POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Waste Heat to Power: Technologies, Current Applications, and Future Potential

Original

Waste Heat to Power: Technologies, Current Applications, and Future Potential / Garofalo, E.; Bevione, M.; Cecchini, L.; Mattiussi, F.; Chiolerio, A. - In: ENERGY TECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 2194-4288. - (2020). [10.1002/ente.202000413]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2846294 since: 2020-09-23T12:36:02Z

Publisher: Wiley-VCH Verlag

Published DOI:10.1002/ente.202000413

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Wiley postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

This is the peer reviewed version of the above quoted article, which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ente.202000413.This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions.

(Article begins on next page)

Waste Heat to Power: Technologies, Current Applications and Future Potential.

- ¹ Erik Garofalo^{*a,b*}, Matteo Bevione^{*a*}, Luca Cecchini^{*a,b*,1}, Fabio Mattiussi^{*a,b*,2} and Alessandro Chiolerio^{*a,**}
- ² * Corresponding author, e-mail address: alessandro.chiolerio@iit.it
- ³ ^a Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Center for Sustainable Future Technologies, Via Livorno 60, 10144 Torino, Italy
- ⁴ ^b Politecnico di Torino, Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino,
- 5 Italy
- ⁶ ¹ Now at Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Piazza Martiri della Libertà 33, 56127 Pisa, Italy
- ⁷ ² Now at SPEA S.p.A., Via Torino 16, 10088 Volpiano (TO), Italy
- 8

Abstract

Energy consumption, environmental impact and sustainability fastly rose through the 9 rank, achieving the first places in driving investments, policies and concerns of all Countries 10 at any developmental stage. Energy transformation, though, must cope with non-unitary ef-11 ficiency of devices and processes, which results in a distributed production of waste heat. A 12 reduction of emissions, implying a conversion of waste heat to more noble forms of energy 13 and a concurrent increase of efficiency of the same devices and processes, is of paramount 14 importance. In view of the enthalpy content and distribution of the different sources of waste 15 heat, low grade/low enthalpy sources below 200 °C are considered the most fertile field 16 for research and development, with an impressive industrial growth rate. Thermodynamic 17 cycles and thermal conversion devices based on the most relevant physical effects are here 18 introduced and briefly described, including both solutions that already achieved industrial 19 maturity, and less developed systems and devices whose study is still in progress. A specific 20 focus on three application domains, selected in reason of their economic relevance, is done: 21 industrial processes for the vast energy and capital availability, automotive sector for its per-22 meation, and wearable devices for the market size. Limits and opportunities are critically 23

discussed.

27	Nomenclature
28	• Barium Titanate (BT)
29	• Barrel of Oil Equivalent (BOE)
30	• Brayton Cycle (BC)
31	Carbon Dioxide Trans-Critical Cycle (CDTCC)
32	Carbon NanoTubes (CNT)
33	Electric Turbo-Compounding (ETC)
34	• Exhaust ThermoElectric Generator (ETEG)
35	• Figure of Merit (FoM)
36	• Gas Turbine (GT)
37	• GreenHouse Gase (GHG)
38	Heavy-Duty Vehicle (HDV)
39	• High Temperature (HT)
40	Industrial Wireless Sensor Network (IWSN)
41	Internal Combustion Engines (ICE)
42	International Energy Agency (IEA)
43	• Kalina Cycle (KC)
44	• Lead zirconate titanate (PZT)
45	• Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)
46	• Light-Duty Vehicle (LDV)

- ⁴⁷ Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG)
- Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG)
- Lithium-Ion Battery (LIB)
- ⁵⁰ Low Temperature (LT)
- Magneto-Caloric Effect (MCE)
- Mechanical Turbo-Compounding (MTC)
- Medium Temperature (MT)
- Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC)
- Poly (3,4-EthyleneDiOxyThiophene) TolueneSulfonyl (PEDOT-ToS)
- Poly VinyliDene Fluoride (PVDF)
- PolyEthylene Naphtalate (PEN)
- PolyStyreneSulphonate (PSS)
- PolyVinyl Chloride (PVC)
- Power Conditioning Unit (PCU)
- PyroElectric (PE)
- PyroElectric Generator (PEG)
- Rankine Cycle (RC)
- Return On Investment (ROI)
- Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC)
- Steam Rankine Cycle (SRC)

- Stirling Cycle (SC)
- Stirling Engine (SE)
- Supercritical CO₂ (SCO₂)
- SuperCritical Rankine Cycle (SCRC)
- TetraThiaFulvene-TetraCyaNoQuinodimethane (TTF-TCNQ)
- ⁷² ThermoElectric (TE)
- ⁷³ ThermoElectric Generator (TEG)
- Trilateral Flash Cycle (TFC)
- ⁷⁵ Ultra-Low Power (ULP)
- Waste Heat Recovery (WHR)
- Waste Heat to Power (WHP)
- Wearable ThermoElectric Generator (WTEG)

1. INTRODUCTION.

79 1 Introduction.

80 1.1 An ecological overview.

Energy-related issues, including the location and exploitation of resources, the costs of extrac-81 tion, transformation and distribution, accessibility and demand, as well as awareness about use 82 and consumption, are of paramount importance at this moment in time. The governments of 83 every developed nation are allocating increasingly wider funding to evaluate problems such as 84 those listed above. Three key examples of this are: 1) the International Energy Outlook 2019 85 delivered by the US Energy Information Administration, 2) the independent assessment issued 86 by the UK Committee on Climate Change by request of UK Government, and 3) the European 87 Green Deal for a climate neutral EU within 2050, with a plan to invest 1 trillion EUR. As regards 88 the UK, the national target defined by the Government is the achievement of more than a 20 %89 increase in industrial energy efficiency by 2030. Action plans are therefore required by virtuous 90 Governments, to support innovation and make it easier to access financial resources^[1]. As an 91 example, the world's largest fund manager, BlackRock (close to 2.64 trillion EUR) announced 92 to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission the launch of a money-market fund primarily 93 investing in developed environmental practices^[2]. 94

According to a study conducted by Vaclav Smil^[3], the world energy consumption has fol-95 lowed a monotonically increasing trend since the beginning of the first industrial era (1840). As 96 can be noticed in Fig. 1, the speed of this growth has recently increased.* Energy consump-97 tion is an excellent indicator for the maturity level of economics, in particular growth/recession. 98 In the first period of industrialization, energy consumption experienced a constantly increasing qq superlinear trend. At a global scale, when the period of post-industrialization began, economic 100 growth started to stabilize and ultimately decrease, and the associated energy consumption fol-101 lowed a fairly similar trend. CO₂ emissions are also directly correlated with economic growth.^[4] 102 Energy is the fundamental source transformed by our everyday life processes, spanning from the 103

^{*} Data source: Global Energy Statistical Yearbook website, the most recent available (2018).

1. INTRODUCTION.



Fig. 1 Global energy consumption trend during the last 140 years. The energy sources that have been taken into account are: coal, crude oil, natural gas, hydroelectricity, nuclear electricity and biofuels for data until 1990. The data provided by the Global Energy Statistical Yearbook (red) are more precise and complete, for this reason the two curves are slightly mismatched at 1990 entry.

residential, to mobility, to the industrial sectors, including food as the basic source of our physio logical activity. Due to global warming, increasing energy costs and green politics, the search for
 sustainable, responsible, clean resources represents a highly impacting and exponentially grow ing sector. According to a review of energy consumption at the global level, it is evident that
 most of our energy is derived from fossil fuels, while renewables account for less than a quarter
 of global consumption worldwide.^[5]

The over-exploitation of energy sources may result in criticalities, at the political, social and logistic level. It is therefore of fundamental importance to increase energy efficiency at the scavenging/harvesting/transformation level.^[4] Regarding the operation of industrial and power plants, dilemmas arise about how to increase efficiency, how to better convert energy between its forms, and how to utilize waste heat arising from thermodynamic inefficiencies (for example due to friction, thermal dispersion, electromagnetic induction, etc.) or practical needs. The

creation of a common approach with a new attitude towards our fragile natural environment 116 is required. Alongside an increase in the consumption of primary energy, the amount of waste 117 heat increases, therefore representing a greater economic and ecological potential for utiliza-118 tion. Although waste heat may be exploited, ideally it should be avoided: this is done through 119 the energy optimization of processes by needs-based controls, process operation and design, im-120 proved insulation, the use of highly efficient electric drive systems and a change towards more 121 energy efficient production methods. However, even after energy optimization, significant po-122 tential remains.^[6] Enormous amounts of waste heat injected into the environment also have the 123 side effect of locally increasing the atmospheric temperature, especially in urban environments, 124 slightly moving solution equilibria of several gaseous species. Focusing on the main GreenHouse 125 Gases (GHG) and listing them in order of importance, according to the Intergovernmental Panel 126 on Climate Change (IPCC)^{[7][8]}, they are: 127

- Water Vapour is the major contributor of all since it provokes from 30 to 70% of the overall greenhouse effect^{[9] [10]}. The reason for its potential resides in the large amount of this chemical species in the atmosphere, enabling a positive feedback loop;
- Carbon Dioxide is the principal gas monitored because, even if it absorbs less heat per molecule than others, it is more abundant and has a longer lifetime in air (thousands of years with respect to the decades of methane). Furthermore, it plays an important role in regulating the pH of the oceans, since these represent the main CO₂ reserve, and its increase provokes acidification. Another positive feedback effect can be found here: a temperature increase leads the oceans to release CO₂ into the atmosphere because of the decrease in solubility;
- Methane: the impact of this gas on the greenhouse effect is 60 times that of CO₂ and a
 monotonic increase in the last decades has been registered. However, its level and lifetime
 are low enough to keep its contribution under control;
- Nitrous Oxide: its capability to absorb heat is 300 times that of CO_2 and around 40% of

1. INTRODUCTION.

total N₂O emissions are caused by human activity. Moreover, its lifetime in atmosphere is
 over 110 years making it one of the most persistent GHG;

• **Ozone** is naturally present in the upper level of the atmosphere and, in contrast with the previously analyzed gases, mainly absorbs the radiations coming from the Sun instead of those reflected by the Earth's surface.

¹⁴⁷ To estimate the amount of waste heat Q [J] released, for example, from a continuous hot ¹⁴⁸ vapour volume into the environment, it is possible to use Equation 1:

$$Q = c \, m \, \Delta T \tag{1}$$

where m [kg] is the mass of the hot vapor volume, c [J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹] the heat capacity of the vapor 149 and ΔT [K] the temperature difference between the hot vapor and the ambient environment. 150 Additionally, the heat coming from phase transformations (latent heat) must be taken into ac-151 count, which is released after the condensation of a gas or the solidification of a liquid. Thus, 152 the higher the mass flow and the ΔT between hot and cold reservoirs, the greater the waste 153 heat amount. To understand the abundance of this source, its economic value and the impor-154 tance of converting it into more noble energy forms as proposed by Waste Heat to Power (WHP) 155 applications, the average efficiency of industrial processes and thermal machines should be con-156 sidered: between 30 to 60 % of the overall energy consumed is wasted into the environment 157 as heat. Other fundamental aspects also play a role and must be considered in a multi-variable 158 optimization model, before any technology is adopted: 159

• **Time availability**: the temporal distribution of energy availability throughout a day, week and season, where a more continuous flow is always preferred; to increase heat stability in time, heat storage could be implemented, which is another huge field under development;

• Thermo-economic analysis: this aspect takes into account the Return on Investment
 (ROI), usually comprising between 5 and 10 years, to pay back the installation;

165 166 • Environmental sustainability should also be evaluated through a full Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of plant / device life expectancy, development costs and decommissioning.

