POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Development of a new hybrid bus for urban public transportation

Original

Development of a new hybrid bus for urban public transportation / Millo, Federico; Rolando, Luciano; Fuso, Rocco; Zhao, Jianning. - In: APPLIED ENERGY. - ISSN 0306-2619. - STAMPA. - 157:(2015), pp. 583-594. [10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.131]

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2656765 since: 2016-11-21T15:22:05Z

Publisher: Elsevier Ltd

Published DOI:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.131

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright Elsevier preprint/submitted version

Preprint (submitted version) of an article published in APPLIED ENERGY © 2015, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.131

(Article begins on next page)

Highlights

- New plug-in series hybrid electric powertrain developed for revamping old urban buses
- Assessment of its performance through experimental tests in real world operating conditions
- Impressive energy and operating costs savings vs conventional buses highlighted

Figure 1: Scheme of the main hybrid powertrain components.

Figure 2: HYBUS prototype rendering.

Figure 3: HYBUS prototype production: original bus (top left); removal of the old 9.5 liter diesel engine from the rear section of the vehicle (top right); installation of the APU (bottom, left); first HYBUS prototype (bottom right).

Figure 4: Route 18-forward: real mission profile.

Figure 5: Route 18-forward: SOC profile.

Figure 6: Route 63-forward: real mission profile.

Figure 7: Route 63-forward: SOC profile.

Figure 8: ICE working points on Route 63.

Figure 9: Model validation: SOC trends on Route 18-forward.

Figure 10: Model validation: cumulative fuel consumption on Route 18-forward.

Figure 11. Model validation: ICE working points on the Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) map versus engine speed and load (shown as Brake Mean Effective Pressure, BMEP).

Figure 12. DP Optimization: SOC variation over a real driving cycle.

Figure 13. DP Optimization: Power requested to ICE.

Figure 14. DP Optimization: Operating points of the ICE on the Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) map versus engine speed and load (shown as Brake Mean Effective Pressure, BMEP).

Figure 15. DP Optimization: Operating modes selected by the DP over a real driving cycle.

Figure 16. Operating modes selected by the DP plotted on an EM speed vs requested power map.

Figure 17. Operating modes selected by the DP plotted on a vehicle acceleration vs vehicle speed map.

Figure 18. Battery SOC comparison over the complete real driving cycle.

Figure 19. Comparison of operating points of ICE on the Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) map versus engine speed and load (shown as Brake Mean Effective Pressure, BMEP).

Figure 20. Cumulative fuel consumption comparison over the complete driving cycle.









































Dimensions [mm]	12000×2500×3130 (L×W×H)	
Wheelbase [mm]	6150	
Wheel Track [mm]	Front 2086 Rear 1836	
Curb Weight [kg]	11180	
Max. Total Weight [kg]	19000	
Frontal Area [m ²]	²] 7.5	
Drag Coefficient [-]	0.8	
Tire Rolling Resistance [N]	0.006+0.23×10 ⁻⁶ ×V ² (with V[km/h])	

Table 1. TURBOCITY UR-GREEN data

Table2

Table 1. Drivability requirements

Max. Velocity [km/h]	65
Max. Gradeability in full-load [%]	14
Min. Acceleration [m/s ²]	1.1

Transf. M. S.		
Traction Moto	or: Number [#]	2 Parallel Connected
	Туре	Asynchronous
	Mass [kg]	48
	Peak Power [kW]	180
	Nominal Power [kW]	90
	Max Torque [Nm]	185
	Nominal Torque [Nm]	145
	Max. Speed [rpm]	12000
	Nominal Voltage [V]	350
Overall	Trans. Ratio (ω_{wh}/ω_{EM})	1/42.5
APU		
Generator		Traction Motor like, directly coupled to the ICE
ICE		
	Туре	Diesel (Euro 5)
	Displacement [cm ³]	1300
	Peak Power [kW]	73
	Max Torque [Nm]	220
	Mass [kg]	236
Battery		
	Nominal Voltage [V]	384
	Maximum Voltage [V]	438
	Minimum Voltage [V]	300
	Total Capacity [Ah]	260
Max Disch	arge Current (< 30s)[A]	600
Max Discharge Current (Cont.) [A]		300
Recomme	nded charge current [A]	140
	Total weight [kg]	1230
Max Out	put Power (kW) (< 30s)	230
	Energy [kWh]	99.84

Table 3. HYBUS powertrain main specifications

Table 4. Route 18 overall energy consumption data

OVERALL CONSUMPTION	
Fuel Consumption [g/km]	258
Fuel Energy (m _{fuel} LHV) [kWh]	58.3
Battery Consumption [kWh]	13.0
Total cost [€]	9.6

Table5

Table 5. Route 63 overall energy consumption data

OVERALL CONSUMPTION	
Fuel Consumption [g/km]	229
Fuel Energy (m _{fuel} LHV) [kWh]	63.6
Battery Consumption [kWh]	11.3
Total cost [€]	10.00
TRANSIT AGENCY CONSUMPTION DATA	
Average Fuel Consumption [g/km]	416
Total cost [€]	14.82

