

Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels through photocatalytic reduction with titania-based materials

Original

Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels through photocatalytic reduction with titania-based materials / Akhter, Parveen; Farkhondehfal, Mohammadamin; HERNANDEZ RIBULLEN, SIMELYS PRIS; Hussain, Murid; Fina, Alberto; Saracco, Guido; Khan, Asad U.; Russo, Nunzio. - In: JOURNAL OF ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMICAL ENGINEERING. - ISSN 2213-3437. - STAMPA. - 4:4(2016), pp. 3934-3953. [[10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.004)]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2647432 since: 2017-09-26T12:43:28Z

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:[10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.004)

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Original Article Published with Copyright (2016) by ELSEVIER

Citation Information:

Akhter, P.; Farkhondehfal, M. A.; Hernández, S.; Hussain, M.; Fina, A.; Saracco, G.; Khan, A. U.; Russo, N., Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels through photocatalytic reduction with titania-based materials.

Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering **2016**, 4 (4, Part A), 3934-3953.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2016.09.004>

12


Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering 4 (2016) 3934–3953



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jece



Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels through photocatalytic reduction with titania-based materials



Parveen Akhter^a, M. Amin Farkhondehfal^a, Simelys Hernández^{a,c}, Murid Hussain^{a,b}, Alberto Fina^a, Guido Saracco^{a,c}, Asad U. Khan^b, Nunzio Russo^{a,*}

^a Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129, Torino, Italy

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, M.A. Jinnah Building, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

^c Center for Sustainable Futures (CSF@POLITO), Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Corso Trento 21, 10129, Torino, Italy

Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels through photocatalytic reduction with titania-based materials

Parveen Akhter,^a M.Amin Farkhondehfal,^a Simelys Hernández,^{a,c} Murid Hussain,^{a,b} Alberto Fina,^a Guido Saracco,^{a,c} Asad U. Khan^b and Nunzio Russo^{a,*}

^a Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, M.A. Jinnah Building, Defence Road, Off Raiwind Road, Lahore-54000, Pakistan.

^c Center for Sustainable Future Technologies (CSFT@POLITO), Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia, Corso Trento 21, 10129, Torino, Italy.

** To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: +39-011-0904710;
Fax: +39-011-0904624; e-mail address: nunzio.russo@polito.it

Abstract: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is considered one of the main contributors to the greenhouse effect and is currently a key challenge throughout the world. Therefore, the CO₂ complications associated with the environment have in particular been focused on in this review, and various strategies regarding CO₂ mitigation, or the utilization of different technologies to produce renewable fuels or useful chemicals to overcome the energy crisis, have been considered together with the recent developments in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by means of different titania photocatalysts to produce various energy-bearing products. The problems, progress and future prospects regarding CO₂ utilization are presented. This present review indicates that the development of efficient active photocatalysts for this technology is still under way and that better efficiency of the desired products could be accomplished. It is also shown that this technique is still at an embryonic stage, due to a lack of proficiency, and that the yield discrimination is very low. It can therefore be concluded that further research efforts are needed to boost this process towards commercialization in the near future.

Keywords: CO₂; greenhouse gas; titania; photocatalysis; renewable energy

Review outline:

1. Introduction
2. Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels
3. CO₂ utilization technologies for renewable fuel formation
 - 3.1. Economic aspects of CO₂ for the production of chemicals
 - 3.2. CO₂ utilization technologies
 - 3.2.1. Thermodynamics of CO₂ conversion reactions
 - 3.2.2. Hydrogenation of CO₂
 - 3.2.3. Electrochemical conversion
 - 3.2.4. Thermochemical splitting of CO₂
 - 3.2.5. Biocatalytic processes
 - 3.2.6. Photocatalytic reduction
4. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with titania-based materials
 - 4.1. Molecular interactions of CO₂ on titania photocatalysts
 - 4.2. Pure/bulk titania photocatalysts
 - 4.3. Nanostructured titania and single crystal titania photocatalysts
 - 4.4. Dye sensitization and doped titania photocatalysts
 - 4.5. Ti-oxide anchored on porous silica glass, zeolite, and mesoporous molecular sieve materials
 - 4.6. Nanocomposites or supported titania photocatalysts
5. Summary: issues, progress and prospects

Acknowledgements

1 Introduction

Carbon dioxide is considered to be one of the main greenhouse gases. The others are Methane (CH₄), Chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) and Nitrous Oxide (N₂O). CO₂ plays a significant role in the global warming, due to its important contribution to the greenhouse effect (CO₂-55%, CFCs-24%, CH₄-15%, and N₂O-6%), and is currently considered a key challenge for the world [1, 2]. The Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) on Emission Scenarios (SRES, 2000) projects an increase in the global greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions from 25 to 90% CO₂ between 2000 and 2030 [3].

On one hand, the main emission sources of CO₂ are mainly due to the burning of fossil fuels, such as oil, natural gas, coal etc., which accelerate the greenhouse effect. Human activities generate about 37 billion tons of CO₂ emissions each year, with about 30 gigatons (Gt) of this coming from the energy-related emissions. It has been reported that about 98% of the carbon emissions result from the combustion of the fossil fuels (coal, oil, and natural gas) [4]. On the other hand, the depletion of the fossil fuels throughout the world is dramatically increasing every year because of the ever increasing energy demands of humans as well as because of the increased global population. It has been estimated that these emission in CO₂ concentrations will have increased temperature on average, by 1.4 - 5.8 °C towards the end of this century. Consequently, to fulfil the energy demand for the future generations and to prevent the globe from being over-heated, CO₂ should be used as a raw feedstock to promote energy bearing products in a positive way for overcoming the energy crisis [5]. It can roughly be estimated that about 5–10% of the total CO₂ emissions could be suitable for the production of fuels and chemicals, that is, about one order of magnitude higher than actual use of CO₂ in industry [6]. Therefore, in order to minimize the CO₂ greenhouse effect and in the research of alternative sources of renewable energy, various technologies have been adopted for the capturing of CO₂ from the atmosphere: CCS (Carbon Capture and Storage), DAC (Direct Air Capture), SCPP (Solar Chimney Power Plants), etc. All of these are supposed to be long-term technologies; however, low stability is still a major challenge. In addition, other methods have also been used to capture CO₂, such as post combustion, pre-combustion and oxy-combustions, but unfortunately these are not yet economically feasible technologies [7].

Although CO₂ capture technologies are now widely being accepted by researcher as a pathway toward declining the growth rate of CO₂, overall, society is currently aware of the CO₂ utilization too. Indeed, CO₂ reuse is being considered as one of the main contributors to a low-carbon economy. Nevertheless, catalytic technologies for CO₂ conversion are still at an embryonic stage. In order to move towards such objective, it is necessary a more efficient use of resources and energy as well as the development of novel and effective technologies that employ renewable energy sources. Furthermore, an increase in the scientific efforts is essential, not only to develop new routes but also to efficiently assess problems associated with innovation ideas. Along with this increase in efforts, both public and private funds will be necessary to reach this goal. From this perspective, the SPIRE initiative [8] states that the CO₂ utilization is one of the most important pillars to boost sustainability, and to make the chemical industry competitive.

At the moment, a great deal of research has been carried out on exploring new ways of reducing CO₂, for example, electrochemical CO₂ reduction [9], photovoltaic processes [10], electrochromic display devices [11, 12], thermal CO₂ photocatalytic reduction [13], biological reduction by plants [14], and photoelectrochemical reduction of CO₂, as previously reported by Halmann et al. [15], using an electrochemical cell. Unfortunately, the use of an electrochemical cell for CO₂ conversion leads to certain disadvantages because of its very slow kinetics and its very high-energy demand [16]. On another hand, the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in aqueous form was firstly reported by Inoue et al. [17]. Later on, this field led to interest in both liquid and gas phases for the production of valuable chemicals such as CH₄, methanol (CH₃OH), carbon monoxide (CO), formic acid (HCOOH), formaldehyde (HCHO), etc. [18-23]. This technique is still at a developing stage, because of its low efficiency towards fuels formation, but in the meantime, the possibilities of take advantage of a green process for the production of fuels and chemicals while decreasing the CO₂ level in atmosphere makes

it an interesting method to be analysed and developed.

This review is focused on the issues that arise from the CO₂ emissions to the environment, on various strategies regarding CO₂ mitigation and on its utilization through different technologies to produce renewable fuels or useful chemicals for overcoming the energy crisis. In particular, the attention is concentrated on the recent developments in the field of the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by means of different titania photocatalysts to produce various energy-bearing products. Finally, future prospects regarding an efficient CO₂ utilization are also presented.

2 Environmental issues regarding CO₂ and recent strategies for alternative fuels

The increasing level of CO₂ in the atmosphere is not only considered a challenging problem throughout the world, but has also made it necessary for many scientists, investigators and researchers to explore various approaches in order to find a better solution for the energy crisis. The French scientist Jean Baptiste Fourier first observed the effect of greenhouse gases in 1827. This effect is caused by the greenhouse gasses, in particular by, CO₂, water vapour, ozone (O₃), CH₄ and N₂O. The increase in the greenhouse effect constitutes about 70% of CO₂, 24% CH₄ and about 6% of N₂O. This increased concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere is mainly due to the burning of fossil fuels, such as oil, coal and natural gas. Land-use changes, cement manufacturing and deforestation contribute to this increase and there is an overall release of about 6 Gt of carbon into the atmosphere each year. The global average surface temperature has been estimated, through a climate change simulation [24], to have increased by about 0.6 °C over the 20th century. On the other hand, IPCC has stated that the global GHG emissions should be reduced by 50 to 80% by the year 2050 [3]. Moreover, there has been a slight alteration in the release of CO₂ into the atmosphere over the 1000 year period up to the 20th century. It has been reported that the concentration in Antarctica ice core of CO₂ increased slowly from 280 to 295 ppmv between years 1000 AD to 1900 AD [25]. This analysis is statistics based. From the year 1958 AD to 2004 AD, the CO₂ on the atmospheric air increased sharply from 315 to 377 ppmv [26] and such values are still gradually increasing. The recent data indicate that since October 2011 up to June 2016, the CO₂ concentration has increased from 388.96 to 406.81 ppmv, respectively [27]. On the other hand, the depletion of the energy sources is mainly due to the rapid increase in the world's population, the rise in public transportation, and the construction of a larger and larger number of industries, which release toxic substances into the atmosphere, thus causing health as well as environmental problems [28].




Figure 1. The correlation between CO₂ emissions and the world's population. Adapted from [29].

In addition, another key fact is the use of large amounts of CO₂ at an industrial scale. CO₂ is currently being used in a variety of applications, for example, in the metal industry, for the food and beverages, for the pulp, paper and electronics purposes, for the health care and laboratory analyses as well as chemical production. At present, the Earth's energy requirements are estimated at 14 TW/y.

Considering the economic development, the high consumption of fuel and constantly increasing number of people in the world, it is estimated that the energy demand in 2050 will be between 28-30 TW/y. It is also estimated that in the case of the continued use of the traditional energy sources, the CO₂ levels will rise to 40 billion Mg per year by 2030 [29]. The correlation between the CO₂ emissions and the world's population is shown in Figure 1.

The presence of CO₂ in the atmosphere has two aspects, one of which is very crucial for the existence of life, *i.e.* for the photosynthesis of plants, for the carbon cycle and for many useful industrial applications, while the other aspect involves some drawbacks, for instance, global warming. The later issue has currently become a challenging topic for the scientists, investigators, researchers, etc. To tackle this problem, many investigations have been carried out over the last few decades by applying different technologies to control the CO₂ emissions and/or convert them into valuable energy products. Various possible strategies for the conversion of CO₂, such as the physicochemical approaches, the sustainable (or renewable) methanol synthesis and the production of syngas (CO/H₂) derived from the flue gases, which are produced from coal, gas or oil-fired electric power stations, in addition to the photochemical production of synthetic fuel [30], are of great interest. In order to prevent the global warming problems, the scientists involved in the study of the atmosphere have suggested that there should be a reduction in our current level of CO₂ emissions by about 60%, which would have a great impact on the climate changes [31]. It has been estimated that the total CO₂ emissions from fossil fuels is about 35.9 Gt /year all over the world [27]. The primary sources of these emissions are connected to the production of electricity for public use and the production of heat, which release almost 35% of CO₂, along with the public transport, which is responsible for 24%. Another 41% of the CO₂ emissions are due to manufacturing, industrial energy use, auto producers and other sectors (see Figure 2) [32].

Photo-technology is considered one of the most encouraging ways of CO₂ conversion into value added products. The high concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere could be captured and stored by means of various technologies [33]. Currently, different modes are being applied to capture CO₂, such as chemical and physical adsorption [34], cryogenic processes [35] and membrane separation [36]. The most recent way of capturing CO₂ is known as “ammonia scrubbing” [37] which is the first step toward using CO₂ as the feedstock in different technologies to produce value added products




Figure 2. Sources of CO₂ emission from fossil fuel combustion. Adapted from [32]

3 CO₂ utilization technologies for renewable fuel formation

The increasing level of CO₂ in the atmosphere has not only threatened global warming, but has also raised various questions on how to control or reduce the CO₂ emissions to some extent. Therefore, much more attention has been paid by a number of researchers to gather CO₂ and convert it into valuable chemicals or fuels.




Figure 3. Publication trend of CO₂ conversion vs. CO₂ photocatalytic reduction.

Figure 3 shows the research trend pertaining to the CO₂ utilization, which has increased significantly in recent years. Observing the topic ‘CO₂ conversion’ shown in Figure 3a, it can be seen that the publications in the 2000 period (publications including books, journals, reviews, patents etc.) rose to over 320, a number that increased even more to 1675 at the end of 2014. Similarly, the five-year publication trend regarding ‘CO₂ photocatalytic reduction’ shown in Figure 3b, and in particular for the year 2014, indicates the recent profound interest in this area.

Moreover, the chemical utilization of CO₂ has increased over the last few years, and because of this increasing tendency, chemical industries are now taking part in the conversion of CO₂ to produce even higher energy density compounds such as fuel products. Additionally, recycling and reuse of CO₂ not only address the balance of atmospheric CO₂, but also represent valuable carbon sources renewable fuels formation. Recently, various studies have also been carried out, from the economic point of view, for the future of the chemical industry [38].




Figure 4. CO₂ utilization pathways.

