promoting tenocyte differentiation, tendon
- 60 matrix synthesis, and construct tensile strength [25–30]. Dynamic culture devices providing stretch
- stimuli were also used for generating skin tissue models characterized by thick epidermal layers
- with high levels of expressed basement membrane proteins [31], and for ex vivo expansion of skin
- 63 grafts, promoting dermal ECM synthesis [32,33]. Cyclic stretch plays a fundamental role in
- bioprocesses designed for the *in vitro* maturation of cardiac tissue models. A large body of literature
- demonstrated that the provision of cyclic stretch stimulation mimicking the cyclic diastolic filling of
- the ventricles promotes cell proliferation, myocardium-like morphological arrangement and
- 67 maturation, and contractile performance of engineered cardiac tissues [34–42].
- The need of TE bioprocesses to provide biomimetic physical stimuli in a strictly controlled manner
- 69 is faced using bioreactors. When equipped with advanced and programmable technological

- solutions, these devices can guarantee control, automation, and standardization of the production
- process [43,44], fulfilling the rigorous requirements for clinical translation of cell-based products.
- Moreover, bioreactors represent useful platforms for generating *in vitro* tissue models, thus
- addressing the need for providing investigation methods alternative to animal-based
- 74 experimentation.
- However, bioreactor-based approaches have to cope with a series of drawbacks limiting their wide
- spread. In particular, complex technology and high costs, often related to the high level of
- customization required by the specific application, represent relevant limiting factors [45].
- Moreover, difficulty of use is a critical aspect affecting both custom-made and commercial
- 79 bioreactor platform diffusion [46,47].
- Nowadays, the availability of affordable open-source and low-cost electronic solutions for
- 81 bioprocess monitoring and control purposes and the diffusion of low-cost 3D printing technologies
- give the opportunity to rethink the design phase as well as to develop highly customizable and
- flexible bioprocess platforms at limited implementation costs [48–52]. In this perspective, we
- present here a compact, easy-to-use, tunable stretch bioreactor platform for TE applications.
- 85 Customizable and low-cost technological solutions are adopted for the platform implementation.
- Using a purpose-built test bench, in-house validation tests are performed to assess the motor motion
- accuracy and repeatability. To demonstrate the bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture
- laboratory and to investigate the impact of cyclic stretch on maturation of engineered cardiac
- 89 tissues, explanatory biological experiments on decellularized human skin (d-HuSk) scaffolds seeded
- 90 with human cardiac progenitor cells (hCPCs), performed within the bioreactor platform, are
- 91 presented. The hCPC-seeded d-HuSk scaffolds are subjected to controlled cyclic stretch, and the
- 92 effect of cyclic stretch conditioning is analyzed in terms of cell organization and gene expression of
- 93 typical cardiac markers.

95

96

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Bioreactor platform

- 97 The design of the bioreactor platform was guided by specific requirements. Firstly, the device
- 98 should provide tunable mechanical stretch for biomimetic dynamic culture of different engineered
- 99 tissues (e.g., myocardium, skeletal muscle, skin, tendon, and ligament tissue). Then, it should
- accomplish general specifications of a bioreactor for TE strategies [17], particularly Good
- Laboratory Practices (GLP) compliance in terms of ease of assembling, cleaning, and use in a cell
- culture laboratory and with conventional laboratory equipment. Moreover, the bioreactor platform

should be modular for facilitating assembling/disassembling/cleaning procedures and 103 104 customization, and it should be characterized by small size, to be easily handled under laminar flow hood and within the incubator. Lastly, for promoting the use of the system, the bioreactor platform 105 should be designed and produced with easy-to-use and low-cost hardware and software, and overall 106 it should guarantee reliability for long-term experiments within the incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂, and 107 90-95% humidity). 108 Based on these requirements, the bioreactor platform is designed consisting of three main units (Fig. 109 1A): (1) the culture unit, housing the constructs; (2) the stimulation unit, providing the biomimetic 110 111 mechanical stimuli; (3) the control unit, devoted to the control of the stimulation unit. Both the 112 culture unit and the stimulation unit are mounted on an aluminum planar base (342 mm x 128 mm) 113 to be incubated, while the control unit is located outside the incubator. In detail, the culture unit, adapted from a previously developed device [53], is composed of a 114 polycarbonate culture chamber (140 x 80 x 75 mm³ with a priming volume of ~100 ml) designed to 115 house multiple constructs to be cultured simultaneously. Within the culture chamber, two opposite 116 117 polyoxymethylene (POM) clamps allow grasping the constructs during stimulation. One clamp is mobile, coupled with a stainless steel through-shaft externally connected to the stimulation unit 118 motor, while the opposite clamp is fixed (Fig. 1B). Silicone bellows (J-Flex rubber, Retford, UK) 119 assure watertightness of the culture chamber. The culture chamber is inserted within an L-shaped 120 chassis, previously developed for guaranteeing a correct positioning of the culture chamber on the 121 planar base [54]. The stimulation unit consists of a watertight box (130 x 95 x 65 mm³), which 122 houses a captive stepper motor (NEMA 14, Nanotec Electronic GmbH & Co. KG, Feldkirchen, DE) 123 that generates a linear motion with a resolution of 10 µm/step. The motor provides the mechanical 124 stimulation to the cultured constructs, controlled by the control unit. The latter is made of a compact 125 box (170 x 150 x 60 mm³) containing a microcontroller board (Arduino Due, Arduino, Ivrea, IT), 126 selected because it is an open-source and low-cost electronics platform, which is coupled with a 127 small-sized motor driver (A4988, Allegro MicroSystems, Manchester, USA). The motor driver with 128 built-in translator and current regulator acts as bridge component between the microcontroller and 129 130 the motor, and enables motor control in open-loop configuration efficiently assuring the needed power supply. A user-friendly interface, based on push buttons and a 1.8" LCD screen (Arduino), 131 132 allows the proper adjustment of the initial relative position between clamps and the setting of the stimulation parameters (i.e., stretching amplitude and frequency). A schematic diagram of the 133 134 control unit implementation is reported in Figure 1C.