It is almost impossible to find studies addressing all the three fundamental aspects evidenced 167 above, with rare exceptions^[11]. To give a greater breadth to the thermodynamic analysis, in 168 particular dealing with heat, a form of energy that intrinsically contains entropic (dispersive) 169 terms, it is worth recalling the concept of exergy, by definition: the total amount of energy that 170 can be extracted from a physical system given its position in an external environment. The concept 171 of exergy brings together two aspects of an energy transformation process: its quality and its 172 quantity. Ideal thermodynamics is conceived as a far-from-reality analysis, where processes 173 are deconstructed as an integral of infinite processes in quasi-equilibrium. Exergy analysis is 174 fundamental for Waste Heat Recovery (WHR) processes, as its outcomes take into account the 175 sources of irreversibility which are intrinsic to real processes^{[12][13]}. 176

177 **1.2 WHP economic potential.**

As can be noticed, taking into consideration the total amount of waste heat generated: the 72% 178 of global energy consumption is lost in form of heat^[14], totalling 12 PWh/year. The 66% of 179 this heat is available at Low Temperature (LT) conditions below 200 °C, which is the focus of 180 our review paper, totalling a bit less than 8 PWh/year; 25% is available at medium temperature 181 (MT), between 200 and 500 °C, totalling 3 PWh/year; the last 1 PWh/year is available at high 182 temperature (HT), with conditions above 500 °C. The average heat to power efficiency for 183 conversion in the two temperature/entalpy ranges covered by this review are: 15% (LT) and 45% 184 (MT) as highlighted in section 7. Therefore, excluding HT from the analysis, a possible amount 185 of noble (i.e. electric) energy recovered from waste heat and injected into the grid or used locally 186 is estimated to be around 2.5 PWh/year, generating a turnover of more than 300 billion EUR if 187 the electricity is sold to industries (@ 0.125 EUR/kWh which is the 2015 cost towards industrial 188 consumption) or more than 550 billion EUR if sold to households (@ 0.221 EUR/kWh which is 189 the 2015 cost towards households consumption).^[15–17] A bulk of 2.5 PWh/year represents 1.5% 190

1. INTRODUCTION.

of the global energy production. However, the cost per kWh generated could be much higher, 191 considering the installation costs for WHR/WHP solutions, and must benefit from intelligent 192 politics aimed at sustaining research, development and production of highly efficient integrated 193 processes and investment in equipment manufacturing. On the other side, a more economical 194 way of recovering waste heat is through direct use of the heat as it is.^[18] According to market 195 reports estimates for the WHP market size, a significant value of 13.2 billion EUR has been 196 pointed out for 2018 and, expecting a Current Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of 13 %, its valued 197 in 2025 will approach 26.4 billion EUR.^[19] 198

199 **1.3 Review outline.**

The following sections provide a complete review of technologies available at present day to 200 recover thermal waste and convert it to more noble forms of energy. The outline is as follows: in 201 section 2 thermodynamic cycles and thermoelectric devices that have already achieved industrial 202 maturity are described; in section 3 thermodynamic cycles and conversion devices based on less 203 known physical effects that have shown profitability and are currently available at research or 204 pilot scale are described; in section 4 a specific focus on the most relevant application domain for 205 capital intensity, that of industrial production plants, is given, followed by section 5 presenting 206 a focus on automotive sector for its permeation and intermediate characteristics of portability 207 and complexity, and finally by section 6 for the potential market size of portable waste heat to 208 power applications. The last section 7 provides a final comparison between technologies and 209 anticipates, in our critical vision, future developments in the field. 210

211 2 Current technologies

In this work, a large number of WHR methods have been described: they are divided into ther-212 modynamic approaches on one side and cross-thermal effects on the other one. Examples of the 213 first category are: the Brayton Cycle (BC), first introduced and quite common in airplanes and 214 gas power plants for its marginal maintenance costs; the Stirling Cycle (SC), operating homony-215 mous engines, which feature good efficiency, lower pollution, silent operation, and are simply 216 configured, reliable, with multi-fuel capability; the Organic Rankine Cycle (ORC); the Kalina Cy-217 cle (KC); the Carbon Dioxide Trans-Critical Cycle (CDTCC). On the other hand, examples of the 218 second category are: ThermoElectric (TE) devices; PyroElectric (PE) devices. At the nanoscale, 219 mixed effects are typically enhanced thanks to both thermal and other physical properties (i.e. 220 electronic, magnetic, etc.), enabling the direct conversion of temperature gradient. Although 221 this flied is still mainly unexplored, it is very promising.^[20] 222

223 2.1 Thermodynamic machines

224 2.1.1 Brayton Cycle

The BC is characterized by a process in which air is compressed isentropically by a compressor, 225 then it receives heat from exhaust gases at constant pressure and finally it expands isentropically 226 in a turbine to generate electrical power. At this point, the air is discharged back to atmosphere 227 and the process is repeated. BC represents an optimal choice for WHR systems working at mild 228 temperatures in the industrial sector. This approach has been explored in the 1980s to convert 229 waste heat from adiabatic engines, enabling a reduction of running costs on one side and a 230 reasonable additional capital investment on the other. Recently, Zhang et al. (2015), proposed 231 a BC WHR system for the blast furnace slag in iron and steel industry.^[21] Song et al. (2013) 232 integrated a BC WHR system into a Diesel engine, connecting the turbocharger compressor with 233 the compressor that operates on the BC. Results showed that the fuel economy of the Diesel 234 engine can be improved by 2.6 % at fast rotation regimes and 4.6 % at lower regimes, under full 235 load.^[22] Galindo et al. (2015) investigated WHR for internal combustion engine exhaust gases 236

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES

using a BC machine.^[23] Gequn *et al.* (2015) proposed a CO₂ based BC to recover the engine
exhaust heat and compared its performances with an air-based BC. If compared to a BC based
on air, the results have shown that CO₂ cycle provides better results, including net output power,
thermal efficiency and recovery efficiency.^[24]

241 2.1.2 Stirling Cycle

In the SC the gas medium (usually air, helium or hydrogen) is compressed isothermally. It 242 follows a passage through a regenerator (or heat exchanger) that operates at constant volume, 243 where an amount of heat is absorbed by the gas, which raises its pressure and temperature. 244 Then the gas is submitted to an isothermal expansion and finally the hot gas goes back through 245 the regenerator, releasing an amount of heat. The Stirling Engine (SE) has several features that 246 make interesting its application, especially in conjunction with Gas Turbine (GT) cycles where 247 waste heath is generated: it is silent and its working fluid can operate without any mixed phase 248 operation. Furthermore, it is simple to power-up and is sealed only towards the cold section.^[25] 249 Quite recently the combined operation of GT and SE has been studied from a thermodynamic 250 point of view. Hou et al. (2018) introduced a double action thermoacoustic SE-based electrical 251 generator capable of recovering Liquified Natural Gas (LNG) cold exergy, converting the external 252 thermal energy into acoustic work (the operating temperature is between LNG and ambient 253 temperature).^[26] 254

255 2.1.3 Organic Rankine Cycle

Based on Rankine Cycle (RC), ORC are steam-like systems that use organic working fluids instead of water, allowing to harvest waste heat at temperatures up to 300 °C.^[27] In ORC machines hydrocarbons, siloxanes, refrigerants and CO₂, can be exploited instead of water, thanks to their advantageous properties in low temperature applications: lower boiling and critical points, lower specific volumes, as well as lower viscosities, higher vapour pressures, and higher molecular masses with respect to water-based fluids.^[27] Furthermore, ORCs are very much appreciated in current research for the availability and the simplicity of their components and for



Fig. 2 Fig. 2a represents a typical schematic of an ORC system where all the components and thermodynamic transformations are shown. Fig. 2b represents an example of TS diagram using R245fa as organic working fluid.

the low flammability, corrosion and toxicity of working fluids. Nowadays, several ORC-based 263 plants are installed in different countries (Italy, Austria, Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, 264 U.S.A., Canada, etc.) and the number of plants is still growing. The adaptability and the scala-265 bility of this technology permit to generate power from different heat sources (from industrial to 266 domestic applications), selecting the dimension of the components and matching them with the 267 choice of the working fluid. In recent years, this technology has been widely employed also in the 268 transportation sector.^[27] The working principle of ORC is shown in Fig. 2a and its temperature-269 entropy (TS) diagram in Fig. 2b: a pump pressurizes the working fluid in liquid form (from 270 point 1 to point 2); heat is then moved from the heat source to the refrigerant (working fluid) 271 through a super-heater and an evaporator, where the organic fluid gets vaporized (from point 2 272 to point 3); then, the high pressure working fluid flows into an expansion turbine, connected to 273 the load (electrical power generator) (from point 3 to point 4); at the end, the cooling source 274 controls the organic fluid returning back into liquid form in the condenser (from point 4 to 275 point 1). There are many factors that can affect the ORCs' performances. In case the available 276 energy is small and the available source is low-grade, to safeguard the overall performance of 277 ORCs, attention is given to expanders (centrifugal or axial-flow turbines, allowing the expan-278 sion of the high-pressure gas) since they play a key role.^[1] Bademlioglu et al. (2018) provide 279

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES

a statistical analysis on system efficiency, using the Taguchi method.^[28] In an ORC system, the
main parameters affecting the design are: choice of the working fluid, pinch point, superheating
temperature, evaporator and condenser temperature, heat exchanger effectiveness, pump and
turbine efficiency, installation and running costs.

284 2.1.4 Kalina Cycle

The KC is an absorption-based power generation cycle, based on RC. The working fluid enabling 285 KC systems is a mixture of ammonia and water, in order to increase the recovery efficiency. 286 Condensed water-ammonia mixture is compressed isentropically, then it is heated at constant 287 pressure while it evaporates (first the ammonia, then the water), subsequently it is isentropi-288 cally expanded into a turbine, and finally condensed (first the water and then the ammonia) at 289 constant pressure releasing heat. The operating temperature lies between and 90 and 500 °C. 290 With respect to ORC and supercritical cycles, KC shows superior performances. Since the tem-291 perature difference between the working fluid and the heat source is reduced, reversibility is 292 increased and dissipation limited. With respect to RC, where a considerable amount of heat 293 is lost during the isothermal vaporization of water to steam, the binary mixture in the Kalina 294 cycle vaporizes non-isothermally, improving the efficiency of the cycle.^[29] Moreover, KC has one 295 more degree of freedom compared to RC, since it is possible to act on: the NH₃:H₂O ratio in the 296 working fluid and the system pressure levels. In fact, using a mixture as working fluid permits to 297 manipulate the pressure in the system by varying the composition. In this way, the thermal per-298 formances are maximized.^[30] At given cooling conditions the pressure in the condenser can be 290 reduced by diminishing the ammonia concentration. Increasing the ammonia concentration may 300 raise the evaporation pressure.^[29] While KC allows a higher thermodynamic efficiency, the split 301 ammonia and water streams add complexity and may require an additional pumping system. 302 For this reason, plant operators and developers have different opinions about the potential of KC 303 to overcome Rankine-type power plant performance.^[31] Another relevant feature of NH₃:H₂O 304 mixtures is the extremely low amount of oxygen dissolved in the fluid, that severely reduces 305

oxidation likelihood and running costs.^[1] This process allows for flexibility in choosing boiling 306 points which can compensate for temperature fluctuations in the heat flow. Nevertheless, it is 307 a technically complex system so it is much more capital intensive and far less tested than ORC 308 modules. In the same range of temperatures it comes out that ORCs are 15 - 25 % less effi-309 cient than KCs, with the tangible outcome that power plants featuring hot fluid below 150 °C 310 are increasingly based on this new technology.^[27] Several specific applications have produced 311 adaptations of KC systems, with more than 30 solutions which have been introduced so far. For 312 example, in cement industry, superheated NH₃:H₂O vapor is generated harvesting low-grade 313 heat; it is then expanded in a turbine, condensed in regenerative heat exchangers, diluted and 314 fed into a low-pressure condenser, and finally injected into the high-pressure condenser before 315 reaching again the vapor generators.^[1] 316

317 2.1.5 Carbon Dioxide Trans-Critical Cycle

As above mentioned, to recover or convert waste heat by means of thermal machines, organic 318 and natural fluids are often used. Worth noting, CDTCC technology, being based on CO₂, pro-319 vides non-toxic, non-flammable, non-corrosive operation. CO₂ reaches the supercritical state at 320 7.38 MPa and 31.1 °C and is therefore widely applied to low-grade heat recovery systems.^[32] 321 By intelligent design of a CDTCC system, heat is rejected below the critical point and absorbed 322 above, having therefore a working fluid in the superheated state under supercritical pressures, 323 resulting in maximized performances.^[33] The working principle is the same described in the 324 ORC, but in this case, CO_2 is isentropically compressed, heated at constant pressure, isentropi-325 cally expanded and finally condensed releasing heat at constant pressure. Cayer et al. (2009) 326 applied four different methodologies on a CDTCC power cycle with a low-grade energy heat 327 source and performed a parametric optimization.^[34] Li et al. (2017) theoretically compared a 328 CDTCC power cycle and a R245fa ORC, operating on a low-grade heat reservoir and concluded 329 that the exergy efficiency would benefit from using a recuperator.^[32,35] 330

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES



Fig. 3 Thermoelectric effects: in the left figure the typical representation of a thermocouple based on Seebeck effect is reported whereas in the right one, the Peltier effect is represented.

331 2.2 Thermoelectric systems

TE devices also belong to WHP/WHR technologies, but have rather different features with re-332 spect to thermodynamic cycles. First of all TE systems are solid state devices, which transform 333 heat into electricity by means of Seebeck effect in several application domains such as factories, 334 power plants, computers, vehicles, stoves, and wearable devices with a compact design.^[27] The 335 Seebeck effect derives from the capability of a material to produce a current when submitted 336 to a temperature gradient. The tendency of a material to manifest this effect is represented by 337 the Seebeck Coefficient S [V K^{-1}] which is a non-linear function of the temperature and it is 338 specific for each different material and crystalline configuration. In Fig. 3 the typical apparatus 339 of a thermocouple (the first device exploiting the Seebeck effect) is shown. TE materials are cur-340 rently commercialized for integration into high performance cooling systems needed to realize 34 high heat-fluxes to very low temperatures at precise rates.^[27] 342

In general, the materials can be divided in three categories depending on the temperature of operation: low (below $250 \,^{\circ}$ C), medium ($250-600 \,^{\circ}$ C) and high (above $600 \,^{\circ}$ C) working temperature. Since the first devices until nowadays, Bismuth is the most widely used material for low-temperature applications. The Seebeck coefficients is deeply dependet on the effective mass and mobility of the carriers^[36]. For this reason, antimony-telluride Sb₂Te₃ or its alloys are used for p-type composition instead of bismuth-telluride, since their higher carrier mobility. One important property of these alloys is the possibility to adjust the carrier concentration and,



Fig. 4 The material FoM of different n and p type material are plotted with respect to the temperature in fig (a) and (b), respectively. For the low-grade waste heat to power, thus $T \le 200 \text{ °C}$, Bi_2Te_3 and Sb_2Te_3 show the highest zT value. Reproduced with permission.^[36] Copyright 2008, Springer Nature.

³⁵⁰ hence, finely tune the material specific Figure of Merit (FoM)[†]. Moreover, Fig. 4 shows why ³⁵¹ both Bi_2Te_3 and Sb_2Te_3 are, at LT, the most suitable materials to be used, since they feature the ³⁵² highest FoM in this temperature range.