The main products of the CO₂ conversion should be fuels to reduce the net CO₂ emissions significantly and to generate the renewable products of high economic value, such as those shown in Figure 4. Various options have been adopted for the CO₂ conversion, such as the hydrogenation of

CO₂ to form oxygenates; besides, hydrocarbons are the most intensively investigated products of the CO₂ conversion. Methanol synthesis from CO₂ and H₂ has been proposed, and another possibility is the production of dimethyl ether (DME), which is considered a “clean” burning fuel. Moreover, the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to a fuel by means of semiconductor catalysts is one of the most important techniques to obtain energy-bearing products. It has been reported that photocatalysis is a ‘green’ technology with promising applications over a wide assortment of chemical and environmental technologies [39]. Therefore, there are various possibilities of activating and of converting CO₂, but it is first necessary to overcome a thermodynamic barrier. For this reason, providing sufficient energy for the reaction is the critical aspect that should be considered when evaluating the alternative routes for the CO₂ conversion. For such a conversion of CO₂ to chemicals, reducing agents as well as intermediates species are needed to complete the reaction, for instance, the production of methanol by electrochemical CO₂ hydrogenation requires the use of protons (H⁺) and electrons (e⁻) consecutively [40-42]. Another possible route is the conversion of CO₂ to syngas (CO/H₂), which can be then converted into other chemicals or clean fuels [43] Generally, there are several approaches that can be considered for the CO₂ conversion to meet the energy demands [44], and the starting materials should be energy rich, unsaturated, and organometallic compounds.

3.1 Economic aspects of CO₂ for chemical production

CO₂ purification and recovery remain the main problems in terms of cost. However, these problems are not critical as there are many sources of rather pure CO₂ in the refinery and from chemical processes for the production of; ammonia, H₂, ethylene oxides, liquefied natural gas, Fisher-Tropsch coal, as well as it is a product from bio refineries, fermentation process, etc. [45]. It has been estimated that around 500 million tons of low cost (US \$ 20/ton) and high concentration CO₂ is obtainable globally as a by-product from fertilizer plants, industrial sources and from natural sources. Diluted CO₂ is emitted at a higher cost, which could be captured from the power, cement and steel plants, etc. It is hoped that by introducing the limitation on the emission of CO₂ all around the world, the cost of the captured CO₂ and the market price of CO₂ will decrease in the near future [46].

3.2 CO₂ utilization technologies

By using different technologies different derivatives can be produced from CO₂ (see Figure .5). Several technologies have recently been explored and are reported in literature [28, 46] for the efficient utilization of CO₂ for useful products. These technologies are based on hydrogenation, electrochemical, thermochemical or biocatalytic processes, and photocatalytic reduction, which are further summarized and compared in this section of this review report.




Figure 5. CO₂ derivatives using different technologies. Adapted from [47].

3.2.1. Thermodynamics of CO₂ conversion reactions

In order to convert CO₂ to other products, substantial input of energy and active catalysts are required due to the stability of this molecule that has a rather low energy content ($\Delta G_{f0} = -394$ kJ/mol in gas phase). In Table 1 the free energy changes and other redox parameters for standard reduction reaction at pH = 0 are mentioned. Based on these tabulated data and on the fact that these reactions are reduction protonation and not hydrogenation, the variation of the redox potential with temperature can be calculated by the Gibbs- Helmholtz relationship:

$$E(T) = \frac{-\Delta G}{nF} = -\frac{(\Delta H(T) - T\Delta S(T))}{nF}$$

where G is Gibbs free energy, H is enthalpy and S is entropy.

Based on this formula, temperature can be pointed out as an effective parameter for the outcome of the reaction. Indeed, at room temperature, the entropy contribution, through the term $(-T\Delta S)$ is negligible with respect to the thermodynamic driving force for the CO₂ reaction; thus, the change of enthalpy (ΔH) during the reaction is a good guide to its thermodynamic feasibility [47]. For example, at low temperatures, CO production is less favored electrochemically rather than formation of other products like formaldehyde [48].

From Table 1, it is clear that the multielectron CO₂ reduction reactions require comparable potentials to that necessary for the H₂ evolution reaction ($H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2$, $E^0 = -0.41V$ at pH=7), while the single-electron reduction potential of CO₂ to form CO₂⁻ radical exhibit a much higher value. CO₂⁻ radical has been identified as an intermediate for the production of CO, formic acid and even methane [49, 50]. Figure 6 reports a proposed mechanism of CO₂ reduction in aqueous solution, under basic and acidic conditions. It have been reported that in acidic solution the CO₂ reduction to either CO or HCOOH is nonspontaneous compare to H₂ redox couple, instead reduction to methane is spontaneous [48]. Indeed, the reduction potential of CO₂ to CH₄ and CH₃OH is thermodynamically

more feasible than that required to reduce protons to H₂. Nevertheless, despite the thermodynamic feasibility to reduce CO₂, there are kinetic barriers for accomplishing the multielectron reduction processes that cause high overpotentials and limit such reactions. Thus, reduction of CO₂ in aqueous solutions is expected to be accompanied, or eventually replaced, by the kinetically more favored H₂ evolution.

Table 1. The standard ΔG° (25°C; pH = 7) and the corresponding E° values

Reaction	ΔG° (kJ mol ⁻¹)	E° (Volts vs. NHE)
$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + H_2O$	11.68	-0.53
$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOOH$	24.63	-0.61
$CO_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow H_2CO + H_2O$	148.85	-0.48
$CO_2 + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow CH_4 + 2H_2O$	-139	-0.38
$CO_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH + H_2O$	-17.42	-0.24
$CO_2 + e^- \rightarrow CO_2^{\cdot-}$	183.32	-1.9






Figure 6. Reaction mechanism of CO₂ reduction. Adapted from [49]

3.2.2. Hydrogenation of CO₂

The hydrogenation of CO₂ over catalytic beds at elevated temperatures and pressures to produce hydrocarbons such as methane, or oxygenated hydrocarbons such as dimethyl ether and methanol, is a viable approach only if the hydrogen is produced from water electrolysis; by employing renewables energy sources (*i.e.* electrical energy from sunlight, wind, etc.) [51]. The hydrogenation of CO₂ to lower carbon products, for example (CH₄, CH₃OH), as well as to obtain higher molecular weight alkanes and alcohols through C–C bond formation, is possible [52]. A variety of products, such as methanol, dimethyl ether (DME) and other carboxylic acids (formic and acetic acids), can be produced with this technique. Moreover, various homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts have been used for CO₂ hydrogenation, which involves multi-step routes. For instance, H₂ can be produced by electro or photocatalytic water splitting processes and, then, it can be used to hydrogenate the CO₂. Moreover, the reverse water-gas shift (RWGS) reaction is very important in the catalytic hydrogenation of CO₂, since it can be used to subsequently form methanol or dimethyl ether [16]. This reaction is considered a mildly endothermic reaction with an enthalpy change of $\Delta H_{298\text{ K}} = 41.2$ kJ/mol and Gibbs free energy change of $\Delta G_{298\text{ K}} = 28.6$ kJ/mol. The RWGS reaction is the primary step in CO₂ hydrogenation for fuel production:



Iron-chromium catalysts are considered very active at 400 °C or at higher temperatures, but a significant problem arises as a high equilibrium constant is necessary to drive the RWGS reaction towards right side. The equilibrium can be shifted to the right by increasing the CO₂ concentration, and a high H₂ concentration is able to increase the CO₂ consumption. The removal of water vapour from the reactor also drives the reaction to the right side. Different catalysts have been studied for the RWGS reaction [53]. Copper-based catalysts, particularly in CuO/ZnO oxides modified by alumina, zirconia, titania and/or silica [54], as well as iron or cerium-based catalysts, require a temperature above 400 °C to achieve an appreciable CO₂ conversion; therefore, these catalysts are not suitable for the RWGS reaction. The CO produced by means of the RWGS reaction could also be used for hydrogenation and methanol formation, or methanol could be synthesized from a feed of CO₂ and H₂ under feasible conditions. A book has recently been published, by the Nobel Laureate Olah [55], called ‘The Methanol Economy’, which deals with the production of methanol or dimethyl ether. Many studies have indicated that CO₂ is a better feed component for methanol formation than CO for the hydrogenation process, as indicated by the following reaction:




The RWGS reaction causes a rapid conversion of H₂ and CO₂ to CO and H₂O. However, water acts as an inhibitor on the catalyst and causes the slowing down of the consecutive methanol formation step. Therefore, to overcome this critical issue, some improved catalysts have been used for CO₂ hydrogenation for methanol production [56]. In addition, multicomponent catalytic systems (*e.g.* Cu/ZnO/ZrO₂/Al₂O₃/SiO₂) have shown good performances and stable productivity, as well, the use of different precipitating agents have been found to significantly affects the physicochemical properties of such multi-functional catalysts and their catalytic performance [57]. Another aspect that affect the productivity is the feed. Usually, productivity is 3–10 times lower when a pure CO₂ feed is used instead of a CO/CO₂ feed, because of the higher oxidation power of CO₂ and its more negative effect on the catalyst than that of CO.


Dimethyl ether, which is a clean burning alternative to diesel, could be produced from methanol dehydration over an acidic catalyst:



However, there are two another possibilities for the production of DME:





The synthesis of DME is more favourable from syngas than from methanol. As methanol synthesis and methanol dehydration are both limited by reaction equilibrium, the equilibrium constraint of methanol formation may be avoided by continuous removal of methanol through its conversion to DME directly from syngas [58]. The thermochemical process involves the direct decomposition of CO₂ to carbon monoxide and oxygen:




The reaction is endothermic, and it involves a free energy change (ΔG^0) of 257 kJ/mol. For a 100% conversion of CO₂, the reaction should be carried out at a minimum temperature of about 3075 °C, at which ΔG^0 becomes zero. However, in principle, the CO yield can be as high as 30% at temperatures near 2400 °C, but unfortunately the yield is low and a backward reaction may occur. The methanol fuel produced from CO₂/H₂ is a renewable energy source, as recognized by the Renewable Energy Directives of the European Union. However, renewable energy sources must be used for the methanol synthesis, *e.g.* either electrolysis or bio-routes, using semiconductor photocatalysts. Methanol formation offers more advantages over the other solutions (such as FT hydrocarbon synthesis). Its benefits include a very simple synthesis process with higher selectivity and flexibility and reduced transport costs. Methanol could also be used as a raw material in the process industry for the synthesis of olefins, etc. Owing to its low emission rate, and higher output

power, some pilot units have proved that using methanol fuel decreases NO_x emission by around 30% compared to that of CH₄ usage as a fuel [59]. Another benefit of the use of methanol is that the small water content in the methanol causes a further reduction in the NO_x emission. Furthermore, methanol can also be used for many other purposes, such as in chemicals, power generation and in transportation.

On another hand, urea can be synthesized from ammonia and CO₂, a process that is well established at a large scale. An urea boosting technology has shown that the capturing of fossil fuel CO₂ for urea production not only could solve the problem of the reduction of GHG emissions, but can also be used in an integrated ammonia-urea manufacturing plant that uses natural gas as a feedstock in the reforming process to produce CO₂ and NH₃. A large amount of NH₃ can be produced by using the surplus CH₄. Generally, ammonia is synthesized from hydrogen (from natural gas) as well as nitrogen (from the air). Firstly, natural gas is converted into hydrogen:




Then, air is mixed in the gas stream to obtain a H₂:N₂ ratio of 3:1. Furthermore, hydrogen and nitrogen are treated at higher temperatures/pressures in the presence of iron catalyst to produce ammonia, which is a very crucial component in the synthesis of urea:



The ammonia and carbon dioxide are fed into the reactor at higher temperatures and pressures to produce urea, which is produced in two steps. In the first step, ammonium carbamate, an intermediate product, is formed and then it further dehydrates to produce urea, as indicated below.

Ammonium carbamate formation:





Urea formation:



Urea is used as a fertilizer because of its rich nitrogen component. Therefore, it is of great importance in the field of agriculture and is also used in the manufacturing of resins for timber processing as well as in the yeast manufacturing process. Additionally, the synthesis of substituted urea is also attracting a great deal of attention at an industrial scale [60] and is being applied in various fields, such as in the refinery process, in pharmaceuticals, in agrochemicals and also in petrochemical industries.

3.2.3. Electrochemical conversion

The electrochemical conversion of CO₂ was first reported in 1978 by Halmann, who used p-GaP under UV light illumination [15]. Later on, the mechanism behind the electrochemical conversion of CO₂ was first described by Bockris et al. in 1989 [61] as:



In such a way, a high potential is required to form the CO₂⁻ radical [62, 63]. In addition, a great deal of work has to be done on the use of electrocatalysts to split the dissolved CO₂ into liquids. Various products, such as the simplest form of CO to very complex, oxygenated hydrocarbons of high-energy content, can be synthesized directly. This technology offers some positive aspects because of its compatibility with the well-established water electrolysis technology, and the possibility of using photovoltaic derived electricity. In aqueous electrolytes, such as NaHCO₃ and KHCO₃, water and carbon dioxide reduction processes take place simultaneously at the cathode, due to the proximity of their reduction potentials (H⁺/H₂O potential is -0.41 V vs. NHE whereas CO/CO₂ potential is -0.53 V vs. NHE at pH = 7). One of the many challenges in electrochemical CO₂ reduction chemistry has to do with the interaction between CO₂ and water. When dissolved CO₂ is introduced to electrochemical conditions a set of reversible reaction can happens, as shown in Figure

7, which needs to be considered in function of the solution pH and the used electrocatalysts [64]. However, a wide range of hydrocarbons can be produced from the co-electrolysis of water and carbon dioxide. It has been observed that more hydrogen than hydrocarbons are produced when some metal electrodes, for instance, Ni, Pt, Co, Rh, and Fe, are used, because of their low hydrogen over-potential and high CO adsorption [65, 66]. Some other metals, for instance Cd, Pb, Hg, Bi, Sn and In, first produce formate ions and then undergo splitting of CO₂ [67]. Some noble metals and some other metals, such as Au, Ag, Pd, Ga and Cu result in a reasonable hydrogen production, but show low CO₂ adsorption. Among the different electrolytes that are available, copper has been considered as one of the most suitable materials for the production of hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons in aqueous solutions [68]. In Table 2 a list of some used catalysts for electrochemical CO₂ reduction and their products is shown.





Figure 7. Equilibrium reactions of CO₂ and water. Adapted from [65]


Table 2. Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and their product

3.2.4. Thermochemical splitting of CO₂


The capturing of CO₂ from the atmosphere can be obtained through various capturing technologies. The capturing and conversion of CO₂ are high intensive energy processes. However, the direct reduction of carbon dioxide using concentrated sunlight with thermochemical cycles is one of the most encouraging approaches for the production of hydrocarbons from CO₂ reduction with H₂O. The thermochemical process involves the direct decomposition of CO₂ to carbon monoxide and oxygen:



The reaction is highly endothermic, with a free energy change (ΔG^0) of 257 kJ/mol. Similarly, for a 100% conversion of CO₂, the reaction should be carried out at a minimum temperature of about 3075 °C, with zero ΔG^0 . In theory, the CO yield can be as high as 30% at temperatures close to 2400 °C, but it is much lower because of backward reactions. Galvez *et. al.* carried out a second law thermodynamic analysis of Zn/ZnO and FeO/Fe₃O₄ cycles [86].



$$\Delta H^0_{25^\circ\text{C}} = +350.5 \text{ kJ/mol}$$



$$\Delta H^0_{25^\circ\text{C}} = -67.5 \text{ kJ/mol}$$

The reaction in Eq. (1) is highly endothermic and requires a temperature of about 1700 °C to form gas phase zinc and oxygen. The overall reaction is net production of O₂, which is sum of Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). A temperature between 425 and 725 °C is suggested to operate these reactions with 39% of efficiency under concentrated solar radiation.