To perform the explanatory biological tests, dedicated to culture cardiac constructs under cardiac-

like cyclic stretch, the microcontroller is programmed to generate a sinusoidal motor motion with

135

tunable stretching amplitudes (0.1-3.0 mm, by 0.1 mm steps) and frequencies (1-3 Hz, by 1 Hz steps). Available combinations of stimulation parameters for culturing constructs are reported in Table 1.

140

137

138

139

4)		Frequency (Hz)		
Amplitude range (mm)		1	2	3
	2.1 - 3	•		
	1.1 - 2	•	•	
	0.1 - 1	•	•	•

Table 1. Stimulation parameter combinations. Black dots indicate the available combinations.

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

141

All culture chamber components in contact with medium or constructs are made of cytocompatible and autoclavable materials [53,55]. The L-shaped chassis housing the culture chamber and the stimulation unit box are manufactured in ABS thermoplastic material by fused deposition modelling (FDM) for guaranteeing design flexibility and cost-efficiency [54].

2.2 In-house tests

The ease of use and the reliability of stimulation and control units were preliminarily tested inhouse. In detail, the motion accuracy of the stimulation unit operated by the control unit was characterized using a purpose-built test bench. A linear variable displacement transducer (LVDT, AML/EU/±5/S, Applied Measurements Ltd., Aldermaston, UK), mounted on a chassis and connected to a dedicated data acquisition system (Personal computer equipped with a cDAQ-9174 coupled with a NI 9218 module, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA), was put in contact with the through-shaft connected to the stimulation unit motor (Supplementary Fig. S1), and all the 60 combinations of motor amplitude and frequency parameters were tested. In detail, for each possible combination, the motor imparted displacement was acquired continuously over 30 cycles (sampling rate = 1652 Hz). The measured LVDT signals were acquired, filtered (Butterworth low-pass filter, order 8, cut-off frequency = 10 Hz), and analyzed in LabVIEW environment (LabVIEW, National Instruments) to evaluate the peak-to-peak amplitude as well as the frequency of the recorded displacement signals. All measurements were carried out in triplicate. The motor displacement waveforms were characterized by comparing the measured waveforms with the prescribed ideal sinusoidal waveforms. The mean percentage errors of measured amplitude and frequency values with respect to the prescribed nominal values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD).

2.3 Biological tests

- 2.3.1 Bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture laboratory
- 167 The bioreactor platform was then tested in a cell culture laboratory in order to assess its ease of use
- and compliance with GLP procedures. In detail, the components of the culture chamber were
- autoclaved and assembled under laminar flow hood, the culture chamber was filled with Dulbecco's
- Modified Eagle's Medium/Ham's Nutrient Mixture F12 culture medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,
- MO, USA), and the assembled system was placed in incubator without constructs but with the
- mechanical stimulation (1 mm, 1 Hz) switched on for 5 days.
- 173 *2.3.2 Preparation and culture of cardiac constructs*
- 174 To investigate the influence of biomimetic cyclic stretch on the maturation of cardiac constructs,
- explanatory biological tests were carried out on decellularized human skin (d-HuSk) scaffolds
- seeded with human cardiac progenitor cells (hCPCs) and hCPC-derived early cardiac myocytes.
- 177 Concerning the scaffold preparation, human skin samples were obtained from patients undergoing
- abdominoplasty (n = 4, mean age 41.75 ± 2.36). Upon receipt, samples were washed in
- physiological saline solution, then subcutaneous tissue was removed and multiple specimens were
- cut (length = 20 mm, width = 10 mm) marking Langer's line orientation. For decellularization
- treatment, specimens were enclosed in embedding cassettes housed in a purpose-built sample-
- holder, put within a beaker filled with the decellularizing solution (700 ml) and placed on a
- magnetic stirrer, and kept under constant stirring (150 rpm) for 24 h [56]. The decellularizing
- solution contained 1% w/v sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1% v/v Triton
- 185 (Sigma-Aldrich). The specimens were then rinsed for 24 h in antibiotic solution containing 0.25
- 186 μg/ml Amphotericin B, 100 U/ml Penicillin, and 50 U/ml Streptomycin (all from Sigma-Aldrich) in
- PBS, and lastly in sterile bidistilled water for additional 30 min [57,58]. The d-HuSk specimens
- were snap-frozen, mounted on a cryostat chuck using Tissue Freezing Medium (Leica
- Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany), and sliced into 600-µm-thick sections by a Leica CM1950
- cryostat (Leica Microsystems). Cryosections of d-HuSk were sterilized by exposure to ultraviolet
- radiation for 40 min and rehydrated for one week with F12K medium in incubator (37° C, 5% CO₂).
- The sterilized and rehydrated d-HuSk cryosections were then stored in standard culture conditions
- 193 with the same medium until use.
- 194 As regards the hCPCs, they were isolated from cardiac specimens derived from macroscopically
- uninjured areas of the left ventricle of explanted hearts of patients undergoing heart transplant
- because of end-stage heart failure (n = 10, mean age 49.5 ± 4.7). Specifically, following a
- previously described protocol [59] cardiac specimens were washed in physiological saline solution,