FUEL CONSUMPTION	
Scania [g/km]	450
HYBUS [g/km]	330
BATTERY CONSUMPTION	
Scania [kWh]	-
HYBUS [kWh]	9.5
TOTAL COST OF THE TRIP	
Scania [€]	16.38
HYBUS [€]	13.54

Table 6. Compared "back-to-back" fuel consumption test on Route 48

Table7

Table 7. Model validation: fuel consumption on Route 18-forward

TOTAL FUEL CONSUMPTION	
Experimental [liters]	2.7
Simulated [liters]	2.8

Table8

Table 8. Braunschweig cycle simulation: fuel consumption results compared with experimental results for an EEV Irisbus

FUEL CONSUMPTION	
Irisbus [g/km]	340
Irisbus fuel energy (m _{fuel} LHV) [kWh]	43.8
HYBUS [g/km]	182
HYBUS fuel energy (m _{fuel} LHV) [kWh]	23.5
BATTERY CONSUMPTION	
Irisbus [kWh]	-
HYBUS [kWh]	10
TOTAL COST OF THE TRIP	
Irisbus [€]	5.64
HYBUS [€]	3.09

	Fuel (L)	Cost (€)
HYBUS Real test (Charge depleting)	Fuel: 4.83 L Electric Energy: 14 kWh	8.55
HYBUS DP (Charge sustaining)	4.93	6.44
HYBUS novel controller (Charge sustaining)	6.65	8.69
Conventional bus	9.32	12.18

Table 9. Fuel consumption and cost over the real driving cycle

Click here to download Revised Manuscript with No Changes Marked: Development of HYBUS_after-2ndrebuttal-clean_V2.docx

Development of a new hybrid bus for urban public transportation

- 3
- 4

5 Federico Millo, Luciano Rolando, Rocco Fuso, Jianning Zhao

- 6 Energy Department,
- 7 Politecnico di Torino,
- 8 c.so Duca degli Abruzzi, 24
- 9 10129 Torino ITALY
- 10 E-mail: federico.millo@polito.it
- 11 E-mail: luciano.rolando@polito.it
- 12 E-mail: rocco.fuso@polito.it
- 13 E-mail: jianning.zhao@polito.it
- 14
- 15
- 16

17 Abstract

Nowadays the increasing demand for sustainable mobility has fostered the introduction of innovative propulsion systems also in the public transport sector in order to achieve a significant reduction of pollutant emissions in highly congested urban areas. This paper describes both the design and the optimization of an environmentally friendly hybrid bus (hereafter referred to as "HYBUS") for urban public transportation.

After a preliminary description of the main features of the hybrid architecture, this paper assessed, through numerical simulations, the fuel economy potential of the hybridization in real world driving conditions. The promising results of this first part of the study led to the development of a first prototype.

The first prototype of the bus was built by integrating an innovative hybrid propulsion system featuring a plug-in series architecture into the chassis of an old IVECO 490 TURBOCITY. The bus is 12 meters long and capable to host up to 116 passengers in the original layout. The project relied on a modular approach where the powertrain could be easily customized for size and power, depending on the specific application. The prototype was then extensively tested in the city of Genoa, Italy, an urban context extremely challenging for a hybrid powertrain due to its frequent uphill routes and significant road grades. The outcomes of the test campaign confirmed the simulations forecasts, and fostered additional analysis aimed to optimize the energy management strategy of the hybrid powertrain.

Numerical simulations were then used in order to identify more refined energy management strategies capable of further enhancing the fuel economy potential of the hybrid architecture. Consequently, a novel energy management was developed, and virtually tested, to manage the HYBUS in a more effective way. The results demonstrated the interesting potential of such hybrid architecture.

44 Keywords

45 Hybrid Electric Bus; CO₂ Emissions; Energy Cost.

46 **1. Introduction**

In a context of the global economic downturn, the high demand for sustainable 47 transportation and for cost reduction for transit agencies could meet halfway. 48 Indeed, fuel cost represents one of the most significant portions of transit agency 49 budgets (by way of example for the 2012 for the Turin Transit Agency fuel cost was 50 equal to 22% of sales proceeds [1]) and its reduction directly corresponds to a cut 51 of CO₂ emissions. As a consequence, bus hybridization offers an attractive option 52 in this direction and has the potential to significantly reduce operating costs for 53 54 agencies.

55 Simulation tests have highlighted the strong capabilities that different hybrid bus 56 concepts can exploit in terms of better fuel economy [2-4] and lower emissions 57 compared to conventional buses equipped with Internal Combustion Engines 58 (ICEs).

Although the best enhancement in terms of fuel consumption is usually reached by means of powertrain architectures with high flexibility like Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEVs), to date HEVs had not been widely adopted due to their high costs related mainly to the battery pack. Therefore, sometimes low cost hybridization solutions such as for instance Hybrid Hydraulic Vehicles (HHVs), seem to be more attractive even if they exhibit lower efficiency compared to equivalent electrified powertrains[5].

