POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

A factor of integer polynomials with minimal integrals

Original
A factor of integer polynomials with minimal integrals / Sanna, Carlo. - In: JOURNAL DE THÉORIE DES NOMBRES DE BORDEAUX. - ISSN 1246-7405. - STAMPA. - 29:2(2017), pp. 637-646.

Availability:
This version is available at: 11583/2722656 since: 2020-05-03T09:47:58Z

Publisher:
University Bordeaux

Published
DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

12 October 2024

JOURNAL
de Théorie des Nombres
de BORDEAUX

anciennement Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux

Carlo SANNA

A factor of integer polynomials with minimal integrals

Tome 29, nº 2 (2017), p. 637-646.

<http://jtnb.cedram.org/item?id=JTNB_2017__29_2_637_0>

© Société Arithmétique de Bordeaux, 2017, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux » (http://jtnb.cedram.org/), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://jtnb.cedram.org/legal/). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
http://www.cedram.org/

Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **29** (2017), 637–646

A factor of integer polynomials with minimal integrals

par Carlo SANNA

Résumé. Pour chaque entier positif N, soit S_N l'ensemble des polynômes $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ de degré inférieur à N et d'intégrale positive non-nulle minimale sur $[0,1]$. Ces polynômes sont liés à la répartition des nombres premiers puisque, si l'on note ψ la fonction de Tchebychev, on a $\int_0^1 P(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \exp(-\psi(N))$. Nous démontrons que, pour tout nombre entier positif N, il existe $P(x) \in S_N$ tel que le polynôme $(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor}$ divise $P(x)$ dans $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Nous montrons en fait que l'exposant $\lfloor N/3 \rfloor$ ne peut pas être amélioré. Ce résultat est analogue à celui obtenu par Aparicio concernant les polynômes de $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ de norme L^∞ non-nulle minimale sur $[0,1]$. En outre, il est en quelque sorte l'amélioration d'un résultat de Bazzanella, qui considérait $x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ et $(1-x)^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ au lieu de $(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor}$.

Abstract. For each positive integer N, let S_N be the set of all polynomials $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with degree less than N and minimal positive integral over $[0,1]$. These polynomials are related to the distribution of prime numbers since $\int_0^1 P(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \exp(-\psi(N))$, where ψ is the second Chebyshev function. We prove that for any positive integer N there exists $P(x) \in S_N$ such that $(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor}$ divides $P(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. In fact, we show that the exponent $\lfloor N/3 \rfloor$ cannot be improved. This result is analog to a previous of Aparicio concerning polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with minimal positive L^∞ norm on $[0,1]$. Also, it is in some way a strengthening of a result of Bazzanella, who considered $x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ and $(1-x)^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ instead of $(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor}$.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the celebrated Prime Number Theorem is equivalent to the assertion:

$$\psi(x) \sim x, \text{ as } x \to +\infty.$$

Manuscrit reçu le 4 février 2016, révisé le 18 février 2016, accepté le 27 février 2016.
Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A41, 11C08, 11A63.
Mots-clefs. Integer polynomials, Chebyshev problem, prime numbers.

Here $\psi(x)$ is the second Chebyshev function, defined for $x \geq 0$ as

$$\psi(x) := \sum_{p^m \leq x} \log p,$$

where the sum is extended over all the prime numbers p and all the positive integers m such that $p^m \leq x$.

In 1936, Gelfond and Shnirelman proposed an elementary and clever method to obtain lower bounds for $\psi(x)$ (see Gelfond's comments in [5, pp. 285–288]). In 1982, the same method was rediscovered and developed by Nair [9, 10].

The main idea of the Gelfond–Shnirelman–Nair method is the following: Given a positive integer N, let $P_N(x)$ be a polynomial with integer coefficients and degree less than N, say

$$P_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n x^n,$$

with $a_0, \ldots, a_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now consider the integral of $P_N(x)$ over $[0,1]$, that is

$$I(P_N) := \int_0^1 P_N(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{a_n}{n+1}.$$