The Sandia National Laboratory (SNL) is working on a two-step FeO/Fe₃O₄ thermochemical process, the so-called ‘Sunshine-to-Petrol’ process, to assimilate CO₂ and H₂O splitting processes in order to directly form diesel, gasoline or jet fuel as end products [87]. This process utilizes the concept of a thermochemical ‘heat engine’, called Counter- Rotating Ring Receiver Reactor Recuperator (CR-

5), to convert concentrated solar energy into thermal energy [87]. However, the feasibility of thermochemical CO₂ splitting has yet to be proved.

3.2.5. Biocatalytic processes

CO₂ utilization plays a significant role in the biocatalytic industry and provides a variety of opportunities for the synthesis of chemicals. For instance, Evonik is pursuing an acetone fermentation process using CO₂, the so called COOBAF project. In this process, industrial waste gas streams containing CO, H₂ and CO₂, as well as genetic modified acetogenic microorganisms, such as *Clostridium ljungdahlii*, *Clostridium carboxidivorans* and *Clostridium aceticum*, are used for acetone synthesis. In addition, an *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strain has been developed specifically to produce succinic acid along with wheat-derived glucose, which is used as a substrate. Moreover, longer chain alcohols could be produced by means of the metabolic pathway of smart engineered bacteria (e.g. genetically modified *E. coli*) through the conversion of CO₂. For instance, isobutanol formation is being carried out by means of modified cyanobacterium [88]. Additionally, another CO₂ conversion possibility is a non-natural metabolic pathway. In this process, branched chain amino acids are protracted to produce long-chain abiotic keto acids as well as alcohols (carbon chain 5 to 8) in the presence of 2-isopropylmalate synthase and other enzymes [88]. The optimization of this technique was made during the synthesis of 6-carbon alcohol, *i.e.* (S)-3-methyl-1-pentanol.

The biosynthesis route for the CO₂ utilization through the syngas fermentation is another interesting approach that is widely acceptable at an industrial scale, for which CO/H₂ is used rather than pure CO₂. In this process, Carbon Monoxide Dehydrogenase (CODH) enzyme from *Clostridium thermoaceticum* is utilized to catalyze the reversible oxidation of CO to CO₂ using an iron (Fe) and nickel (Ni) containing metalloenzyme. This enzyme can also be coupled with a semiconductor catalyst such as TiO₂ (since its conduction band has good reducing ability), which produces the electrons necessary for the reduction process. In addition, TiO₂ nanoparticles, after the modification with CODH, and a photosensitizer under the illumination of visible light on specific reaction conditions, produce CO (250 μmol g-TiO₂⁻¹/h⁻¹) [89-91]. The formation of fuels and chemicals through syngas fermentation offers a great advantage over the metal catalytic conversion, because of the higher specificity of the biocatalyst, the lower energy costs, the greater resistance to catalyst poisoning, and the independence of a fixed H₂:CO ratio. On the other hand, the biocatalysts are very costly. However, numerous microorganisms, such as acetogens, including *Clostridium ljungdahlii*, *Clostridium autoethanogenum*, *Eurobacterium limosum* etc., have been reported to be able to produce fuels and chemicals through syngas utilization [92].

Another feasible approach for the CO₂ conversion through biomass is the production of biofuels and, thus, several feedstocks have been used for such purpose [93]. The use of microalgae appears the most promising route for biofuels production. The oil content of algae is significantly higher than that of crops. Similarly, many algal species have a dry weight oil content of more than 30%; as well, the oil content can be as high as 80% in some strains, such as *Schizochytrium* sp. and *Botryococcus braunii* [94]. Algae itself fixes carbon dioxide and facilitates carbon recycling without any assistance. Moreover, bioethanol is commonly produced from sugar or starchy crops, such as sugar cane, and plant cellulose, using fermentation processes. Similarly, biodiesel is currently being produced from oil crops, for example, corn, soybean, canola, coconut, oil palm, in the presence of microalgae. In this transesterification biodiesel production process, triglyceride oil reacts with methanol in the presence of catalysts, such as sodium hydroxide or potassium hydroxide. Then, biodiesel can be used in the combustion process when it is blended with petro diesels. The biodiesel produced from microalgae is considered a significant source of global energy. The world's fuel production from biomass is rapidly increasing, while the sunlight-to-fuel energy conversion efficiency of photosynthesis is approximately 1%. It appears that biofuels will be a part of the future energy infrastructure, but they are unlikely to play a decisive role.




Figure 8. Solar fuels system for CO_2 conversion with H_2O to fuel. The fuels can be stored, transported or recycled with CO_2 passing through a photocatalytic process to again fuel formation. Adapted from [95].

Over the last few years, there has been a great deal of curiosity in the utilization of CO_2 through new approaches, which involve the use of homogeneous photocatalysts. For instance, methane or methanol can be produced from the CO_2 conversion with hydrosilanes under zirconium phenoxide borane complexes, or N-heterocyclic carbenes as catalysts [96, 97].

3.2.6. Photocatalytic reduction

The photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to a fuel has been an attractive approach in the last few decades, because of the benefits it offers over other technologies. CO_2 is readily available as a feedstock and can be used infinitely for many fuels syntheses. The reactions can be carried out at mild conditions to obtain short-chain hydrocarbon photocatalytic products from CO_2 reduction that can help to solve the problem of the energy crisis and help to protect the environment. This technology would be more favourable to replace fossil fuels with CO_2 as a carbon source (energy) in the chemical industry. The pioneering work by Fujishima and Honda in 1972, which is known as the 'Honda-Fujishima effect', on the photocatalytic splitting of water by TiO_2 electrodes, opened the door for future generations in the field of photocatalysis [98]. After this discovery, attention in this research field has been focused not only on a specific area, but also on different applications from a laboratory to an industrial scale by using semiconductors, such as TiO_2 . Moreover, Blajeni et al. later on used single-crystal p-GaP and p-GaAs photoanodes to produce methanol as well as formic acid and formaldehyde [99]. In a subsequent work, Halmann et al. introduced the use of titanate catalyst powder suspended in an aqueous solution, through which CO_2 was bubbled in the presence of natural sunlight to produce formic acid, formaldehyde, and methanol [100]. The Fujiwara group studied ZnS nanocrystal photocatalysts and their visible light response. They reported that a high content of metal ions improved the photocatalytic activity for CO_2 reduction as the result of a shift in the materials band gap [101]. Such strategy is based on the incorporation of metal dopants in substitutional or interstitial positions in semiconductors structure, which can generate trap levels within the band gap. Such trap levels can form narrow bands that are located below the minimum edge of the conduction band. Consequently, electrons can be excited at these levels, exploiting lower-energy photons than such required to excite the pristine material, to become trapped, with the holes having enough lifetime for water oxidation; electrons-holes recombination is moreover suppressed, thus increasing the overall process efficiency [87, 102]. Another strategy consists on the use of co-catalysts, such as the

case of Barton and coworkers who have used a catalyzed p-GaP based photoelectrochemical cell for the reduction of CO₂ to methanol [63].

In the following sections, emphasis will be made on describing the basic mechanisms and recent developments on TiO₂-based photocatalysts, aimed to enhance their CO₂ conversion photoactivity.

4 Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with titania-based materials

The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to sustainable fuels is a challenging and promising application, because it offers many advantages, for instance:

- CO₂ photo-catalytic reduction can be carried out in moderately mild condition, e.g. at room temperature and pressure;
- CO₂ is readily available as a key carbon source, which can be used infinitely to produce clean solar energy;
- Short-chain hydrocarbon can be obtained as photocatalytic products from the CO₂ reduction process, thus helping to overcome the energy crisis problem to a certain extent;
- This technology could favourably be used to replace fossil fuels with CO₂ as a C source in the chemical industry.

In recent years, a great deal of effort has been directed towards the conversion of CO₂ to hydrocarbons or renewable fuels in both liquid or gaseous phases [19, 112-125], using various semiconductor photocatalysts, mostly under UV light sources to simultaneously overcome the global heat problems and to fulfil the future energy demands. After the pioneer work of Fujishima and Honda on water photo-splitting over TiO₂ [98], attention on photocatalysis approaches have not only been developed on that specific era, but different applications from a laboratory to an industrial scale have emerged by using different semiconductor materials.

As mentioned briefly above, one of the first works on photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ in an aqueous solution was carried out by Inoue et al. [17]. In such work, different semiconductors, e.g. TiO₂, WO₃, ZnO, CdS, GaP and SiC were used to produce energy bearing products such as formaldehyde (HCHO), formic acid (HCOOH), methyl alcohol (CH₃OH), trace amounts of methane (CH₄) and carbon monoxide (CO), under xenon and mercury-lamps illumination. Subsequently, numerous investigators have tried to boost the photocatalytic technology by using CO₂ as a reducing agent in presence of H₂O vapour and other solvents [32, 103-111].

Subsequently, various photocatalyst oxides and non-oxides, such as TiO₂, CdS, ZnS, SiC, WO₃, Fe₂O₃, ZrO₂, Ga₂O₃, ZnO, and MgO₂, have been used extensively for photocatalytic reduction [1, 125]. However, some of these catalysts suffer from certain limitations, e.g. ZnO is highly unstable as it dissolves immediately in water to form Zn(OH)₂, which causes the catalyst inactivation. In addition, Fe₂O₃, and WO₃ have conduction band edges below the reversible hydrogen potential, thus an external electrical bias is needed to complete the splitting of H₂O for H₂ production [126]. Therefore, the major criterion for an efficient semiconductor photocatalyst is that the redox potential of the e⁻/h⁺ should lie within the band gap domain of the photocatalyst in order to obtain a better efficiency of the CO₂ reduction. Of all these above-mentioned materials, TiO₂ is one of the few catalysts able to satisfy all of the requirements that a good photocatalyst should have. TiO₂ is a widely used semiconductor, due to its adequate reduction potential value for CO₂ (-0.24V) towards methane formation [20]. Moreover, its holes are strongly oxidizing and redox selective. In addition, TiO₂ is an environmentally friendly material, which shows a good oxidation power, strong resistance to chemicals and photo corrosion, non-toxicity, superior charge transport properties, a low operational temperature, low cost, and significantly low energy consumption. Over the last few years, TiO₂ has drawn the attention of many scientists, due to its different potential applications.

In particular, for which regard the photoreduction of CO₂ over TiO₂ based materials, such trend is dramatically increasing every year because of the unique aforementioned properties of the TiO₂ and its easy availability. TiO₂ can be used in its pure form (bare), or doped with different metals and non-metals, or even in a highly dispersed form within mesoporous silica, zeolites or carbon materials to enhance its photoactivity, as shown in Table 3. It is evident that to date, many investigations have

been focused on this area, in order to obtain good productivity and selectivity for specific products, but this approach is still far from being able to offer the desired efficiency. The development of new technological approaches [127], application of innovative synthesis methods for the formation of high surface area nanostructured catalysts [128], as well as investigation on new co-catalysts to be coupled to the TiO₂ might be some of the ways of enhancing the photocatalytic activity. Moreover, research activities on this field should have the objective of not only establishing robust technologies and materials that can be used for this application, but also of developing cost effective ones [104, 105, 129-131].

Table 3. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on bare, doped and highly dispersed TiO₂ based materials

Photocatalysts	Reductants	Light source	Products	References
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	450 Xe lamp	CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄	Adachi et al. (1994) [132]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	75 W high-pressure Hg	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH, CO	Anpo et al. (1995) [129]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	75 W high-pressure	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH, CO	Yamashita et al. (1995) [104]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O, 0.2M, NaOH solution	4.5kW Xe lamp	HCOOH, CH ₃ OH, CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ OH, C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₂	Mizuno et al. (1996) [130]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O, liquid CO ₂	990W Xe lamp	HCOOH	Kaneco et al. (1997) [131]
Pt-TiO ₂ /Y zeolite	H ₂ O	75 W high-pressure Hg lamp $\lambda > 280$ nm,	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Anpo et al. (1997) [105]
TiO ₂ nanocrystals SiO ₂ matrices	1M 2-propanol, solution	500W high-pressure Hg arc lamp	HCOO ⁻ , CO	Liu et al. (1997) [133]
TiO ₂ (P25)	Isopropyl alcohol	4.2 Kw Xe lamp	CH ₄ , HCOOH	Kaneco et al. (1998) [134]
Ti-MCM-41, Ti-MCM-48	H ₂ O vapor	High-pressure Hg lamp, $\lambda > 280$ nm	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Anpo et al. (1998) [131]
Rh/TiO ₂	H ₂	Ultra high pressure Hg lamp $\lambda = 290-450$	CH ₄	Kohno et al. (1999) [135]
TiO ₂	Methanol, ethanol 2-propanol, nitric, hydrochloric, and phosphoric acid	0.96wK Xe lamp	HCOOH	Kaneco et al. (1999) [136]
TiO ₂ /SiO ₂ , Ti-MCM-41	H ₂ O	100W high-pressure Hg lamp	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Ikeue et al. (2002) [137]
Ti/Si hexagonal and cubic	H ₂ O	100W high-pressure Hg lamp	CH ₃ OH CH ₄	Shioya et al. (2003) [138]
Ti-SBA-15	H ₂ O	Mercury lamp	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Hwang et al. (2004) [139]
TiO ₂ suspension	H ₂ O	$\lambda=350$ nm	CH ₄	Dey et al. (2004) [140]

