POLITECNICO DI TORINO
Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Coupled bloch-wave analysis of active PhC waveguides and cavities

Original
Coupled bloch-wave analysis of active PhC waveguides and cavities / Saldutti, M.; Mork, J.; Bardella, P.; Montrosset, I.; Gioannini, M.. - 2018-:(2018), pp. 83-84. (Intervento presentato al convegno 18th International Conference on Numerical Simulation of Optoelectronic Devices, NUSOD 2018 tenutosi a chn nel 2018) [10.1109/NUSOD.2018.8570231].

Availability:
This version is available at: 11583/2742883 since: 2019-07-19T12:02:22Z

Publisher:
IEEE Computer Society

Published
DOI:10.1109/NUSOD.2018.8570231

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

12 October 2024

Coupled Bloch-Wave Analysis of Active PhC Waveguides and Cavities

Marco Saldutti,[1] Jesper Mørk[2], Paolo Bardella[1], Ivo Montrosset[1] and Mariangela Gioannini[1]

[1] *Dipartimento di Elettronica e Telecomunicazioni, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, Torino, Italy*

[2] *DTU Fotonik, Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Ørsteds Plads, Building 343, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark*

marco.saldutti@polito.it

***Abstract*— A coupled Bloch-wave approach is employed to analyze active photonic-crystal (PhC) waveguides and cavities. Gain couples the otherwise independent counter-propagating Bloch modes. This coupling is shown to limit the maximum attainable slow-light enhancement of gain itself and to strongly affect the mode selection in PhC lasers.**

Keywords—PhC lasers, Coupled-mode theory, Bloch waves, Slow-light.

INTRODUCTION

The slow-light (SL) enhancement of gain in photonic-crystal waveguides allows for the fabrication of shorter devices when realizing active structures. In particular, PhC lasers based on line-defect waveguides are ideal candidates for energy efficient light sources in high density PhC integrated circuits [1,2]. Solving Maxwell equations by a finite-difference-time-domain (FDTD) technique is a rigorous, but extremely time- and memory-consuming approach to analyze PhC devices [3]. Furthermore, FDTD simulations are not always useful to shed light on the physics of the investigated structures. Conversely, coupled-mode theory has been widely used to investigate the impact of SL effects in both passive [4,5] and active [6] PhC waveguides. In particular, the complex optical susceptibility arising by the interaction of the field with the active medium is treated in [6] as a weak perturbation of the passive structure, which induces a coupling between the otherwise independent counter-propagating Bloch modes. The fundamental limitations to the SL gain-enhancement imposed by the gain itself have been investigated in [7] by a rigorous, non-perturbative approach. In this work we use the perturbative approach of [6] to study an active PhC waveguide; we analyze the implications of the gain perturbation on the group index and then we study a PhC laser modelled as a cavity consisting of an active PhC waveguide and two mirrors. Interestingly, it is shown that our model predicts, consistently with [7], a reduction of the maximal group index caused by increasing the gain and it can be used to understand the impact of the gain-induced coupling on the selection of PhC laser lasing mode.

I. NUMERICAL MODEL

The forward- (+) and backward-propagating (−) guided electric field of the passive waveguide in the frequency-domain are denoted by $\boldsymbol{E}_{0,\pm}(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)=\mathbf{e}_{0,\pm}(\boldsymbol{r},\omega)e^{\pm ik_z(\omega)z}$, where z is the propagation direction and $\mathbf{e}_{0,\pm}(x,y,z)=\mathbf{e}_{0,\pm}(x,y,z+a)$ are the Bloch waves, with k_z propagation constant and a the PhC lattice constant. The electric field of the active waveguide is expanded as $\boldsymbol{E}=\psi_+(z,\omega)\boldsymbol{E}_{0,+}+\psi_-(z,\omega)\boldsymbol{E}_{0,-}$, where $\psi_\pm(z,\omega)$ are slowly-varying amplitudes. By neglecting nonlinear effects, two coupled differential equations for $\psi_\pm(z,\omega)$ are derived [6]:

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial\psi_+(z,\omega)}{\partial z}=i\kappa_{11}(z,\omega)\psi_+(z,\omega)+i\kappa_{12}(z,\omega)e^{-i2k_z(\omega)z}\psi_-(z,\omega)\\ -\frac{\partial\psi_+(z,\omega)}{\partial z}=i\kappa_{21}(z,\omega)e^{i2k_z(\omega)z}\psi_+(z,\omega)+i\kappa_{11}(z,\omega)\psi_-(z,\omega)\end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The self- and cross-coupling coefficients induced by the active material gain $g_0(\omega)$ are indicated as $\kappa_{11;12;21}(z,\omega)\simeq-\frac{i}{2}g_0(\omega)\left[n_g(\omega)/n_s\right]\Gamma_{xy,11;12;21}(z,\omega)$, where n_s and n_g are the slab material refractive index and the passive waveguide group index. Confinement factors $\Gamma_{xy,11;12;21}(z,\omega)$ are given by