However, the amount of case-studies focused on hybrid buses and their 66 experimental testing which is available in literature is still limited, although in the 67 last few years it is possible to cite several examples of agencies that are currently 68 employing experimental HEV buses in their fleets [6-11] to assess their real 69 performance. Moreover, fuel economy can vary according to a huge number of 70 factors, including number of stops per unit distance, road grade, surrounding traffic 71 volume and conditions, environmental conditions, driving style, type of hybrid 72 technology, roadway type, and passenger load [12-14]. 73

For these reasons the real fuel economy gain which can be gathered during in-use vehicle operation sometimes leads to results which are very different from those expected.

Finally, it has to be mentioned that an additional barrier to the wider diffusion of hybrid electric buses is represented by their significantly higher purchase cost (approximately 50% to 70% higher) [15] in comparison with conventional diesel urban buses.

The HYbrid BUS (HYBUS) project, carried out in cooperation between Politecnico di Torino and Pininfarina, Italy, aims therefore to address the abovementioned issues, trying to reduce both the fuel consumption and the purchase cost of a new, environmentally friendly urban bus, which has been conceived through the study of a modular hybrid propulsion system, allowing the conversion of old vehicles currently equipped with Euro 0–1–2 diesel engines into buses with serial hybrid power packs.

The core idea of the project is to exploit the older buses present in the agencies' fleets, which can be still fully functional, but with pollutant emission rates too high to comply with current legal limitations: in the HYBUS the original diesel powertrain of an old IVECO 490 TURBOCITY (12 mt. long, 116 passengers urban bus) was removed and replaced by a new generation plug-in series hybrid powertrain. Moreover, thanks to the modularity of the proposed hybrid architecture, the powertrain could be adapted to different types of urban busses. The cost saving is estimated to be about 50% compared to a new conventional
diesel bus (for which a price estimate between 220-290 k€ can be made[16-17])
and about 60% compared to a new hybrid bus (for which a price estimate of about
350 k€ can be made [18-19]).

Such an approach could obviously not be considered the ultimate solution towards the green urban mobility: however, although a retrofitted bus, will not last as long as a new hybrid bus, with its upfront savings compared to a factory-new solution, it could pave the way to a fast upgrade of the fleets, allowing a gradual and affordable introduction of new advanced HEVs.

This article describes in the next Section 2 the steps that led to the HYBUS 104 prototype production, from the concept idea to the design and manufacturing, as 105 well as the first testing phases under real world operating conditions in the city of 106 Genoa, Italy. Afterwards, in Section 3 the set-up, validation and exploitation of a 107 numerical model of the HYBUS is described, in order to assess the potential of the 108 selected hybrid powertrain in terms of fuel economy and to provide a virtual test rig 109 for the development of more advanced energy management strategies, which are 110 discussed in the last Section 4. 111

112 2. HYBUS Development and Experimental Assessment

2.1. Powertrain Requirement Evaluation

The revamping of a bus, with the goal to realize a completely new powertrain, requires the knowledge of the typical mission profile and of the main features of the vehicle.

For these reasons the first step of the project was the identification of a bus potentially suitable for the task. After a brief research among the Turin transit agency's fleet [20], the IVECO TURBOCITY UR-GREEN – EURO 1 was selected since it represented the largest fraction of the older buses in the agency's fleet, and by upgrading this model alone, more than 10% of overall vehicles of the Turin transit agency [21] could reduce their environmental impact in the city center.

123 The main features of the vehicle are summarized in Table 1.

As far as the driving pattern is concerned, the Standardized On-Road Test Cycles (SORT) 1 (Heavy Urban) and SORT 2 (Easy Urban) cycles [22] were initially used to obtain, through a simple kinematic model [23], a first estimate of the vehicle road load during typical operating conditions.

Although traction power peaks during the abovementioned test cycles can be larger than 150 and 200 kW, the average traction power levels do not exceed 6 and 10 kW, respectively on SORT1 and SORT2 [2].

Benchmarking analysis on other buses of the same category led to set the additional quantitative targets which are reported in Table 2.

133

134 **2.2. Main HYBUS Characteristics**

A series hybrid architecture was chosen in order to allow the revamping of the 135 vehicles independently from the characteristics of the buses owned by the transit 136 agencies. Indeed, owing to the different layouts of the engine compartment, a 137 different placement of the equipment could be necessary to satisfy requirements 138 such as cooling, fuel supply, and safety without major modifications of the original 139 chassis. From this point of view, a series hybrid powertrain is extremely flexible: 140 the only constraints are the connection of the electric traction motor with the 141 vehicle transmission and a mechanical connection between the internal 142 143 combustion engine and the electric generator to realize the Auxiliary Power Unit (APU). All the other power links, being electrical, can be tailored for the specific 144 application. A scheme of the connections between the main hybrid powertrain 145 components is shown in Figure 1. 146

To achieve the objectives of modularity and easiness of updating, the main components of the powertrain were placed within aluminum frame structures, with anchorage points that can be adapted to different types of vehicles. Within each structure each component can be fixed by standardized brackets allowing an easy maintenance.

