The quotient set of k-generalised Fibonacci numbers is dense in Q_p

The quotient set of k-generalised Fibonacci numbers is dense in Q_p / Sanna, Carlo. - In: BULLETIN OF THE AUSTRALIAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY. - ISSN 0004-9727. - STAMPA. - 96:1(2017), pp. 24-29. [10.1017/S0004972716001118]

Publisher:
AUSTRALIAN MATHEMATICS PUBL ASSOC INC

Published
DOI:10.1017/S0004972716001118

THE QUOTIENT SET OF k-GENERALIZED FIBONACCI NUMBERS IS DENSE IN $\mathbb{Q}_p$

CARLO SANNA

ABSTRACT. The quotient set of $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is defined as $R(A) := \{a/b : a, b \in A,\ b \neq 0\}$. Using algebraic number theory in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, Garcia and Luca proved that the quotient set of Fibonacci numbers is dense in the p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$, for all prime numbers p. For any integer $k \geq 2$, let $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ be the sequence of k-generalized Fibonacci numbers, defined by the initial values $0, 0, \dots, 0, 1$ (k terms) and such that each term afterwards is the sum of the k preceding terms. We use p-adic analysis to generalize Garcia and Luca's result, by proving that the quotient set of k-generalized Fibonacci numbers is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, for any integer $k \geq 2$ and any prime number p.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a set of nonnegative integers A, the *quotient set* of A is defined as

$$R(A) := \{a/b : a, b \in A,\ b \neq 0\}.$$

The question of when $R(A)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^+$ is a classical topic and has been studied by many researchers (see, e.g., [1, 3, 4, 10, 12, 13, 17, 19]). On the other hand, the analog question of when $R(A)$ is dense in the p-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_p$, for some prime number p, has been studied only recently [8, 9]. Let $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the sequence of Fibonacci numbers, defined by $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$, and $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$, for all integers $n > 1$. Using algebraic number theory in the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, Garcia and Luca [9] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *For any prime p, the quotient set of Fibonacci numbers is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

One of the many generalizations of the Fibonacci numbers is the sequence of *k-generalized Fibonacci numbers* $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$, also called *Fibonacci k-step sequence*, *Fibonacci k-sequence*, or *k-bonacci sequence*. For any integer $k \geq 2$, the sequence $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq -(k-2)}$ is defined by

$$F_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = \cdots = F_0^{(k)} = 0,\ F_1^{(k)} = 1,$$

and

$$F_n^{(k)} = F_{n-1}^{(k)} + F_{n-2}^{(k)} + \cdots + F_{n-k}^{(k)},$$

for all integers $n > 1$.

Usually, the study of the arithmetic properties of the k-generalized Fibonacci numbers is more difficult than that of Fibonacci numbers. Indeed, for $k \geq 3$ the sequence of k-generalized Fibonacci numbers lacks several nice properties of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers, like: being a strong divisibility sequence [16, p. 9], having a Primitive Divisor Theorem [21], and having a simple formula for its p-adic valuation [14, 18].

We give the following generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *For any integer $k \geq 2$ and any prime number p, the quotient set of the k-generalized Fibonacci numbers is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

It seems likely that Theorem 1.2 could be extended to other linear recurrences over the integers. However, in our proof we use some specific features of the k-generalized Fibonacci numbers sequence. Therefore, we leave the following open question to the interested readers:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11B39, Secondary: 11B37, 11B05.

Key words and phrases. Fibonacci numbers; k-generalized Fibonacci numbers; p-adic numbers; density.

Under which (reasonable) hypothesis is the quotient set of a linear recurrence over the integers dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, for some prime number p?

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

From now on, fix an integer $k \geq 2$ and a prime number p. In light of Theorem 1.1, we can suppose $k \geq 3$. Let

$$f_k(X) = X^k - X^{k-1} - \cdots - X - 1$$

be the characteristic polynomial of the k-generalized Fibonacci numbers sequence.

It is known [20, Corollary 3.4] that f_k is separable. Let K be the splitting field of f_k over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in K$ be the k distinct roots of f_k. We have [5, Theorem 1]

$$F_n^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \alpha_i^n, \tag{1}$$

for all integers $n \geq 0$, where

$$c_i := \frac{\alpha_i - 1}{(k+1)\alpha_i^2 - 2k\alpha_i}, \tag{2}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Now we shall interpolate a subsequence of $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 0}$ by a function analytic over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. This is a classical method in the study of linear recurrences, which goes back at least to the proof of Skolem–Mahler–Lech theorem [6, Theorem 2.1].