- dissected, minced, and enzymatically disaggregated by incubation in 0.25% trypsin (Sigma-
- Aldrich) for 6 h at 4 °C and in 0.1% w/v collagenase II (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 min at 37 °C. The
- 200 digestion was stopped by adding double volume of Hanks' Balanced Salt solution (Sigma-Aldrich)
- supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich). Resulting fragments of tissue
- were further disaggregated by pipetting. Tissue debris and cardiomyocytes were then removed by
- sequential centrifugation at 100 g for 2 min, passage through a 40-µm cell strainer (BD Biosciences,
- Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and centrifugation at 400 g for 5 min. The obtained cell population was
- then incubated with anti-fibroblast MicroBeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) to
- 206 magnetically label fibroblasts that were then removed loading cells onto a MACS column (Miltenyi
- Biotec) placed in the magnetic field of a MACS separator (Miltenyi Biotec). The negative fraction
- of unlabeled hCPCs ran through the column was collected and plated at a density of $4x10^3$ cells per
- 209 cm² in F12K medium, prepared from Nutrient Mixture F-12 Ham medium (Sigma-Aldrich)
- supplemented with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), basic fibroblast growth factor (Peprotech, Rocky
- 211 Hill, NJ, USA), glutathione (Sigma-Aldrich), penicillin and streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). The
- hCPCs were cultured in incubator (37°C, 5% CO₂) and observed daily by an inverted phase-contrast
- 213 microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Medium was replaced every 3 days until the 75% confluence
- was reached. Then, an unselected subpopulation of hCPCs was induced to differentiate towards
- cardiac myocytes by adding 50 µg/ml of ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) and 10 ng/ml of Vascular-
- 216 Endothelial Growth Factor (Sigma-Aldrich) to the culture medium for 7 days.
- Successively, the d-HuSk scaffolds (n = 24) were seeded with 2.5×10^6 hCPCs and 2.5×10^6 hCPC-
- derived early cardiac myocytes. After 7 days of static culture in Petri dish, half of the constructs (n
- = 12) were transferred, in pairs, into the bioreactor culture chamber with F12K medium and
- subjected to dynamic conditions (i.e., sinusoidal cyclic stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) for additional 7
- days (see Supplementary Movie 1) for mimicking the cyclic diastolic filling of the ventricles [60–
- 222 63]. As control experiment, the other half of the constructs (n = 12) were cultured statically in Petri
- 223 dishes for the entire duration of 14 days (Fig. 2). Finally, constructs were cut into smaller specimens
- 224 that were either fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin (Sigma-Aldrich) for morphological analyses
- or processed for RNA extraction for gene expression profiling.
- 226 2.3.3 Histochemistry analysis
- Following standard protocols, subsets of constructs cultured in static conditions (control) or in
- bioreactor and fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin were dehydrated in a graded series of
- 229 alcohols, embedded in paraffin and sliced into serial 5-μm-thick sections [57,58]. Sections were
- stained with Hematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) and with Mallory's trichrome staining using specific
- 231 kits (both from Bio-Optica, Milan, Italy). Stained sections were observed by at least three

- independent researchers using a light microscope DM2000 Led (Leica Microsystems) equipped
- with an ICC50HD camera (Leica Microsystems).
- 234 2.3.4 Gene expression profile analysis
- Total RNA was extracted from hCPCs seeded on d-HuSk scaffolds, cultured both in static and
- 236 cyclic stretch conditions, using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad, CA,
- USA), according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was dissolved in RNase-free water and its
- 238 final concentration was quantified at the NanoDrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific,
- Waltham, MA, USA). All RNA samples were checked for quality and resulted suitable for gene
- expression profiling analyses. Analysis was performed as previously described [64]. Briefly, RNA
- 241 from each sample was reverse transcribed into cDNA with QuantiTect Reverse Trascription Kit
- 242 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and gene expression was quantified by real-time qPCR using Power
- 243 SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystem, Thermo Fisher Scientific). DNA amplification
- was carried out using QuantStudioTM 5 Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the
- detection was performed by measuring the binding of the fluorescent dye SYBR Green I to double-
- stranded DNA. The thermal cycling conditions included an initial enzyme activation at 95°C for 10
- min and 40 cycles consisting of a denaturation step at 95°C for 15 s and an annealing step at 60°C
- for 60 s. Melt curve analysis was performed to assess uniformity of product formation, primer
- 249 dimer formation and amplification of non-specific products. Primers used in this study were
- designed with Primer3 software (http://frodo.wi.mit.edu) starting from the CDS (coding sequence)
- of mature mRNA available on GeneBank (Supplementary Table S1). All samples were tested in
- 252 triplicate with the housekeeping gene (GAPDH) to correct for variations in RNA quality and
- quantity. Comparative quantification of target gene expression in the samples was performed based
- on cycle threshold (Ct) normalized to the housekeeping gene and using the $2-\Delta\Delta$ Ct method.
- 255 2.3.5 Statistical analysis
- Data from gene expression profiling were analyzed by GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, La
- Jolla, CA, USA) using Student's two-tailed unpaired t-test. All experiments were performed in
- 258 triplicate and data were averaged and expressed as the mean \pm standard error of the mean. The
- statistical significance is denoted as * for p-value ≤ 0.05 , ** for p-value ≤ 0.01 , *** for p-value \leq
- 260 0.001.

263

262 **3. Results**

3.1 In-house tests

- In-house tests confirmed the ease of use and the reliability of the stimulation and control units. In
- detail, tests on motor imposed displacement accuracy highlighted that real displacement waveforms
- agree with the prescribed ideal sinusoidal waveforms for all the available combinations of working
- 267 conditions, as testified by the explanatory waveforms presented in Figure 3A. As regards the
- 268 comparison between the measured stretching amplitude values and the nominal ones, mean error
- values up to 13.3%±7.3% were observed, with the largest deviation from the nominal curve
- 270 corresponding to the combination characterized by minimum amplitude equal to 0.1 mm and
- 271 frequency equal to 1 Hz. For nominal amplitude values higher than 0.4 mm the observed mean error
- values were lower than 4% (Fig. 3B). Concerning the nominal frequency, mean error values up to
- 273 10.5% (corresponding to the combination characterized by amplitude equal to 1.3 mm and
- 274 frequency equal to 1 Hz) were observed (Fig. 3C).