Cu/TiO ₂	H ₂ O	UV light	CH ₃ OH	Wu et al. (2005) [141]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	UV light	CH ₄	Tan et al. (2006) [108]
TiO ₂ (P25)	H ₂ O, H ₂	15W UVA $\lambda=365\text{nm}$	CH ₄ , CO, C ₂ H ₆	Lo et al. (2007) [113]
TiO ₂ pellets	H ₂ O vapors	UVC 253.7nm	CH ₄ , CO, H ₂	Tan et al. (2007) [112]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	15W UVA lamp, $\lambda=365\text{nm}$	CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ OH, HCOOH	Xia et al. (2007) [109]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	200W Hg/Xe lamps	H ₂ , CH ₄	Tan et al. (2008) [142]
Pure TiO ₂ anatase	H ₂ O	8W Hg lamp, $\lambda=254\text{nm}$	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Koci et al. (2009) [20]
Cu/TiO ₂ -SiO ₂	H ₂ O	Xe lamp	CO, CH ₄	Li et al. (2010) [141]
Ag/TiO ₂	H ₂ O	8W Hg lamp, $\lambda=1254\text{nm}$	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Koci et al. (2010) [144]
TiO ₂	H ₂ O	UV light	CO	Dimitrijevic et al. (2011) [145]
Ti-SBA-15	H ₂ O vapor	120W high pressure Hg lamp	CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₄ , C ₂ H ₆	Yang et al. (2011) [121]
Bulk TiO ₂ , Ti Oxide	H ₂ O	UV lamp	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Mori et al. (2012) [124]
Cu(I)/TiO _{2-x}	H ₂ O vapor	150W solar simulator	CO	Liu et at. (2012) [146]
Cu-I/TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	UV light	CO, CH ₄ , CH ₃ Cl	Zhang et al. (2012) [147]
Pt/TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	100W high pressure Hg lamp	CH ₄	Uner and Oymak (2012) [148]
Ce/TiO ₂ SBA-15	H ₂ O vapor	UV Xe lamp	CO, CH ₄	Zhao et al. (2012) [149]
R/TiO ₂ modified A/TiO ₂ nanorods	H ₂ O vapor	UV Hg lamp	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH, H ₂ , CO	Wang et al. (2012) [150]
Ti-KIT-6	H ₂ O vapor	UV 300W	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH	Hussain et al. (2013) [19]
Au/Ti/SBA	H ₂ O	200W Hg lamp	CH ₄	Mei et al. (2013) [151]
Montmorillonite/TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	200 W Hg lamp	CH ₄ , CO	Tahir et al. (2013) [152]
Ti-KIT-6	H ₂ O vapor	UV light	CH ₄ , CH ₃ OH, H ₂ , CO	Akhter et al. (2014) [18]
GaP/TiO ₂ Pt- GaP/TiO ₂ Pd- GaP/TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	Visible light	CH ₄	Marcì et al. (2014) [153]
Ni/TiO ₂	H ₂ O vapor	UV/Visible light	H ₂ , CH ₃ OH, CH ₃ CH ₂ OH, CH ₃ CHO	Ola et al. (2014) [154]

Ce/TiO ₂	100ml H ₂ O + 0.2 mol/l NaOH	UV 8 W Hg lamp	CH ₄ , H ₂	Matejová et al. (2014) [155]
Au/TiO ₂	75 mL of aqueous suspension containing 25 vol.% methanol in water	UV Source	H ₂	Ortega et al. (2014) [156]
Ag-Au-Pd/TiO ₂	Thermostated water	UV-vis irradiation (1000 W Xenon lamp)	CH ₄	Cybula et al. (2015) [157]
Noble metal-doped graphene oxide/TiO ₂ ternary composites	H ₂ O vapor	15 W energy-saving daylight bulb	CH ₄	Tan et al. (2015) [158]
TiO ₂ /InP	[EMIM]BF ₄	0.15 W 532 nm laser	CO	Zeng et. al. (2015) [159]
Ag-TiO ₂ nanocomposites	DI water	Visible light	CH ₄	Feng et. al.(2015)[158]
N-doped TiO ₂	H ₂	UV source (100W Hg reflector lamp)	CH ₄ , CO	Tahir et. al. (2015) [160]
ReP–TiO ₂ hybrid	5:1 DMF/TEOA	UV-filtered simulated solar light (100lmW cm ⁻² , λ>420 nm)	CO	Windle et. al. (2015) [162]
Au/Cu alloy - SrTiO ₃ /TiO ₂	hydrous hydrazine (N ₂ H ₄ ·H ₂ O)	UV/Vis light	CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₂ H ₄ , and C ₃ H ₆	Kang et. al. (2015) [163]
Pt/SrTiO ₃ – Rh/Pt/CuAlGaO ₄ WO ₃	AM 1.5G	2MmM FeCl ₂ /FeCl ₃	CH ₃ OH, H ₂ ,O ₂	Cheng et. al. (2015) [164]
Ni–TiO ₂	H ₂ O	6 (3W/cm ²) UV lamps	CH ₄	Kwak et. al. (2015) [165]
N–TiO ₂ /spirulina	H ₂ O	13 W lamp	CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₂ H ₄ , ,C ₃ H ₆ and H ₂	Phongamwong et. al. (2015)[166]

Two major types of ‘Heterogeneous photocatalysis’ have been studied extensively over the last few years: one is the bulk semiconductor photocatalyst and the other is the matrix dispersed photocatalyst [167-169]. Both types have been used for their potential to help solve environmental problems, as well as those related to energy generation and the synthesis of organic materials (methane, methanol, carbon monoxide, formic acid, formaldehyde, and other hydrocarbons etc.). The modification of photocatalysts with various species that can enhance its performance is considered a vital step. Different approaches have been used by various researchers on the modification of titania, as summarized in Figure 9 and discussed in the following sub-sections of this review.




Figure 9. Various routes for TiO₂ modification for the enhanced photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to fuels.

4.1 Molecular interactions of CO₂ on titania photocatalysts

As mentioned before, titania has become one of the favoured photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction because of its stability, low cost, non-toxicity and its superior charge transport. Despite all the advantages of titania as a photocatalyst the conversion efficiency of CO₂ is still too low for industrial applications. Further progress requires a well knowledge of CO₂ interactions at atomic level with titania at its exposed surfaces.

Titania exist in three crystalline form: anatase, brookite and rutile. The rutile and anatase phases are the more extensively studied, with anatase being regarded as the more photocatalytically active phase [170]. As discussed previously, it is believed that formation of the radical anion CO₂⁻ is the first step toward CO₂ reduction. He *et. al.* [171] reported that CO₂⁻ radicals can be formed on the surface of titania anatase. They used first-principle calculations to determine the binding configuration of CO₂ and CO₂⁻ radicals on perfect and oxygen-deficient anatase (101) surfaces by using both cluster and periodic models. They concluded that the reduction potential of adsorbed CO₂ on a (101) surface is lower by 0.24 V than the reduction potential of a CO₂ molecule, both in aqueous solution, due to the formation of hybridized orbitals, which facilitates charge transfer to CO₂ [171].

Further density functional theory (DFT) calculations on brookite (210) and anatase (101) surfaces demonstrated that the brookite (210) surface has the same building blocks as the anatase (101) one [172]. However, in a more recent work, Andino *et. al.* [173] demonstrated by computational DFT calculations that an unmodified brookite (210) surface will not result in charge transfer to CO₂ by itself and, at the same time, presence of oxygen vacancies within the brookite (210) surface will enhance the interaction and charge transfer to CO₂ molecule.

A comparison of the most stable sites of anatase titania, i.e. (001) and (101) surfaces, for CO₂ molecular interactions has been reported by Ferrari *et. al.* [174]. As shown in Figure 10, their results of DFT calculations and simulations demonstrate that a high activity of (001) surface can be due to a stronger basicity of the surface oxygen sites; as a result, carbonate and bicarbonate formation occurs in this site. On the other hand, in the most stable (101) surface site, CO₂ is less adsorbed and retains its molecular properties.





Figure 10. Investigated bicarbonates on the anatase (101) and anatase (001) surface. Oxygen, titanium, carbon, and hydrogen atoms are represented in yellow, red, blue, and gray, respectively. Adapted from [174].

4.2 Pure/bulk titania photocatalysts

In heterogeneous photocatalysis, two or more phases are used in the photocatalytic reaction: 1) a light source with semiconductor material is used to initiate the photoreaction, 2) a catalyst is used to carry out substrate oxidation or reduction reactions. Bulk semiconductor photocatalysts include metal oxides, sulphides, nitrides, oxynitrides and titanium dioxides, the latter being the most frequently used photocatalysts in CO₂ photoreduction. As shown in Figure 11-A, in the case of bulk TiO₂ materials, upon UV irradiation, the absorption of photons with equal or greater energy than the band gap energy of the semiconductor (3.2 eV for anatase) produces e⁻/h⁺ pairs, as shown in Eq. (4.1).



This leads to the promotion of electrons towards CB and to the holes being left behind in the VB. Consequently, the TiO₂ particle can act as either an electron donor or acceptor for the molecules in the surrounding medium. Thus, the overall efficiency of the photocatalytic activity and product selectivity of the bulk titania is low, because of some limitations of the bulk TiO₂, for example, the chance of charge recombination is higher and the lifetime of the photogenerated e⁻/h⁺ pairs is very short (nanoseconds), both of which could have adverse effects on CO₂ photocatalytic activity.

The reduction of CO₂ with H₂O often results in the formation of CH₄, CH₃OH, HCHO and CO (see Figure 11-A). The yields of these products are increased through an increase in the duration of the UV light, which is a clear indication that their formation from the CO₂ reduction with H₂O vapour takes place in a solid-gas phase system with powdered TiO₂.




Figure 11.. Reaction schemes for the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 with H_2O on (A) bulk TiO_2 [124]; (B) sensitization of a wide band gap semiconductor (B-a) narrow band gap with an aligned conduction band, (B-b) a dye that absorbs in the visible region [175]; (C-a) doping of a semiconductor photocatalyst with metals for electron trapping, (C-b) surface and bulk electron carrier trappings[176]; (D) the isolated titanium oxide species proposed by Anpo et al.[124], (E) reduced graphene oxide- TiO_2 nanocomposites [177].

4.3 Nanostructured titania and single crystal titania photocatalysts

Nanostructured TiO_2 has been explored in various shapes, including nanospheres (zero dimensional) [178-180], nanofibers (one dimensional) [178, 180-184], nanotubes (one dimensional) [185-187], nanorods (one dimensional) [140, 188, 189], nanosheets (two dimensional) [179, 190-195] and TiO_2 interconnected architectures (three dimensional) [19, 196-201], etc., all of which have been used for photocatalysis applications.

The photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 with H_2O on rutile-type single crystal TiO_2 (100) and TiO_2 (110) surfaces was performed by Yamashita et al. [202], who found that selectivity of the photocatalytic reactions depends to a great extent on the type of TiO_2 crystal surface. UV-irradiation of a TiO_2 (100) single crystal catalyst in the presence of a mixture of CO_2 and H_2O produced hydrocarbons, CH_4 and CH_3OH with 3.5 and $2.4 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{g-cat}^{-1}$ yields, respectively, but a limitation for a TiO_2 (110) single crystal (only $0.84 \mu\text{mol h}^{-1} \text{g-cat}^{-1} \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ and no CH_4 formation). However, the photo-generated electrons that localize on the surface sites of excited TiO_2 participate with CO_2 molecules to form intermediate and surface Ti atoms, which act as reductive sites. Consequently, a TiO_2 (100) single crystal has shown more photocatalytic activity towards hydrocarbon formation [202].

In more recent work, He *et. al.* [203] used anatase TiO_2 catalysts with various morphologies, such as nanoparticle, nanotube, and nanosheet, which were synthesized through a hydrothermal method. The main products of photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 in aqueous TiO_2 suspensions were found to be methane, methanol, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide, and H_2 . In such work TiO_2 sheets showed to be more active due to high-energy exposed (001) facets, which facilitates the oxidative reaction of H_2O with photogenerated holes, leaving more photogenerated electrons available for the reduction of CO_2 on the (101) facets [203].

4.4 Dye sensitization and doped titania photocatalysts

Narrow-band semiconductors or visible-light-active molecules (dyes) are generally used as sensitizing agents, as can be seen in Figure 11-B. Sensitization with dyes is a commonly used technique in solar and photoelectrochemical cells, and a large number of inorganic or organic dyes have been adopted. Similarly, coupled semiconductors, for instance, CdS and TiO₂, or CdSe and ZnO, have been used to enhance the efficiency, through the extension of the absorption range, and to improve the charges separation [204]. The LUMO of the dye has to be higher in energy than the conduction band edge of the semiconductor for electron transfer to occur [205].

The dye sensitization process is only limited because of a narrow band gap. If these approaches are not introduced, the visible or solar light is insufficient, and this causes the reduction of photoactivity. Wu J.C.S. [206] found that the CH₄ production from the CO₂ reduction over N3-dye–Cu (0.5wt%)–Fe(0.5 wt%)/TiO₂-P25 increased when moving from a solar to artificial light response. Thus, the used light source and its intensity have an effect, *i.e.* 0.617 μmol CH₄/g-cat h and 0.84 μmol CH₄/g-cat h were produced with an average concentrated sunlight intensity of 60 mW/cm² and 225 mW/cm², respectively, employing artificial light in the 320–500 nm range.

Moreover, Yang et al. [207] have described the possibility of a contamination effect by residual C in the catalyst. They demonstrated that carbon residues present on the catalyst surface were involved in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CO. It was observed that these carbon residue, which are mainly originated by Ti alkoxides and polyethylene glycol (PEG) during the TiO₂ synthesis, can be effectively removed by prolonged exposure of the catalyst surface to UV irradiation in the presence of H₂O vapor, other than by another methods like thermal treatment in air. Due to observation of adsorbed ¹²CO as the main product rather than ¹³CO over Cu(I)/TiO₂, it was concluded that ¹²C originating from carbon residues was the predominant carbon source. By Isotopic labelling of Ag, Au and Pd–TiO₂ samples tested for CO₂ reduction, it was confirmed that the formation of CH₄ was due to organic impurities present on the catalysts and not to ¹³CO₂ [157].

The main barrier to the photocatalytic activity of a titania catalyst is the higher rate of recombination of e⁻ and h⁺ pairs. The best way of overcoming this problem and of preventing the recombination of e⁻ and h⁺ pairs, is to load the photocatalysts with metals and noble metals, which function as charge-carrier traps, or to induce the activity with isolated Ti-species. The surface defects sites and inequalities that are present on the catalyst surface, as well as in the bulk, participate as charge carrier traps and suppress the recombination of electrons and holes, as can be seen in Figure 11-C. However, different studies on CO₂ reduction with H₂O have been carried out in order to improve photoactivity. Some modified titania, doped with metals such as Cu with loadings from 0.3 to 1.0 wt%, reduced the CH₄ production, but promote the CH₃OH formation [208]. It was then suggested that a further increase in the Cu load on TiO₂ would increase the CH₃OH yield significantly. 3wt% Cu/TiO₂ was found to be optimized with maximum of three times higher activity for methanol production than the original TiO₂ [209]

In another work by Wu *et. al.*, it was observed that the maximum methanol yield (0.45 μ mole/g-cat·h) was obtained by using 1.2 wt% Cu/TiO₂ that was tested under increasing light intensities from 1 to 6 W/cm² [141].

In a recent work, Fang *et. al.* [210] used Hierarchical CuO–TiO₂ hollow microspheres for photodriven reduction of CO₂ to CH₄. As a result, the CuO-incorporated TiO₂ hollow microsphere catalyst demonstrates much higher photocatalytic activity toward the photoreduction of CO₂ with H₂O into CH₄ compared with commercial Degussa P25 TiO₂ (see Figure 12) [210]. Similarly, Pt/TiO₂ can favour the production of CH₄, which has been shown to increase remarkably as Pt loading is increased from 0.1 to 1.0 % on titania [129].