Clearly, $I(P_N)$ is a rational number whose denominator divides

$$d_N := \operatorname{lcm}\{1, 2, \ldots, N\},$$

hence $d_N|I(P_N)|$ is an integer. In particular, if we suppose $I(P_N) \neq 0$, then $d_N|I(P_N)| \geq 1$. Now $d_N = \exp(\psi(N))$, so we get

$$\psi(N) \geq \log\left(\frac{1}{|I(P_N)|}\right). \tag{1.1}$$

Finally, from the trivial upper bound

$$|I(P_N)| = \left|\int_0^1 P_N(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right| \leq \int_0^1 |P_N(x)|\,\mathrm{d}x \leq \max_{x\in[0,1]} |P_N(x)| =: \|P_N\|,$$

we obtain

$$\psi(N) \geq \log\left(\frac{1}{\|P_N\|}\right). \tag{1.2}$$

At this point, if we choose P_N to have a sufficiently small norm $\|P_N\|$, then a lower bound for $\psi(x)$ follows from (1.2). For example, the choice

$$P_N(x) = (x(1-x))^{2\lfloor (N-1)/2 \rfloor}$$

gives the lower bound

$$\psi(N) \geq \log 2 \cdot (N-2) > 0.694 \cdot (N-2).$$

This motivates the study of the quantities

$$\ell_N := \min\{\|P\| : P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x],\ \deg(P) < N,\ \|P\| > 0\},$$
$$C_N := \frac{1}{N}\log\left(\frac{1}{\ell_N}\right),$$

and the set of polynomials

$$T_N := \{P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : \deg(P) < N,\ \|P\| = \ell_N\};$$

the so-called Integer Chebyshev Problem [4].

In particular, Aparicio [1] proved the following theorem about the structure of polynomials in T_N.

Theorem 1.1. *Given any sufficiently large positive integer N, for all $P \in T_N$ it holds*

$$(x(1-x))^{\lfloor \lambda_1 N \rfloor}(2x-1)^{\lfloor \lambda_2 N \rfloor}(5x^2-5x+1)^{\lfloor \lambda_3 N \rfloor} \mid P(x)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, where

$$\lambda_1 \in [0.1456, 0.1495], \quad \lambda_2 \in [0.0166, 0.0187], \quad \lambda_3 \in [0.0037, 0.0053]$$

are some constants.

It is known that C_N converges to a limit C, as $N \to +\infty$ (see [8, Chapter 10]). Furthermore, Pritsker [11, Theorem 3.1] showed that

$$C \in \,]0.85991, 0.86441[,$$

and this is the best estimate of C known to date.

As a consequence of Pritsker's result, the Gelfond–Shnirelman–Nair method cannot lead to a lower bound better than

$$\psi(x) \geq 0.86441 \cdot x,$$

which is quite far from what is expected by the Prime Number Theorem.

To deal with this problem, Bazzanella [2, 3] suggested to study the polynomials P_N such that $|I(P_N)|$ is nonzero and minimal, or, without loss of generality, such that $I(P_N)$ is positive and minimal.

We recall the following elementary lemma about the existence of solutions of some linear diophantine equations.

Lemma 1.2. *Fix some integers $c_1, \ldots, c_k$. Then the diophantine equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i x_i = 1$$

has a solution $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\gcd\{c_1, \ldots, c_k\} = 1$. Moreover, if a solution exists, then there exist infinitely many solutions.

On the one hand, because of the above considerations, we known that if $I(P_N) > 0$ then $I(P_N) \geq 1/d_N$. On the other hand, $I(P_N) = 1/d_N$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{d_N}{n+1} \cdot a_n = 1,$$

and it is easy to see that each of the coefficients $d_N/(n+1)$ is an integer and

$$\gcd\left\{ \frac{d_N}{n+1} : n = 0, \ldots, N-1 \right\} = 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.2, there exist infinitely many polynomials P_N such that $I(P_N) = 1/d_N$, so that (1.1) holds with the equality.

This leads to define the following set of polynomials

$$S_N := \{P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : \deg(P) < N,\ I(P) = 1/d_N\}.$$

Bazzanella proved some results about the roots of the polynomials in S_N. In particular, regarding the multiplicity of the roots $x = 0$ and $x = 1$, he gave the following theorem [2, Theorem 1], which is vaguely similar to Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.3. *For each positive integer N, there exists $P(x) \in S_N$ such that*

$$x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \mid P(x)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Moreover, the exponent $\lfloor N/2 \rfloor$ cannot be improved, i.e., there exist infinitely many positive integers N such that

$$x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 1} \nmid P(x)$$

for all $P(x) \in S_N$. The same results hold if the polynomial $x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$ is replaced by $(1-x)^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}$.

Actually, what Bazzanella proved is that the maximum nonnegative integer $K(N)$ such that there exists a polynomial $P(x) \in S_N$ divisible by $x^{K(N)}$, respectively by $(1-x)^{K(N)}$, is given by

$$K(N) = \min\{p^m - 1 : p \text{ prime},\ m \geq 1,\ p^m > N/2\},$$

so that Theorem 1.3 follows quickly.