Once the power/energy requests and the additional drivability specifications were evaluated, as described in previous section 2.1, the components and the main features of the vehicle powertrain were selected (Table 3). 155 Considering a typical urban daily mission profile, an average energy requirement of 156 about 5 MJ/km was estimated [24]; consequently, a battery pack of about 100 kWh 157 was chosen to avoid excessively "deep" discharges (design specifications of the 158 battery allow a peak discharge current of 600 A for at most 30 seconds) that could 159 dramatically reduce battery life. Indeed, such a capacity allows the possibility to run 160 on several routes before to recharge the plug-in vehicle at the bus end of line.

For the battery modules a Lithium Iron Magnesium Phosphate technology was 161 chosen as the most suitable for this type of application. This technology chimes 162 with the automotive requirements for a battery [25]. Among the benefits, are worth 163 to be noted the high number of recharging cycles with deep discharge allowed 164 165 during the battery life; a naturally good "thermal management", reducing the onboard cooling requirements; extremely low self-discharge rate, limiting battery 166 depletion after several days of stop; fast and safe recharging capabilities. An 167 overall sketch of the system is depicted in Figure 2, where the main powertrain 168 components are highlighted in blue. 169

As far as the choice of the ICE is concerned, a specific study to identify the best trade-off between fuel consumption, pollutant emissions and engine size was carried out [2],[26]. Since in a series hybrid architecture the internal combustion engine has only to provide the average power requested by the vehicle, a small displacement automotive ICE (1.3 liter) was selected, in order to fully exploit the potential offered by engine downsizing.

176 **2.3. Testing Activities**

After the preliminary evaluations carried out through numerical simulation, the first 177 HYBUS prototype was built in the Pininfarina facilities (see Figure 3) and the real 178 potential of the HYBUS architecture in terms of fuel economy was then verified with 179 an extensive test campaign on the routes of the Italian city of Genoa, in 180 cooperation with the local transit agency. The city is composed of a thin coastal 181 strip behind which hills and mountains rise: this peculiar geography produced 182 highly heterogeneous city roads, going from flat sections to steep climbs with 183 grades up to 15 %. Consequently the daily routes of the local buses represent a 184 quite challenging test for a series hybrid powertrain as well as for its energy 185 management strategy. 186

Among these urban routes several were tested with the vehicle fully ballasted and in the following sections some brief excerpt of the most meaningful tests will be analyzed.

It is worth to be mentioned that these experimental tests were the first on-road
experiences of the HYBUS prototype. Therefore the VMU (Vehicle Management
Unit) dataset's variables were precautionary limited to avoid any reliability issue,
limiting for instance the maximum vehicle speed at 50 km/h.

194

195Pure Urban - Route 18

Route 18 is representative of the usage in a pure urban context: traveling through 196 the most congested streets of the city (with an average speed of about 11km/h on 197 its 9.4 km of length and a variable grade between \pm 5%), with a lot of stops at 198 traffic lights and continuous bus stops due to the high number of passengers 199 200 (about 3.5 stops/km on average), this usage undoubtedly represents a challenging benchmark for conventional buses. The energy requirement of 2.75 MJ/km on this 201 route confirms the conservative assumption made in paragraph 2.2. Besides the 202 severe gradeability requirements, an increased power absorption from the 203 ancillaries systems has also to be taken into account, due to the continuous usage 204 of brakes and doors openings (which are pneumatically assisted), leading to an 205 intensive activity of the air compressor. 206

One of the real mission profiles acquired during the experimental activity is shown in Figure 4. A restricted traffic area was also considered during the trip, switchingon the pure electric propulsion. The SOC depleting resulting from the trip is shown in Figure 5.

Taking into account both the forward and backward travel, and adopting the prices 211 paid by the transit agency for electricity (0.16 \in /kWh) and for diesel fuel (1.31 \in /l) at 212 time when tests were carried out (June 2012), the overall energy consumption data 213 of the HYBUS are summarized in Table 4. In particular the vehicle exploited 13 214 kWh of energy from the battery and a fuel consumption of 258 g/km from the ICE. 215 Unfortunately the real fuel consumption data of the conventional buses on this 216 route were not available, but considering the average mileage value of 1.5 km/l 217 registered by Genoa transit agency, the total cost for each complete round trip on 218

route 18 for a conventional diesel bus will lead to more than 16 €, highlighting the impressive savings of the HYBUS.

221 Urban & Suburban Mix - Route 63

After the urban tests previously described, an urban & suburban mix was tested on Route 63, which is representative of a commuter usage, with two urban sections connected by a central suburban piece. The total length of the round trip is about 23.3 kilometers, with an average speed of about 19 km/h, and the grade profile (with a peak of 5%), together with a real speed profile, is depicted in Figure 6. The SOC depleting resulting from the trip is shown in Figure 7.