Recent studies by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory considered some well known in-353 dustrial activities, such as aluminum smelting, glass manufacturing, and cement production, 354 and concluded that small internal combustion engines could be profitably replaced by external 355 combustion TE engines, vibration-free and less impacting from the acoustics point of view. TE 356 engines can be driven using several fuels, as for example propane, butane, liquefied natural gas, 357 bio-alcohols, and not necessarily based on fossil sources.^[37] Both United States and Japan Gov-358 ernments have introduced regulations to help companies introducing TE devices in trucks and 359 cars to partially convert waste heat from the exhausts into electricity, powering steering, brakes, 360 water pumps, turbo-chargers, etc. Although efficiency estimates depend on the degree of system 361 integration and on driving conditions, Diesel consumption (nowadays highly diffused among de-362 veloped countries to enable on site power generation) could be reduced by 5 to 10 %^[37]. Several 363 leading automotive manufactures such as Volvo, Volkswagen, BMW, and Ford are introducing 364 WHR systems able to provide around 1 kW of electrical power and increase consequently the 365

[†]The term figure of merit is referred to a parameter or a physical quantity used to characterize the material performances with respect to other alternative elements.

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES

thermal engine overall efficiency by using TE generators. Hsu *et al.* invented a WHR system for automotive exhaust heat recovery composed by 24 TE modules able to provide approximately 12 W (electrical) with a ΔT of 30 °C ^[27]. Sano *et al.* developed a very efficient TE module (15 % efficiency) ^[27]. Furthermore, Solar Thermoelectric Generators (STEGs) have been developed efficiently coupling thermal solar collectors with TE devices, since the absorbed heat is conveyed on the TE devices by means of a diathermal fluid.

372 2.3 Pyroelectric systems

Another effect that can be exploited in energy harvesting, is pyroelectricity, through the so called 373 pyroelectric (PE) effect. Unlike thermoelectricity, where a stable (stationary) temperature gradi-374 ent is needed, this effect allows to displace electrical charges from temporal temperature changes 375 across a suitable material or device. PE allows to avoid the main issue of Thermoelectric Gener-376 ators (TEGs), i.e. the need of huge heat flows in order to sustain a large temperature gradient. 377 This observation has brought attention on PE devices which promise a better efficiency and ease 378 in installation. The main parameter defining the PE performances is the pyroelectric coefficient 379 [C m⁻²K⁻¹], described as the change in the spontaneous polarization vector with respect to 380 temperature. Several kinds of PE materials have been studied trying to find the best compro-381 mise to enhance efficiency in the realization of PE generators (PEGs). Lead zirconate titanate 382 (Pb,Zr)TiO₃ (PZT) or barium titanate BaTiO₃ (BT), feature the highest known PE coefficients 383 (-268 and $-200 \ \mu C m^{-2} K^{-1}$, respectively). A collection of information about the most popular 384 PE materials has recently been produced [38]. In the work developed by Sebald *et al.* (2009) a 385 comparison between the performances of TEGs and a PEG is reported^[39]. It is shown that lin-386 ear materials, i.e. those having the dielectric constant which increases linearly with the applied 387 electric field, are able to produce just few μ W cm⁻³ for temperature variations of 20 °C with 388 a frequency of 10^{-2} Hz. However, using nonlinear materials, the performance can be strongly 389 increased by adopting particular thermodynamic path cycles like: 390

391

• Ericsson cycle, consisting of two isobaric transitions, isoelectric in the polarization-E plane, ^[41]

2. CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES



Fig. 5 The 5a represents the typical trend of an Ericsson cycle. The 5b image is representing the typical Olsen cycle where the polarization is represented as a function of electric field E.^[40]. Finally, the graph 5c represents the Lenoir cycle. The colourmap indicates the temperature variation.

and two isothermal transitions, a compression and an expansion.^[42] It is represented in Fig. 5a;

- Olsen cycle, formed by two isoelectric processes and two isothermal ones. Several experiments have been conducted with different materials under different crystalline forms (e.g. single crystal and thin film).^[43–45] It is represented in Fig. 5b;
- Lenoir cycle, It is a formed by 3 transitions: isochoric heat injection, isoentropic expansion
 and isobaric heat rejection. Mohammadi *et al.* (2012) showed that this cycle in comparison
 to the Ericsson one results in a much bigger amount of harvested energy in the latter. ^[46]
 It is represented in Fig. 5c.

In the work of Sebald *et al.* (2009) the results obtained testing different materials are reported, and the increase of power output is quite evident, moving from a few μ W cm⁻³ to hundreds of μ W cm⁻³^[39]. From the analysis of heat exchanged and other properties of TE and PE effects, it turns out that even though the power generated by PEGs is low, their efficiency is much higher than that of TEGs. A complete analysis of PE efficiency for each of the cycles here introduced can be found in the work of Batra *et al.* (2013)^[47]. A more detailed explanation of the phenomena and its exploitation in some PE nanogenerators can be found in the work of Thakre *et al.*^[48]

3. EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES

408 3 Emerging technologies

Several advanced, emerging technologies in WHP/WHR field have not been included in the previous section, for example: Trilateral Flash Cycle (TFC), Supercritical CO₂ (SCO₂) Brayton Cycle, magnetocaloric and thermomagnetic hydrodynamic machines. Other solid state emerging technologies such as Thermo-PhotoVoltaic devices (TPV) and ThermIonic devices (TI) have been discarded, as their description is beyond the purposes of the present review.

414 3.1 Trilateral Flash Cycle

The TFC is a promising technology, having a huge recovery potential in comparison to ORCs, 415 since the energy recovered can roughly double, over the same temperature difference; an eco-416 nomic outcome of this efficiency is related to the savings generated by avoiding any cooling/heat 417 rejection system in a power plant. A TFC consists of a modified ORC where the organic working 418 fluid is heated up to the saturation temperature under high pressure rather than evaporated, 419 implying that the heat transfer is optimal when no fluid is brought across its boiling point. 420 TFC-based systems can substitute more conventional ORC units installed in MT 70 and 200 °C 421 processes, and they are able to follow any temperature variation both on the inlet and outlet 422 phases of the cycle.^[4,49] 423

424 **3.2** Supercritical CO₂ Brayton Cycle

The SCO₂ BC is a standard thermodynamic cycle operating with CO₂ as working fluid. It is the 425 power conversion system which combines the advantages of both Steam RC and GT systems. 426 In other words, the fluid is compressed in the incompressible region and the higher turbine in-427 let temperature can be utilized with no material related issues in comparison with the SRC. As 428 known, CO₂ is able to sustain dramatic density variations as a consequence of slight temperature 429 and pressure fluctuations, granting an excellent energy extraction at HT, increasing the energy 430 per kg/per m^3 of installed plant, one order of magnitude below steam/gas turbines. SCO₂ sys-43 tems are mainly used in the HT end of thermal spectrum, for direct WHR, driving an electrical 432

power plant or for both uses. Considering that the heat rejection also occurs at high temper-433 atures, other systems such as a properly matched ORC could be cascaded. The most typical 434 application is energy generation from geothermal sources, accounting for almost 75 % of world 435 installed capacity. As can be noticed, taking into account the amount of installed systems, the 436 diffusion of SCO₂ is quite limited, due to the capital intensive requirements that make reason-437 able its use in multi-MW power plants. The free market features a few technology providers 438 (ORMAT, owning about 75 % of installations, Exergy with 13 %, TAS with 6 % and Turboden 439 with 2 %), while a number of smaller providers cover the niche of small WHR plants ranging 440 from 10 to 150 kW. A relevant fraction of these units are very small (<4 kW) plants installed by 441 ORMAT for valve operation and cathodic protection along pipelines in remote areas.^[49] 442

443 3.3 Magnetocaloric machines

A magnetic colloid (a suspension of magnetic nanoparticles in a liquid carrier), is a system where 444 magnetization is thermodynamically coupled with temperature, at equilibrium. This aspect is at 445 the basis of the so called Magneto-Caloric Effect (MCE): at rest and at a specific temperature, in 446 a magnetic material some energy is transferred from phonons to the magnetic domain structure; 447 if an external magnetic field is applied adiabatically, the magnetic domains align and reduce 448 their number (entropy is consumed), and when the field is removed, in absence of energy ex-449 changes with the environment, the material cools down. This effect has been discovered for the 450 first time by Emil Gabriel Warburg^[50], and is an intrinsic property of all materials. Considering 451 a sample of ferromagnetic material, for example MnCr₂S₄ crystals, whose magnetic specific heat 452 is shown in Fig. 6a. The following refrigeration process, called adiabatic demagnetization and 453 shown in Fig. 6b, can be applied. Starting from top-left, the sample is at thermal equilibrium 454 with the surrounding environment and the magnetic dipoles are randomly oriented, since no 455 magnetic field is present; then a magnetic field is switched on, and the sample starts heating up, 456 while the dipoles become aligned in the direction of the applied field. Subsequently heat is trans-457 ported to the surrounding environment by means of a heat-transfer medium (the dipoles are still 458



Fig. 6 Fig. 6a represents the specific magnetic heat for a ferromagnetic material, in this case MnCr₂S₄ crystals. Reproduced under the terms of the RNP/20/APR/024834 license.^[51] Copyright 2020, American Physical Society. 6b shows the magnetic-refrigerating cycle related to magnetocaloric materials in general. Reproduced under the terms of the 1028944-1 license.^[52] Copyright 2020, IOP Publishing. Finally, 6c represents the thermomagnetic generation cycle, featuring magnetization (1), heating (2), demagnetization (3) and cooling (4). Reproduced under the terms of the 4810850356977 license.^[53] Copyright 2020, AIP Publishing.

aligned), but when the external magnetic field is removed, the dipoles' orientations randomize, 459 which translates into a further temperature reduction of the sample (below the temperature 460 of the thermal bath). Possible heat-transfer materials are water, or for very low temperatures, 461 liquid He.^[54] Furthermore, the (remanent) magnetization of a ferromagnetic material is a func-462 tion of temperature. Under static magnetic field, cycling the temperature produces cycling of 463 magnetization, in turn. The interaction between fluctuating magnetization and static magnetic 464 field produces magnetic forces acting on the ferromagnetic (or superparamagnetic) particles 465 that can be profitably converted, for example using extraction coils and induction principle, into 466 electromotive force for electric powering.^[53] A representative thermodynamic cycle for active 467 thermomagnetic generation is shown in Fig. 6c. 468

3.4 Thermomagnetic Hydrodynamic machines

Magnetic colloids, such as FerroFluids (FFs), are nano-materials that present high magnetic susceptibility (some orders of magnitude higher than other non-magnetic natural substances). ^[55]
In fact, when no external magnetic field is present, magnetic moments are randomly oriented
inside the carrier fluid, and the resulting net magnetization is equal to zero. Otherwise, if the ex-

ternal magnetic field is present, the dipoles align along the direction of the field, and a non-zero
net magnetization appears. FFs can be used to harvest energy, giving the possibility to implement a low-power micro-generator, especially suitable for electronics where battery replacement
has higher costs and is quite difficult to be performed.

Furthermore, magnetic colloids show variations in the thermal convection due to a competition among the magnetic field and the gravitational one. In particular, when magnetic forces act in a direction transverse with respect to the gravitational field, which induces sedimentation of the colloidal magnetic particles by the effect of fluid density stratification, useful configurations arise. As said, in presence of an external magnetic field and a thermal gradient, FF shows the so called thermo-magnetic advection which induces different magnetic body forces (the cooler particles present an higher magnetization than the warmer ones).

In literature several methods to harvest energy using a FF are described, in particular from 485 a thermal gradient:^[56] describes a way to produce electrical power by exploiting the FF motion 486 activated by convection currents generated by a thermal gradient. Furthermore, it is possible to 487 generate solitonic density ways inside a closed loop system wrapped with copper wire coils, in 488 order to extract electromagnetic forces and electric power, giving birth to the first generation of 489 colloidal energy harvesters.^[57] In all the cases where the gravitational field is parallel to both the 490 thermal gradient and the external magnetic field, it is possible to exploit the Benard-Marangoni 49 convection and extract energy through the use of coils, as reported by Kemkar et al.^[58] 492

493 4 Industrial applications

494 4.1 Introduction

According to the IPCC, nowadays one third of the global energy consumption is employed in 495 industry and this amount corresponds approximately to over 40 % of CO₂ emissions world-496 wide.^[59,60] UK data show that industries absorb about 3,200 TWh per year, which represents 497 about 26 % of the total consumption in EU (Eurostat, 2015). Germany, Italy, France, UK, and 498 Spain respectively, as expected, account for the highest industrial energy consumption, totalling 499 about 60 % of all EU numbers.^[61] Agathokleus *et al.* (2019) analyzed the energy consumption of 500 the industrial sector of the EU28 (member states of the EU before Brexit)^[49]: chemical and steel 501 industries are the main energy-demanding sectors, followed by paper processing, non-metallic 502 minerals treatment and food, and accounting for about 65 % of the total energy consumption in 503 industry. 504

To manage the waste heat problem the most adopted approach is WHR. In a WHR device 505 heat is exchanged between the diathermal material (which is cooled) and another intermediate 506 fluid (which is heated). Such intermediate fluid is never dumped but recovered, and used to 507 produce utilities such as steam or power.^[49] Industrial WHR addresses temperatures ranging 508 from 60 °C (cleaning processes) to more than 1700 °C (iron and steel, cement, glass and 509 ceramics-processing industries). In chemical industry, the typical temperatures range is from 510 100 to 500 °C (in some cases up to 1000 °C).^[6]. WHR technologies suffer from long payback 511 periods, higher investment requirements, several additional requirements in terms of materials 512 and design due to chemical activity and corrosion and as such they have been introduced in 513 specific situations without wider diffusion. Nevertheless developing countries (hosting more 514 than 4 billion people) are experiencing an ongoing industrialization process and could take 515 advantage of experiences and technologies developed in more advanced countries. For sure, the 516 industrial WHR/WHP will definitely be under the spotlight for the next years.^[4] In Fig. 7 several 517 industrial processes are associated to the corresponding temperature range while the complete 518



Fig. 7 Temperatures range for different industrial heating processes.^[5]



Fig. 8 Waste heat potential in each EU country per year in all industries. Reproduced under the terms of the 4811241236352 license.^[61] Copyright 2020, Elsevier.