Non-metal dopants effectively redshift the TiO₂ photocatalyst system and lead to a narrowing of the band gap. Various studies have been reported on non-metal substitution with N, C, F, P, and S, but N-doping has been found to be more effective and to lead to better photocatalytic activity than

the other non-metals [211]. Sathish *et al.* also reported higher photocatalytic activity for a N-TiO₂ system, and found that the photocatalytic activity of N-TiO₂ to visible light was higher than pure TiO₂ and P25 [212]. The photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O vapour using nitrogen doped TiO₂ nanotube arrays, co-catalyzed with copper and/or Pt nanoparticles, has been investigated by Varghese *et al.* [115]. Methane was obtained as the main product with a yield of 160 μL/gh; however, some other hydrocarbons were also produced in the presence of natural sunlight. In addition, another study on N-doped TiO₂ has recently been carried out by Michalkiewicz *et al.* [213] and, in such case, methanol was found as the main CO₂ reduction product. In general, it has been observed that the TiO₂ photocatalytic efficiency depends mainly on the employed synthesis route, the high surface area and the composition of its crystal phase.





Figure 12. A comparison for CH₄ and CO production by photoreduction of CO₂ with P25, TiO₂ hollow spheres and CuO-TiO₂ hollow spheres. Adapted from [210]

4.5 Ti-oxide anchored on porous silica glass, zeolite, and mesoporous molecular sieve materials

In another type of heterogeneous photocatalyst, the isolated species, which are entrapped in an inert matrix with a large surface area, have a good adsorption property of the reactants and light, and have enhanced photoactivity towards fuel formation. In addition, in isolated Ti-highly dispersed species, photogenerated charges e⁻/h⁺ pairs are very close to each other, which play a very significant role in the photocatalysis process of CO₂ reduction.

In such a way, isolated and tetrahedrally coordinated Ti-oxide species, under light irradiation, transfers an electron from the oxygen O²⁻ to the Ti⁴⁺ ions, and this results in the transit state formation of pairs of trapped hole centers O⁻ and electron centers Ti³⁺, which are located quite near to each other, compared to the electron and hole produced in bulk titania photocatalysts [124]:



Moreover, because of this unique property, Ti-isolated species play a significant role in different photocatalytic reactions. The reaction mechanism of CO₂ reduction and H₂O oxidation is elucidated in isolated Ti-species, as can be seen in Figure 11-D. Currently, these procedures are used extensively in the CO₂ reduction process, as the photocatalytic productivity and selectivity towards valuable fuel products is higher in isolated titania than in bulk titania.

The anchoring of Ti-oxide catalysts has led to the evolution of CH₄, CH₃OH and CO from the CO₂ reduction with H₂O at 323 K. The total yield was larger under UV-irradiation at 323 K than at 275 K. The efficiency of the photocatalytic reaction depends to a great extent on the H₂O/CO₂ ratio, and its activity increases when the H₂O/CO₂ ratio is increased, but an excess amount of H₂O suppresses the reaction. Hence, an optimum H₂O/CO₂ should also be considered to obtain the highest photocatalytic activity. Sasirekha *et al.* [107] investigated the yield of CH₄, which was shown to

increase significantly as a result of a photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O when titania was doped on a SiO₂ support material.

In the same way than powdered TiO₂, Ti-oxide anchored onto zeolites, e.g. Y-zeolite, have been used for the CO₂ reduction in presence of H₂O under UV-irradiation and have led to the evolution of CH₄ and CH₃OH at 328 K, while a certain number of lower hydrocarbons, such as C₂H₄ and C₂H₆, have also been observed [41]. As shown in the Figure 13, Ti-oxide species with a tetrahedral coordination anchored by ion-exchange on Y-zeolite (*i.e.* sample ex-Ti-oxide/Y-zeolite) exhibit high activity and selectivity for the formation of CH₃OH; while the formation of CH₄ is dominant on both bulk TiO₂ and TiO₂ prepared by impregnation onto Y-zeolite (*i.e.* sample imp-Ti-oxide/Y-zeolite). In addition, improved photocatalytic activity towards CH₄ (12 μmol g⁻¹ TiO₂-h⁻¹) has been observed, following the deposition of Pt, but the CH₃OH selectivity has been significantly decreased. Thus, the results indicate that Ti-oxides tetrahedral coordinated species serve as active photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction with H₂O, and that their selectivity towards CH₄ formation can increase by doping with metals [124].




Figure 13. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on anatase TiO₂ powder, Ti-oxide anchored on Y-zeolite prepared by different preparation methods (*i.e.* imp: impregnation and ex: ion exchange) with various titania wt% loadings. Adapted from [124]

The CH₄ and CH₃OH yields for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ and H₂O on Ti-oxides supported on various porous materials are shown in Figure 14, The relationship between the selectivity for the formation of CH₃OH and the number of surface OH groups on the porous materials with respect to its effect on the reactivity of the Ti-oxide has been investigated. It has been found that the highest apparent photocatalytic reactivity for the formation of CH₃OH is achieved on a thin transparent film catalyst with a hexagonal mesopore structure (*i.e.* Ti-PS, h, 50). Even though, the selectivity for the formation of CH₃OH was the highest on the film with a cubic mesopore structure (*i.e.* Ti-PS, c, 50) and with the lowest number of surface OH groups [214]. With such a thin transparent film catalyst, the number of photons absorbed by the Ti-oxide catalysts was obtained by subtracting the number of outlet photons from the number of incident photons. As a result, it was possible to determine the real quantum yield for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on tetrahedrally-coordinated Ti-oxides constructed within porous silica materials to be 0.3% at room temperature (at 25 °C), by dividing the total number of photo-formed products (such as CH₄ and CH₃OH, etc.) by the number of photons absorbed by the catalysts.




Figure 14. CH₄ and CH₃OH yields for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on Ti-PS(h 25), Ti-PS(c, 50), Ti-MCM-41, the powdered form of Ti-PS(h, 50) and Ti-PS(h, 50) photocatalysts at 295 K. Intensity of the irradiated light: 265 mW cm⁻². Adapted from [203].

The Ti-oxide species within mesoporous silica have been shown to have a distinctive structure and high selectivity in the oxidation of organic substances with hydrogen peroxide [215]. However, Ti-containing zeolites, such as TS-1, Ti-Beta and mesoporous molecular sieves Ti-MCM, Ti-HMS, Ti-FSM, as shown in the Figure 15, have better photocatalytic activity for CH₄ and CH₃OH formation.




Figure 15. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on TiO₂ powder, TS-1, Ti-MCM-41, Ti-MCM-48, and the Pt-loaded Ti-MCM-48 catalysts towards CH₄ and CH₃OH formation. Adapted from [124]

Ti-oxide in the mesoporous molecular sieve can be highly dispersed, which is able to improve hydrocarbons formation. For instance, CH₄ formation was shown to be higher in a metal-doped mesoporous molecular sieves (more than 13 μmol·g⁻¹TiO₂·h⁻¹), while the selectivity of CH₃OH formation was higher in Ti-MCM 48 (almost 3 μmol·g⁻¹TiO₂·h⁻¹) because of its 3-D pore structure as well as its larger pores size. Hence, a 3D pore structure facilitates not only good dispersion of the active sites [200], but also a good diffusion of the reactant and products, and hence an improved photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ towards different fuels.

4.6 Nanocomposites or supported titania photocatalysts

Ti/Si binary oxide catalysts, in the presence of a gaseous mixture of CO₂ and H₂O, form CH₄ and CH₃OH as the main products. A greater photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ has been observed for hydrocarbon formation. The high photocatalytic activity for the binary oxides is closely associated with the formation of the charge transfer excited complex due to the highly dispersed tetrahedral Ti-oxide species. Ti-oxide species maintain a tetrahedral geometry until the TiO₂ content reaches approximately 20 wt%. Accordingly, Ti/Si binary oxides with such a high Ti content can be successfully utilized as active photocatalysts for the efficient reduction of CO₂ with H₂O in solid-gas systems. However, Wu J.C.S. *et. al.* [206] noticed that methane formation increased for the loading of mixed oxides with metal components, such as Cu and Fe. They -acac, showed a higher production rate with a Cu(0.5wt%)-Fe(0.5wt%)/TiO₂-SiO₂ catalysts (~ 0.279 μmol/g-cat.h) than with the undoped mixed oxide, *i.e.* TiO₂-SiO₂ (~ 0.177 μmol/g-cat.h).

Hussain *et al.* [216] have recently introduced TiO₂/Mesoporous silica (KIT-6) systems that can be used to photocatalytically convert CO₂ to tunable energy products. Different TiO₂ loadings on 3-D mesoporous silica, which produced CH₄, CH₃OH (hydrocarbons) and CO, H₂ (similar to syngas), were explored. The 3-D ordered pore structure of the KIT-6 support was a convenient source for less recombination of the produced electron-hole pairs. This support was also helpful in the adsorption and transportation of reactants and products.

Recently, interesting results have been obtained also by employing titania composites with carbon nanomaterials, that is, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and graphene. Overall, only a few studies have been conducted on carbon-based composite materials for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂. It has been reported that the photo-oxidation of organic compounds increases when CNTs and graphene are added to TiO₂ [217]. In such a way, electrons are fast transferred from TiO₂ to the CNTs or graphene sheet, which results in an enhancement of the photocatalytic activity response [116] and can lead to the promotion of the TiO₂/C-based composite response to visible light. A narrowing of the band gap of graphene/TiO₂ photocatalysts have been observed, as shown in Figure 11-E [177]. However, presence of defects in the graphene have shown a negative enhancing effect on the recombination rate of photogenerated e⁻/h⁺ pairs.

Multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) supported anatase and rutile TiO₂ have also been explored recently in the context of photocatalytically converting CO₂ to produce different products, for instance C₂H₅OH and HCOOH [105]. MWCNT supports help to mitigate the TiO₂ agglomeration and less recombination of electrons and holes by their efficient charges transport along the MWCNT. L.-L. Tan *et. al.* [158] have recently also reported noble metal modified reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂ ternary nanostructures for the visible-light-driven photoreduction of carbon dioxide into methane. Shown and compared in Figure 16, the three-component nanocomposites, in particular Pt-GT, exhibit enhanced photocatalytic activities towards the photoreduction of CO₂ to CH₄ gas under the irradiation of normal light bulbs. However, the yield of the system is still not sufficient and further studies, aimed to explore more efficient systems for commercialization, are already needed.




Figure 16. Noble metal modified reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂ (GT) ternary nanostructures for the visible-light-driven photoreduction of carbon dioxide into methane (yield after 6h). Adapted from [158].

Many other materials have been reported in a recent review [218] as supports for TiO₂-based photocatalysts, with which the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ has been shown to vary according to the kind of support. Moreover, different support materials could result in different morphologies as well as in different particle sizes of TiO₂, or in different interactions between TiO₂ and the supports, which change activity and selectivity for the production of different compounds.

5 Summary: issues, progress and prospects

CO₂ plays a significant role in global warming, due to its important contribution to the greenhouse effect, and it is therefore currently considered a key challenge for the world. On the other hand, the depletion of fossil fuels throughout the world is dramatically increasing every year, because of the increasing energy demands of humans as well as the increased global population. Therefore, in this scenario, much more attention should be paid to limit CO₂ emissions as well as to convert it into valuable chemicals and energy-bearing products, and in particular to the formation of fuels for the future generations.

The possible technologies for the conversion of CO₂ to valuable products include: CO₂ hydrogenation; urea synthesis; the electrochemical conversion of CO₂; the thermochemical conversion of CO₂; the utilization of CO₂ through biotechnological routes; the utilization of CO₂ through biomass, and the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to renewable fuels. However, of all these alternatives, the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to renewable fuels is the most promising to solve the problem of the energy crisis as well as to simultaneously protect the environment to some extent.

A proper selection of the photocatalyst is the first step towards enhancing photoactivity. Many photocatalysts, including oxides and non-oxides, e.g. TiO₂, ZnO, Fe₂O₃, ZrO₂, SnO₂, BiWO₃, Ti-MCM-41, CdS, TNTs, ZnS, GaN, SiC, etc., have been explored extensively in this process to produce valuable fuel products. Of all the above mentioned photocatalysts, TiO₂ has proved to be the best, from all the different points of view, to fulfil the requirements of an ideal photocatalyst. Unfortunately, pure bulk titania also shows a lower efficiency towards fuel formation, due to the greater chance of recombination of e⁻/h⁺ pairs and to the short lifetime of the photogenerated charges (in the nanoseconds scale).

Therefore, many efforts have been made, including the synthesis of nanostructured titania, single crystal titania, titania doped with metals or non-metals, dye sensitized titania, etc, in order to be able to utilize these materials more effectively to convert CO₂ into renewable energy products. However, most of these techniques are complex as well as costly.

On the other hand, isolated Ti-oxide species are more active and beneficial than pure bulk titania, due to the localized photogenerated e⁻/h⁺ pairs, which play a significant role in the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂. Moreover, there are fewer e⁻/h⁺ pair recombination as well as a longer lifetime of

photogenerated e^-/h^+ pairs (in the microseconds scale) compared to bulk TiO_2 . However, it is difficult to obtain abundant isolated sites dispersed on the porous support material and, moreover, they are more active under UV illumination.

Nanocomposites or supported TiO_2 systems have opened up new possibilities and have recently achieved promising results under UV as well as visible or solar light, but their low efficiency is still a key challenge for their commercialization. Therefore, the development of efficient active photocatalysts for this technology is still an ongoing quest.

A massive amount of scientific research on the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to enviable chemicals has revealed that better efficiency towards the desired products could be accomplished, but this technique is still at an embryonic stage, due to the lack of proficiency; moreover, the yield discrimination is very low for commercialization purposes. Therefore, although the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 is still at an embryonic stage, it appears promising for the future. Further improvements and modifications to this technique are needed to boost this process in the near future.

Finally, the key points that need to be considered for future improvements in the photocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to fuel are:

- The development of novel photocatalyst materials, not only in bare form (i.e. TiO_2), but also doped/coupled with metals, non-metals or composites with other supporting materials as well as the testing of novel ternary mixed oxides. The main focus should be on enhancing the properties of high band gap semiconductors by exploiting the visible part of the solar light, as well as by increasing the transport of photogenerated e^-/h^+ pairs and reducing its recombination rate.
- More detailed studies are recommended regarding the rate limiting step of CO_2 reduction photocatalysis, in order to understand the reaction kinetic of CO_2 adsorption and product desorption so as to enhance the overall efficiency of this process.
- The low photocatalytic efficiency of the CO_2 reduction process could be increased through in-depth optimization studies on all the key factors (i.e. on reaction mechanisms, catalysts activity, etc) or on the operational parameters that have an important influence on the activity (i.e. temperature, pressure, light concentration, etc.).
- The stability, since deactivation and regeneration phenomena in CO_2 photocatalytic reduction are still unclear, and in-depth studies are required to investigate all the involved factors as well as to understand the causes of the low efficiency of CO_2 reduction towards high valuable products.