Also in this case the fuel and cost savings achieved by the HYBUS in comparison with a conventional bus (data provided by Genoa transit agency) were impressive, as shown in Table 5. In this case an average fuel consumption of 229 g/km was obtained by the HYBUS and 11.3 kWh were drawn from the battery.

These results are even more impressive when considering that the APU working points were kept, as a precautionary measure, in the lower part of the engine map, as shown in Figure 8, in order to avoid excessive stresses on the engine and on its cooling system, due to the high environmental temperatures (test were carried out during the month of July).

Therefore the efficiency of the APU could be further improved in the next version of vehicle, leading to an extra reduction of the fuel consumption. For these reasons further numerical simulations were performed in the following to evaluate the improvements that could be obtained with a refined energy management system without precautionary limits on the APU operation.

242 **Compared "back-to-back" fuel consumption test**

In order to complete the assessment of the HYBUS performance also a comparative "back-to-back" test was performed: a city route, the urban Route 48, was performed with the HYBUS running first, immediately followed by a conventional bus, so to have the same traffic conditions, measuring at the end the overall fuel consumption of the two vehicles. Although the aerodynamic drag of the second bus was affected by the HYBUS, for this comparison these effects could be neglected, considering the low speeds reached during the test. The conventional bus was a 12 meters long Scania CV AB Omnicity, selected among the newest part of the Genoa Transit agency fleet. Both the vehicle were ballasted to reach about 16 tons each.

The fuel consumption was measured starting with the full tanks for both the buses
 and refilling them at the end of the journey; the consumptions are summarized in
 Table 6. Considering the entire cost of fuel and electricity, the HYBUS saved more
 than 2€ compared to the Scania

257

3. Numerical Simulation of the HYBUS

259 Once the global features of the HYBUS were defined, extensive simulations were 260 carried out in order to assess the potential of the selected hybrid powertrain in 261 terms of fuel economy and to provide a virtual test rig for the development of more 262 advanced energy management strategies.

However, before the simulation model could be used for these analysis, it had to be validated against experimental measurements, and the data collected during the Genoa campaign were therefore used as a reference for this purpose.

Finally, it is worth to be pointed out that, as far the assessment of the fuel economy potential is concerned, the HYBUS simulation results were not compared with the performance of the original bus, but rather with state of the art, EEV (Enhanced Environmentally-friendly Vehicle) buses of the same class, with which the HYBUS should compete on the market when transit agencies would need to update their fleets.

3.1. Model set up

Computer simulations were carried out by means of a vehicle model developed in GT-Drive [27-29], where the internal combustion engine and the electric machines are represented through performance maps, which were experimentally measured under steady state operating conditions. Hence, although system dynamics are taken into account, the simulation model follows a "quasi-static" approach, because engine and electric machines behavior is described by steady state maps. This simulation approach has been demonstrated to be appropriate for the evaluation of instantaneous fuel consumption of light-duty vehicles [30] over the most common regulatory driving cycles, due to the moderate speed (typically between 0 - 130km/h) and load transients which are usually prescribed, while the assessment of the simulation accuracy for urban buses driving cycles has been discussed in previous works of the research group, such as for instance in [31].

Driver behavior is represented through the use of a Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller aiming to follow the driving cycle schedule. A BMS (Battery Management System) handles the electric power flow avoiding dangerous overcurrent and aiming to maximize battery life by controlling the charge-discharge cycles of the battery pack.

Furthermore, during decelerations the braking controller exploits the traction electric motors to regenerate a part of the kinetic energy of the vehicle. The remaining of the braking energy required is provided by conventional brakes.

Finally, an APU Controller Unit manages the system according to a simple rulebased power management strategy.

3.2. HYBUS Model Validation

The experimental data collected during the Genoa campaign were used to validate the HYBUS model.

For this purpose the speed and grade profiles of the Forward route 18 have been used as model inputs, together with the experimentally measured auxiliary power absorption.

The agreement between the numerical and experimental results is quite satisfactory, as one can see from Figure 9 and 10, as well as from data reported in Table 7.

The ICE operating points are depicted in Figure 11. Results from the model are in relatively good agreement with the experimental data, although some discrepancies can be clearly seen, due to unavoidable differences in the implementation inside the real ECU. However, the gap in the total fuel consumption over the trip is limited, as summarized in Table 7, and the model accuracy can thus be considered as satisfactory.

310311 3.3. HYBUS potential assessment through numerical simulation

The HYBUS fuel consumption results obtained through numerical simulation were then compared with the experimental results obtained by an Irisbus CITELIS S Diesel EEV bus, on the same driving cycle [32], as summarized in Table 8.