We refer the reader to [11, Ch. 4–6] for the p-adic analysis used hereafter. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the valuation ring of K; e and f be the ramification index and the inertial degree of K over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, respectively; and π be an uniformizer of K.

Looking at the Newton's polygon of f_k, we get that $|\alpha_i|_p = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Hence, in particular, $\alpha_i \not\equiv 0 \bmod \pi$. Thus, since $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi \mathcal{O}_K$ is a finite field of p^f elements, we obtain that $\alpha_i^{p^f - 1} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$. Now pick any positive integer s such that $p^s \geq e + 1$. Being $|\pi|_p = p^{-1/e}$, we have $\pi^{p^s} \equiv 0 \bmod p\pi$, and, in turn, it follows that $\alpha_i^t \equiv 1 \bmod p\pi$, where $t := p^s(p^f - 1)$. At this point,

$$|\alpha_i^t - 1|_p \leq |p\pi|_p = p^{-1-1/e} < p^{-1/(p-1)}, \tag{3}$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Now let $\log_p$ and $\exp_p$ denote the p-adic logarithm and the p-adic exponential functions, respectively. Thanks to (3) we have that

$$\alpha_i^t = \exp_p(\log_p(\alpha_i^t)),$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, which together with (1) implies that $F_{nt}^{(k)} = G(n)$ for all integer $n \geq 0$, where

$$G(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \exp_p(z \log_p(\alpha_i^t)),$$

is an analytic function over $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let $r > 0$ be the radius of convergence of the Taylor series of $G(z)$ at $z = 0$, and let $\ell \geq 0$ be an integer. On the one hand, the radius of convergence of the Taylor series of $G(p^\ell z)$ at $z = 0$ is $p^\ell r$. On the other hand,

$$G(p^\ell z) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \exp_p(p^\ell z \log_p(\alpha_i^t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \exp_p(z \log_p(\alpha_i^{p^\ell t})).$$

Therefore, taking s sufficiently large, we can assume $r > 1$.

In particular, we have

$$G(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{G^{(j)}(0)}{j!} z^j, \tag{4}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Now we shall prove that $G'(0) \neq 0$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that

$$G'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i \log_p(\alpha_i^t) = 0.$$

Since $f_k(0) = -1$ and t is even, we have $\alpha_1^t \cdots \alpha_k^t = 1$, so that

$$\log_p(\alpha_k^t) = -\log_p(\alpha_1^t) - \cdots - \log_p(\alpha_{k-1}^t),$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}(c_i - c_k)\log_p(\alpha_i^t) = 0. \tag{5}$$

We need the following lemma [7, Lemma 1], which is a special case of a general result of Mignotte [15] on Pisot numbers.

Lemma 2.1. *The roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}$ are multiplicatively independent, that is, $\alpha_1^{e_1} \cdots \alpha_{k-1}^{e_{k-1}} = 1$ for some integers $e_1, \ldots, e_{k-1}$ if and only if $e_1 = \cdots = e_{k-1} = 0$.*

Thanks to Lemma 2.1, we know that $\alpha_1^t, \ldots, \alpha_{k-1}^t$ are multiplicatively independent. Hence, $\log_p(\alpha_1^t), \ldots, \log_p(\alpha_{k-1}^t)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$. Then by [2, Theorem 1] we get that $\log_p(\alpha_1^t), \ldots, \log_p(\alpha_{k-1}^t)$ are linearly independent over the algebraic numbers, hence (5) implies

$$c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_k. \tag{6}$$

At this point, from (2) and (6) it follows that $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ are all roots of the polynomial

$$c_1(k+1)X^2 - (2c_1k+1)X + 1,$$

but that is clearly impossible, since $k \geq 3$. Hence we have proved that $G'(0) \neq 0$.

Taking $z = 1$ in (4), we find that $\nu_p(G^{(j)}(0)/j!) \to +\infty$, as $j \to +\infty$. In particular, there exists an integer $\ell \geq 0$ such that $\nu_p(G^{(j)}(0)/j!) \geq -\ell$, for all integers $j \geq 0$. As a consequence of this, and since $G(0) = F_0^{(k)} = 0$, taking $z = mp^h$ in (4) we get that

$$G(mp^h) = G'(0)mp^h + O(p^{2h-\ell}),$$

for all integers $m, h \geq 0$. Therefore, for $h > h_0 := \ell + \nu_p(G'(0))$, we have

$$\frac{G(mp^h)}{G(p^h)} - m = \frac{G'(0)mp^h + O(p^{2h-\ell})}{G'(0)p^h + O(p^{2h-\ell})} - m = \frac{O(p^{h-\ell})}{G'(0) + O(p^{h-\ell})} = O\left(p^{h-h_0}\right),$$