⁵¹⁹ mapping of the potential of waste heat considering EU countries is shown in Fig. 8^[61].

520 4.2 Technical potential of the waste heat from industrial activities

Among the various industrial sectors, the amount of waste heat fraction (with respect to the total energy consumption) can vary significantly. According to Agathokleus *et al.* (2019), the largest amount of waste heat is found in food and tobacco processing, pulp and paper processing, basic metals industries, chemical industry and non-metallic minerals processing, and 50 % of waste heat is in the temperature range between 300 - 350 °C.^[49] Metallurgy and non-metallic

Industrial sector	Heat consumption (TWh)	Waste heat (%)	
Iron and Steel	580	11.4	
Chem and Petrochemical	600	11.0	
Non-ferrous metal	115	9.6	
Non-metallic minerals	390	11.4	
Food and Tobacco	345	8.6	
Paper Pulp and Print	395	10.6	
Wood and Products	100	6.0	
Textile and Leather	50	11.0	
Other	660	10.4	

Table 1 Waste heat potential percentage per industry sector in Europe.^[61]

mineral transformation industries in U.S.A. are wasting 20-50 % of the energy used. McKenna 526 and Norman (2010) conclude that the recovery potential for energy intensive industries in the UK 527 is around 10 % of the total heat quota.^[62] Waste heat potential in the EU has been estimated to 528 lie above 350 TWh/year, the greatest part falling in the 100 and 200 °C range. Waste heat below 529 100 °C represents a marginal portion, while within the 200 and 500 °C range more important 530 quantities are found.^[61] This is an important amount of energy compared to the 3,218 TWh 531 energy consumption of 2016.^[61] Papapetrou et al. (2018) developed a statistics related to the 532 waste heat potential in percentages per industry sector for all the former countries belonging to 533 EU28.^[61] The results are reported in Table 1. 534

535 4.3 Technology overview

536 4.3.1 Thermodynamic machines

To date, there is a consistent number of ORC manufacturers (Turboden, Opcon Powerbox, Orcan and EXERGY) and commercial applications in industry sectors. Leaving to the reader the disclosure of the manufacturer through the references given, as examples about ORC units operated by iron and steel foundries there are: the Fonderia di Torbole (Brescia) in Italy, which hosts an ORC plant since 1996 updated in 2018 and producing 690 kW; Toscelik Hot Strip Mill in Turkey producing 1 MW since 2011; NatSteel in Singapore with an installed plant producing 555 kW since 2013; Elbe-Stahlwerke Feralpi in Germany producing 2.7 MW since 2013; ORI Martin in



Fig. 9 Schematic of ORC installation in an industrial plant.^[63]

Italy which converts 1.9 MW since 2016; the Munksjö pulp mill in Sweden producing 750 kW 544 since 2010; the glass manufacturing plants of Sisecamin in Ostellato, Porto Nogaro and Manfre-545 donia in Italy, which shares a capacity of 5 MW since 2016 for each of the locations. A cement 546 plant in Lengfurt, Germany, burns around 3,150 tons of clinker per day in a rotary furnace at 547 flame temperatures of about 2000 °C. This generates hot furnace exhaust gases (350 °C, heat 548 flux around 8 MW) and waste heat from the clinker cooler (275 °C, about 60 MW). Part of this 549 heat is injected back into the furnace. Until the ORC system has not been installed the remaining 550 part of about 30 % was wasted into the atmosphere. In Fig. 9 the schematic of an example of 551 ORC installation is shown. 552

KCs can recover industrial waste heat in the range 80 to 400 °C for power generation. Existing industrial WHR applications include: Sumitomo plant in Japan with an installed plant producing 3.5 MW since 1999; Husavik, Iceland plant which converts 2.0 MW since 2000; Fuji Oil plant in Japan converting 4.0 MW since 2005; DG KHAN plant in Pakistan converting 8.6 MW since 2013; Star Cement plant in Dubai producing 4.75 MW since 2013. KCs are limited in their

industrial applications for some technical issues that have not been solved, yet, in particular the need for a fine tuning of the boiler evaporation ratio and the early condensation of NH_3-H_2O mixture.^[1]

Solid Oxide Fuel Cell (SOFC) is considered an emerging technology for both small and large 561 power plants for high efficiency power generation with low emissions. A comparative analysis 562 in terms of energy and exergy has been conducted, for employing ORC and KC for waste heat 563 recovery from hybrid SOFC/GT systems. The results show a good motivation for employing ORC 564 or KC for WHR to increase the global energy conversion efficiency. The results indicate the su-565 periority of ORC over KC.^[64] A comparison of performances between KC and transcritical ORC 566 for WHR in different internal combustion engine working conditions. Compared to KC, the tran-567 scritical ORC shows evident advantages on the overall thermodynamic efficiency, low operation 568 pressure and simple components configuration with exhaust temperature from the engine over 569 220 °C. The optimal thermal performance of the transcritical ORC is in the range 295-345 °C. 570 Nevertheless, moving over or under the optimal temperature drop the performances decrease 571 considerably. Moreover, the extremely high expansion ratio of the turbine requires a complex 572 multi-stage design and large dimensions.^[65] Another comparison between the thermodynamic 573 performances of KC and ORC has been conducted for the case of heat recovery from two Diesel 574 engines, each one with an electrical power of 8900 kWe. Supposing a mean temperature differ-575 ence in the heat recovery exchanger of 50 °C, a net electric power of 1615 kW and of 1603 kW 576 can be generated, respectively, for KC and ORC. Although the output levels are almost equal, 577 KC requires a much higher maximum pressure in order to obtain high performances. Then, at 578 least for low power level and MT and HT thermal sources, KC appears to be unjustified because 579 the increase in performances is very small (with respect to a properly optimized ORC) and must 580 be obtained with a more complex plant scheme, larger surface heat exchangers and particular 581 plants featuring pressure and corrosion resistance.^[66] 582

In the last decade, the development of innovative thermodynamic cycles has been fundamental for the efficient utilization of low temperature heat sources such as solar, geothermal

and waste heat sources and many researchers started to explore these technologies. Padilla et al. 585 (2010) analysed a hybrid power/cooling cycle, which combines RC and absorption refrigeration 586 cycles, using ammonia-water mixture and generating power and cooling at the same time. Also 587 known as the Goswami Cycle, it can be employed as a bottoming cycle using waste heat from 588 conventional power cycle or as independent cycle using solar or geothermal energy. The results 589 show that with a heat source temperature between 90-170 °C and the absorber temperature 590 of 30 °C, the maximum First Law efficiency (ratio between the net output power and the heat 591 absorbed from the high temperature source to produce it) and exergy efficiency are estimated to 592 be 20% and 72%, respectively.^[67] 593

Nowadays, the number of commercial applications is very limited, even though industrial waste heat is available in abundance and the concept of utilization or recovery is not new. The reasons are mainly related to resource constraints and the insufficiency of regulatory, organizational and business plans.^[1]

598 4.3.2 Thermoelectric devices

Several industrial companies have focused on TE technologies since these devices can compete 599 with fluid-based systems, like compressors or heat pumps. Furthermore, solid-state energy con-600 version is more appealing because of its simplicity, if compared with compressing or expanding 601 two-phase fluid systems. Although their limited efficiency, several commercial uses have been 602 realized. The reasons behind this success are the relatively small size and complexity, vast scal-603 ability, robustness, rapid response time, lack of toxic operational emissions, long reliability and 604 lifetime, inertness and lack of moving components^[27,37]. Many countries, such as U.S.A., U.K., 605 Australia, Ukraine, Japan and South Korea, are focusing their attention to this field^[68,69] and 606 several researches have been already accomplished to characterize the TEGs performances un-607 der various heat sources like diathermal oil heaters, cook stoves and waste heat from industrial 608 process. The Aerospace, Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering School at the RMIT Uni-609 versity of Melbourne, Australia introduced the concept of hybrid power generation system.^[70] 610

This is composed of one TE module hold by two copper layers with 4 heat pipes generating the 611 temperature difference between the two TEG sides^[70]. Another application of TEG modules is 612 in support of the Industrial Wireless Sensor Networks (IWSNs), clusters of wireless sensor nodes 613 in industrial plants and commercial areas. They consist of a high number of sensor nodes, in-614 cluding sensor units and control electronics, communication and power supply. Most of these 615 are powered by means of batteries having strong limitation in terms of energy because of the 616 constrained node and battery size. Therefore, several researchers explore the possibility of cou-617 pling IWSNs with TEGs in order to harvest energy and extend batteries lifetime, achieving higher 618 power densities with respect to other energy harvesters. It has been concluded that, with 0.6 m/s 619 of air flow on the TEGs and without using any heat sink, the hot side being at 50 °C and the 620 cold one at 20 °C, the output power is around 80 μ W. Dalola *et al.* (2009) used a TE component 621 to supply an autonomous sensing node for T measurement.^[71] Bonin *et al.* (2013) produced 622 and characterized small TE generators used to power systems for environmental monitoring, in 623 the order of 10 mW for a temperature difference of 10 °C.^[72] In another application domain, 624 52 % of Australian households hot water heaters are powered by using natural gas and Liqui-625 fied Petroleum Gas (LPG) systems. By exploiting the thermal gradient generated between hot 626 exhaust gas exiting and cold water from ducts, it would be possible to generate power by means 627 of TEGs. Through the testing conducted, a power generation unit including 60 TE cells would 628 be able to produce more than 40 W and more than 20 W in case the hot water is around boiling 629 temperature and 80 °C, respectively. In the latter case, the efficiency of the system is estimated 630 to fall in the range 0.37 % to 1.03 %.^[73] 631

Furthermore, some hybrid concept and devices have been analysed. Gholamian *et al.* (2018) focused on enhancing the performances of a geothermal-based ORC and proposed two novel systems in which, in the first case, part of the waste heat is recovered employing a TEG for power generation while in the second for hydrogen production (by means of proton exchange membrane electrolyzer). Results indicated that the proposed system has exergy efficiencies higher than that of the basic ORC by 21.9% and 12.7%, respectively. They also reveal that the specific product cost for the proposed solutions is lower than that for the basic ORC, despite an higher total cost rate.^[74] Zare *et al.* (2017) studied the possibility of employing TEG to recover the waste heat from a KC and the results revealed an improvement of around 7.3 % of the net output power and higher energy and exergy efficiencies. Furthermore, they evaluated economically the integration of TEG with the KC indicating a modest profitability.^[75]

643 4.3.3 Novel approaches

Recently, innovative method for low-grade waste heat recovery based on nanogenerators have 644 been deeply investigated and, even though a large margin for improvements is still present, some 645 interesting results have been reached. To be mentioned is the work of Raouadi et al. [76] where 646 an hybridized pyro-vortex device, realized in polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), has been realized, 647 with an area of 2 cm². The idea behind this work is to exploit the energy of the wind to realize an 648 inexpensive and efficient harvester providing an uninterrupted energy output. In particular, the 649 vortexes generated by this latter give rise to two conversion mechanism: molecular transport, 650 proportional to the gradients of the averaged velocity of the wind and heat fluxes, and convec-651 tive transport, who gives rise to turbulent stresses caused by the momentum transfer produced 652 by the velocity fluctuations. In fact, this device allow to produce a stable output current reaching 653 a maximum power density of 2.82 μ W/cm². 654

The flexible device developed by Chen et al.^[77] is based more on mechanical solicitation 655 instead of temperature variation. In this case, the mechanisms of conversion are piezoelectric 656 and triboelectric, i.e. relying in deformation and friction processes, respectively. The energy to 657 be harvested can be associate to different sources and the device results suitable for many ap-658 plication. As an example it can be thought as a device to be inserted in the floor or, thanks to 659 its dimensions, for biomedical applications supplying sensors for health monitoring, converting 660 the energy coming from the motion in electricity, e.g. placing it under the shoes. The maximum 661 power produced in this case reached 0.834 μ W/cm². 662

⁶⁶³ A combination of pyroelectric and triboelectric hybrid energy harvester has been also pro-

664	posed in the work of Jiang <i>et al.</i> ^[78] where the idea is to harvest useful energy from low-grade
665	waste fluids. In practice, the drops of fluid can be make fall onto the device surface and energy
666	can be recovered exploiting both their thermal and kinetic energy. In fact, the drop hitting the
667	surface will release its energy on it, provoking friction and thus the triboelectrification to take
668	place, but also have a certain temperature that will provoke temperature variation, allowing
669	pyroelectrification. The maximum power produced per unit area similar to the one proposed
670	by Rouadi, lying around 2.6 μ W/cm ² . Interesting is to notice that all these devices have been
671	realized in PVDF, which seems to be a promising material in LGWH harvesting, and are solid
672	<mark>state.</mark>
673	Two innovative works propose a liquid-state low-grade waste heat harvester. The idea is to
674	combine solid and liquid properties, realizing a stable suspension of nanoparticles in a carrier
675	fluid, and move this mixture by means of a combination of thermal and magnetic flux gradient.
676	This thermomagnetic motion will allow the nanoparticles to crawl on the walls of the container,
677	provoking charge accumulation by means of triboelectric process, ^[79] and to experience a tem-
678	perature variation in time, provoking pyroelectrification ^[80] . At the state of the art the device has
679	been tested only in laboratory and still need some improvements to be reproduced in large scale.
680	However, the results are encouraging and the possible application are various since the device,
681	until now is proposed in two shape, i.e. toroidal or planar, and can be applied on whatever hot
682	surface.

