

Erratum to: "Elasticity and permeability of porous fibre-reinforced materials under large deformations [Mech. Mater., 44, 58–71, 2012]"

Original

Erratum to: "Elasticity and permeability of porous fibre-reinforced materials under large deformations [Mech. Mater., 44, 58–71, 2012]" / Federico, Salvatore; Grillo, Alfio. - In: MECHANICS OF MATERIALS. - ISSN 0167-6636. - 126:(2018), pp. 86-87. [10.1016/j.mechmat.2018.07.015]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2831892 since: 2020-06-03T13:32:14Z

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.mechmat.2018.07.015

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

Elsevier postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

© 2018. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>. The final authenticated version is available online at:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2018.07.015>

(Article begins on next page)

Erratum to:
“Elasticity and Permeability of Porous Fibre-Reinforced Materials
Under Large Deformations”

Published in 2012, *Mechanics of Materials*, 44, 58-71, DOI: 10.1016/j.mechmat.2011.07.010

Salvatore Federico^{a,*}, Alfio Grillo^{b,c}

^a*Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, The University of Calgary
2500 University Drive NW, Calgary, Alberta, T2N1N4, Canada
Tel: +1-403-220-5790, Fax: +1-403-282-8406
Email: salvatore.federico@ucalgary.ca*

^b*DISMA - Dept Mathematical Sciences “G.L. Lagrange”, Politecnico di Torino
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10124, Torino, Italy
Tel: +39-011-090-7531, Fax: +39-011-090-7599
Email: alfio.grillo@polito.it*

^c*Former Affiliation: G-CSC, Goethe Universität Frankfurt am Main
Kettenhofweg 139, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

DOI: 10.1016/j.mechmat.2018.07.015. Available
online: July 29, 2018
Journal: *Mechanics of Materials* (Elsevier)

1. Introduction

We would like to correct a few points in our previously published paper (Federico and Grillo, 2012): an equation reported incorrectly, which however does not affect the subsequent calculations (Section 2), a formally incorrectly chosen argument of certain constitutive functions (Section 3), and a few plain mistypings (Section 4).

2. Transformation of the probability density

In our paper (Federico and Grillo, 2012), three lines after Eq. (5.27), we stated

Note also that, since the reorientation of the fibres is driven by the deformation gradient \mathbf{F} , the *current* probability distribution $\psi_{curr} : \mathbb{S}_x^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ for the orientation of the fibres at the spatial point $x = \chi(X, t)$, is entirely determined in terms of the referential probability ψ and \mathbf{F} [...]

*Corresponding Author

This means that, since the current normalised direction \mathbf{m} is obtained from the referential direction \mathbf{M} as $\mathbf{m} = \|\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}\|^{-1}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}$, the current probability $\psi_{curr} : \mathbb{S}_x^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is expressible in terms of the referential probability $\psi : \mathbb{S}_x^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$. In our paper (Federico and Grillo, 2012), following the statement above, we reported Eq. (5.28):

$$\psi_{curr}(\mathbf{m}) = \psi_{curr}(\|\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}\|^{-1}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}) = \psi(\mathbf{M}). \quad (5.28)$$

However, Eq. (5.28) as reported above is incorrect. Indeed, what is preserved is *not* the value of the probability but, rather, the fraction of fibres contained in an infinitesimal referential solid angle dS , which is mapped by the deformation into the infinitesimal current solid angle $d\mathbf{s}$. Therefore, always based on $\mathbf{m} = \|\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}\|^{-1}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{M}$, the correct form of Eq. (5.28) is

$$\psi_{curr}(\mathbf{m}) d\mathbf{s} = \psi(\mathbf{M}) dS. \quad (5.28 \text{ corr.})$$

We remark that the remainder of the procedure for the evaluation of the permeability is correct, since it in fact does follow Eq. (5.28 corr.), as is clear from Eq. (5.27) in Federico and Grillo (2012).