315 A noticeable fuel saving of the HYBUS compared to the Irisbus equipped with a conventional powertrain was observed, with a total fuel saving of 46 %. Obviously 316 317 one of the drawbacks of the plug-in hybrid vehicle is the battery energy depletion, which, in this case, is estimated as 57% of the fuel energy. On one hand the 318 319 contribution of the battery reduces the local pollutant emissions, but on the other hand, the financial saving of this solution depends on the price of the electricity for 320 the transit agency. Nevertheless the HYBUS allows an impressive 45% energy 321 cost saving compared to the Irisbus. However, it has to be pointed out that, in order 322 to enable the achievement of such results, it should be possible to recharge the 323 HYBUS battery from the grid at the end of the line stops and/or at the garage 324 325 overnight: this could represent an issue for transit agencies, since all end of the line stops and garages should be equipped with chargers and safety problems due 326 to the high voltage should be managed. For this reason, the capability of the 327 HYBUS to operate in charge sustaining mode was also explored in a later phase of 328 the project, as discussed in the following Section 4. 329

4. Energy Management Strategy development

After the validation of the simulation model, further improvements of the energy management strategy were then investigated numerically, since the optimal control of the power flows in a hybrid vehicle is one of the keys to obtain a significant advantage in terms of fuel consumption.

335 Usually, due to the a-priori knowledge of the mission profile and the high computational requirements, Energy Management Strategies (EMS) optimization 336 cannot be performed in real-time on hybrid vehicles. Nevertheless, for urban buses 337 338 the mission profile can be known a-priori, at least to a certain extent, thus paving the way to the development of "route-tailored" energy management strategies. 339 Therefore, a sub-optimal controller was developed based on heuristic rules, which 340 341 were determined on the basis of the analysis of the results obtained by means of Dynamic Programming (DP) simulations. For this purpose, a forward and backward 342

trip, of a real driving cycle representative of a specific route of the Genova transit agency was used.

345 **4.1. Dynamic Programming – Modelling Approach**

DP generates a numerical solution for an optimal control problem and it gives 346 sufficient conditions for the global optimality. It is based on Bellman's principle of 347 optimality [33] and is able to manage a dynamic model of the system; since DP is 348 commonly used to solve time-continuous control problems, the model has to be 349 discretized in a sequence of time steps for which DP is capable of determining the 350 optimal control laws. In this work, an open-source MATLAB code developed by the 351 ETH-Zurich [34] was used for the optimization and coupled with a simplified 352 kinematic model of the vehicle. 353

354 The energy management can be optimized through DP using cost functions focused on different targets, such as for instance the minimum fuel consumption or 355 the minimum pollutant emissions over a certain cycle. In this case, since the simple 356 minimization of the fuel consumption is not a suitable target for a plug-in hybrid, the 357 cost function was defined aiming to minimize the overall CO₂ emissions, including 358 both emissions generated by the fuel burned by the ICE and the equivalent 359 emissions due the electrical energy consumption from the battery (which will have 360 to be the recharged from the grid) along a real driving cycle. Previous studies [35] 361 have highlighted that this approach will lead also to the minimization of the total 362 cost of the fuel and of the electricity. Therefore, the cost function to be minimized 363 can be written as: 364

(1)

(2)

(3)

366 with:

$$CO_{2_f} = \frac{\mu_{CO_2}}{\mu_{fuel}} \cdot m_f$$

 $\min J = \int_0^T \left(CO_{2f}(t, u(t)) + CO_{2e}(t, u(t)) \right) dt$

 $CO_{2_{e}} = k_{CO_{2}} \cdot SoC \cdot E_{Batt,Norm}$

368

367

where J is the cost-to-go function, $CO_{2,f}$ is the instantaneous CO₂ emission rate 369 due to the burned fuel and thus is determined by the instantaneous fuel rate \dot{m}_{f} 370 371 with the knowledge of molar masses of CO_2 and fuel; $CO_{2,e}$ is the equivalent CO_2 emission rate arising from the instantaneous State Of Charge (SOC) variation of 372 the battery, which can be estimated using equation (3) by means of the nominal 373 energy of the battery $E_{Batt,Norm}$ and the CO_2 conversion factor k_{CO_2} ; u(t) is the 374 vector of the control variable and T is the period corresponding to the duration of 375 376 the driving cycle.

Although the HYBUS is a plug-in HEV and its battery could be recharged by the grid at the end of the day or at end of line stops, due to the issues and of the modifications that should be necessary to the infrastructure, in order to evaluate the fuel economy improvements which could be achieved by the HYBUS without any modifications of the infrastructures, the operation of the HYBUS in chargesustaining mode was also simulated.

Finally it should be pointed out that, after removing the constraints applied to the ICE during the experimental tests to preserve the first prototype of the HYBUS, was now free to operate on its Optimal Operating Line (OOL), as shown in Figure 14, corresponding to the minimum Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC).

4.2. Dynamic programming – Results & Rule Extraction

The starting point to define a rule based energy management strategy is the analysis of the optimal strategy identified through the DP. The results obtained through the DP can as a matter of fact provide helpful information concerning the decisions that can be implemented in the rule based algorithm.