$$\Gamma_{xy,11}(z,\omega)=\frac{a\int_S\epsilon_0 n_s^2|\mathbf{e}_0(\mathbf{r},\omega)|^2F(\mathbf{r})\mathrm{d}S}{\int_V\epsilon_0 n_b^2(r)|\mathbf{e}_0(\mathbf{r},\omega)|^2\mathrm{d}V}$$

$$\Gamma_{xy,12}(z,\omega)=\frac{a\int_S\epsilon_0 n_s^2\left[\mathbf{e}_{0,-}(\mathbf{r},\omega)\cdot\mathbf{e}_{0,+}^*(\mathbf{r},\omega)\right]F(\mathbf{r})\mathrm{d}S}{\int_V\epsilon_0 n_b^2(r)|\mathbf{e}_0(\mathbf{r},\omega)|^2\mathrm{d}V}$$

with $\Gamma_{xy,21}=\Gamma_{xy,12}^*$; V is the volume of a PhC supercell, S the transverse plane at position z and $n_b(\boldsymbol{r})$ the background refractive index, whereas $F(\boldsymbol{r})=1\ (=0)$ in the slab (holes). Due to the z-periodicity of $\mathbf{e}_{0,\pm}$ and $F(\boldsymbol{r})$, the coupling coefficients are periodic with z. If the single unit cell is discretized with a sufficiently small space step Δ_z, the coupling coefficients can be assumed constant within it. By defining $c_\pm=\psi_\pm e^{\pm ik_zz}$, Eq. (1) is turned, in each Δ_z, into an initial-value problem, whose solution in matrix form is

$$\begin{bmatrix}c_+(z_0+\Delta_z)\\ c_-(z_0+\Delta_z)\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}T_{\Delta_z,11} & T_{\Delta_z,12}\\ T_{\Delta_z,21} & T_{\Delta_z,22}\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}c_+(z_0)\\ c_-(z_0)\end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

with

$$T_{\Delta_z,11;22}=\cosh[\gamma(z_0)\Delta_z]\pm i\frac{\kappa_{11}(z_0)+k_z}{\gamma(z_0)}\sinh[\gamma(z_0)\Delta_z],$$

$$T_{\Delta_z,12;21}=\pm i\frac{\kappa_{12;21}(z_0)}{\gamma(z_0)}\sinh[\gamma(z_0)\Delta_z],\text{ and}$$

$$\gamma(z_0)=\sqrt{\kappa_{12}(z_0)\kappa_{21}(z_0)-[\kappa_{11}(z_0)+k_z]^2}.$$

By successive application of Eq. (2), the single unit cell transmission matrix $\mathbf{T}_a$ is obtained and the transmission matrix of N cascaded cells is given by $\mathbf{T}_a^N$. From Frobenius theorem, $\mathbf{T}_a^N$ can be written as $\mathbf{T}_a^N=\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^N\mathbf{M}^{-1}$, where $\mathbf{M}$ contains the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{T}_a$ arranged by columns and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{T}_a$ on the main diagonal. Multiplying by $\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ both sides of

$$\begin{bmatrix}c_+(Na)\\ c_-(Na)\end{bmatrix}=\mathbf{M}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^N\mathbf{M}^{-1}\begin{bmatrix}c_+(0)\\ c_-(0)\end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

the Bloch waves of the active waveguide at input and output are obtained, i.e. $\mathbf{c}_B(Na)=\boldsymbol{\lambda}^N\mathbf{c}_B(0)$. From here, it is apparent that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^N$ is the evolution matrix in the basis of the Bloch waves of the active waveguide. If the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{T}_a$ are denoted by $\lambda_{1,2}=e^{\pm i\phi}$, $\phi=\cos^{-1}[Tr(\mathbf{T}_a)/2]$ is the dispersion relation of the active waveguide and $n_{g,Pert}(\omega,g_0)=c\,Re\{\partial\phi(\omega,g_0)/\partial\omega\}$ the associated group index, with c vacuum light speed. Within this approach, a PhC laser consists in the cascade of an active PhC waveguide and two mirrors, which, for simplicity, are modelled as standard reflectors. The complex round-trip-gain (RTG) of the cavity is computed as the product, at a given reference plane, of the left and right field reflectivity. Longitudinal resonant modes are those for which ∠RTG is an integer multiple of 2π. For each longitudinal mode, threshold gain is found as the smallest g_0 value which ensures $|\mathrm{RTG}|=1$ [8].



Fig. 1. (a) Magnitude and (b) phase of $\Gamma_{xy,12}$, at different frequencies, for the same line-waveguide of PhC lasers in [2]; inset in (b): unit cell reference planes. Group index with (c) and without (d) gain-induced coupling.