683 5 Automotive applications

684 5.1 Introduction

Road freight vehicles and passenger cars play an essential role in today global economic activity, 685 energy consumption and environmental impact. According to the International Energy Agency 686 (IEA), transport accounts for more than half of oil global demand, totalling around 52 million 687 barrels of oil per day (mb/d) [¶].^[81] Furthermore, IEA estimates that the global fuel consump-688 tion for high-duty vehicles is increasing more rapidly than any other transport mode, especially 689 in emerging markets such as India, China and Latin America.^[81] In view of the Paris Agree-690 ment, countries have been considering different solutions to secure improvements in transport 691 efficiency and emissions reductions, both for light-duty vehicles (LDVs) and heavy-duty vehicles 692 (HDVs). In response to this energy and environmental challenges, the automotive industry is fo-693 cusing on high efficiency vehicles. According with the Global Fuel Economy Initiative objective, 694 within 2030 LDVs should improve the fuel economy by 50 % and save 0.5 Gt of CO₂ emissions 695 per year.^[81] Nowadays, the principal path towards a sustainable transportation is the electrifi-696 cation and hybridization of automotive powertrains, while industry is also focusing on different 697 technical solutions to increase the fuel efficiency of Internal Combustion Engines (ICE) vehicles. 698

699 5.2 Mechanical and Thermodynamic analysis

Sovran and Blaser^[82] (2003) proposed a complete physical analysis of fuel consumption in an automotive system, indicating that 58 % of the traction power at the wheel is lost due to vehicle inertia in an urban driving condition. On the contrary, aerodynamic losses become dominant in highway driving conditions (50 % of the traction power at the wheel). The U.S. EPA City and Highway Driving Cycles provide an energy loss analysis based on the energy utilization in different driving conditions and different size-mass vehicles (Fig. 10). It is interesting to note a lost in fuel energy around 35 % and 30 % in exhaust and coolant^[83,84] gases respectively,

[¶] Considering Barrel of Equivalent Oil (BOE), it is possible to say that the transport sector has an energy demand of 88 TWh per day.



Fig. 10 A Sankey diagram showing the fuel energy utilization of an ICE.

representing more than half of losses in wasted heat form. Nowadays, engine manufacturers are concentrating on two different ways to increase the thermal efficiency of an ICE. The first one focuses on improving the thermodynamic cycle of the engine, operating on the choice of fuel, combustion system and air-path. For example, downsized engines deployed in production vehicles improve the fuel economy by 8 - 10 % for LDVs in urban pathway.^[85] The drawback of this approach consists in a reduction of available power and in an increase of fuel consumption, especially in highway conditions.

The second trend concerns WHR and WHP. WHR has the important feature to not affect the engine design and technical features of the vehicle, since it uses the heat rejected by combustion. Therefore, it is suitable for different driving conditions and it can be easily integrated. However, the key point of this technology is to guarantee competing requirements of costs, engine durability and emissions.^[86]

By considering detailed models and simulations of the thermodynamic behaviour in an ICE ^[87,88], it is possible to conclude that the exergy loss (or availability loss) is mainly originated by irreversible combustion processes and the energy is mainly conveyed by exhaust gases. This suggests that the best option to maximize the energy recovery is to install the recovery plant in close contact with the exhaust gas ducts or to the cooling system.

A further important feature is thermal availability, or rather the entropy maximization, repre-

⁷²⁵ senting the maximum amount of work necessary to establish equilibrium in the system^[89]. This
⁷²⁶ concept is closely related to heat grade (temperature). While HT and MT conditions are widely
⁷²⁷ used for practical power generation, LT sources represent today's challenge in the automotive
⁷²⁸ industry. LT sources are mainly localized in the cooling systems (radiator and air conditioning)
⁷²⁹ and in exhaust gases exiting other recovery devices.^[90]

730 5.3 Technological Overview

From a historical point of view, the first approach adopted in WHR for the automotive field is 731 the Mechanical Turbo-Compounding (MTC). This technique employs a turbine to extract energy 732 from HT/MT thermal energy (from 400 to 600 °C^[91]) using the combustion gas streams. At 733 present, Detroit Diesel, Iveco, Volvo and Scania truck manufacturers produce engines that make 734 use of this recovery technique. MTC technology is essentially based on the concept of additional 735 heat by a second exhaust turbine from a turbocharger: instead of ejecting the excess of energy 736 via the exhaust pipe, it is possible to extract additional heat by using a second exhaust turbine. 737 The rotational motion passes through a gear system and a hydraulic coupling, boosting the 738 torque available on the crankshaft. This permits to have extra driving force without additional 739 fuel expenditure. Several studies show that MTC improves the thermal efficiency from 3 % to 5 740 %.^[92] The main disadvantage of this technology is that MTC design expects the interaction of the 741 recovery system with the engine, generating an exhaust back-pressure. This makes it suitable 742 only for HDV industry. The ICE cooling system is the most promising area of investigation to 743 exploit LT recovery.^[93] The low mass flow rate of the working fluid can guarantee the realization 744 of smaller size recovery systems. 745

746 5.3.1 Organic Rankine Cycle

⁷⁴⁷ In the automotive research field, all the bottoming cycles described in previous section (ORC, SC, ⁷⁴⁸ KC and BC) are under investigation. In particular, ORC is the most economically advantageous ⁷⁴⁹ for WHR when the thermal source is below about 150 °C.^[95] It is also very attractive for mass-⁷⁵⁰ production, because of the low-cost, the easy integration with the cooling system (where the



Fig. 11 Model of ORC in an automotive system. Reproduced under the terms of the Attribution 4.0 International license.^[94] Copyright 2017, Springer Nature.

⁷⁵¹ working fluid temperature ranges from 60 to 90°C) and the low impact on engine design. The
 ⁷⁵² industrial groups that are currently investing in research and development in this area are BMW,
 ⁷⁵³ General Electrics, Cummins Engine and United Technologies Corporation.

An ORC permits to exploit LT sources in a very efficient way.^[96] In Fig.11 it is possible to 754 observe a standard configuration for automotive applications. The design challenge consists in 755 the miniaturization of the expander machine^[97] which operates with organic fluids.^[98] This is 756 important to reduce the weight-to-power ratio of the dynamic machine^[99] decreasing the inertia 757 of the vehicle. The operation conditions of the ORC can be dived in direct and indirect. In the 758 direct recovery method, it is possible to use the engine block as heat exchanger, avoiding specific 759 heat conversion parts of the cooling system.^[100] This means that higher T can be exploited in 760 WHR system, hence it is possible to use more heat rejected by the ICE. Other studies show how 761 the heat dissipated by the engine block can be coupled with the vaporizer cooling system. Doing 762 that, it is possible to recover about 3 % of output energy of the engine.^[101] In indirect harvesting 763 procedures, heat is transferred from the coolant to the ORC working fluid by using an extra heat 764 exchanger. Several studies^[102–105] show how the heat dissipated by the coolant fluid can be used 765 for the evaporation system or as a preheater for a HT Rankine-Hirn cycle. In this second case, it 766

is possible to reach higher efficiencies, ^[106] but the system is heavy and cumbersome, therefore 767 economically unfavourable for automotive applications.^[91] Other approaches^[107] involve the 768 use of the heat rejected by the cooling system for the confluent cascade expansions ORC system. 769 The matching conditions between working fluid and ORC systems is investigated to make 770 the cooling system absorb the whole waste heat. In LT recovery systems organic fluids with 771 low boiling temperature and low freezing temperature are used. In fact, these characteristics 772 allow the absorption of the low T heat in the RC system. However, it is difficult to keep a high 773 mass flow, since the organic fluids have inherently a low stability at high temperatures and low 774 specific heat. One option to increase the efficiency is sizing a larger recovery system (as in 775 industrial plants). Another solution could be using high specific heat fluids, like water. Other 776 important features that affect the recovery efficiency are thermal fluctuations, due to changeable 777 driving conditions. Jimenez-Arreola et al. (2018) give a general overview on these effect. This 778 phenomenon negatively affects the WHR systems, limiting the operational range and inducing 779 an efficiency drop during partial load. A possible solution is represented by stream control, used 780 to ensure a close and safe optimal point operation.^[108] Pili et al. (2017) analyzed the economic 781 impact of the ORC technology in transportation sector. They considered the relationship between 782 WHR that impacts the net fuel economy of the vehicle and the costs of additional volume that 783 the ORC system occupies, affecting the vehicle transportation capacity.^[109] 784

785 5.3.2 Thermoelectric Generators

Another possible way to improve the vehicle efficiency using WHR system is to exploit the TE effect. TEGs allow to recover heat from ICE in order to reduce fuel consumption, without increasing emissions. BMW, Nissan, Jaguar, Land Rover and Porsche are some of the manufacturers that are investing in this field. Exhaust TEG (ETEG) is the most promising technology, because it has no moving parts, it requires less maintenance in comparison to ORC and MTC systems and it is silent in operation.

⁷⁹² Despite the lightweight of ETEG and its versatility, the technology must address a number of

5. AUTOMOTIVE APPLICATIONS



Fig. 12 Example of ETEG design.^[110]

issues such as low efficiency (5 % to 10 %), high cost and large temperature differences required 793 for considerable energy conversion. In particular, the cold side of the ETEG module should be at 794 a temperature as low as possible to maximize the efficiency. Therefore cooling mechanisms are 795 required to provide the maximum temperature gradient. In a typical ICE, the ETEG is composed 796 by 4 units: a TEG module, a heat sink, a heat exchanger and a power conditioning unit (PCU). 797 An example is shown in Fig. 12. Exhaust gases pass through the heat exchanger placed on 798 the exhaust pipe, causing an increase in temperature on the warm TEG modules side. The 799 other side can be refrigerated using a liquid or an air cooled heat tank system. The generated 800 electric power due to the temperature difference established across the TEG module is stored 801 in a battery, matched with the vehicular electrical system through a PCU.^[111,112] This latter is 802 essential in order to guarantee the maximum power transfer in different driving conditions (idle 803 or operational). 804

⁸⁰⁵ Komatsu (Japan), Marlow (USA) and HiZ (USA) produce and commercialize TEG modules ⁸⁰⁶ using Bi_2Te_3 as active material. In particular HiZ produces several devices that work with a ⁸⁰⁷ maximum temperature of 250 °C and a ΔT of 200 °C, producing from 2 to 20 W of electrical ⁸⁰⁸ DC power. The cost of these devices can range from 18 EUR to 80 EUR per module, depending ⁸⁰⁹ on dimensions and on purpose. It is important also to focus on the installation position of TEG device, since the heat exchange efficiency plays a fundamental role. In general, it is possible to adapt both size and shape of the TEG module according to the recovery application: for example in the case of exhaust pipes a square configuration can be chosen for flat surfaces, or a linearly shaped one for circular pipes. Liu *et al.* (2014) tested different installation positions of an ETEG, finding that for LT applications the best solution is positioning modules after the catalytic and filtering blocks the exhaust system.^[113]

An interesting experimental study^[114] shows that using six Bi₂Te₃ TEG modules on a 3,696 c.c. gasoline engine having hot and cold sides at 225 °C and 255 °C respectively, it is possible to generate an output power of more than 40 W. In accordance with other researches^[115,116] the fuel economy of a vehicle can be improved up to 2 % using LT exhaust gases.

A different approach for WHR is proposed by Park, Yoo and Kim (2010).^[117] As in the ORC 821 case, they recover energy from the engine coolant, substituting the radiator system with a system 822 of 72 TE modules (Bi₂Te₃ with an area of $4mm \times 4mm$) and 128 heat pipes. The coolant flows 823 inside a chamber where the squared modules are installed, transferring heat to the hot surface 824 of the material and reaching $95 \,^{\circ}C$. To keep the temperature of the cold side at $25 \,^{\circ}C$, a 825 standard air-cooling system has been used. Results show that it is possible to generate almost 30 826 W of electrical DC power during idle condition and almost 75 W during driving condition. This 827 approach allows to recover 0.4 % of wasted heat. 828

At present, the cost of commercialized TEGs is in the range of 2,500 EUR/kW to 3,500 EUR/kW. In order to be competitive, the cost per energy capacity has to decrease at 395 EUR/kW.^[118] Nowadays only HDVs can benefit from TEG systems, since it is easier to depreciate installation cost considering both the driving conditions (long driving distances) and the higher amount of energy from exhaust gases with respect to LDVs.