275 3.2 Biological tests

- 3.2.1 Bioreactor platform performance in a cell culture laboratory
- 277 Preliminary tests performed in a cell culture laboratory confirmed ease of use, sterility maintenance,
- and functionality of the bioreactor platform in a standard incubator. During the explanatory cyclic
- stimulation tests run for 5 days in incubator, the system did not present adverse issues, the
- 280 watertightness of the culture chamber and the stimulation unit was confirmed, and the culture
- medium did not present any signs of contamination.
- 282 *3.2.2 Histochemistry*
- The H&E and Mallory's trichrome staining of the cardiac constructs revealed that, under both static
- 284 (control) and dynamic (sinusoidal cyclic stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) culture conditions, hCPCs
- organized into a structured multilayered tissue on the surface of the d-HuSk scaffolds (Fig. 4).
- Noteworthy, the histochemical analysis highlighted that the dynamic culture promoted hCPC
- migration towards the inner layers of the scaffolds (Figs. 4B and 4D).
- 288 3.2.2 Gene expression profile analysis
- The gene expression profile analysis of hCPCs extracted from constructs cultured under either static
- or dynamic conditions included genes typical of main cardiac cell lineages. In particular, in
- bioreactor-cultured constructs, a significant up-regulation of cardiac alpha actin (ACTC1), a marker
- 292 typical of late differentiating and mature cardiac myocytes, was observed. On the opposite, markers
- typical of undifferentiated hCPCs (like CD117) or of early stages of cardiac myocyte differentiation
- 294 (like TBX3 and TBX5) were significantly down-regulated with respect to control constructs (Fig.
- 5). The transcription of other markers typical of cardiac myocytes, like MEF2C, CX43, and
- 296 GATA4, did not differ significantly among constructs cultured in static or dynamic conditions, and

similarly happened for the transcription of the mesenchymal cell marker CD105 and of genes typical of smooth muscle cells (GATA6, ACTA2) and endothelial cells (ETS1, FVIII) (Supplementary Fig. S2).

300

301

297

298

299

4. Discussion

302 In TE research, a number of studies demonstrated that successful strategies for the *in vitro* generation of functional engineered tissues require a synergistic combination of appropriate cells, 303 304 scaffolds, and biochemical and biophysical signals [65–67]. As specifically concerns mechanical cues, in the last two decades a plethora of custom-made bioreactors providing in vitro biomimetic 305 306 mechanical stretch have been proposed [23,24,29–31,33,40,42]. In parallel, ready-to-use systems have been developed by commercial companies (e.g., Tissue Train 3D Culture System from 307 FlexCell International, Hillsborough, USA; TC-3 from Ebers Medical Technology, Zaragoza, 308 Spain; MCT6 from CellScale, Waterloo, Canada; BioDynamic 5100 from TA Instruments, New 309 Castle, USA). All the developed culture devices substantially contributed to unravel the 310 fundamental role that mechanical stretch has on structural and functional development of biological 311 tissues and in regulating tissue homeostasis and pathophysiology. Moreover, their use increased the 312 knowledge on sensitivity of cells to mechanical stimuli, to which cells react activating specific 313 314 mechanotransduction pathways that can lead to phenotypic changes [68–71]. However, the proposed custom-made bioreactors were often based on complex technological 315 316 solutions, difficult to use by non-trained operators in a cell culture laboratory and typically 317 dedicated to highly specialized applications, while the commercial devices are generally expensive and not fully customizable. 318 319 Taking into account these limitations, in this study we developed a compact, easy-to-use, tunable 320 stretch bioreactor platform for culturing in vitro 3D engineered constructs under biomimetic stretch 321 conditions. Particular attention was paid in developing reliable and affordable stimulation and 322 control units. As regards the stimulation unit, the use of a captive stepper motor enables the 323 provision of linear motion adopting an open loop control strategy ensuring high displacement resolution without the need for additional and complex feedback sensing solutions. In combination, 324 325 a compact control unit, based on low-cost open-source hardware and freeware software, avoids the use of cumbersome and expensive equipment (e.g., laptop, data acquisition module, and 326 commercial software). Moreover, the integrated user-friendly interface allows ease-of-use to not 327 experienced operators as well as system portability. In-house performance tests confirmed that the 328 329 bioreactor platform is reliable in providing accurate and repeatable stimulation within a range of