Despite the similarity between Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, note that the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds "for all $P(x) \in T_N$", while Theorem 1.3 only says that "there exists $P(x) \in S_N$". However, this distinction is unavoidable, indeed: On the one hand, T_N is a finite set, even conjectured to be a singleton for any sufficiently large N [4, §5 Q2]. On the other hand, S_N is an infinite set and if $P(x) \in S_N$ then $(d_N + 1)P(x) - 1 \in S_N$, hence the elements of S_N have no common nontrivial factor in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

The purpose of this paper is to move another step further in the direction of a stronger analog of Theorem 1.1 for the set of polynomials S_N. For we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *For each positive integer N, there exist infinitely many $P(x) \in S_N$ such that*

$$(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor} \mid P(x)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Moreover, the exponent $\lfloor N/3 \rfloor$ cannot be improved, i.e., there exist infinitely many positive integers N such that

$$(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor + 1} \nmid P(x),$$

for all $P(x) \in S_N$.

We leave the following informal question to the interested readers:

Question. *Let $\{Q_N(x)\}_{N \geq 1}$ be a sequence of "explicit" integer polynomials such that for each positive integer N it holds $Q_N(x) \mid P(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, for some $P(x) \in S_N$. In light of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, three examples of such sequences are given by $\{x^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}\}_{N \geq 1}$, $\{(1-x)^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor}\}_{N \geq 1}$, and $\{(x(1-x))^{\lfloor N/3 \rfloor}\}_{N \geq 1}$.*

How big can be

$$\delta := \liminf_{N \to +\infty} \frac{\deg(Q_N)}{N} \ ?$$

Can δ be arbitrary close to 1, or even equal to 1?

Note that the sequences of Theorem 1.3 give $\delta = 1/2$, while the sequence of Theorem 1.4 gives $\delta = 2/3$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect a number of preliminary results needed to prove Theorem 1.4. The first is a classic theorem of Kummer [7] concerning the p-adic valuation of binomial coefficients.

Theorem 2.1. *For all integers $u, v \geq 0$ and any prime number p, the p-adic valuation of the binomial coefficient $\binom{u+v}{v}$ is equal to the number of carries that occur when u and v are added in the base p.*

Now we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *For any positive integer N, and for all integers $u, v \geq 0$ with $u + v < N$, we have that*

$$\frac{d_N}{(u+v+1)\binom{u+v}{u}} \tag{2.1}$$

is an integer.

Proof. We have to prove that for any prime number $p \leq N$ the p-adic valuation of the denominator of (2.1) does not exceed $\nu_p(d_N) = \lfloor \log_p N \rfloor$. Write $u+v+1$ in base p, that is

$$u+v+1 = \sum_{i=i_0}^{s} d_i p^i,$$

where $i_0 := \nu_p(u+v+1)$ and $d_{i_0}, \ldots, d_s \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, with $d_{i_0}, d_s > 0$. Hence, the expansion of $u+v$ in base p is

$$u+v = \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{s} d_i p^i + (d_{i_0}-1)p^{i_0} + \sum_{i=0}^{i_0-1} (p-1)p^i. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, by (2.2), we have that $u+v$ written in base p has exactly $s+1$ digits, of which the i_0 least significant are all equal to $p-1$. Therefore, in the sum of u and v in base p there occur at most $s-i_0$ carries. Since, thanks to Theorem 2.1, we know that $i_1 := \nu_p\left(\binom{u+v}{v}\right)$ is equal to the number of carries occurring in the sum of u and v in base p, it follows that $i_1 \leq s - i_0$.

In conclusion,

$$\nu_p\left((u+v+1)\binom{u+v}{v}\right) = i_0 + i_1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \log_p N \rfloor,$$

where the last inequality holds since $u+v+1 \leq N$. □

We recall the value of a well-known integral (see, e.g., [6, §11.1.7.1, Eq. 2]).