6 Wearable applications

During the last decades, technology has performed steps in the direction of an extreme miniatur-835 ization of electronic components and portable devices. In addition to devices for healthcare^[119] 836 and self monitoring of activities and vital functions [120, 121], the production of electric energy 837 from human body waste heat has become significantly important because of the rising of Ultra-838 Low Power (ULP) devices.^[122] Despite the development of new supply technologies, researchers 839 have started to explore a possible definitive solution: battery-less devices, integrating an energy 840 harvesting system where mechanical load, vibrations, temperature gradients, heat, light, salinity 841 gradients, wind, etc. are scavenged and converted to obtain relatively small amounts of power. 842 Examples of this kind of device are thermo/tribo/piezo/pyro-electric effects. Of course wearable 843 devices are not comparable with industrial or automotive applications in terms of energy pro-844 duced, but still they are part of category of technologies able to convert waste heat into power, 845 which moreover, could be explored in sport and space application field, where small amounts of 846 power are enough to power smart devices. 847

848 6.1 Thermal energy harvesters

According to^[123] the energy requirements of an average person under 60 years are in the range 849 of 8.8 to 17.6 MJ per day (man) and between 7.1 to 14.2 MJ per day (woman) ^{††}. Therefore 850 assuming that on average the daily energy need is about 10 MJ and the corresponding power 851 is $\approx 120 W$, considering to radiate a large part of this energy in form of heat, a thermal source 852 of some tens of Watts, at rest, is available^[124]. Therefore harvesting even a small part of this 853 energy would enable powering wearables such as smartwatches, that need a few tens of mW to 854 operate^[125]. Assuming a normal condition, that is a body temperature of $36.6 \,^{\circ}C$ ^[126] and a 855 room temperature of 27 °C, it is possible to estimate a Carnot efficiency of approximately 3%, 856 which makes immediately clear the constraints for the application on a human body. However, 857 even 1 % of a total power in the order of a hundred Watts still represents an amount of energy 858

^{††} The numbers reported here are obtained for a subject at rest (basal metabolism rate).



Fig. 13 Fully powered wireless pulse oximeter:^[129] on the forefinger a commercial sensor has been placed. The wristwatch TEG charge a storage capacitor able to provide 0.7 - 2 V as output voltage. A DC/DC converter to ensure stable and higher V_{oc} is mounted after the capacitor itself. A V= 2.05 V is obtained, which is enough to supply the wireless sensor. Reproduced under the terms of the 4877660286134 license.^[129] Copyright 2020, AIP Publishing.

⁸⁵⁹ sufficient to power wearables.

860 6.1.1 Rigid and flexible thermoelectric devices

The wearable TEGs (WTEGs) for LT waste heat scavenging do not use bulky material but rather 861 thin layers of material. For this reason they are typically referred with the name μ -WTEG. 862 Initially, rigid substrate TEGs have been the focus of research. Leonov et al. (2007) published 863 a study on TEGs aiming at finding a smart way to maximize the energy scavenged, resulting 864 in the employment of a multistage multistage thermopile^[127]. Torfs *et al.* (2007) proposed a 865 completely self-powered device to measure the oxygen content in blood.^[128] It consisted of an 866 oximeter for the measurement and of a wristwatch, disguising the TEG, providing the energy 867 needed (an explicative scheme of the whole apparatus has been sketched in Fig. 13). The 868 maximum power extracted is more than $100 \,\mu W$ during daily activities. A year later, the same 869 research group proposed an electroencephalography (EEG) system.^[130] A battery-less device 870 specific for EEG, ECG and EMG data transfer has been investigated by Zhang et al. (2013) few 871 years later.^[131] 872

More recently, the work presented by Wahbah *et al.* (2014) has opened a new way towards self-powered systems.^[132] They characterized two different devices, a TE and piezoelectric energy harvesters, in order to find the best way to supply the system. They showed that a 9 cm²



Fig. 14 Scheme of the transition from rigid to flexible device substituting the ceramic plates with liquid bendable connection. Notice that the legs are the same of the rigid device. Final step consist in an encapsulation in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). Reproduced with permission.^[134] Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

TEG system is able to generate up to $20 \,\mu$ W at RT with respect to the $3.7 \,\mu$ W of a 5 cm² piezoelectric one. By properly setting the parameters, they reached $V_o = 12 \,\text{mV}$ and $V_{oc} = 24 \,\text{mV}$ for a of $0.5 \,^{\circ}$ C, i.e. output and open circuit voltages respectively. The main drawback of rigid WTEGs is the incapability of following the curvature of human skin, with concurrent heat transfer losses. Moreover, the integration of a rigid wTEGs into clothes is not easy and makes them uncomfortable. For these reasons flexible devices have substituted the rigid ones as focus of research.

Concerning wearable applications, the problem of comfort is not the only one. The low 883 temperature difference deeply affect the overall efficiency of the devices. Thus, to solve this 884 issue, in 2017 an interesting way to increase has been explored by Soo Jung et al. in^[133]. They 885 propose to use a local absorber placed below the TEG legs (BiTe and SbTe) onto such layers, 886 the temperature difference passes from the usual $2 \div 4$ to $20 \degree C$. A prototype consisting of 10 887 p-n couples develops an output around 4.44 μ W and a open circuit output voltage of 55.15 mV. 888 However, it is possible that such local increase of temperature could results to be uncomfortable 889 for the user but opens doors for possible future implementation in WTEGs. 890

It is important mentioning also the work proposed by Wu *et al.*^[135] (2018) that tried to look for another way to integrate the harvesting system directly into the clothes' fibers. They have analysed closely the textile TE material from both application (monitoring, computing, waste



(a) Multilayer 2D thermoelectric structure.

(b) Thermoelectric 2D yarn arrangement.

Fig. 15 Arrangement of 2D textile thermoelectric device. In 15a a multilayer arrangement proposed by Hewitt *et al.* (2012) is reported. It uses n and p-type carbon nanotubes (CNT) separated by insulating PVDF films. In 15b a yarn structure proposed by Ryan *et al.* is shown (2017). This is a silk yarn made of PEDOT:PSS which ensures stability in performances also after washing and drying.^[136]



Fig. 16 Arrangement for a 3D textile thermoelectric generator proposed by Kim *et al.* in 2014. This kind of structure allow to sustain a temperature gradient along the thickness contrarily to 2D structures.^[136]

heat recovery) and production (fabric finishing and coating or fibers/yarns coating technologies)
points of view. These can be a very good alternative to other kind of devices thanks to the ease
of integration, comfort and air-permeability. Their structure is different since does not rely on
a sandwich design but on a planar one. This implies that the temperature difference is applied
along the length and not the thickness of the leg for stability reasons. However, they can be
arranged in either 2D (Fig. 15) structure or 3D one (Fig. 16)*

^{*} The images has been originally published in IntechOpen under Creative Commons Attribution License by Qian *et al.* ^[135] and therein references. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.75474

900 6.1.2 Pyroelectric energy harvester

As already pointed out, the heat constantly flows out from our body because of self thermal regulation, i.e. the homeostasis process through which the body is able to maintain always an external temperature around 36 °C, in a range of about 1 °C. Moreover, the physiological rate of temperature changes is not so fast. Thus, it is clear that the efficiency of devices exploiting PE only is so low that makes them unsuitable for body WHR applications.

Nevertheless, Yan *et al.* ^[137] (2018) conducted a study on the possibility of using nanogenerator technology as a self-driven power supply for wearable devices. Here, it resulted that a
combination of more effects, in particular tribo-electric and PE could be a good solution for solving the problem of powers supply. The performances of such hybridized nanogenerators have
been investigate in ^[138].

Potnuru et al. (2014) proposed a mouse supplied using human heat exploiting just the PE 911 effect.^[139] They have found that the current generated by PE effect is so low that it is completely 912 dominated by the one due to piezoelectric effect which is also intrinsic of those materials that 913 have a PE effect, provoked by the weight of the user's hand. Sultana et al. [140] (2018) inves-914 tigated a commercial PE device which is composed of a piezoceramic foil placed between two 915 conductive electrodes. They prove the possibility to use water vapour to drive the device obtain-916 ing an output voltage higher (1.6 V) than in other conditions (0.4 V) thanks to the increase in T 917 difference. The maximum output power obtained is 0.034 μ W cm⁻². 918

Hybrid systems exploiting piezo and pyro-electric effects have been studied.^[141] A very interesting hybrid device has been proposed recently by Ding *et al.* (2018) implementing a thermocell and a pyroelectric harvester which treats to recover heat by both stable gradient and temporal variation of temperature.^[142] This device consists of two layer of poly (vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF), coated with CNT/CNC nanocomposites that serves as electrodes and absorber, and a block of polyurethane (PU), which is place under the two PVDF films. The former deals with heat recovery from T variation, thus is the PE part, whereas, once the temperature gradient is



Fig. 17 Image of the Liquid-Based Thermal Interface device structure proposed by Cha *et al.* in^[143], 2012. Reproduced with permission.^[143] Copyright 2012, Elsevier.

established, in the thermocell a redox of ferrous/ferric chloride acid develops converts it in use-926 ful electric energy. Moreover, an analysis on performance versus the content of CNTs in CNCs 927 is performed resulting in a verification of the crucial role played by CNCs. The thermogalvanic 928 cell has shown a current density production of 1.2 A m⁻² for a of 100 °C. Assuming an illumi-929 nation of AM 1.5 G, the PVDF film generates up to 200 V of output voltage under illumination 930 and -120 V once the illumination is removed. The importance of this device lies mainly in its 931 versatility since it can harvest energy in difference conditions, being thus able to act as a supply 932 24/7. The hybrid has shown a maximum output power produced of 1.86 and 0.9 mW m⁻² for 933 the thermogalvanic and pyroelectric components, respectively. Recently, a wearable pyroelec-934 tric nanogenerator based on the breathing process has been proposed in the work of H. Xue et 935 al.^[144] where they successfully introduced a thin film of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), of di-936 mensions 35x35mm and thickness 30 μ m, in a mask. Exploiting the heat released by the breath, 937 the maximum temperature variation registered on the harvester was 12 °C and the maximum 938 power extracted, with an external load of 50 MΩ, reached $\approx 0.68 \ \mu W/cm^2$. 939 Finally, it is worth to mention a work proposed by Cha et al. (2012) dealing with liquid-940 based PEGs. They proposed a harvester based on PE effect but using a liquid based switchable 941

⁹⁴² interface.^[143,145] The structure of their device is shown in Fig. 17.



Fig. 18 Most of the technologies analysed, grouped according to their application field and optimal temperature range of operation. Note that this graphical summary is conceived only to give an immediate, qualitative glimpse on the statistics of technology application. For this reason, the reader should focus on the temperature ranges rather than on the specific temperature values.

943 7 Conclusions

The identified technologies have been collected and sorted according to their typical application 944 and optimal temperature range in Fig. 18. A comparison in terms of efficiencies, post-installation 945 costs per kW and typical installed power output is given in Table 2. The reader should never 946 forget that closed loop thermodynamic cycles based on any specific fluid feature intrinsic instal-947 lation costs that strongly limit their power output lower bound, while purely solid state systems 948 such as TEGs and PEGs suffer from the specular limit, toward upper bound. Once the available 949 WHP technologies have been analysed, question arises on whether they could represent a valid 950 approach to increase the quota of "green" installed power, working both in conjunction with 951 fossil fuel-based processes and renewable resources-based processes. Considering their costs per 952 kW and comparing them to photovoltaic systems (on average the cost per kW is 1.4 kEUR/kW as 953 of December 2018), two technologies are already competitive: BC and ORC. On the other side, 954 the higher production costs of wind turbines (on average 1.4 kEUR/kW as of 2017 for onshore 955 plants and 3.5 kEUR/kW for offshore ones), of biomass-based power plants (3.3 kEUR/kW), 956

	BC	SC^{c}	KC	CDTCC	ORC	TE	PE
$\eta @ LT (T_{Hot} = 20-200 °C)$	0.40 ^{<i>a</i>}	0.13	0.10^{d}	0.15 ^{<i>f</i>}	0.12^{g}	0.037	0.15
$\eta @ MT (T_{Hot} = 200-500 °C)$	0.40 ^{<i>a</i>}	0.20	0.15^{d}	-	0.20 ^g	0.073	0.13
Cost per kW [kEUR/kW]	1^b	12-2.5	6.5-2.5 ^e	N.A.	$2.8 - 1.2^{h}$	2.5	N.A.
Installed power [MW]	7.8^{i}	0.0015^{j}	0.4^{k}	0.0046^{l}	0.35^{m}	0.012^{n}	N.A.

Table 2 Efficiency and installation cost/kW comparison between the mentioned technologies.

In the above table, data relative to CDTCC is not given at MT due to the intrinsic properties of CO₂, implying that the cycle operates at cryogenic temperatures. The thermoelectric efficiencies have been computed by using conventional physical models and assuming reasonable temperature ranges in operating conditions (55 °C of ΔT for LT and 150 °C of ΔT for MT) and an average ZT FoM of 1.5 for LT and 2.5 for MT (on the basis of previously reported analysis). For pyroelectric efficiency data have been derived by^[42] and the same temperature ranges as above have been considered. $a[146]^{b}[147]^{c}[148]^{d}[149]^{e}[150]^{f}[151]^{g}[33]^{h}[152]^{i}[153]^{j}[154]^{k}[155]^{l}[156]^{m}[157]^{n}[158]$

and of hydroelectric power plants (3.6 kEUR/kW), give already a strong evidence of the bright
future this sector will experience, since at least some, if not all, the technologies here described
could be potentially competitive.