that is,

$$\lim_{h \to +\infty} \left| \frac{G(mp^h)}{G(p^h)} - m \right|_p = 0.$$

In conclusion, we have proved that

$$\lim_{v \to +\infty} \left| \frac{F^{(k)}_{mp^v(p^f-1)}}{F^{(k)}_{p^v(p^f-1)}} - m \right|_p = 0,$$

for all integers $m \geq 0$. In other words, the closure (respect to the p-adic topology) of the quotient set of k-generalized Fibonacci numbers contains the nonnegative integers $\mathbb{N}$.

The next easy lemma is enough to conclude.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. If the closure of $R(A)$ contains $\mathbb{N}$, then $R(A)$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$.*

Proof. Let C be the closure of $R(A)$ as a subspace of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Since $\mathbb{N}$ is dense in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq C$. Moreover, the inversion $\iota : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p : x \to x^{-1}$ is continuous and, obviously, sends nonzero elements of $R(A)$ to $R(A)$, hence $\iota(\mathbb{Z}_p) \subseteq C$. Finally, $\mathbb{Q}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p \cup \iota(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, thus $C = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $R(A)$ is dense in $\mathbb{Q}_p$. □

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

References

1. B. Brown, M. Dairyko, S. R. Garcia, B. Lutz, and M. Someck, *Four quotient set gems*, Amer. Math. Monthly **121** (2014), no. 7, 590–599.
2. A. Brumer, *On the units of algebraic number fields*, Mathematika **14** (1967), 121–124.
3. J. Bukor, T. Šalát, and J. T. Tóth, *Remarks on R-density of sets of numbers*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **11** (1997), 159–165, Number theory (Liptovský Ján, 1995).
4. J. Bukor and J. T. Tóth, *On accumulation points of ratio sets of positive integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **103** (1996), no. 6, 502–504.
5. G. P. B. Dresden and Z. Du, *A simplified Binet formula for k-generalized Fibonacci numbers*, J. Integer Seq. **17** (2014), no. 4, Article 14.4.7, 9.
6. G. Everest, A. van der Poorten, I. Shparlinski, and T. Ward, *Recurrence sequences*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 104, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
7. C. Fuchs, C. Hutle, F. Luca, and L. Szalay, *Diophantine triples and k-generalized Fibonacci sequences*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2016), 1–17.
8. S. R. Garcia, Y. X. Hong, F. Luca, E. Pinsker, E. Schechter, and A. Starr, *p-adic quotient sets*, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.07951`.
9. S. R. Garcia and F. Luca, *Quotients of Fibonacci numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).
10. S. R. Garcia, V. Selhorst-Jones, D. E. Poore, and N. Simon, *Quotient sets and Diophantine equations*, Amer. Math. Monthly **118** (2011), no. 8, 704–711.
11. F. Q. Gouvêa, *p-adic numbers*, second ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, An introduction.
12. S. Hedman and D. Rose, *Light subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ with dense quotient sets*, Amer. Math. Monthly **116** (2009), no. 7, 635–641.
13. D. Hobby and D. M. Silberger, *Quotients of primes*, Amer. Math. Monthly **100** (1993), no. 1, 50–52.
14. T. Lengyel, *The order of the Fibonacci and Lucas numbers*, Fibonacci Quart. **33** (1995), no. 3, 234–239.
15. M. Mignotte, *Sur les conjugués des nombres de Pisot*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298** (1984), no. 2, 21.
16. P. Ribenboim, *My numbers, my friends*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000, Popular lectures on number theory.
17. T. Šalát, *On ratio sets of sets of natural numbers*, Acta Arith. **15** (1968/1969), 273–278.
18. C. Sanna, *The p-adic valuation of Lucas sequences*, Fibonacci Quart. **54** (2016), no. 2, 118–124.
19. O. Strauch and J. T. Tóth, *Asymptotic density of $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ and density of the ratio set $R(A)$*, Acta Arith. **87** (1998), no. 1, 67–78.
20. D. A. Wolfram, *Solving generalized Fibonacci recurrences*, Fibonacci Quart. **36** (1998), no. 2, 129–145.
21. M. Yabuta, *A simple proof of Carmichael's theorem on primitive divisors*, Fibonacci Quart. **39** (2001), no. 5, 439–443.

Università degli Studi di Torino, Department of Mathematics, Turin, Italy
E-mail address: `carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com`
URL: `http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2111-7596`