

The Working Principle of Flux Switching Machines

*Original*

The Working Principle of Flux Switching Machines / Bramerdorfer, G.; Gruber, W.; Cavagnino, A.; Vaschetto, S.. - CD-ROM. - (2019), pp. 1-2. (Intervento presentato al convegno International Conference on the Computation of Electromagnetic Fields (COMPUMAG) tenutosi a Paris, France nel July 15-19).

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2789916 since: 2020-02-06T15:21:05Z

*Publisher:*

COMPEL

*Published*

DOI:

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

# The Working Principle of Flux Switching Machines

Gerd Bramerdorfer<sup>1</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE*, Wolfgang Gruber<sup>1</sup>, *Member, IEEE*,  
Andrea Cavagnino<sup>2</sup>, *Senior Member, IEEE* and Silvio Vaschetto<sup>2</sup>, *Member, IEEE*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical Drives and Power Electronics, Johannes Kepler University Linz, 4040 Linz, Austria,  
gerd.bramerdorfer@jku.at

<sup>2</sup>Dipartimento Energia, Politecnico di Torino, 10129 Turin, Italy

This work is about clearly illustrating the working principle of flux switching machines. In the past, different topologies regarding stator/rotor configurations were presented and evaluated. Moreover, optimization of the operational characteristics was considered. The focus was on optimizing the shape of utilized components. However, the principle of torque generation is not clear at first sight, as typically the number of poles of the magnetic field due to the permanent magnets and due to the winding arrangement are not of same number. The reason for generating a net torque considering an entire period is the flux modulation due to the rotor teeth. A thorough explanation is presented featuring both, theoretical aspects as well as numerical studies utilizing finite element simulations. Results can help to derive general understanding of the working principle and will facilitate the development of new configurations.

*Index Terms*—finite element method, flux modulation, flux switching machine, magnetomotive force, 2-D FFT

## I. INTRODUCTION

Analyses of flux switching machines were started long time ago. In the 1950s, flux-switch alternators with four stator and six rotor poles were discussed [1]. The today's most investigated topology features 12 stator slots, an interior rotor with 10 rotor teeth [2] and is of radial-flux type. Figure 1 gives an example. As can be observed, the stator teeth hold buried permanent magnets, while the magnetization direction of the magnets changes for two consecutive stator teeth. While this flux switching permanent magnet machine (FSPMM) is the most conventional one, nowadays various design variants are analyzed, e.g., Vernier-like configurations [3], variants with DC current excitation [4], or even tubular structures [5]. The research typically is focused on modeling and optimization of particular configurations and slight attention is paid to the basic working principle. However, it might not be clear at first sight why a 12 pole field due to the permanent magnets and an 8 pole winding configuration, as depicted in Fig. 1, will generate a mean torque when combined with a rotor featuring 10 teeth. In the following, a thorough explanation is presented disclosing the 'secret' of torque production in FSPMMs.

## II. WORKING PRINCIPLE

In the past, authors did analyze the linked flux and flux density in the air gap, especially when dealing with unconventional 6 slot / 4 pole - configurations [6]. Usually, the no load and load characteristics for a particular machine design are considered.

Here, we investigate how the flux switching machine can generate torque, even though the field due to the permanent magnets and the one due to the stator currents has a different number of poles at first. Generally speaking, the rotor angle-dependent torque  $T$  of a radial flux machine can be expressed by

$$T(\alpha) = \frac{r^2 l}{\mu_0} \int_0^{2\pi} B_r(\alpha, \varphi) B_t(\alpha, \varphi) d\varphi, \quad (1)$$




Fig. 1: Standard permanent magnet flux switching machine configuration: The stator holds a three-phase double-layer winding within 12 slots. Permanent magnets are buried in the stator teeth with alternating tangential magnetization direction. The rotor is made of lamination only and features 10 teeth.

where  $\alpha$  gives the rotor angle,  $\varphi$  the spatial circumferential position,  $r$  the radius of the air gap,  $l$  the axial length of the motor and  $\mu_0$  the absolute permeability of air. Consequently,  $B_r$  and  $B_t$  are the radial and tangential component of the flux density.

As can be observed from (1), to generate a net torque for some rotor angle  $\alpha$ , a radial and tangential flux density of same spatial harmonic order must be present in the air gap field.

Moreover, when considering the mean torque  $\bar{T}$  for one rotor revolution, defined by

$$\bar{T} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} T(\alpha) d\alpha, \quad (2)$$

it becomes clear that the two flux density components  $B_r$ ,  $B_t$  must further show same order regarding the rotor movement also to provide net torque instead of just a zero-mean torque ripple. This must also hold for the flux switching machine, even though at first sight its net torque production is not obvious.

### A. Flux modulation principle

Similar as for the magnetic gear [7], it can be concluded that a FSPMM can generate net torque if

$$p_c = |p_{pm} \pm n_r|. \quad (3)$$

$p_c$  and  $p_{pm}$  give the original number of pole pairs of the field due to the currents of the stator windings and the permanent magnet excitation, respectively, while  $n_r$  defines the number of rotor teeth. Table I gives commonly used stator slot-/rotor teeth-configurations  $n_s$ ,  $n_r$ , including the original number of pole pairs of the two field components and the modulation of the permanent magnet field  $p_{mod}$ . It can be observed that, due to the modulation,  $p_{mod} = p_c$  for both topology 1 and 3.