In parallel to industrial development and technology readiness level, the most statistically rel-960 evant results in all ranges of reviewed current solutions, mainly involve optimization through 961 thermoeconomic, exergetic and life cycle assessment analyses, as well as materials development. 962 A totally different approach is seen for emerging technologies, where basic studies and theoreti-963 cal developments are frequent. In conclusion, WHR/WHP technologies have reached a maturity 964 level that could significantly boost their mass application in close relationship with industrial 965 processes and wherever waste heat is generated, such as in the automotive field, regardless of 966 the policies enforced at local/global level. Scientific research, on the other side, is rapidly ex-967 panding and casting light on less explored multidisciplinary fields, where combined effects (such 968 as pyro + thermo + magneto) have been shown to greatly enhance conversion efficiencies and 969 volume/mass specific power/energy. In particular, the most promising directions to explore are: 970 1) magnetocaloric and thermomagnetic hydrodynamic machines on the one side, and 2) ther-971 moelectric, triboelectric and pyroelectric devices on the other side, the former group suitable for 972

small scale systems and the latter suitable for wearables. 973

Conflicts of interest 974

There are no conflicts to declare. 975

Acknowledgements 976

The support of Fondazione Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia is gratefully acknowledged. 977

References 978

- 1 J. Ling-Chin, H. Bao, Z. Ma, W. T. Roskilly, and A. Paul, Energy Conversion Current Tech-979 nologies and Future Trends thermal, 2018, 1, 56–74 and references therein. 980
- 2 T. Hunnicutt, BlackRock plans environmentally conscious money market fund, https:// 981 urly.it/326sq, last accessed 8th April 2020.
- 3 V. Smil, Energy Transitions History, Requirements, Prospects, 1st edn, 2010, p. 190. 983
- 4 F. Huang, J. Zheng, J. M. Baleynaud and J. Lu, Journal of the Energy Institute, 2016, 90, 984 1–11 and references therein. 985
- 5 G. Boštjan and S. Erlih, Conference on exploitation of waste heat potentials in Europe, 2017 986 and references therein. 987
- 6 M. Pehnt, J. Bödeker, M. Arens, E. Jochem and F. Idrissova, ECEE 2011 Summer Study, 988 2011, 691–700 and references therein. 989
- 7 G. Myhre, D. Shindell, F. M. Bréon and W. Collins, Climate Change 2013: the Physical Science 990
- Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Fifth Assessment. Report of the Intergovernmental 991 Panel on Climate Change., 2013, 659–740. 992
- 8 D. Ehhalt and M. Prather, Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, 2001, 239–287. 993
- 9 G. Stephens and S. Tjemkes, Australian Journal of Physics, 1993, 46(1), 149. 994
- 10 National Climatic Data Center 2019. 995
- 11 G. G. Ochoa, J. C. Gutierrez and J. D. Forero, Resources MDPI, 2020, 9, 2. 996
- 12 O. Kaska, Energy Conversion and Management, 2014, 77, 108–117. 997
- 13 A. Naeimia, M. Bidia, M. Ahmadib, R. Kumarc, M. Sadeghzadehd and M. Nazari, Thermal 998

	REF	'ERENCES REFERENCES
999		Science and Engineering Progress, 2019, 9 , 299–307.
1000	14	C. Forman, I. Muritala, R. Pardemann and B. Meyer, Renewable and Sustainable Energy
1001		Reviews, 2016, 57 , 1598–1579.
1002	15	Waste Heat Recovery: Technology and Opportunities in the U.S. Industry, 2008.
1003	16	A. Elson, R. Tidball and A. Hampson, Waste Heat to Power Market Assessment, 2015.
1004	17	O. Gavaldà, V. Depoorter, T. Oppelt and K. van Ginderdeuren, RenewIT FP7 Deliverable D4.1
1005		- Report of different options for renewable energy supply in Data Centres in Europe, 2014.
1006	18	J. Holman, IDC Energy Insights: Renewable Energy Strategies: Perspective, 2011, EI229456,
1007		1–11.
1008	19	A. Gupta and A. Singh Bais, Waste Heat to Power Market Size By Product (Steam Rank-
1009		ine Cycle, Organic Rankine Cycle, Kalina Cycle), By Application (Petroleum Refining, Cement
1010		Industry, Heavy Metal Production, Chemical Industry, Pulp Paper, Food Beverage, Glass In-
1011		dustry, Others), Industry Analysis Report, Regional Outlook (U.S., Canada, Mexico, Germany,
1012		UK, Italy, France, Belgium, Spain, Russia, China, Australia, India, Japan, South Korea, Philip-
1013		pines, Thailand, Vietnam, UAE, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Brazil, Argentina), Application
1014		Potential, Competitive Market Share & Forecast, 2019 - 2025, 2019.
1015	20	A. Chiolerio and M. B. Quadrelli, Energy Technology, 2019, 1–10.
1016	21	Z. Zhang, L. Chen, B. Yang, Y. Ge and F. Sun, Applied Thermal Engineering, 2015, 90, 742-
1017		748 and references therein.
1018	22	B. Song, W. Zhuge, R. Zhao, X. Zheng, Y. Zhang, Y. Yin and Y. Zhao, Journal of Mechanical
1019		Science and Technology, 2013, 27, 1721–1729 and references therein.
1020	23	J. Galindo, J. R. Serrano, V. Dolz and P. Kleut, Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 2015, 7,
1021		1–9 and references therein.
1022	24	S. Gequn, L. Lina, T. Hua, W. Haiqiao and Y. Guopeng, Transactions of Tianjin University,
1023		2015, 21 , 193–198 and references therein.
1024	25	A. Entezari, A. Manizadeh and R. Ahmadi, Energy Conversion and Management, 2018, 159,

- 1025 189–203 and references therein.
- ¹⁰²⁶ 26 M. Hou, Z. Wu, G. Yu, J. Hu and E. Luo, *Applied Energy*, 2018, **226**, 389–396 and references
 ¹⁰²⁷ therein.
- ¹⁰²⁸ 27 K. Zeb, S. M. Ali, B. Khan, C. A. Mehmood, N. Tareen, W. Din, U. Farid and A. Haider, ¹⁰²⁹ *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2016.
- 28 A. H. Bademlioglu, A. S. Canbolat, N. Yamankaradeniz and O. Kaynakli, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2018, 145, 221–228.
- ¹⁰³² 29 D. K. Sarkar, *Thermal Power Plant Design and Operation*, 2015, 1–37.
- ¹⁰³³ 30 S. M. Besarati and D. Y. Goswami, Advances in Concentrating Solar Thermal Research and
 ¹⁰³⁴ Technology Woodhead Publishing Series in Energy, 157–178, 8,.
- ¹⁰³⁵ 31 S. C. Bhatia, Advanced Renewable Energy Systems, 2014, 334–388.
- ¹⁰³⁶ 32 A. Naseri, M. Bidi, M. H. Ahmadi and R. Saidur, *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 2017, **158**,
 ¹⁰³⁷ 165–181 and references therein.
- ¹⁰³⁸ 33 A. Baheta and M. Emad, *ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 2015, **10 (21)**,
 ¹⁰³⁹ 10169–10173.
- ¹⁰⁴⁰ 34 E. Cayer, N. Galanis, M. Desilets, H. Nesreddine and P. Roy, *Applied Energy*, 2009, 86, 1055–
 ¹⁰⁴¹ 1063 and references therein.
- ¹⁰⁴² 35 L. Li, Y. T. Ge, X. Luo and S. A. Tassou, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2017, **115**, 815–824
 ¹⁰⁴³ and references therein.
- ¹⁰⁴⁴ 36 G. J. Snyder and E. S. Toberer, *Nature materials*, 2008, **7**, 105–14.
- ¹⁰⁴⁵ 37 L. E. Bell, *Science*, 2008, **321**, 1457–1461 and references therein.
- 38 M. Zhou, M. S. H. Al-Furjan, J. Zou and W. Liu, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*,
 2018, 82, 3582–3609.
- ¹⁰⁴⁸ 39 G. Sebald, D. Guyomar and A. Agbossou, *Smart Materials and Structures*, 2009, **18**, 1.
- 40 S. Pandya, G. Velarde, L. Zhang, J. D. Wilbur, A. Smith, B. Hanrahan, C. Dames and L. W.
 Martin, *NPG Asia Materials*, 2019, **11**, 26.

REFERENCES

1051	41	A. Khodayari, S. Pruvost, G. Sebald, D. Guyomar and S. Mohammadi, IEEE Transactions on
1052		Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control, 2009, 56, 693–698.
1053	42	G. Sebald, S. Pruvost and D. Guyomar, Smart Materials and Structures, 2008, 17, 1.
1054	43	F. Lee, S. Goljahi, I. McKinley, C. S. Lynch and L. Pilon, Proceedings of the ASME 2012 3rd
1055		Micro/Nanoscale Heat & Mass Transfer International Conference, 2012, 597.
1056	44	I. M. McKinley, R. Kandilian and L. Pilon, Smart Materials and Structures, 2012, 21, 5–11.
1057	45	A. Navid and L. Pilon, Smart Materials and Structures, 2011, 20, 1.
1058	46	S. Mohammadi and A. Khodayari, Smart Materials Research, 2012, 2012, 1–5.
1059	47	A. Batra and M. Aggarwal, Pyroelectric Materials: Infrared Detectors, Particle Accelerators
1060		and Energy Harvesters, 2013, p. 202.
1061	48	B. Thakre, A. Kumar, HC. Song, DY. Jeong and J. Ryu, Sensors - MDPI, 2019, 19, 1–25.
1062	49	R. Agathokleous, G. Bianchi, G. Panayiotou, L. Arestia, M. C. Argyrou, G. S. Georgiou, S. A.
1063		Tassou, H. Jouhara, S. A. Kalogirou, G. A. Florides and P. Christodoulides, Energy Procedia,
1064		2019, 161 , 489–496 and references therein.
1065	50	E. Warburg, Annalen der Physik, 1881, 249 , 141–164.
1066	51	V. Tsurkan, M. Mücksch, V. Fritsch, J. Hemberger, M. Klemm, S. Klimm, S. Körner, H. A.
1067		Krug von Nidda, D. Samusi, E. W. Scheidt, A. Loidl, S. Horn and R. Tidecks, Physical Review
1068		B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics, 2003, 68 , 1–9.
1069	52	E. Bruck, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 2005, 38, 23.
1070	53	A. Post, C. Knight and E. Kisi, Journal of Applied Physics, 2013, 114, year.
1071	54	T. Tegusi, PhD Thesis: Novel Materials for Magnetic Refrigeration - Van der Waals-Zeeman
1072		Institute (WZI), 2003.
1073	55	A. Bozhko and G. Putin, Microgravity Science and Technology, 2009, 21, 89–93.
1074	56	P. Bajpai and A. Varshney, Advanced Research in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 2016,
1075		3 , 157–161.
1076	57	A. Chiolerio, E. Garofalo, F. Mattiussi, M. Crepaldi, G. Fortunato and M. Iovieno, Applied

REFERENCES

- 1077 Energy, DOI: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2020.115591, Accepted.
- ¹⁰⁷⁸ 58 S. Kemkar, M. Vaidya, D. Pinjari, C. Holkar, S. Kemkar, S. Nanaware and S. Kemkar, *Inter-*¹⁰⁷⁹ *national Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, 2017, **7**, 01–10.
- ¹⁰⁸⁰ 59 M. Fischedick, J. Roy, A. Abdel-Aziz, A. Acquaye Ghana, J. Allwood, G. Baiocchi, R. Clift,
- ¹⁰⁸¹ V. Nenov, M. Yetano Roche Spain, J. Roy, A. Abdel-Aziz, A. Acquaye, J. M. Allwood, J.-p.
- Ceron, Y. Geng, H. Kheshgi, A. Lanza, D. Perczyk, L. Price, E. Santalla, C. Sheinbaum,
- ¹⁰⁸³ K. Tanaka, R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth, A. Adler,
- ¹⁰⁸⁴ I. Baum, S. Brunner, P. Eickemeier, B. Kriemann, J. Savolainen, S. Schlömer, C. von Ste-¹⁰⁸⁵ chow, T. Zwickel and J. Minx, *Industry IPCC*, 2014 and references therein.
- ¹⁰⁸⁶ 60 E. Worrell, L. Bernstein, J. Roy, L. Price and J. Harnisch, *Energy Efficiency*, 2008, 2, 109–123
 ¹⁰⁸⁷ and references therein.
- M. Papapetrou, G. Kosmadakis, A. Cipollina, U. La Commare and G. Micale, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2018, **138**, 207–216 and references therein.
- 62 H. Fang, J. Xia, K. Zhu, Y. Su and Y. Jiang, *Energy Policy*, 2013, 62, 236–246 and references
 therein.
- 1092 63 Exergy ORC, A waste heat recovery cycle explained, June 2019, http://exergy-orc.com/ application/heat-recovery-from-industrial-process.
- ¹⁰⁹⁴ 64 V. Zare and E. Gholamian, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2016, **117**, 150–161.
- ¹⁰⁹⁵ 65 C. Yue, D. Han, W. Pu and E. He, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2015, **89**, 764–774.
- ¹⁰⁹⁶ 66 P. Bombarda, C. M. Invernizzi and C. Pietra, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2010, **30**, 212–
 ¹⁰⁹⁷ 219.
- ¹⁰⁹⁸ 67 R. V. Padilla, G. Demirkaya, D. Y. Goswami, E. Stefanakos and M. M. Rahman, *Energy*, 2010,
 ¹⁰⁹⁹ 35, 4649–4657.
- ¹¹⁰⁰ 68 D. Rowe, *Thermoelectrics Handbook*, Taylor & Francis Group, 2006 and references therein.
- ¹¹⁰¹ 69 T. Kajikawa, 15th International Conference on Thermoelectrics, 1996, pp. 343–351 and
 ¹¹⁰² references therein.