physiological interest. For imposed motor displacement values higher than 0.4 mm, the mean error 330 331 values between the measured amplitude values and the nominal ones were lower than 4%, thus negligible, for all available stimulation parameter combinations. Conversely, for motor 332 displacement values in the range of 0.1 - 0.4 mm, higher amplitude errors were calculated (Fig. 3). 333 However, it should be noted that such small displacement values are not commonly adopted for 334 mechanical stimulation of macroscopic constructs. This inaccuracy could be ascribed to the axial 335 play of the motor shaft, and to inertial and vibrational phenomena that are intrinsic to stepper 336 motors. In addition, possible signal artefacts during the LVDT data acquisition, due to inductive and 337 338 capacitive electrical interference, could not be excluded. As concerns the stimulation frequency, 339 measurements revealed negligible errors, probably ascribable to intrinsic technical limitations of the 340 adopted low-cost microcontroller. Preliminary tests in a cell culture laboratory demonstrated that the device is easy-to-use with GLP 341 342 compliant procedures, compact to handle and fit in a standard incubator, and guarantees watertightness, sterility maintenance and functionality. 343 344 For investigating the effect of cyclic stretch on cardiac construct maturation, the biological experiments were performed on decellularized human skin scaffolds seeded with hCPCs and 345 cultured for 7 days in static conditions, and then transferred into the bioreactor (sinusoidal cyclic 346 stretch, 10% strain, 1 Hz) for additional 7 days. The histochemical analysis showed cell engraftment 347 on the scaffold surface in both controls and dynamically cultured constructs (Fig. 4), but only when 348 subjected to cyclic stretch cells migrated towards the inner layers of the scaffolds, starting to 349 colonize their 3D structure (Figs. 4B and 4D). The gene expression analysis highlighted a 350 significant up-regulation of the ACTC1 marker, typical of late differentiating and mature cardiac 351 myocytes, concomitantly with a marked down-regulation of CD117, TBX3 and TBX5 markers 352 (Fig. 5), typical receptors for stem cells or early stage cardiac myocytes, suggesting that dynamic 353 culture likely promoted hCPC differentiation towards mature cardiac myocytes, in accordance with 354 previous studies [72–76]. 355 Although further and longer experimental tests will be necessary for comprehensively 356 357 characterizing the effect of cyclic stretch on the maturation of d-HuSk scaffolds seeded with hCPCs, the latter particularly sensitive to the microenvironment, the preliminary promising findings 358 359 provided evidence of the bioreactor platform reliability and suitability for cardiac tissue engineering applications. In the future, the possibility to switch from stretching to compression mode will be 360 implemented in the bioreactor platform, and the device will be adapted to be equipped with an 361 electrical stimulation unit [77] to provide combinable mechanical and electrical stimulations for 362 363 mimicking the complex native cardiac environment.

In conclusion, adopting customizable and low-cost technological solutions, a compact, easy-to-use, 364 tunable stretch bioreactor platform for biomimetic dynamic culture of 3D engineered was 365 developed. Based on modular components and providing tunable stimulation, the proposed device is 366 versatile and adaptable for different tissue engineering applications. Moreover, the choice of the 3D 367 printing technology and low-cost hardware coupled with free and open-source software, 368 substantially limited the development costs and will support in the future the use of the system as 369 valuable tool for in vitro investigation and for future production of functional engineered constructs. 370 371 **Data Availability Statement** 372 373 Data associated with this study is available upon request to the corresponding author. 374 **Conflict of Interest** 375 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial 376 377 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. 378 379 Acknowledgements 380 Competing interests: None declared. 381 Funding: None. Ethical approval: Patients provided written informed consent and samples were collected without 382 383 patient identifiers, following protocols approved by the Federico II University Hospital Ethical Committee (ref. number 79/18) and in conformity with principles outlined in the Declaration of 384 Helsinki. 385 386 References 387 Lanza R, Langer R, Vacanti J, editors. Principles of Tissue Engineering. 4th ed. Elsevier; 388 [1] 2014. https://doi.org/10.1016/C2011-0-07193-4. 389 [2] Vunjak Novakovic G, Eschenhagen T, Mummery C. Myocardial Tissue Engineering: In 390 Vitro Models. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2014;4:a014076–a014076. 391

Goldstein AS, Christ G. Functional Tissue Engineering Requires Bioreactor Strategies.

https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a014076.

392

393

[3]

- Tissue Eng Part A 2009;15:739–40. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2009.0046.
- 395 [4] Lyons F, Partap S, O'Brien FJ. Part 1: Scaffolds and Surfaces. Technol Heal Care 2008;16:305–17. https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-2008-16409.
- Kropp C, Massai D, Zweigerdt R. Progress and challenges in large-scale expansion of human pluripotent stem cells. Process Biochem 2017;59:244–54.
- 399 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.09.032.
- 400 [6] Chimenti I, Massai D, Morbiducci U, Beltrami AP, Pesce M, Messina E. Stem Cell
- Spheroids and Ex Vivo Niche Modeling: Rationalization and Scaling-Up. J Cardiovasc
- 402 Transl Res 2017;10:150–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-017-9741-5.
- 403 [7] Bianco P, Robey PG. Stem cells in tissue engineering. Nature 2001;414:118–21.
- 404 https://doi.org/10.1038/35102181.
- 405 [8] Caplan AI. Adult mesenchymal stem cells for tissue engineering versus regenerative
- 406 medicine. J Cell Physiol 2007;213:341–7. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.21200.
- 407 [9] Kwon SG, Kwon YW, Lee TW, Park GT, Kim JH. Recent advances in stem cell therapeutics
- and tissue engineering strategies. Biomater Res 2018;22:36. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40824-
- 409 018-0148-4.
- 410 [10] Edri R, Gal I, Noor N, Harel T, Fleischer S, Adadi N, et al. Personalized Hydrogels for
- Engineering Diverse Fully Autologous Tissue Implants. Adv Mater 2019;31:1803895.
- 412 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201803895.
- 413 [11] Badylak SF, Taylor D, Uygun K. Whole-Organ Tissue Engineering: Decellularization and
- 414 Recellularization of Three-Dimensional Matrix Scaffolds. Annu Rev Biomed Eng
- 415 2011;13:27–53. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071910-124743.
- 416 [12] Porzionato A, Stocco E, Barbon S, Grandi F, Macchi V, De Caro R. Tissue-Engineered
- Grafts from Human Decellularized Extracellular Matrices: A Systematic Review and Future
- Perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 2018;19:4117. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19124117.
- 419 [13] Murphy WL, McDevitt TC, Engler AJ. Materials as stem cell regulators. Nat Mater
- 420 2014;13:547–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3937.
- 421 [14] Guilak F, Butler DL, Goldstein SA, Baaijens FPT. Biomechanics and mechanobiology in
- functional tissue engineering. J Biomech 2014;47:1933–40.
- 423 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2014.04.019.