Fig. 2. Magnitude of $r_{eq,R}$, at different g_0, for $L = 5a$; black line is level $1/r$. (b) Phase of $r_{eq,R}$; inset: scheme of principle of the cavity (c) Mode frequencies versus cavity length. M_0 is the mode at the band-edge. (d) Threshold gain for the onset of lasing of the various modes.

II. SIMULATION RESULTS

The reference structure is the line-defect waveguide on which the PhC lasers realized in [2] are based. Dispersion relation and Bloch modes of the passive waveguide are computed by the free software package MIT Photonic-Bands (MPB) [9]. Fig. 1a and 1b display magnitude and phase of $\Gamma_{xy,12}(z,\omega)$ at different frequencies. Since the z-variation of $\angle\Gamma_{xy,12}(z,\omega)$ on a unit cell is approximately linear with a slope equal to $2\pi/a$, the first-order Fourier component of $\kappa_{12}(z,\omega)$, which synchronously couples $\boldsymbol{E}_{0,+}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}_{0,-}$, is proportional to $g_0(\omega)\left[n_g(\omega)/n_s\right] < |\Gamma_{xy,12}(z,\omega)| >$. Since $< \Gamma_{xy,11}(z,\omega) >$ and $< |\Gamma_{xy,12}(z,\omega)| >$ have comparable values, the magnitude of the cross-coupling coefficients is comparable with the self-coupling coefficient. This peculiar characteristic of the active PhC waveguides arises from the 2π phase shift of the non-negligible z-component of $\mathbf{e}_{0,\pm}$ along the propagation direction. Fig. 1c reports the group index $n_{g,Pert}$ of the active waveguide as a function of frequency at different $g_0$values. At small gain values, the dispersion relation of the active waveguide is not significantly perturbed, and the group index diverges as the frequency approaches the band-edge of the passive waveguide, i.e. $k_z a/2\pi = 0.5$ with a frequency $f \approx 189.387$ THz. On the contrary, at larger gain values the group index is reduced and it even starts to decrease in the close proximity of the band-edge. Remarkably, this behaviour is consistent with that reported in [7] and obtained with a non-perturbative treatment. Furthermore, if the gain-induced coupling is neglected (i.e., $\kappa_{12;21} = 0$), the group index monotonically diverges with the frequency approaching the band-edge (Fig.1d). This proves the key role played by cross-coupling in limiting the maximum attainable SL enhancement of gain. With this coupled Bloch-wave approach we have then modelled the PhC lasers presented in [2]. The mirrors reflectivity is set to $r^2 = 0.98$ [10] and g_0 is assumed to be frequency-independent. The inset of Fig. 2b displays a scheme of principle of the cavity, with the field reflectivity from the left facet towards the cavity denoted by $r_{eq,R}$. Fig. 2a and 2b focus on a cavity with length $L = 5a$, showing magnitude and phase of $r_{eq,R}$ versus frequency at increasing $g_0$values. The threshold condition corresponds to the level $1/r$, corresponding to the horizontal line in Fig. 2a. The red spots track the longitudinal resonant mode M_1, with frequency $f = 191$ THz, as it approaches the lasing onset at $g_0 = 16.2$ cm^{-1}. The mode located exactly at the band-edge (M_0, shown in Fig. 2b) requires higher gain for achieving threshold, because the maximum attainable $|r_{eq,R}|$ around the band-edge is limited by the gain induced cross-coupling. This is a consequence of the fact that the field backscattered by the waveguide and the field backscattered by the right mirror facet are out of phase at the band-edge. Fig. 2c,d report, at each cavity length, all the longitudinal modes and corresponding threshold gain. The frequency shift of mode M_1 towards the SL region observed by increasing cavity length (Fig. 2c) well reproduces the experimental [2] and numerical [3] trends. Conversely, without the gain-induced distributed feedback, the group index and the effective gain resulting from SL enhancement would monotonically increase towards the band-edge; consequently, the cavity would behave as a SL enhanced FP laser and, independently of the cavity length, the mode M_0 would be the sole lasing one.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the gain-induced coupling between counter-propagating Bloch modes has been found to be responsible for the degradation of the SL enhancement of gain discussed in [7]. Moreover, this coupling strongly affects the lasing mode threshold gain properties of PhC lasers.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Matsuo et al., IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron., 19, 4, (2013).
[2] W. Xue et al., PRL, 116, 063901 (2016).
[3] J. Cartar et al., PR, A 96, 023859 (2017).
[4] D. Michaelis, PR E 68, 065601 (2003)
[5] N. C. Panoiu, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quatum Electron., 16, 1 (2010)
[6] Y. Chen et al., PR. A 92, 053839 (2015).
[7] J. Grgić et al., PRL, 108, 183903 (2012).
[8] P. Bardella et al., IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron., 19, 4, (2013).
[9] S. G. Johnson et al., Opt. Express, 8, 3, (2001).
[10] C. Sauvan et al., PR B 71, 165118, (2005).