Acknowledgements

The financial support from the Eco^2CO_2 European Project (309701-2 Eco^2CO_2 CP-FP FP7-NMP-2012-SMALL-6) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

1. Kubacka, A., M. Fernandez-Garcia, and G. Colon, *Advanced Nanoarchitectures for Solar Photocatalytic Applications*. Chemical Reviews, 2012. **112**(3): p. 1555-1614.
2. Roy, S.C., et al., *Toward Solar Fuels: Photocatalytic Conversion of Carbon Dioxide to Hydrocarbons*. Acs Nano, 2010. **4**(3): p. 1259-1278.
3. IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) 2014 *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Summary for policy " 2014*.
4. Demirbas, A., *Potential applications of renewable energy sources, biomass combustion problems in boiler power systems and combustion related environmental issues [Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 2005. **31**(2): p. 171-192.
5. K.Huang , C.L.S., Z.J. Shi, Chemical Society Reviews, 2011. **4**.
6. G. Centi, G.C., J.L.G. Fierro, J.M. Lopez Nieto, in *Direct conversion of methane, ethane and carbon dioxide to fuels and chemicals*, in *The Catalyst Group Resources*. 2008.

7. Schlaich, J., et al., *Design of commercial solar updraft tower systems - Utilization of solar induced convective flows for power generation*. Journal of Solar Energy Engineering-Transactions of the Asme, 2005. **127**(1): p. 117-124.
8. *SPIRE (Sustainable Process Industry through Resource and Energy Efficiency) Roadmap*. 2013.
9. Abe, T., et al., *Factors affecting selective electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction with cobalt phthalocyanine incorporated in a polyvinylpyridine membrane coated on a graphite electrode*. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 1996. **412**(1-2): p. 125-132.
10. Oregan, B. and M. Gratzel, *A Low-Cost, High-Efficiency Solar-Cell Based on Dye-Sensitized Colloidal TiO₂ Films*. Nature, 1991. **353**(6346): p. 737-740.
11. Bach, U., et al., *Nanomaterials-based electrochromics for paper-quality displays*. Advanced Materials, 2002. **14**(11): p. 845-848.
12. Periyat, P., et al., *Rapid microwave synthesis of mesoporous TiO₂ for electrochromic displays*. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2010. **20**(18): p. 3650-3655.
13. Chueh, W.C. and S.M. Haile, *Ceria as a Thermochemical Reaction Medium for Selectively Generating Syngas or Methane from H₂O and CO₂*. Chemsuschem, 2009. **2**(8): p. 735-739.
14. Blankenship, R.E., et al., *Comparing Photosynthetic and Photovoltaic Efficiencies and Recognizing the Potential for Improvement*. Science, 2011. **332**(6031): p. 805-809.
15. Halman, M., *Photoelectrochemical Reduction of Aqueous Carbon-Dioxide on P-Type Gallium-Phosphide in Liquid Junction Solar-Cells*. Nature, 1978. **275**(5676): p. 115-116.
16. Olah, G.A., A. Goepfert, and G.K.S. Prakash, *Chemical Recycling of Carbon Dioxide to Methanol and Dimethyl Ether: From Greenhouse Gas to Renewable, Environmentally Carbon Neutral Fuels and Synthetic Hydrocarbons*. Journal of Organic Chemistry, 2009. **74**(2): p. 487-498.
17. Inoue, T., et al., *Photoelectrocatalytic Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide in Aqueous Suspensions of Semiconductor Powders*. Nature, 1979. **277**(5698): p. 637-638.
18. Akhter, P., et al., *New nanostructured silica incorporated with isolated Ti material for the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to fuels*. Nanoscale Research Letters, 2014. **9**.
19. Hussain, M., et al., *Novel Ti-KIT-6 material for the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to methane*. Catalysis Communications, 2013. **36**: p. 58-62.
20. Koci, K., et al., *Effect of TiO₂ particle size on the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2009. **89**(3-4): p. 494-502.
21. Ozcan, O., et al., *Dye sensitized artificial photosynthesis in the gas phase over thin and thick TiO₂ films under UV and visible light irradiation*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2007. **71**(3-4): p. 291-297.
22. Qin, G.H., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to formic acid, formaldehyde, and methanol using dye-sensitized TiO₂ film*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2013. **129**: p. 599-605.
23. Hou, W.B., et al., *Photocatalytic Conversion of CO₂ to Hydrocarbon Fuels via Plasmon-Enhanced Absorption and Metallic Interband Transitions*. ACS Catalysis, 2011. **1**(8): p. 929-936.
24. *IPCC Third Assessment Report-Climate Change 2001*.
25. D.M. Etheridge, L.P.S., R.L. Langenfelds, R.J. Francey, J.M. Barnola, V.I. Morgan, *Historical CO₂ record from the Law Dome DE08, DE08-2, and DSS ice cores (atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, Antarctic ice cores)*. 1998, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center.
26. C.D. Keeling, T.P.W., *Atmospheric CO₂ records from sites in the SIO air sampling network*, in *Trends: A Compendium of Data on Global Change*. 2005, Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, U. S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, TN, USA.
27. CO₂.earth, *earth's CO₂*. 2016: <https://www.co2.earth/>.
28. Song, C., *Catalysis Today*, 2006. **115**: p. 30.
29. *What the Future Holds in Store*, in *World Climate Report, The Web's Longest-Running Climate Change Blog*. 2008.
30. Z. Jiang, T.X., V.L. Kuznetsov, P.P. Edward, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 2010. **368**: p. 22.
31. Jacobson, M.Z., *Control of fossil-fuel particulate black carbon and organic matter, possibly the most effective method of slowing global warming*. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 2002. **107**(D19).

32. Koci, K., L. Obalova, and Z. Lacny, *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ over TiO₂ based catalysts*. Chemical Papers, 2008. **62**(1): p. 1-9.
33. Kuramochi, T., et al., *Techno-economic prospects for CO₂ capture from distributed energy systems*. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2013. **19**: p. 328-347.
34. Riemer, P., *Greenhouse gas mitigation technologies, an overview of the CO₂ capture, storage and future activities of the IEA Greenhouse gas R&D programme*. Energy Conversion and Management, 1996. **37**(6-8): p. 665-670.
35. Meisen, A. and X.S. Shuai, *Research and development issues in CO₂ capture*. Energy Conversion and Management, 1997. **38**: p. S37-S42.
36. Dijkstra, J.W. and D. Jansen, *Novel concepts for CO₂ capture*. Energy, 2004. **29**(9-10): p. 1249-1257.
37. Mani, F., M. Peruzzini, and P. Stoppioni, *CO₂ absorption by aqueous NH₃ solutions: speciation of ammonium carbamate, bicarbonate and carbonate by a C-13 NMR*. Green Chemistry, 2006. **8**(11): p. 995-1000.
38. G. Centi, S.P., in: J. Garcia-Martinez, E. Serrano-Torregrosa (Eds.), *The Chemical Element: Chemistry's Contribution to Our Globe Future*. Wiley-VCH Publishing, 2011.
39. Colmenares, J.C., et al., *Nanostructured Photocatalysts and Their Applications in the Photocatalytic Transformation of Lignocellulosic Biomass: An Overview*. Materials, 2009. **2**(4): p. 2228-2258.
40. A.A. Lemonidou, J.V., I.A. Vasalos, *Carbon Dioxide Recovery and Utilization*. 2003(Springer-Verlag).
41. Usubharatana, P., et al., *Photocatalytic process for CO₂ emission reduction from industrial flue gas streams*. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2006. **45**(8): p. 2558-2568.
42. Saito, M., *R&D activities in Japan on methanol synthesis from CO₂ and H₂*. Catalysis Surveys from Japan, 1998. **2**(2): p. 175-184.
43. Rohde, M., et al., *Fischer-Tropsch synthesis with CO₂-containing syngas from biomass - Kinetic analysis of fixed bed reactor model experiments*. Carbon Dioxide Utilization for Global Sustainability, 2004. **153**: p. 97-102.
44. Sakakura, T., J.C. Choi, and H. Yasuda, *Transformation of carbon dioxide*. Chemical Reviews, 2007. **107**(6): p. 2365-2387.
45. G. Centi, S.P., in: K. Triantafyllidis, A. Lappas, M. Stöcker (Eds.), *The Role of Catalysis for the Sustainable Production of Bio-fuels and Bio-chemicals*. 2013(elsevier).
46. Centi, G. and S. Perathoner, *Opportunities and prospects in the chemical recycling of carbon dioxide to fuels*. Catalysis Today, 2009. **148**(3-4): p. 191-205.
47. Jingjie Wu, X.D.Z., *Catalytic conversion of CO₂ to value added fuels: Current status, challenges, and future directions*. Chinese Journal of Catalysis 2016. **37**: p. 999-1015.
48. M. Aulice scibioh , A.V., *Electrochemical reduction of Carbon dioxide: A status report*. Proc Indian Natn Sci Acad, 2004. **70**(3): p. 56.
49. I. Willner , R.M., D. Mandler , H. Durr , G. Dorr , K. Zengerle, J Am Chem Soc 1987, **109**, 6080-6086.
50. Aylmerke.Aw, et al., *Studies of Electrochemically Generated Reaction Intermediates Using Modulated Specular Reflectance Spectroscopy*. Faraday Discussions, 1973. **56**: p. 96-107.
51. Hashimoto, K., et al., *Advanced materials for global carbon dioxide recycling*. Materials Science and Engineering a-Structural Materials Properties Microstructure and Processing, 2001. **304**: p. 88-96.
52. (Ed.), J.L.G.F., *Metal Oxides: Chemistry and Applications*. 2006, CRC Press; Taylor & Francis Pub: Boca Raton, FL, USA. p. 26.
53. Ghenciu, A.F., *Review of fuel processing catalysts for hydrogen production in PEM fuel cell systems*. Current Opinion in Solid State & Materials Science, 2002. **6**(5): p. 389-399.
54. Tanaka, Y., et al., *CO removal from reformed fuel over Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts prepared by impregnation and coprecipitation methods*. Applied Catalysis a-General, 2003. **238**(1): p. 11-18.
55. A. Olah, A.G., G.K.S. Prakash, *Beyond Oil and Gas: The Methanol Economy, second updated and enlarged ed.* 2006, Weinheim, Germany: Wiley-VCH Pub.
56. Lachowska, M. and J. Skrzypek, *Ga, Mn and Mg promoted copper/zinc/zirconia - catalysts for hydrogenation of carbon dioxide to methanol*. Carbon Dioxide Utilization for Global Sustainability, 2004. **153**: p. 173-176.

57. Frusteri, F., et al., *Multifunctionality of Cu-ZnO-ZrO₂/H-ZSM5 catalysts for the one-step CO₂-to-DME hydrogenation reaction*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2015. **162**: p. 57-65.
58. Y. WANG , W.L.W., Y.X. CHEN,J.J. ZHENG,R.F. LI, *Synthesis of dimethyl ether from syngas using a hierarchically porous composite zeolite as the methanol dehydration catalyst*. Journal of Fuel Chemistry and Technology, 2013. **14**.
59. Parliament), S.E., *Methanol: a future transport fuel based on hydrogen and carbon dioxide*. 2014.
60. Gallou, I., *Unsymmetrical ureas. Synthetic methodologies and application in drug design*. Organic Preparations and Procedures International, 2007. **39**(4): p. 355-383.
61. Bockris, J.O. and J.C. Wass, *The Photoelectrocatalytic Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide*. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 1989. **136**(9): p. 2521-2528.
62. A.J. Bard, L.R.F., *Electrochemical methods: Fundamental and Applications*. 2001, New York: John Wiley & Sons .Inc.
63. Barton, E.E., D.M. Rampulla, and A.B. Bocarsly, *Selective solar-driven reduction of CO₂ to methanol using a catalyzed p-GaP based photoelectrochemical cell*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2008. **130**(20): p. 6342-+.
64. Jones, J.P., G.K.S. Prakash, and G.A. Olah, *Electrochemical CO₂ Reduction: Recent Advances and Current Trends*. Israel Journal of Chemistry, 2014. **54**(10): p. 1451-1466.
65. Gattrell, M., N. Gupta, and A. Co, *A review of the aqueous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons at copper*. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2006. **594**(1): p. 1-19.
66. Jitaru, M., *Journal of Chemical Technology and Metallurgy*, 2007. **42**: p. 12.
67. Hori, Y., K. Kikuchi, and S. Suzuki, *Production of Co and Ch4 in Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ at Metal-Electrodes in Aqueous Hydrogencarbonate Solution*. Chemistry Letters, 1985(11): p. 1695-1698.
68. Noda, H., et al., *Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide at Various Metal-Electrodes in Aqueous Potassium Hydrogen Carbonate Solution*. Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan, 1990. **63**(9): p. 2459-2462.
69. Ogura, K., R. Oohara, and Y. Kudo, *Reduction of CO₂ to ethylene at three-phase interface effects of electrode substrate and catalytic coating*. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2005. **152**(12): p. D213-D219.
70. Ogura, K., H. Yano, and T. Tanaka, *Selective formation of ethylene from CO₂ by catalytic electrolysis at a three-phase interface*. Catalysis Today, 2004. **98**(4): p. 515-521.
71. Li, C.W. and M.W. Kanan, *CO₂ Reduction at Low Overpotential on Cu Electrodes Resulting from the Reduction of Thick Cu₂O Films*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2012. **134**(17): p. 7231-7234.
72. Lacy, D.C., C.C.L. McCrory, and J.C. Peters, *Studies of Cobalt-Mediated Electrocatalytic CO₂ Reduction Using a Redox-Active Ligand*. Inorganic Chemistry, 2014. **53**(10): p. 4980-4988.
73. Smieja, J.M., et al., *Manganese as a Substitute for Rhenium in CO₂ Reduction Catalysts: The Importance of Acids*. Inorganic Chemistry, 2013. **52**(5): p. 2484-2491.
74. Bourrez, M., et al., *[Mn(bipyridyl)(CO)₃Br]: An Abundant Metal Carbonyl Complex as Efficient Electrocatalyst for CO₂ Reduction*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2011. **50**(42): p. 9903-9906.
75. Benson, E.E., et al., *The Electronic States of Rhenium Bipyridyl Electrocatalysts for CO₂ Reduction as Revealed by X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy and Computational Quantum Chemistry*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2013. **52**(18): p. 4841-4844.
76. Sampson, M.D., et al., *Direct observation of the reduction of carbon dioxide by rhenium bipyridine catalysts*. Energy & Environmental Science, 2013. **6**(12): p. 3748-3755.
77. Hawecker, J., J.M. Lehn, and R. Ziessel, *Electrocatalytic Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide Mediated by Re(Bipy)(Co)₃Cl(Bipy=2,2'-Bipyridine)*. Journal of the Chemical Society-Chemical Communications, 1984(6): p. 328-330.
78. Steffey, B.D., C.J. Curtis, and D.L. Dubois, *Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ Catalyzed by a Dinuclear Palladium Complex Containing a Bridging Hexaphosphine Ligand - Evidence for Cooperativity*. Organometallics, 1995. **14**(10): p. 4937-4943.