- 424 [15] Almouemen N, Kelly HM, O'Leary C. Tissue Engineering: Understanding the Role of
- Biomaterials and Biophysical Forces on Cell Functionality Through Computational and
- Structural Biotechnology Analytical Methods. Comput Struct Biotechnol J 2019;17:591–8.
- 427 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2019.04.008.
- 428 [16] Vunjak-Novakovic G, Tandon N, Godier A, Maidhof R, Marsano A, Martens TP, et al.
- 429 Challenges in Cardiac Tissue Engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2010;16:169–87.
- 430 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2009.0352.
- 431 [17] Martin I, Wendt D, Heberer M. The role of bioreactors in tissue engineering. Trends
- 432 Biotechnol 2004;22:80–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2003.12.001.
- 433 [18] Bilodeau K, Mantovani D. Bioreactors for Tissue Engineering: Focus on Mechanical
- 434 Constraints. A Comparative Review. Tissue Eng 2006;12:2367–83.
- 435 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.2006.12.2367.
- 436 [19] Ravichandran A, Liu Y, Teoh S-H. Review: bioreactor design towards generation of relevant
- engineered tissues: focus on clinical translation. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;12:e7–22.
- 438 https://doi.org/10.1002/term.2270.
- 439 [20] Mantero S, Sadr N, Riboldi SA, Lorenzoni S, Montevecchi FM. A new electro-mechanical
- bioreactor for soft tissue engineering. J Appl Biomater Biomech 2007;5:107–16.
- Powell CA, Smiley BL, Mills J, Vandenburgh HH. Mechanical stimulation improves tissue-
- engineered human skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Physiol 2002;283:C1557–65.
- https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpcell.00595.2001.
- 444 [22] Moon DG, Christ G, Stitzel JD, Atala A, Yoo JJ. Cyclic Mechanical Preconditioning
- Improves Engineered Muscle Contraction. Tissue Eng Part A 2008;14:473–82.
- https://doi.org/10.1089/tea.2007.0104.
- 447 [23] Somers SM, Spector AA, DiGirolamo DJ, Grayson WL. Biophysical Stimulation for
- Engineering Functional Skeletal Muscle. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2017;23:362–72.
- https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2016.0444.
- 450 [24] Turner DC, Kasper AM, Seaborne RA, Brown AD, Close GL, Murphy M, et al. Exercising
- Bioengineered Skeletal Muscle In Vitro: Biopsy to Bioreactor. Methods Mol. Biol., vol.
- 452 1889, 2019, p. 55–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8897-6_5.
- 453 [25] Paxton JZ, Hagerty P, Andrick JJ, Baar K. Optimizing an Intermittent Stretch Paradigm

- 454 Using ERK1/2 Phosphorylation Results in Increased Collagen Synthesis in Engineered
- Ligaments. Tissue Eng Part A 2012;18:277–84. https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2011.0336.
- 456 [26] Wang T, Gardiner BS, Lin Z, Rubenson J, Kirk TB, Wang A, et al. Bioreactor Design for
- Tendon/Ligament Engineering. Tissue Eng Part B Rev 2013;19:133–46.
- 458 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.teb.2012.0295.
- 459 [27] Youngstrom DW, Rajpar I, Kaplan DL, Barrett JG. A bioreactor system for in vitro tendon
- differentiation and tendon tissue engineering. J Orthop Res 2015;33:911–8.
- 461 https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.22848.
- 462 [28] Burk J, Plenge A, Brehm W, Heller S, Pfeiffer B, Kasper C. Induction of Tenogenic
- Differentiation Mediated by Extracellular Tendon Matrix and Short-Term Cyclic Stretching.
- 464 Stem Cells Int 2016;2016:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/7342379.
- Dursun G, Tohidnezhad M, Markert B, Stoffel M. Effects of uniaxial stretching on tenocyte
- migration behaviour. Curr Dir Biomed Eng 2018;4:313–7. https://doi.org/10.1515/cdbme-
- 467 2018-0076.
- 468 [30] Talò G, D'Arrigo D, Lorenzi S, Moretti M, Lovati AB. Independent, Controllable Stretch-
- Perfusion Bioreactor Chambers to Functionalize Cell-Seeded Decellularized Tendons. Ann
- 470 Biomed Eng 2020;48:1112–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-019-02257-6.
- 471 [31] Tokuyama E, Nagai Y, Takahashi K, Kimata Y, Naruse K. Mechanical Stretch on Human
- Skin Equivalents Increases the Epidermal Thickness and Develops the Basement Membrane.
- 473 PLoS One 2015;10:e0141989. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0141989.
- 474 [32] Jeong C, Chung HY, Lim HJ, Lee JW, Choi KY, Yang JD, et al. Applicability and Safety of
- in Vitro Skin Expansion Using a Skin Bioreactor: A Clinical Trial. Arch Plast Surg
- 476 2014;41:661. https://doi.org/10.5999/aps.2014.41.6.661.
- 477 [33] Huh M-I, Yi S-J, Lee K-P, Kim HK, An S-H, Kim D-B, et al. Full Thickness Skin Expansion
- ex vivo in a Newly Developed Reactor and Evaluation of Auto-Grafting Efficiency of the
- Expanded Skin Using Yucatan Pig Model. Tissue Eng Regen Med 2018;15:629–38.
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13770-018-0154-6.
- 481 [34] Zimmermann W-H, Schneiderbanger K, Schubert P, Didié M, Münzel F, Heubach JF, et al.
- Tissue Engineering of a Differentiated Cardiac Muscle Construct. Circ Res 2002;90:223–30.
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1161/hh0202.103644.