TABLE I: Common FSPMM configurations

topology	$n_s$	$n_r$	$p_c$	$p_{pm}$	$p_{mod}$
1	12	10	4	6	$ 6 - 10  = 4$
2	12	14	4	6	$ 6 - 14  = 8$
3	6	4	1	3	$ 3 - 4  = 1$

For topology 2 of Table I,  $p_{mod}$  does not match the number of poles of its  $p_c$  counterpart. However,  $p_{mod}$  is a multiple of  $p_c$  and the torque generation of the 12/14-configuration is not due to the magnetomotive force (mmf)-harmonic of the first order of the winding configuration, but due to its second order. For the same reason, the winding configuration must be changed from  $A, B, C$  to  $A, C, B$  when switching from topology 1 to 2, as it was done in [8]. Otherwise, the rotor would move in the other direction, as first and second order mmf-harmonics cause flux density waves moving in opposite circumferential direction when considering sinusoidal symmetric three-phase current supply.

### B. FE-based analysis

In order to verify the proposed theory, a FE-based analysis is performed. Simulations are examined for having only the stator current excitation or only the permanent excitation set to non-zero. To understand the principle of flux modulation, either a standard rotor with teeth or a rotor featuring no teeth but a ring-shaped cross section is applied. The latter one obviously cannot be used to generate torque, but it is selected for investigating the flux density characteristics when no flux modulation due to the rotor is present. Table II gives a definition of the considered simulations, i.e. which sources for the magnetic field are non-zero and which rotor type is used.

TABLE II: Investigated FE-simulations  
(all for a standard 12/10 FSPMM configuration)

sim. index	pm-exc.	stator curr. exc.	rotor
1	present	not present	ring-shaped cross section
2	present	not present	standard rotor with teeth
3	not present	present	ring-shaped cross section
4	not present	present	standard rotor with teeth

In Fig. 2 a comparison of the 2-D FFT-Plots of the air gap flux density of simulations 1 and 2 is presented. Using a ring-shaped cross section for simulation 1 gives a permanent




Fig. 2: Comparison of the two simulations for the permanent magnet excitation using a 2D-FFT for (a) a ring-shaped rotor cross section and (b) the rotor with teeth. A significant constant flux density component is observed, while, due to the rotor teeth caused flux modulation, additional harmonics of order 4/1 arise, which will finally be utilized for torque generation.

magnet field that has a significant spatial harmonic of order 6 which obviously does not change with regard to the rotor angle, as the rotor is symmetric in circumferential direction. By contrast, using a standard rotor with 10 teeth for simulation 2 follows a flux modulation. A significant spatial harmonic of order 4 can be observed that will move with the same speed along the circumference as the rotor does. More results and the evaluation of the stator field and combined analyses will be presented at the conference and in the final submission.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work has been supported by the COMET-K2 “Center for Symbiotic Mechatronics” of the Linz Center of Mechatronics (LCM) funded by the Austrian federal government and the federal state of Upper Austria.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. E. Rauch and L. J. Johnson, “Design principles of flux-switch alternators [includes discussion],” *Transactions of the American Institute of Electrical Engineers. Part III: Power Apparatus and Systems*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 1261–1268, Jan 1955.
- [2] G. Bramerdorfer, F. Leiprecht, R. Kobler, D. Bobba, and B. Sarlioglu, “Contributions on the cad-based design and optimization of flux switching permanent magnet machines,” in *2017 IEEE International Electric Machines and Drives Conference (IEMDC)*, May 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [3] Z. Q. Zhu, J. T. Chen, Y. Pang, D. Howe, S. Iwasaki, and R. Deodhar, “Analysis of a novel multi-tooth flux-switching pm brushless ac machine for high torque direct-drive applications,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 4313–4316, Nov 2008.
- [4] Y. Tang, J. J. H. Paulides, T. E. Motosasca, and E. A. Lomonova, “Flux-switching machine with dc excitation,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 3583–3586, Nov 2012.
- [5] J. Wang, W. Wang, K. Atallah, and D. Howe, “Design considerations for tubular flux-switching permanent magnet machines,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 4026–4032, Nov 2008.
- [6] D. Bobba, Y. Li, and B. Sarlioglu, “Harmonic analysis of low-stator-slot and rotor-pole combination fspm machine topology for high speed,” *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 51, no. 11, pp. 1–4, Nov 2015.
- [7] G. Jungmayr, J. Loeffler, B. Winter, F. Jeske, and W. Amrhein, “Magnetic gear: Radial force, cogging torque, skewing, and optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 3822–3830, Sept 2016.
- [8] A. Chen, N. Rotevatn, R. Nilssen, and A. Nysveen, “Characteristic investigations of a new three-phase flux-switching permanent magnet machine by fem simulations and experimental verification,” in *2009 International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems*, Nov 2009, pp. 1–6.