The SOC variation determined by the DP are shown in Figure 12 over the entire 392 real driving cycle: it can be clearly seen that, with an initial value of the SOC equal 393 to 0.68, the DP proved to be able to guarantee the charge sustainability. A zoomed 394 in portion of the driving cycle is also reported in Figure 13, showing the requested 395 power from the internal combustion engine: the most frequently requested power is 396 about 56 kW, while the working points are exclusively located on the OOL as 397 shown in Figure 14. Finally, the choice between the two different operating modes, 398 i.e. pure Electric Vehicle (EV) and Series Hybrid mode, operated by the DP is 399

shown in Figure 15: it is pretty evident that series hybrid mode is mainly exploitedwhen the power demand is high, e.g. during strong accelerations.

The operating modes selected by the Dynamic Programming were then further 402 analyzed in order to point out any dependencies from significant input variables, 403 404 which could be useful to extract a set of rules for a rule-based energy management strategy to be implemented in the VMU: some results of these analysis are shown 405 in Figures 16 and 17. It is quite clear that the hybrid mode is mainly exploited 406 during vehicle accelerations, at speeds higher than 4 km/h, and at high power 407 requests levels, higher than 13 kW. However, it was not possible to infer any 408 dependence of the operating mode selection from the battery state of charge. 409 410 Therefore, a simple SOC threshold was established to choose between EV and hybrid mode selection when the battery is almost depleted. 411

412 **4.3. Rule based strategy performance evaluation**

413 After extracting a set of rules from the analysis of the Dynamic Programming and 414 implementing these rules in a heuristic energy management strategy, its 415 performance was evaluated against both DP and experimental results.

It is worth to be recalled however, that, while the newly developed heuristic strategy and the DP are both aiming to achieve a charge sustaining condition, the only experimental results available for the comparison were obtained in charge depleting mode during the previous phases of the project.

The new controller was able to manage the SOC and guarantee the chargesustainability (see Figure 18). On the other hand, it is worth to be noticed that during the experimental test on the field in Genova the final SOC decreased to 54%. Consequently, the electrical energy consumed over the real driving cycle was about 14 kWh, with a specific electricity consumption of 0.75 kWh/km.

Moreover, it should be pointed out that, due to the engine's limitation on the HYBUS prototype, the instantaneous power of the ICE was restricted to 5 to 15 kW during the experimental tests, while both the DP and the newly developed control strategy were capable to operate the ICE in the high load and high efficiency zone of the map, as shown in Figure 19. The cumulative fuel consumption is shown in Figure 20. While the real test achieved the minimum fuel consumption, with 4.12 kg, the additional energy consumption in terms of battery depletion should be taken into account, in order to allow a proper comparison between charge sustaining and charge depleting strategies.

A comparison regarding the total cost over the driving cycle is reported in Table 9. The experimental test achieved a 29.8% reduction compared to a conventional bus, while for the novel controller the improvement was of 28.7%. Therefore, the strong improvement introduced by the first HYBUS prototype could be maintained also with the notable limit on the battery SOC and avoiding the need of charging phases in the garage at the end of the day.

441

442 **5. Conclusions**

A prototype hybrid urban bus, called HYBUS, was built by integrating an innovative
hybrid propulsion system featuring a plug-in series architecture into the chassis of
an old diesel bus.

The prototype was then extensively tested in the city of Genoa, Italy, an urban context extremely challenging for a hybrid powertrain due to its frequent uphill routes and significant road grades.

- The main outcomes of the tests were the followings:
- The HYBUS prototype system allowed fuel consumption reductions ranging from 27 % up to 45 % respectively, if compared with the newest buses or with the average buses of the Genoa transit agency fleet.
- Considering the current costs of diesel fuel and of electricity, and the amount of electric energy needed to restore the battery state of charge of the HYBUS at the end of the trip, the money savings corresponding to the abovementioned fuel savings were equal to 17% and 33% respectively.
- The HYBUS hybrid propulsion system demonstrated to be suitable also for urban routes with significant grades.

Numerical simulations proved that issues related to the battery recharge can
 be solved by introducing a new charge sustaining control strategy, while
 maintaining significant operating cost reductions in comparison with busses
 equipped with conventional diesel powertrains.

In conclusion the HYBUS was proved to represent an effective way to reduce fuel
 consumptions and operating costs of transit agencies' fleets, offering an interesting
 option for the revamping of the oldest buses.

466 6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the valuable contribution of Pininfarina, and of the transit agencies of the cities of Turin and Genoa.

469

470 **7. Funding**

This work was financially supported by the Regione Piemonte in the framework of the AMPERE project.