- 484 [35] Zimmermann W-H, Melnychenko I, Wasmeier G, Didié M, Naito H, Nixdorff U, et al.
- Engineered heart tissue grafts improve systolic and diastolic function in infarcted rat hearts.
- 486 Nat Med 2006;12:452–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1394.
- 487 [36] Birla RK, Huang YC, Dennis RG. Development of a Novel Bioreactor for the Mechanical
- Loading of Tissue-Engineered Heart Muscle. Tissue Eng 2007;13:2239–48.
- https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.2006.0359.
- 490 [37] Schaaf S, Shibamiya A, Mewe M, Eder A, Stöhr A, Hirt MN, et al. Human Engineered Heart
- Tissue as a Versatile Tool in Basic Research and Preclinical Toxicology. PLoS One
- 492 2011;6:e26397. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026397.
- 493 [38] Mihic A, Li J, Miyagi Y, Gagliardi M, Li S-H, Zu J, et al. The effect of cyclic stretch on
- maturation and 3D tissue formation of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes.
- 495 Biomaterials 2014;35:2798–808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.12.052.
- 496 [39] Salazar BH, Cashion AT, Dennis RG, Birla RK. Development of a Cyclic Strain Bioreactor
- for Mechanical Enhancement and Assessment of Bioengineered Myocardial Constructs.
- 498 Cardiovasc Eng Technol 2015;6:533–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13239-015-0236-8.
- 499 [40] Mannhardt I, Breckwoldt K, Letuffe-Brenière D, Schaaf S, Schulz H, Neuber C, et al.
- Human Engineered Heart Tissue: Analysis of Contractile Force. Stem Cell Reports
- 501 2016;7:29–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2016.04.011.
- 502 [41] Morgan KY, Black LD. Creation of a Bioreactor for the Application of Variable Amplitude
- Mechanical Stimulation of Fibrin Gel-Based Engineered Cardiac Tissue, 2014, p. 177–87.
- 504 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-1047-2_16.
- 505 [42] Valls-Margarit M, Iglesias-García O, Di Guglielmo C, Sarlabous L, Tadevosyan K, Paoli R,
- et al. Engineered Macroscale Cardiac Constructs Elicit Human Myocardial Tissue-like
- Functionality. Stem Cell Reports 2019;13:207–20.
- 508 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2019.05.024.
- 509 [43] Hambor JE. Bioreactor design and bioprocess controls for industrialized cell processing:
- Bioengineering strategies and platform technologies. Bioprocess Int 2012;10:22–33.
- 511 [44] Hansmann J, Groeber F, Kahlig A, Kleinhans C, Walles H. Bioreactors in tissue engineering-
- principles, applications and commercial constraints. Biotechnol J 2013;8:298–307.
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201200162.

- 514 [45] Ozturk S, Hu WS. Cell Culture Technology for Pharmaceutical and Cell-Based Therapies.
- 515 CRC Press; 2005.
- 516 [46] Martin I, Smith T, Wendt D. Bioreactor-based roadmap for the translation of tissue
- engineering strategies into clinical products. Trends Biotechnol 2009;27:495–502.
- 518 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2009.06.002.
- 519 [47] Wendt D, Riboldi SA, Cioffi M, Martin I. Potential and Bottlenecks of Bioreactors in 3D
- 520 Cell Culture and Tissue Manufacturing. Adv Mater 2009;21:3352–67.
- 521 https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.200802748.
- 522 [48] Raveling AR, Theodossiou SK, Schiele NR. A 3D printed mechanical bioreactor for
- investigating mechanobiology and soft tissue mechanics. MethodsX 2018;5:924–32.
- 524 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2018.08.001.
- 525 [49] Schneidereit D, Tschernich J, Friedrich O, Scharin-Mehlmann M, Gilbert DF. 3D-Printed
- Reusable Cell Culture Chamber with Integrated Electrodes for Electrical Stimulation and
- 527 Parallel Microscopic Evaluation. 3D Print Addit Manuf 2018;5:115–25.
- 528 https://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2017.0103.
- 529 [50] Smith LJ, Li P, Holland MR, Ekser B. FABRICA: A Bioreactor Platform for Printing,
- Perfusing, Observing, & Damp; Stimulating 3D Tissues. Sci Rep 2018;8:7561.
- 531 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-25663-7.
- 532 [51] Rimington RP, Capel AJ, Chaplin KF, Fleming JW, Bandulasena HCH, Bibb RJ, et al.
- Differentiation of Bioengineered Skeletal Muscle within a 3D Printed Perfusion Bioreactor
- Reduces Atrophic and Inflammatory Gene Expression. ACS Biomater Sci Eng 2019;5:5525–
- 535 38. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b00975.
- 536 [52] Tandon N, Taubman A, Cimetta E, Saccenti L, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Portable bioreactor for
- perfusion and electrical stimulation of engineered cardiac tissue. 2013 35th Annu. Int. Conf.
- 538 IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc., IEEE; 2013, p. 6219–23.
- 539 https://doi.org/10.1109/EMBC.2013.6610974.
- 540 [53] Pisani G, Massai D, Rodriguez A, Cerino G, Galluzzi R, Labate Falvo D'Urso G, et al. An
- automated adaptive bioreactor based platform for culturing Cardiac Tissue Models. Proc V
- Meet Ital Chapter Eur Soc Biomech 2015.
- 543 [54] Putame G, Terzini M, Carbonaro D, Pisani G, Serino G, Di Meglio F, et al. Application of
- 3D Printing Technology for Design and Manufacturing of Customized Components for a