- 70 M. F. Remeli, L. Kiatbodin, B. Singh, K. Verojporn, A. Date and A. Akbarzadeh, *Energy Procedia*, 2015, **75**, 645–650 and references therein.
- ¹¹⁰⁵ 71 S. Dalola, M. Ferrari, V. Ferrari, M. Guizzetti, D. Marioli and A. Taroni, *IEEE Transactions on* ¹¹⁰⁶ *Instrumentation and Measurement*, 2009, **58**, 99–107 and references therein.
- 72 R. Bonin, D. Boero, M. Chiaberge and A. Tonoli, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2013,
 73, 340–349 and references therein.
- 73 L. C. Ding, N. Meyerheinrich, L. Tan, K. Rahaoui, R. Jain and A. Akbarzadeh, *Energy Proce- dia*, 2017, **110**, 32–37 and references therein.
- 74 E. Gholamian, A. Habibollahzadea and V. Zare, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2018,
 1112 174, 112–125.
- ¹¹¹³ 75 V. Zare and V. Palideh, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2017, **130**, 418–428.
- ¹¹¹⁴ 76 M. Raouadi and O. Touayar, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 2018, **273**, 42–48.
- 77 C. Y. Chen, C. Y. Tsai, M. H. Xu, C. T. Wu, C. Y. Huang, T. H. Lee and Y. K. Fuh, *eXPRESS Polymer Letters*, 2019, **13**, 533–542.
- ¹¹¹⁷ 78 D. Jiang, Y. Su, K. Wang, Y. Wang, M. Xu, M. Dong and G. Chen, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **70**,
- ¹¹¹⁸ 79 E. Garofalo, L. Cecchini, M. Bevione and A. Chiolerio, *Nanomaterials MDPI*, 2020, **10 (6)**,
 ¹¹¹⁹ 1–13.
- 80 M. Bevione, E. Garofalo, L. Cecchini and A. Chiolerio, *MRS Energy Sustainability*, 2020,
 Article in Submission.
- 1122 81 IEA, International Energy Agency, 2017, 1–133.
- 1123 82 D. Sovran, Gino Blaser, *SAE Technical paper*, 2003.
- ¹¹²⁴ 83 J. A. Caton, *SAE Technical Paper Series*, 2010.
- ¹¹²⁵ 84 H. H. Pang and C. J. Brace, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: ¹¹²⁶ Journal of Automobile Engineering, 2004, **218**, 1209–1215.
- ¹¹²⁷ 85 L. Guzzella, U. Wenger and R. Martin, *SAE Technical Paper Series*, 2010, 1, year.
- 1128 86 S. Rajoo, A. Romagnoli, R. Martinez-Botas, A. Pesiridis, C. Copeland and A. Bin Mamat,

- Automotive Exhaust Emissions and Energy Recovery, 2014.
- ¹¹³⁰ 87 A. C. Alkidas, Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power, 2016, **110 (3)**, 462–469.
- 1131 88 J. T. Farrell, J. G. Stevens and W. Weissman, *SAE Technical Paper Series*, 2006, 1, year.
- ¹¹³² 89 P. Perrot, *A to Z of Thermodynamics, Oxford University Press*, 1998.
- ¹¹³³ 90 US Department of Energy Industrial Technologies Program, 2008, 1–112.
- 91 H. Aghaali and H. E. Angstrom, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2015, 49, 813–
 824.
- ¹¹³⁶ 92 A. E. Teo Sheng Jye, A. Pesiridis and S. Rajoo, *SAE Technical Paper Series*, 2013, 1, year.
- 93 B. Mashadi, A. Kakaee and A. Jafari Horestani, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2019,
 451–460.
- 94 S. Lion, C. N. Michos, I. Vlaskos and R. Taccani, *International Journal of Energy and Envi-* ronmental Engineering, 2017, 8, 81–98.
- ¹¹⁴¹ 95 M. Yari, A. S. Mehr, V. Zare, S. M. Mahmoudi and M. A. Rosen, *Energy*, 2015, **83**, 712–722.
- 96 K. Ebrahimi, G. F. Jones and A. S. Fleischer, *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 2017, **126**, 393–
 406.
- ¹¹⁴⁴ 97 R. Cipollone, D. Di Battista and F. Bettoja, *Energy Procedia*, 2017, **129**, 770–777.
- ¹¹⁴⁵ 98 E. Macchi and A. Perdichizzi, *Journal of Engineering for Power*, 1981, **103**, 718.
- ¹¹⁴⁶ 99 R. Capata and G. Hernandez, *Energies*, 2014, 7, 7067–7093.
- 1147 100 F. Zhou, E. Dede and S. Joshi, *SAE International Journal of Materials and Manufacturing*,
 1148 2016, 9, 224–235.
- 1149 101 H. Oomori and S. Ogino, SAE Technical Paper Series, 2010, 1, year.
- 102 Z. Ge, J. Li, Q. Liu, Y. Duan and Z. Yang, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2018, 166,
 201–214.
- ¹¹⁵² 103 G. Shu, L. Liu, H. Tian, H. Wei and G. Yu, *Applied Energy*, 2014, **113**, 1188–1198.
- ¹¹⁵³ 104 M. H. Yang and R. H. Yeh, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2014, **88**, 999–1010.
- 1154 105 G. Shu, L. Liu, H. Tian, H. Wei and Y. Liang, Energy Conversion and Management, 2013, 76,

1155 234–243.

- 106 E. Wang, Z. Yu, C. Peter, Z. Hongguang, Y. Fubin and C. Bei, *Heat Powered Cycles Conference* 2016, 2016, 27–29.
- 107 V. Chintala, S. Kumar and J. K. Pandey, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2018,
 81, 493–509.
- ¹¹⁶⁰ 108 M. Jiménez-Arreola, R. Pili, F. Dal Magro, C. Wieland, S. Rajoo and A. Romagnoli, *Applied* ¹¹⁶¹ Thermal Engineering, 2018, **134**, 576–584.
- 109 R. Pili, A. Romagnoli, K. Kamossa, A. Schuster, H. Spliethoff and C. Wieland, *Applied Energy*,
 2017, 204, 1188–1197.
- 1164 110 G. P. Meisner, General Motors Global Research Development 16th Directions in Engine Effi ciency and Emissions Research (DEER) Conference, 2010.
- ¹¹⁶⁶ 111 M. Saqr, K.M. Mansour and M. Musa, 2008, 9, 155–160.
- ¹¹⁶⁷ 112 J. Yang and F. R. Stabler, *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 2009, **38**, 1245–1251.
- ¹¹⁶⁸ 113 X. Liu, Y. D. Deng, S. Chen, W. S. Wang, Y. Xu and C. Q. Su, *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 2014, **2**, 62–66.
- ¹¹⁷⁰ 114 J. G. Haidar and J. I. Ghojel, 2001, 413–418.
- 1171 115 S. K. Kim, B. C. Won, S. H. Rhi, S. H. Kim, J. H. Yoo and J. C. Jang, *Journal of Electronic Materials*, 2011, 40, 778–783.
- 116 L. M. Goncalves, J. Martins, J. Antunes, R. Rocha and F. P. Brito, Volume 5: Energy Systems
 Analysis, Thermodynamics and Sustainability; NanoEngineering for Energy; Engineering to
 Address Climate Change, Parts A and B, 2010, 1387–1396.
- 117 S. P. S. Park, J. Y. J. Yoo and S. K. S. Kim, *Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS)*, 2010
 International Conference on, 2010, 2–5.
- 1178 118 K. Smith and M. Thornton, 23rd International Electric Vehicle Symposium, 2009, 11.
- 1179 119 O. Aquilina, *Images Paediatr Cardiology*, 2006, **8**, 17–81.
- ¹¹⁸⁰ 120 M. Swan, *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, 2012, 1, 217–253.

- 121 K. Aizawa, D. Tancharoen, S. Kawasaki and T. Y. Yamasaki, *Dept. of Frontier Informatics and* Dept. of Electrical Engineering, 2005, 22–31.
- 1183 122 D. J. I. Alper Erturk, *Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting*, 1st edn, 2011, p. 402.
- 1184 123 FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation, Public health nutrition, 2001, 8, 103.
- ¹¹⁸⁵ 124 T. Starner, *Ibm Systems Journal*, 1996, **35**, 12.
- 1186 125 X. Liu, T. Chen, F. Qian, Z. Guo, F. X. Lin, X. Wang and K. Chen, 2017, 385–398.
- ¹¹⁸⁷ 126 Z. Obermeyer, J. K. Samra and S. Mullainathan, *BMJ (Online)*, 2017, **359**, 1–8.
- 1188 127 V. Leonov, T. Torfs, P. Fiorini and C. Van Hoof, *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 2007, 7, 650–656.
- 1189 128 T. Torfs, V. Leonov and R. J. Vullers, *Senosors & Transducers Journal*, 2007, **80**, 1230 1238.
- 129 V. Leonov and R. J. M. Vullers, *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*, 2009, 1,
 062701_1–14.
- 130 T. Torfs, V. Leonov, R. F. Yazicioglu, P. Merken, C. Van Hoof, R. J. M. Vullers and B. Gy selinckx, *Proceedings of IEEE Sensors*, 2008, 1269–1272.
- 131 Y. Zhang, F. Zhang, Y. Shakhsheer, J. D. Silver, A. Klinefelter, M. Nagaraju, S. Member,
 J. Boley, J. Pandey, S. Member, A. Shrivastava, E. J. Carlson, A. Wood, B. H. Calhoun,
 S. Member, B. P. Otis and S. Member, 2013, 48, 199–213.
- 132 M. Wahbah, M. Alhawari, B. Mohammad, H. Saleh and M. Ismail, *IEEE Journal on Emerging* and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems, 2014, 4, 354–363.
- 133 Y. S. Jung, D. H. Jeong, S. B. Kang, F. Kim, M. H. Jeong, K. S. Lee, J. S. Son, J. M. Baik, J. S.
 Kim and K. J. Choi, *Nano Energy*, 2017, 40, year.
- 134 F. Suarez, D. P. Parekh, C. Ladd, D. Vashaee, M. D. Dickey and M. C. Öztürk, *Applied Energy*,
 2017, 202, 736–745.
- ¹²⁰³ 135 W. Qian and H. Jinlian, *IntechOpen*, 2018, **1**, 23–37.
- 1204 136 Q. Wu and J. Hu, Bringing Thermoelectricity into Reality, 2018.
- 137 J.-Y. Yu and L. Liu, *The Prospect and Analysis of Nanogenerator for Wearable Devices*, 2018,
 75–91.

- 1207 138 K. Zhang, Y. Wang and Y. Yang, Advanced Functional Materials, 2019, 29, 1–13.
- 1208 139 A. Potnuru and Y. Tadesse, *Integrated Ferroelectrics*, 2014, **150**, 23–50.
- ¹²⁰⁹ 140 A. Sultana, M. M. Alam, T. R. Middya and D. Mandal, *Applied Energy*, 2018, **221**, 299–307.
- 1210 141 M. Kang and E. M. Yeatman, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2016.
- ¹²¹¹ 142 T. Ding, L. Zhu, X. Q. Wang, K. H. Chan, X. Lu, Y. Cheng and G. W. Ho, *Advanced Energy* ¹²¹² *Materials*, 2018, **8**, 1–8.
- 143 G. Cha, Y. Jia and Y. S. Ju, Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Micro Electro
 Mechanical Systems (MEMS), 2012, 1241–1244.
- 1215 144 H. Xue, Q. Yang, D. Wang, W. Luo, W. Wang, M. Lin, D. Liang and Q. Luo, *Nano Energy*,
 1216 2017, **38**, 147–154.
- 1217 145 G. Cha and Y. S. Ju, Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical, 2013, 189, 100–107.
- 146 M. Prieto, N. Solomakhina and P. Alvarez de Uribarri, *Urban Energy Systems for Low-Carbon Cities*, 2019, 5, 181–239.
- 1220 147 EIA, https://www.eia.gov/electricity/generatorcosts, last accessed 23rd March 2020.
- 1221 148 M. Ebrahimi and A. Keshavarz, CCHP Technology. Combined Cooling, Heating and Power,
 1222 2015, 5, 35–91.
- 1223 149 P. Valdimarsson, Lecture at Washington State University, 2003.
- ¹²²⁴ 150 Kalina Power Limited whitepaper, shorturl.at/BXZ45, last accessed 8th April 2020, 2016.
- 1225 151 L. Yunfei, Analysis of Low Temperature Organic Rankine Cycles for Solar Applications, Theses
 1226 and Dissertations, Lehigh University, 2013, 1113.
- 1227 152 P. Arvay, M. Muller, V. Ramdeen and G. Cunningham, ACEEE Summer Study on Energy
 1228 Efficiency in Industry, 2011, 1–12.
- 1229 153 K. Mohammadi and J. McGowan, Energy Conversion and Management, 2019, 185, 920–934.
- 1230 154 M. Guven, H. Bedir and G. Anlas, Energy Conversion and Management, 2019, 180, 411–424.
- ¹²³¹ 155 S. Ogrisek, Applied Thermal Engineering, 2009, **29**, 2843–2848.
- 1232 156 X. Li, H. Tian, G. Shu, M. Zhao, C. N. Markides and C. Hu, Applied Energy, 2019, 250,

REFERENCES

1233 1581–1599.

- 1234 157 K. Zeb, A. M. Ali, B. Khan, C. A. Mehmood, N. K. Tareen, U. Din, W., U. Farid and A. Haider,
 1235 *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2017, 75, 1142–1155.
- 1236 158 S. Sano, H. Mizukami and H. Kaibe, *Komatsu technical report*, 2003, 49,.