Lemma 2.3. *For all integers $u, v \geq 0$, it holds*

$$\int_0^1 x^u (1-x)^v \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{(u+v+1)\binom{u+v}{v}}.$$

We conclude this section with a lemma that will be fundamental in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 2.4. *Let N and m be integers such that $N \geq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq (N-1)/2$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exist infinitely many $P(x) \in S_N$ such that $(x(1-x))^m \mid P(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.*
(2) *For each prime number $p \leq N$, there exists an integer h_p such that $h_p \in [m, N-m-1]$ and*

$$\nu_p\left((h_p+m+1)\binom{h_p+m}{m}\right) = \lfloor \log_p N \rfloor.$$

Proof. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be such that $\deg(P) < N$ and

$$(x(1-x))^m \mid P(x)$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Hence,

$$P(x) = (x(1-x))^m \sum_{h=m}^{N-m-1} b_h x^{h-m},$$

for some $b_m, \dots, b_{N-m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$I(P) = \sum_{h=m}^{N-m-1} b_h \int_0^1 x^h (1-x)^m \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{h=m}^{N-m-1} \frac{b_h}{(h+m+1)\binom{h+m}{m}}.$$

Now we have $P(x) \in S_N$ if and only if $I(P) = 1/d_N$, i.e., if and only if

$$\sum_{h=m}^{N-m-1} \frac{d_N}{(h+m+1)\binom{h+m}{m}} \cdot b_h = 1.$$

Therefore, thanks to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 1.2, we get infinitely many $P(x) \in S_N$ if and only if

$$\gcd\left\{ \frac{d_N}{(h+m+1)\binom{h+m}{m}} : h = m, \dots, N-m-1 \right\} = 1.$$

At this point, recalling that $\nu_p(d_N) = \lfloor \log_p N \rfloor$ for each prime number p, the equivalence of (1) and (2) follows easily. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.4

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.4. Put $m := \lfloor N/3 \rfloor$, $s := \lfloor \log_p N \rfloor$, and pick a prime number $p \le N$. In light of Lemma 2.4, in order to prove the first part of Theorem 1.4 we have to show the existence of an integer $h_p \in [m, N-m-1]$ such that

$$\nu_p\left((h_p+m+1)\binom{h_p+m}{m} \right) = s. \tag{3.1}$$

Let us write $N = \ell p^s + r$, for some $\ell \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $r \in \{0, \dots, p^s - 1\}$. We split the proof in three cases:

Case $\ell \ge 2$. It is enough to take $h_p := \ell p^s - m - 1$. In fact, on the one hand, it is straightforward that (3.1) holds. On the other hand, since $\ell \ge 2$, we have

$$h_p = \ell p^s - m - 1 \ge \frac{2}{3}(\ell+1)p^s - m - 1 > \frac{2}{3}N - m - 1 \ge m - 1,$$

while clearly $h_p \le N - m - 1$, hence $h_p \in [m, N-m-1]$, as desired.

Case $m < p^{s-1}$. It holds

$$\frac{p^s}{3} \leq \frac{N}{3} < m + 1 \leq p^{s-1},$$

hence $p = 2$. Now it is enough to take $h_2 := 2^s - m - 1$. In fact, on the one hand, it is again straightforward that (3.1) holds. On the other hand, since $m < 2^{s-1}$, we have

$$h_2 = 2^s - m - 1 > 2^s - 2^{s-1} - 1 = 2^{s-1} - 1 \geq m,$$

while obviously $h_2 \leq N - m - 1$, hence $h_2 \in [m, N - m - 1]$, as desired.

Case $\ell = 1$ *and* $m \geq p^{s-1}$. This case requires more effort. We have

$$p^{s-1} \leq m \leq \frac{N}{3} = \frac{p^s + r}{3} < \frac{2p^s}{3} < p^s,$$

hence the expansion of m in base p is

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} d_i p^i,$$

for some $d_0, \ldots, d_{s-1} \in \{0, \ldots, p-1\}$, with $d_{s-1} > 0$.

Let i_1 be the least nonnegative integer not exceeding s such that

$$d_i \geq \frac{p-1}{2}, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i_1 \leq i < s. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, let i_2 be the greatest integer such that $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq s$ and

$$d_i = \frac{p-1}{2}, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i_1 \leq i < i_2.$$

Note that, by the definitions of i_1 and i_2, we have

$$d_i > \frac{p-1}{2}, \quad \forall\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\ i_2 \leq i < s. \tag{3.3}$$

Clearly, it holds

$$m = \sum_{i_2 \leq i < s} d_i p^i + \sum_{i_1 \leq i < i_2} \frac{p-1}{2} p^i + \sum_{0 \leq i < i_1} d_i p^i. \tag{3.4}$$

Define now

$$h_p := \sum_{i_2 \leq i < s} d_i p^i + \sum_{i_1 \leq i < i_2} \frac{p-1}{2} p^i + \sum_{0 \leq i < i_1} (p - d_i - 1) p^i. \tag{3.5}$$