79. Hori, Y., et al., *Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide to Carbon-Monoxide at a Gold Electrode in Aqueous Potassium Hydrogen Carbonate*. Journal of the Chemical Society-Chemical Communications, 1987(10): p. 728-729.
80. Delacourt, C., P.L. Ridgway, and J. Newman, *Mathematical Modeling of CO₂ Reduction to CO in Aqueous Electrolytes I. Kinetic Study on Planar Silver and Gold Electrodes*. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2010. **157**(12): p. B1902-B1910.
81. Chen, Y.H., C.W. Li, and M.W. Kanan, *Aqueous CO₂ Reduction at Very Low Overpotential on Oxide-Derived Au Nanoparticles*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2012. **134**(49): p. 19969-19972.
82. Ma, S.C., et al., *Silver Supported on Titania as an Active Catalyst for Electrochemical Carbon Dioxide Reduction*. Chemsuschem, 2014. **7**(3): p. 866-874.
83. Hori, Y., et al., *Electrocatalytic Process of CO Selectivity in Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ at Metal-Electrodes in Aqueous-Media*. Electrochimica Acta, 1994. **39**(11-12): p. 1833-1839.
84. Prakash, G.K.S., F.A. Viva, and G.A. Olah, *Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ over Sn-Nafion (R) coated electrode for a fuel-cell-like device*. Journal of Power Sources, 2013. **223**: p. 68-73.
85. Wu, J.J., et al., *Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide I. Effects of the Electrolyte on the Selectivity and Activity with Sn Electrode*. Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2012. **159**(7): p. F353-F359.
86. Galvez, M.E., et al., *CO₂ splitting via two-step solar thermochemical cycles with Zn/ZnO and FeO/Fe₃O₄ redox reactions: Thermodynamic analysis*. Energy & Fuels, 2008. **22**(5): p. 3544-3550.
87. Diver, R.B., et al., *Solar thermochemical water-splitting ferrite-cycle heat engines*. Journal of Solar Energy Engineering-Transactions of the Asme, 2008. **130**(4).
88. Zhang, K.C., et al., *Expanding metabolism for biosynthesis of nonnatural alcohols*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2008. **105**(52): p. 20653-20658.
89. Chaudhary, Y.S., et al., *Visible light-driven CO₂ reduction by enzyme coupled CdS nanocrystals*. Chemical Communications, 2012. **48**(1): p. 58-60.
90. Woolerton, T.W., et al., *Efficient and Clean Photoreduction of CO₂ to CO by Enzyme-Modified TiO₂ Nanoparticles Using Visible Light*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2010. **132**(7): p. 2132-+.
91. Woolerton, T.W., et al., *CO₂ photoreduction at enzyme-modified metal oxide nanoparticles*. Energy & Environmental Science, 2011. **4**(7): p. 2393-2399.
92. Henstra, A.M., et al., *Microbiology of synthesis gas fermentation for biofuel production*. Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 2007. **18**(3): p. 200-206.
93. Sharma, Y.C., B. Singh, and S.N. Upadhyay, *Advancements in development and characterization of biodiesel: A review*. Fuel, 2008. **87**(12): p. 2355-2373.
94. Chisti, Y., *Biodiesel from microalgae*. Biotechnology Advances, 2007. **25**(3): p. 294-306.
95. Rani, S., et al., *Synthesis and applications of electrochemically self-assembled titania nanotube arrays*. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2010. **12**(12): p. 2780-2800.
96. Riduan, S.N., Y.G. Zhang, and J.Y. Ying, *Conversion of Carbon Dioxide into Methanol with Silanes over N-Heterocyclic Carbene Catalysts*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2009. **48**(18): p. 3322-3325.
97. Matsuo, T. and H. Kawaguchi, *From carbon dioxide to methane: Homogeneous reduction of carbon dioxide with hydrosilanes catalyzed by zirconium-borane complexes*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2006. **128**(38): p. 12362-12363.
98. Fujishima, A. and K. Honda, *Electrochemical Photolysis of Water at a Semiconductor Electrode*. Nature, 1972. **238**(5358): p. 37.
99. Aurianblajeni, B., M. Halmann, and J. Manassen, *Electrochemical Measurements on the Photo-Electrochemical Reduction of Aqueous Carbon-Dioxide on Para-Gallium Phosphide and Para-Gallium Arsenide Semiconductor Electrodes*. Solar Energy Materials, 1983. **8**(4): p. 425-440.
100. Halmann, M., M. Ulman, and B. Aurianblajeni, *Photochemical Solar Collector for the Photoassisted Reduction of Aqueous Carbon-Dioxide*. Solar Energy, 1983. **31**(4): p. 429-431.
101. Fujiwara, H., et al., *Surface characteristics of ZnS nanocrystallites relating to their photocatalysis for CO₂ reduction*. Langmuir, 1998. **14**(18): p. 5154-5159.
102. Neamen, D., *Semiconductor Physics and Devices Basic Principles* 4th ed. 2012.

103. Anpo, M., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on Ti-MCM-41 and Ti-MCM-48 mesoporous zeolite catalysts*. *Catalysis Today*, 1998. **44**(1-4): p. 327-332.
104. Yamashita, H., et al., *Photocatalytic Synthesis of CH₄ and CH₃OH from CO₂ and H₂O on Highly Dispersed Active Titanium-Oxide Catalysts*. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 1995. **36**(6-9): p. 617-620.
105. Anpo, M., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on titanium oxides anchored within micropores of zeolites: Effects of the structure of the active sites and the addition of Pt*. *Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 1997. **101**(14): p. 2632-2636.
106. Subrahmanyam, M., S. Kaneco, and N. Alonso-Vante, *A screening for the photo reduction of carbon dioxide supported on metal oxide catalysts for C-1-C-3 Selectivity*. *Applied Catalysis B-Environmental*, 1999. **23**(2-3): p. 169-174.
107. Sasirekha, N., S.J.S. Basha, and K. Shanthi, *Photocatalytic performance of Ru doped anatase mounted on silica for reduction of carbon dioxide*. *Applied Catalysis B-Environmental*, 2006. **62**(1-2): p. 169-180.
108. Tan, S.S., L. Zou, and E. Hu, *Photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide into gaseous hydrocarbon using TiO₂ pellets*. *Catalysis Today*, 2006. **115**(1-4): p. 269-273.
109. Xia, X.H., et al., *Preparation of multi-walled carbon nanotube supported TiO₂ and its photocatalytic activity in the reduction of CO₂ with H₂O*. *Carbon*, 2007. **45**(4): p. 717-721.
110. Graves, C., et al., *Sustainable hydrocarbon fuels by recycling CO₂ and H₂O with renewable or nuclear energy*. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2011. **15**(1): p. 1-23.
111. Liu, L.J. and Y. Li, *Understanding the Reaction Mechanism of Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on TiO₂-Based Photocatalysts: A Review*. *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 2014. **14**(2): p. 453-469.
112. Tan, S.S., L. Zou, and E. Hu, *Photosynthesis of hydrogen and methane as key components for clean energy system*. *Science and Technology of Advanced Materials*, 2007. **8**(1-2): p. 89-92.
113. Lo, C.C., et al., *Photoreduction of carbon dioxide with H₂ and H₂O over TiO₂ and ZrO₂ in a circulated photocatalytic reactor*. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 2007. **91**(19): p. 1765-1774.
114. Wu, J.C.S., et al., *Application of optical-fiber photoreactor for CO₂ photocatalytic reduction*. *Topics in Catalysis*, 2008. **47**(3-4): p. 131-136.
115. Mor, G.K., et al., *Visible to Near-infrared Light Harvesting in TiO₂ Nanotube Array-P3HT Based Heterojunction Solar Cells*. *Nano Letters*, 2009. **9**(12): p. 4250-4257.
116. Thampi, K.R., J. Kiwi, and M. Gratzel, *Methanation and Photo-Methanation of Carbon-Dioxide at Room-Temperature and Atmospheric-Pressure*. *Nature*, 1987. **327**(6122): p. 506-508.
117. Melsheimer, J., et al., *Methanation of Carbon-Dioxide over Ru Titania at Room-Temperature - Explorations for a Photoassisted Catalytic Reaction*. *Catalysis Letters*, 1991. **11**(2): p. 157-168.
118. Abou Asi, M., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons using AgBr/TiO₂ nanocomposites under visible light*. *Catalysis Today*, 2011. **175**(1): p. 256-263.
119. Liang, Y.T., et al., *Minimizing Graphene Defects Enhances Titania Nanocomposite-Based Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ for Improved Solar Fuel Production*. *Nano Letters*, 2011. **11**(7): p. 2865-2870.
120. Shi, H.F., et al., *Photoreduction of Carbon Dioxide Over NaNbO₃ Nanostructured Photocatalysts*. *Catalysis Letters*, 2011. **141**(4): p. 525-530.
121. Yang, C.C., et al., *Mechanistic study of hydrocarbon formation in photocatalytic CO₂ reduction over Ti-SBA-15*. *Journal of Catalysis*, 2011. **284**(1): p. 1-8.
122. Zhou, Y., et al., *High-Yield Synthesis of Ultrathin and Uniform Bi₂WO₆ Square Nanoplates Benefitting from Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ into Renewable Hydrocarbon Fuel under Visible Light*. *Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2011. **3**(9): p. 3594-3601.
123. Feng, X.J., et al., *Synthesis and deposition of ultrafine Pt nanoparticles within high aspect ratio TiO₂ nanotube arrays: application to the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide*. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2011. **21**(35): p. 13429-13433.
124. Mori, K., H. Yamashita, and M. Anpo, *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on various titanium oxide photocatalysts*. *Rsc Advances*, 2012. **2**(8): p. 3165-3172.

125. Kitano, M., et al., *Recent developments in titanium oxide-based photocatalysts*. Applied Catalysis A-General, 2007. **325**(1): p. 1-14.
126. Sivula, K., F. Le Formal, and M. Gratzel, *WO₃-Fe₂O₃ Photoanodes for Water Splitting: A Host Scaffold, Guest Absorber Approach*. Chemistry of Materials, 2009. **21**(13): p. 2862-2867.
127. Hernández, S.; Tortello, M.; Sacco, A.; Quaglio, M.; Meyer, T.; Bianco, S.; Saracco, G.; Pirri, C. F.; Tresso, E. New Transparent Laser-Drilled Fluorine-doped Tin Oxide covered Quartz Electrodes for Photo-Electrochemical Water Splitting. *Electrochimica Acta* 2014, **131**, 184-194.
128. Hernandez, S.; Hidalgo, D.; Sacco, A.; Chiodoni, A.; Lamberti, A.; Cauda, V.; Tresso, E.; Saracco, G. Comparison of photocatalytic and transport properties of TiO₂ and ZnO nanostructures for solar-driven water splitting. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* 2015, **17**, 7775-7786.
129. Anpo, M., et al., *Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on Various Titanium-Oxide Catalysts*. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 1995. **396**(1-2): p. 21-26.
130. Mizuno, T., et al., *Effect of CO₂ pressure on photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ using TiO₂ in aqueous solutions*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 1996. **98**(1-2): p. 87-90.
131. Kaneco, S., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ using TiO₂ powders in liquid CO₂ medium*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 1997. **109**(1): p. 59-63.
132. Adachi, K., K. Ohta, and T. Mizuno, *Photocatalytic Reduction of Carbon-Dioxide to Hydrocarbon Using Copper-Loaded Titanium-Dioxide*. Solar Energy, 1994. **53**(2): p. 187-190.
133. Liu, B.J., et al., *Effect of solvents on photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide using TiO₂ nanocrystal photocatalyst embedded in SiO₂ matrices*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 1997. **108**(2-3): p. 187-192.
134. Kaneco, S., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of high pressure carbon dioxide using TiO₂ powders with a positive hole scavenger*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 1998. **115**(3): p. 223-226.
135. Kohno, Y., et al., *Photo-enhanced reduction of carbon dioxide with hydrogen over Rh/TiO₂*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 1999. **126**(1-3): p. 117-123.
136. Kaneco, S., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ using TiO₂ powders in supercritical fluid CO₂*. Energy, 1999. **24**(1): p. 21-30.
137. Ikeue, K., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on Ti-containing porous silica thin film photocatalysts*. Catalysis Letters, 2002. **80**(3-4): p. 111-114.
138. Shioya, Y., et al., *Synthesis of transparent Ti-containing mesoporous silica thin film materials and their unique photocatalytic activity for the reduction of CO₂ with H₂O*. Applied Catalysis a-General, 2003. **254**(2): p. 251-259.
139. Hwang, J.S., et al., *High performance photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O by TISBA-15 mesoporous material*. Carbon Dioxide Utilization for Global Sustainability, 2004. **153**: p. 299-302.
140. Dey, G.R., A.D. Belapurkar, and K. Kishore, *Photo-catalytic reduction of carbon dioxide to methane using TiO₂ as suspension in water*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 2004. **163**(3): p. 503-508.
141. Wu, J.C.S. and H.M. Lin, *Photo reduction of CO₂ to methanol via TiO₂ photocatalyst*. International Journal of Photoenergy, 2005. **7**(3): p. 115-119.
142. Tan, S.S., L. Zou, and E. Hu, *Kinetic modelling for photosynthesis of hydrogen and methane through catalytic reduction of carbon dioxide with water vapour*. Catalysis Today, 2008. **131**(1-4): p. 125-129.
143. Li, Y., et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on mesoporous silica supported Cu/TiO₂ catalysts*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2010. **100**(1-2): p. 386-392.
144. Koci, K., et al., *Effect of silver doping on the TiO₂ for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2010. **96**(3-4): p. 239-244.
145. Dimitrijevic, N.M., et al., *Role of Water and Carbonates in Photocatalytic Transformation of CO₂ to CH₄ on Titania*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2011. **133**(11): p. 3964-3971.
146. Liu, L.J., C.Y. Zhao, and Y. Li, *Spontaneous Dissociation of CO₂ to CO on Defective Surface of Cu(I)/TiO₂-x Nanoparticles at Room Temperature*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012. **116**(14): p. 7904-7912.
147. Zhang, Q.Y., et al., *Copper and iodine co-modified TiO₂ nanoparticles for improved activity of CO₂ photoreduction with water vapor*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2012. **123**: p. 257-264.