3. Spatial constitutive functions

In Eqs. (5.26), (5.27), (5.29) and (5.30), we expressed the constitutive functions $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{REV}$, $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ as depending on the right Cauchy-Green deformation $\mathbf{C} =$

40 $\mathbf{F}^T \cdot \mathbf{F}$. However, these constitutive functions depend on
41 the deformation gradient \mathbf{F} , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\text{REV}}(\mathbf{A}) &= \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\text{REV}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{A}) \\ &= J^{-2} \mathbf{k}_0 \cdot [(J - \phi_{1R}) \phi_{1R} [\mathbf{C} : \mathbf{A}]^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{F}^T \\ &\quad + (J - \phi_{1R})^2 \mathbf{g}^{-1}], \end{aligned} \quad (5.26 \text{ corr.})$$

$$\mathbf{k} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{F}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_x^2} \psi(\mathbf{M}) \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{\text{REV}}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M})) \, dS, \quad (5.27 \text{ corr.})$$

$$\mathbf{k} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{F}) = J^{-2} \mathbf{k}_0 \cdot [(J - \phi_{1R}) \phi_{1R} \hat{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{F}) + (J - \phi_{1R})^2 \mathbf{g}^{-1}], \quad (5.29 \text{ corr.})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{F} \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}_x^2} \psi(\mathbf{M}) [\mathbf{C} : \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M})]^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M}) \, dS \right] \mathbf{F}^T. \quad (5.30 \text{ corr.})$$

42 Consequently, in the text immediately following Eq.
43 (5.26), the wording ‘‘explicitly dependent on the de-
44 formation \mathbf{C} ’’ should read ‘‘explicitly dependent on the de-
45 formation \mathbf{F} ’’. Also, in Eqs. (5.31) and (5.32), reporting
46 the calculations in the absence of deformation, the con-
47 stitutive functions $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ should not be evaluated at the
48 material metric tensor \mathbf{G} (the value attained by the right
49 Cauchy-Green deformation \mathbf{C} in the undeformed con-
50 figuration), but at the shifter $\mathbf{1}$ (the value attained by the
51 deformation gradient \mathbf{F} in the absence of deformation).
52 Therefore, Eqs. (5.31) and (5.32) should read

$$\hat{\mathbf{z}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}_x^2} \psi(\mathbf{M}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M}) \, dS \right] \mathbf{1}^T = \mathbf{1} \mathbf{A}_{\text{avg}} \mathbf{1}^T = \mathbf{a}_{\text{avg}}, \quad (5.31 \text{ corr.})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{k}_0 \cdot [(1 - \phi_{1R}) \phi_{1R} \mathbf{a}_{\text{avg}} + (1 - \phi_{1R})^2 \mathbf{g}^{-1}]. \quad (5.32 \text{ corr.})$$

53 4. Various mistypings

54 We take this chance to correct some mistypings. Due
55 to an incautious copy-and-paste operation on our part,
56 Eq. (5.12) erroneously reports a coefficient ϕ_{1R} before
57 the integral sing. The correct equation is

$$V_e(\mathbf{C}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_x^2} \psi(\mathbf{M}) V_1(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{M})) \, dS, \quad (5.12 \text{ corr.})$$

58 Two more obvious mistypings are in Eqs. (4.2b),
59 (4.3), (4.6b) and (4.7), in which $\partial V^2 / \partial J^2$ should have
60 been, naturally, $\partial^2 V / \partial J^2$, and in Eq. (4.5c), where
61 $\partial U^2 / \partial J^2(1) = 0$ should have been $\partial^2 U / \partial J^2(1) = 0$.

62 Acknowledgements

63 The authors gratefully acknowledge Dr. Mohsen
64 Maleki (formerly at the University of Calgary) for point-
65 ing out the incorrectness of (5.28). This work has been

66 partially supported by the New Faculty Programme (Al-
67 berta Innovates - Technology Futures, Canada) and the
68 NSERC Discovery Programme (Natural Sciences and
69 Engineering Research Council of Canada) [SF].

70 References

71 Federico, S., Grillo, A., 2012. Elasticity and perme-
72 ability of porous fibre-reinforced materials under large
73 deformations. Mech. Mat. 44, 58-71.