Note that (3.5) is actually the expansion of h_p in base p, that is, all the coefficients of the powers p^i belong to the set of digits $\{0, \ldots, p-1\}$. At this point, looking at (3.4) and (3.5), and taking into account (3.3), it follows

easily that in the sum of h_p and m in base p there occur exactly $s - i_2$ carries. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\nu_p\left(\binom{h_p+m}{m}\right) = s - i_2. \tag{3.6}$$

Furthermore, from (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h_p + m + 1 &= 2\sum_{i_2 \le i < s} d_i p^i + \sum_{0 \le i < i_2} (p-1)p^i + 1 \\ &= 2\sum_{i_2 \le i < s} d_i p^i + p^{i_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

hence

$$\nu_p(h_p + m + 1) = i_2. \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, putting together (3.6) and (3.8) we obtain (3.1).

It remains only to prove that $h_p \in [m, N-m-1]$. If $i_2 = s$, then from (3.7) it follows that

$$h_p + m + 1 = 0 + p^s \le N,$$

hence $h_p \le N - m - 1$. If $i_2 < s$, then from (3.2) it follows $d_{i_2} \ge (p-1)/2$, hence $d_{i_2} \ge 1$ and from (3.7) and (3.4) we obtain

$$h_p + m + 1 \le 2\sum_{i_2 \le i < s} d_i p^i + d_{i_2} p^{i_2} \le 2m + m = 3m \le N,$$

so that again $h_p \le N - m - 1$. If $i_1 = 0$, then by (3.4) and (3.5) we have immediately that $h_p = m$. If $i_1 > 0$, then by the definition of i_1, we have $d_{i_1-1} < (p-1)/2$, i.e., $d_{i_1-1} < p - d_{i_1-1} - 1$, thus looking at the expansions (3.4) and (3.5) we get that $h_p > m$. Hence, in conclusion we have $h_p \in [m, N-m-1]$, as desired.

Regarding the second part of Theorem 1.4, take $N := 3q$, where $q > 3$ is a prime number. Put $m := \lfloor N/3 \rfloor + 1 = q + 1$, and let $h \in [m, N-m-1]$ be an integer. On the one hand, it is straightforward that $q \nmid h+m+1$. On the other, it is also easy to see that in the sum of h and m in base q there is no carry, hence, by Theorem 2.1, we have that $q \nmid \binom{h+m}{m}$. Therefore,

$$\nu_q\left((h+m+1)\binom{h+m}{m}\right) = 0 < 1 = \lfloor \log_q N \rfloor,$$

so that, thanks to Lemma 2.4, we have $(x(1-x))^m \nmid P(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, for all $P(x) \in S_N$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to D. Bazzanella and to the anonymous referee for many useful suggestions which improve the readability of the paper.

References

[1] E. Aparicio Bernardo, "On the asymptotic structure of the polynomials of minimal diophantic deviation from zero", *J. Approximation Theory* **55** (1988), no. 3, p. 270-278.

[2] D. Bazzanella, "A note on integer polynomials with small integrals", *Acta Math. Hung.* **141** (2013), no. 4, p. 320-328.

[3] ———, "A note on integers polynomials with small integrals II", *Acta Math. Hung.* **146** (2016), no. 1, p. 71-81.

[4] P. Borwein & T. Erdélyi, "The integer Chebyshev problem", *Math. Comput.* **65** (1996), no. 214, p. 661-681.

[5] P. L. Chebyshev, *Collected works. Vol. I*, Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1944.

[6] A. Jeffrey & H.-H. Dai, *Handbook of mathematical formulas and integrals*, 4th ed., Elsevier/Academic Press, 2008, xlvi+541 pages.

[7] E. E. Kummer, "Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **44** (1852), p. 93-146.

[8] H. L. Montgomery, *Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis*, Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 84, American Mathematical Society, 1994, xii+220 pages.

[9] M. Nair, "A new method in elementary prime number theory", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **25** (1982), p. 385-391.

[10] ———, "On Chebyshev-type inequalities for primes", *Am. Math. Mon.* **89** (1982), p. 126-129.

[11] I. E. Pritsker, "Small polynomials with integer coefficients", *J. Anal. Math.* **96** (2005), p. 151-190.

Carlo Sanna
Università degli Studi di Torino
Department of Mathematics
Via Carlo Alberto 10
10123 Torino, Italy
E-mail: carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com
URL: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2111-7596