148. Uner, D. and M.M. Oymak, *On the mechanism of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with water in the gas phase*. Catalysis Today, 2012. **181**(1): p. 82-88.
149. Zhao, C.Y., et al., *Photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ and H₂O to fuels by nanostructured Ce-TiO₂/SBA-15 composites*. Catalysis Science & Technology, 2012. **2**(12): p. 2558-2568.
150. Wang, P.Q., et al., *One-pot synthesis of rutile TiO₂ nanoparticle modified anatase TiO₂ nanorods toward enhanced photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into hydrocarbon fuels*. Catalysis Communications, 2012. **29**: p. 185-188.
151. Mei, B., A. Pougin, and J. Strunk, *Influence of photodeposited gold nanoparticles on the photocatalytic activity of titanate species in the reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons*. Journal of Catalysis, 2013. **306**: p. 184-189.
152. Tahir, M. and N.S. Amin, *Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with H₂O vapors using montmorillonite/TiO₂ supported microchannel monolith photoreactor*. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2013. **230**: p. 314-327.
153. Marci, G., E.I. Garcia-Lopez, and L. Palmisano, *Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction in gas-solid regime in the presence of H₂O by using GaP/TiO₂ composite as photocatalyst under simulated solar light*. Catalysis Communications, 2014. **53**: p. 38-41.
154. Ola, O. and M.M. Maroto-Valer, *Role of catalyst carriers in CO₂ photoreduction over nanocrystalline nickel loaded TiO₂-based photocatalysts*. Journal of Catalysis, 2014. **309**: p. 300-308.
155. Matejova, L., et al., *Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic properties of cerium doped TiO₂: On the effect of Ce loading on the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2014. **152**: p. 172-183.
156. Mendez, J.A.O., et al., *Production of hydrogen by water photo-splitting over commercial and synthesised Au/TiO₂ catalysts*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2014. **147**: p. 439-452.
157. Cybula, A., M. Klein, and A. Zaleska, *Methane formation over TiO₂-based photocatalysts: Reaction pathways*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2015. **164**: p. 433-442.
158. Tan, L.L., et al., *Noble metal modified reduced graphene oxide/TiO₂ ternary nanostructures for efficient visible-light-driven photoreduction of carbon dioxide into methane*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2015. **166**: p. 251-259.
159. Zeng, G.T., et al., *Enhanced Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ to CO through TiO₂ Passivation of InP in Ionic Liquids*. Chemistry-a European Journal, 2015. **21**(39): p. 13502-+.
160. Feng, S.C., et al., *Double-shelled plasmonic Ag-TiO₂ hollow spheres toward visible light-active photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into solar fuel*. Apl Materials, 2015. **3**(10).
161. Tahir, B., M. Tahir, and N.S. Amin, *Performance analysis of monolith photoreactor for CO₂ reduction with H₂*. Energy Conversion and Management, 2015. **90**: p. 272-281.
162. Windle, C.D., et al., *Improving the Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ to CO through Immobilisation of a Molecular Re Catalyst on TiO₂*. Chemistry-a European Journal, 2015. **21**(9): p. 3746-3754.
163. Kang, Q., et al., *Photocatalytic Reduction of Carbon Dioxide by Hydrous Hydrazine over Au-Cu Alloy Nanoparticles Supported on SrTiO₃/TiO₂ Coaxial Nanotube Arrays*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2015. **54**(3): p. 841-845.
164. Cheng, Y.H., et al., *Photo-enhanced hydrogenation of CO₂ to mimic photosynthesis by CO co-feed in a novel twin reactor*. Applied Energy, 2015. **147**: p. 318-324.
165. Kwak, B.S., et al., *Methane formation from photoreduction of CO₂ with water using TiO₂ including Ni ingredient*. Fuel, 2015. **143**: p. 570-576.
166. Phongamwong, T., M. Chareonpanich, and J. Limtrakul, *Role of chlorophyll in Spirulina on photocatalytic activity of CO₂ reduction under visible light over modified N-doped TiO₂ photocatalysts*. Applied Catalysis B-Environmental, 2015. **168**: p. 114-124.
167. Dhakshinamoorthy, A., et al., *Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by TiO₂ and related titanium containing solids*. Energy & Environmental Science, 2012. **5**(11): p. 9217-9233.
168. Navalon, S., et al., *Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction using Non-Titanium Metal Oxides and Sulfides*. Chemsuschem, 2013. **6**(4): p. 562-577.

169. Izumi, Y., *Recent advances in the photocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to fuels with water and/or hydrogen using solar energy and beyond*. Coordination Chemistry Reviews, 2013. **257**(1): p. 171-186.
170. Hurum, D.C., et al., *Explaining the enhanced photocatalytic activity of Degussa P25 mixed-phase TiO₂ using EPR*. Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2003. **107**(19): p. 4545-4549.
171. He, H.Y., P. Zapol, and L.A. Curtiss, *A Theoretical Study of CO₂ Anions on Anatase (101) Surface*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2010. **114**(49): p. 21474-21481.
172. Li, W.K., et al., *Different reactivities of TiO₂ polymorphs: Comparative DFT calculations of water and formic acid adsorption at anatase and brookite TiO₂ surfaces*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2008. **112**(17): p. 6594-6596.
173. Rodriguez, M.M., et al., *A Density Functional Theory and Experimental Study of CO₂ Interaction with Brookite TiO₂*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012. **116**(37): p. 19755-19764.
174. Mino, L., G. Spoto, and A.M. Ferrari, *CO₂ Capture by TiO₂ Anatase Surfaces: A Combined DFT and FTIR Study*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2014. **118**(43): p. 25016-25026.
175. Habisreutinger, S.N., L. Schmidt-Mende, and J.K. Stolarczyk, *Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ on TiO₂ and Other Semiconductors*. Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2013. **52**(29): p. 7372-7408.
176. Tahir, M. and N.S. Amin, *Recycling of carbon dioxide to renewable fuels by photocatalysis: Prospects and challenges*. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews, 2013. **25**: p. 560-579.
177. Tan, L.L., et al., *Reduced graphene oxide-TiO₂ nanocomposite as a promising visible-light-active photocatalyst for the conversion of carbon dioxide*. Nanoscale Research Letters, 2013. **8**.
178. Chen, J.S., et al., *Constructing Hierarchical Spheres from Large Ultrathin Anatase TiO₂ Nanosheets with Nearly 100% Exposed (001) Facets for Fast Reversible Lithium Storage*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2010. **132**(17): p. 6124-6130.
179. Xiang, Q.J., J.G. Yu, and M. Jaroniec, *Tunable photocatalytic selectivity of TiO₂ films consisted of flower-like microspheres with exposed {001} facets*. Chemical Communications, 2011. **47**(15): p. 4532-4534.
180. Wang, C.X., et al., *Large Scale Synthesis and Gas-Sensing Properties of Anatase TiO₂ Three-Dimensional Hierarchical Nanostructures*. Langmuir, 2010. **26**(15): p. 12841-12848.
181. Cheng, Y.L., et al., *Preparation of TiO₂ hollow nanofibers by electrospinning combined with sol-gel process*. Crystengcomm, 2010. **12**(7): p. 2256-2260.
182. Li, D. and Y.N. Xia, *Direct fabrication of composite and ceramic hollow nanofibers by electrospinning*. Nano Letters, 2004. **4**(5): p. 933-938.
183. Wu, Y.H., et al., *Preparation of photocatalytic anatase nanowire films by in situ oxidation of titanium plate*. Nanotechnology, 2009. **20**(18).
184. Zhao, T.Y., et al., *Multichannel TiO₂ hollow fibers with enhanced photocatalytic activity*. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2010. **20**(24): p. 5095-5099.
185. Costa, L.L. and A.G.S. Prado, *TiO₂ nanotubes as recyclable catalyst for efficient photocatalytic degradation of indigo carmine dye*. Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 2009. **201**(1): p. 45-49.
186. Seo, M.H., et al., *Gas sensing characteristics and porosity control of nanostructured films composed of TiO₂ nanotubes*. Sensors and Actuators B-Chemical, 2009. **137**(2): p. 513-520.
187. Wang, Y.Q., et al., *Microstructure and formation mechanism of titanium dioxide nanotubes*. Chemical Physics Letters, 2002. **365**(5-6): p. 427-431.
188. Xu, H., et al., *A general soft interface platform for the growth and assembly of hierarchical rutile TiO₂ nanorods spheres*. Crystal Growth & Design, 2007. **7**(7): p. 1216-1219.
189. Yun, H.J., et al., *Influence of Aspect Ratio of TiO₂ Nanorods on the Photocatalytic Decomposition of Formic Acid*. Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2009. **113**(8): p. 3050-3055.
190. Shibata, T., et al., *Photocatalytic properties of titania nanostructured films fabricated from titania nanosheets*. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 2007. **9**(19): p. 2413-2420.
191. Han, X.G., et al., *Synthesis of Titania Nanosheets with a High Percentage of Exposed (001) Facets and Related Photocatalytic Properties*. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2009. **131**(9): p. 3152.

192. Katsumata, K., et al., *Preparation and Characterization of Self-Cleaning Glass for Vehicle with Niobia Nanosheets*. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2010. **2**(4): p. 1236-1241.
193. Chen, Y.J., et al., *Solvothermal Synthesis, Characterization, and Formation Mechanism of a Single-Layer Anatase TiO₂ Nanosheet with a Porous Structure*. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*, 2011(5): p. 754-760.
194. Yu, J.G., L.F. Qi, and M. Jaroniec, *Hydrogen Production by Photocatalytic Water Splitting over Pt/TiO₂ Nanosheets with Exposed (001) Facets*. *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2010. **114**(30): p. 13118-13125.
195. Aoyama, Y., et al., *Mesocrystal nanosheet of rutile TiO₂ and its reaction selectivity as a photocatalyst*. *Crystengcomm*, 2012. **14**(4): p. 1405-1411.
196. Fan, X., et al., *Polymer Gel Templating of Free-Standing Inorganic Monoliths for Photocatalysis*. *Langmuir*, 2009. **25**(10): p. 5835-5839.
197. Hasegawa, G., et al., *Facile Preparation of Hierarchically Porous TiO₂ Monoliths*. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 2010. **93**(10): p. 3110-3115.
198. Konishi, J., et al., *Sol-gel synthesis of macro-mesoporous titania monoliths and their applications to chromatographic separation media for organophosphate compounds*. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 2009. **1216**(44): p. 7375-7383.
199. Tian, G.H., et al., *3D hierarchical flower-like TiO₂ nanostructure: morphology control and its photocatalytic property*. *Crystengcomm*, 2011. **13**(8): p. 2994-3000.
200. Hidalgo, D.; Messina, R.; Sacco, A.; Manfredi, D.; Vankova, S.; Garrone, E.; Saracco, G.; Hernández, S. *Thick mesoporous TiO₂ films through a sol-gel method involving a non-ionic surfactant: Characterization and enhanced performance for water photo-electrolysis*. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 2014, **39**, 21512–21522.
201. P. Akhter, M.H., G. Saracco, N. Russo, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2014. **149**: p. 11.
202. Yamashita, H., et al., *Reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on TiO₂(100) and TiO₂ (110) Single-Crystals under UV-Irradiation*. *Chemistry Letters*, 1994(5): p. 855-858.
203. He, Z.Q., et al., *Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ in Aqueous Solution on Surface-Fluorinated Anatase TiO₂ Nanosheets with Exposed {001} Facets*. *Energy & Fuels*, 2014. **28**(6): p. 3982-3993.
204. Serpone, N., E. Borgarello, and M. Gratzel, *Visible-Light Induced Generation of Hydrogen from H₂S in Mixed Semiconductor Dispersions - Improved Efficiency through Inter-Particle Electron-Transfer*. *Journal of the Chemical Society-Chemical Communications*, 1984(6): p. 342-344.
205. Hidalgo, D.; Bocchini, S.; Fontana, M.; Saracco, G.; Hernandez, S. *Green and low-cost synthesis of PANI-TiO₂ nanocomposite mesoporous films for photoelectrochemical water splitting*. *RSC Advances* 2015, **5**, 49429-49438.
206. Wu, J.C.S., *Photocatalytic Reduction of Greenhouse Gas CO₂ to Fuel*. *Catalysis Surveys from Asia*, 2009. **13**(1): p. 30-40.
207. Yang, C.C., et al., *Artificial Photosynthesis over Crystalline TiO₂-Based Catalysts: Fact or Fiction?* *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2010. **132**(24): p. 8398-8406.
208. Yamashita, H., et al., *Photocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on TiO₂ and Cu/TiO₂ Catalysts*. *Research on Chemical Intermediates*, 1994. **20**(8): p. 815-823.
209. Slamet, et al., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on copper-doped Titania catalysts prepared by improved-impregnation method*. *Catalysis Communications*, 2005. **6**(5): p. 313-319.
210. Fang, B.Z., et al., *Hierarchical CuO-TiO₂ Hollow Microspheres for Highly Efficient Photodriven Reduction of CO₂ to CH₄*. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 2015. **3**(10): p. 2381-2388.
211. Asahi, R., et al., *Visible-light photocatalysis in nitrogen-doped titanium oxides*. *Science*, 2001. **293**(5528): p. 269-271.
212. Sathish, M., et al., *Synthesis, characterization, electronic structure, and photocatalytic activity of nitrogen-doped TiO₂ nanocatalyst*. *Chemistry of Materials*, 2005. **17**(25): p. 6349-6353.
213. Michalkiewicz, B., et al., *Reduction of CO₂ by adsorption and reaction on surface of TiO₂-nitrogen modified photocatalyst*. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization*, 2014. **5**: p. 47-52.
214. Anpo, M., *Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on highly dispersed Ti-oxide catalysts as a model of artificial photosynthesis*. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization*, 2013. **1**: p. 8-17.

215. Takewaki, T., et al., *Synthesis of *BEA-type molecular sieves using mesoporous materials as reagents*. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials*, 1999. **32**(3): p. 265-278.
216. Hussain, M., et al., *Nanostructured TiO₂/KIT-6 catalysts for improved photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to tunable energy products*. *Applied Catalysis B-Environmental*, 2015. **170**: p. 53-65.
217. Zhang, Y.H., et al., *TiO₂-Graphene Nanocomposites for Gas-Phase Photocatalytic Degradation of Volatile Aromatic Pollutant: Is TiO₂-Graphene Truly Different from Other TiO₂-Carbon Composite Materials?* *Acs Nano*, 2010. **4**(12): p. 7303-7314.
218. Ma, Y., et al., *Titanium Dioxide-Based Nanomaterials for Photocatalytic Fuel Generations*. *Chemical Reviews*, 2014. **114**(19): p. 9987-10043.