- Mechanical Stretching Bioreactor. J Healthc Eng 2019;2019:1–9.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3957931.
- 547 [55] Massai D, Pisani G, Isu G, Rodriguez Ruiz A, Cerino G, Galluzzi R, et al. Bioreactor
- Platform for Biomimetic Culture and in situ Monitoring of the Mechanical Response of in
- vitro Engineered Models of Cardiac Tissue. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2020;8.
- 550 https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00733.
- 551 [56] Carbonaro D, Putame G, Castaldo C, Meglio F Di, Belviso I, Sacco AM, et al. A Novel 3D-
- Printed Sample-Holder for Agitation-Based Decellularization Human Cardiac Tissue
- Application. Proceeding "25th Congr. Eur. Soc. Biomech. (ESB 2019)," Vienna (A), 7-10
- 554 July: 2019, p. 546.
- 555 [57] Di Meglio F, Nurzynska D, Romano V, Miraglia R, Belviso I, Sacco AM, et al. Optimization
- of Human Myocardium Decellularization Method for the Construction of Implantable
- Patches. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 2017;23:525–39.
- 558 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tec.2017.0267.
- 559 [58] Belviso I, Romano V, Sacco AM, Ricci G, Massai D, Cammarota M, et al. Decellularized
- 560 human dermal matrix as a biological scaffold for cardiac repair and regeneration. Front
- Bioeng Biotechnol 2020; In Press. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2020.00229.
- 562 [59] Nurzynska D, Di Meglio F, Romano V, Miraglia R, Sacco AM, Latino F, et al. Cardiac
 - primitive cells become committed to a cardiac fate in adult human heart with chronic
- ischemic disease but fail to acquire mature phenotype: genetic and phenotypic study. Basic
- Res Cardiol 2013;108:320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00395-012-0320-2.
- 566 [60] Schneck D. An outline of cardiovascular structure and function. In: Bronzino J, Peterson D,
- editors. Biomed. Eng. Handb. Four Vol. Set, CRC Press; 2018.
- 568 [61] Klingensmith M, Ern Chen L, Glasgow S, Goers T, Melby S. The Washington Manual of
- 569 Surgery. 2008.

- 570 [62] Kuznetsova T, Herbots L, Richart T, D'hooge J, Thijs L, Fagard RH, et al. Left ventricular
- strain and strain rate in a general population. Eur Heart J 2008;29:2014–23.
- 572 https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn280.
- 573 [63] Kensah G, Roa Lara A, Dahlmann J, Zweigerdt R, Schwanke K, Hegermann J, et al. Murine
- and human pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiac bodies form contractile myocardial tissue in
- vitro. Eur Heart J 2013;34:1134–46. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs349.

- 576 [64] Sacco AM, Belviso I, Romano V, Carfora A, Schonauer F, Nurzynska D, et al. Diversity of
 577 dermal fibroblasts as major determinant of variability in cell reprogramming. J Cell Mol Med
 578 2019;23:4256–68. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.14316.
- [65] Cerino G, Gaudiello E, Grussenmeyer T, Melly L, Massai D, Banfi A, et al. Three
 dimensional multi-cellular muscle-like tissue engineering in perfusion-based bioreactors.
 Biotechnol Bioeng 2016;113:226–36. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25688.
- 582 [66] Schmid J, Schwarz S, Meier-Staude R, Sudhop S, Clausen-Schaumann H, Schieker M, et al.
- A Perfusion Bioreactor System for Cell Seeding and Oxygen-Controlled Cultivation of
- Three-Dimensional Cell Cultures. Tissue Eng Part C Methods 2018;24:585–95.
- 585 https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tec.2018.0204.
- Piola M, Prandi F, Bono N, Soncini M, Penza E, Agrifoglio M, et al. A compact and automated ex vivo vessel culture system for the pulsatile pressure conditioning of human saphenous veins. J Tissue Eng Regen Med 2016;10:E204–15.

 https://doi.org/10.1002/term.1798.
- [68] Chen CS. Mechanotransduction a field pulling together? J Cell Sci 2008;121:3285–92.
 https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.023507.
- 592 [69] Paluch EK, Nelson CM, Biais N, Fabry B, Moeller J, Pruitt BL, et al. Mechanotransduction: 593 use the force(s). BMC Biol 2015;13:47. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-015-0150-4.
- [70] Humphrey JD, Dufresne ER, Schwartz MA. Mechanotransduction and extracellular matrix
 homeostasis. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2014;15:802–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3896.
- [71] Martino F, Perestrelo AR, Vinarský V, Pagliari S, Forte G. Cellular Mechanotransduction:
 From Tension to Function. Front Physiol 2018;9:1–21.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00824.
- [72] Massai D, Cerino G, Gallo D, Pennella F, Deriu M, Rodriguez A, et al. Bioreactors as
 Engineering Support to Treat Cardiac Muscle and Vascular Disease. J Healthc Eng
 2013;4:329–70. https://doi.org/10.1260/2040-2295.4.3.329.
- Liaw NY, Zimmermann W. Mechanical stimulation in the engineering of heart muscle. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:156–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.09.001.
- Parsa H, Ronaldson K, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Bioengineering methods for myocardial regeneration. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:195–202.

606		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.06.012.
607 608	[75]	Stoppel WL, Kaplan DL, Black LD. Electrical and mechanical stimulation of cardiac cells and tissue constructs. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2016;96:135–55.
609		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2015.07.009.
610	[76]	Paez- Mayorga J, Hernández- Vargas G, Ruiz- Esparza GU, Iqbal HMN, Wang X, Zhang
611		YS, et al. Bioreactors for Cardiac Tissue Engineering. Adv Healthc Mater 2019;8:1701504.
612		https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.201701504.
613	[77]	Gabetti S, Putame G, Montrone F, Isu G, Marsano A, Audenino A, et al. Versatile electrical
614		stimulator for providing cardiac-like electrical impulses in vitro. Biomed Sci Eng 2020;3.
615		https://doi.org/10.4081/bse.2019.111.
616		
617		