8. Definitions and Abbreviations

APU	Auxiliary Power Unit
BMEP	BRAKE MEAN EFFECTIVE PRESSURE
BMS	BATTERY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
BSFC	BRAKE SPECIFIC FUEL CONSUMPTION
DP	DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING
EEV	Enhanced environmentally friendly vehicle
EM	Electric Motor
EMS	ENERGY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

EV	Electric Vehicle
GTT	Gruppo Torinese Trasporti
HEV	Hybrid Electric Vehicle
HHV	Hydraulic Hybrid Vehicle
ICE	INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE
00L	OPTIMAL OPERATING LINE
PID	PROPORTIONAL INTEGRAL DERIVATIVE
SOC	STATE OF CHARGE
SORT	STANDARDIZED ON-ROAD TEST CYCLES
VMU	Vehicle Management Unit

474 **9. References**

- 475 1. GTT, "Relazione e Bilancio al 31 Dicembre 2012",
 476 http://www.gtt.to.it/gruppo/Bilancio_2012.pdf (in Italian), accessed on Jan. 30th,
 477 2015.
- Millo, F., Fuso, R., Rolando, L., Zhao, J. et al., "HYBUS: A New Hybrid Bus for
 Urban Public Transportation," *SAE Technical Paper 2013-24-0081*, 2013,
 doi:10.4271/2013-24-0081.
- Louvigny, Y., Nzisabira, J. and Duysinx, P.: "Analysis of hybrid hydraulic
 vehicles and comparison with hybrid electric vehicles using batteries or super
 capacitors", EET-2008 European Ele-Drive Conference, *International Advanced Mobility Forum*, 11-13 March 2008, Geneva-Switzerland.
- 485
 4. De Lorenzo, G., et al. "Numerical simulation model for the preliminary design of hybrid electric city bus power train with polymer electrolyte fuel cell", *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, Volume 39, Issue 24, 13 August 2014, Pages 12934-12947.
- 489 5. de Oliveira, L. A., et al. "A financial and environmental evaluation for the
 490 introduction of diesel-hydraulic hybrid-drive system in urban waste

491 collection." *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*,
 492 Volume 31, August 2014, Pages 100-109.

493
6. Ribau, J. P et al. "Efficiency, cost and life cycle CO₂ optimization of fuel cell
494
494 hybrid and plug-in hybrid urban buses." *Applied Energy,* Volume 129, 2014,
495 Pages 320-335.

- 496 7. Briggs, I. et al. "Whole-vehicle modelling of exhaust energy recovery on a diesel-electric hybrid bus." *Energy,* Volume 65, 2014, Pages 172-181.
- Wang, L., et al. "Hardware-in-the-loop simulation for the design and verification
 of the control system of a series–parallel hybrid electric city-bus." *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory,* Volume 25, 2012, Pages 148-162.
- Hu, X., et al. "Energy efficiency analysis of a series plug-in hybrid electric bus
 with different energy management strategies and battery sizes." *Applied Energy* Volume 111, 2013, pages 1001-1009.
- 10. Clark, N.N., and Zhen, F.: APPLICATIONS TRANSPORTATION | Buses:
 ICE/Battery Hybrids, In *Encyclopedia of Electrochemical Power Sources*, edited
 by Jürgen Garche, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2009, Pages 203-218, ISBN
 9780444527455, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-044452745-5.00884-4.
- 508 11. Xiong, Weiwei, Yong Zhang, and Chengliang Yin. "Optimal energy
 509 management for a series-parallel hybrid electric bus." *Energy conversion and* 510 *management*, 50.7 (2009): 1730-1738.
- Liang, X., Wang, C., Chapelsky, C., Koval D. and Knight, A. M.: "Analysis of Series and Parallel Hybrid Bus Fuel Consumption of Different Edmonton Transit System Routes", 5th IEEE Vehicle Power and Propulsion Conference, VPPC '09, 7-10 September 2009, Dearborn, pp. 1470-1475.
- 515 13. Frey, H. C., Rouphail, N. M., Zhai, H., Farias T. L., and Goncalves, C. A.:
 516 "Comparing Real-World Fuel Consumption for Diesel and Hydrogen-Fueled
 517 Transit Buses and Implications for Emissions", *Transportation Research Part D:*518 *Transport and Environment*, Vol. 12, No. 4, 2007, pp. 281-291.
- Kies, A., Luz, R., Silberholz, G., Hausberger, S.: "Influence of the driving style
 on fuel consumption and battery wear of a parallel hybrid city bus", 13th
 Stuttgart International Symposium, 26-27 February 2013, Stuttgart, Germany.
- 522 15. Lajunen, Antti. "Energy consumption and cost-benefit analysis of hybrid and
- electric city buses." *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*38 (2014): 1-15.

16. Torino Oggi, "Torino: in arrivo 100 nuovi autobus GTT di ultima generazione", 525 http://www.torinoggi.it/attualita/3521-torino-in-arrivo-100-nuovi-autobus-gtt-di-526 ultima-generazione.html?pag=3264 (in Italian), accessed on March 2th, 2015 527 dicembre 2010". 17. GTT. "Relazione bilancio al 31 528 е http://www.gtt.to.it/gruppo/GTT%20Bilancio%2031%20dicembre%202010.pdf 529 (in Italian), accessed on March 2th, 2015 530 18. Rinnovabili.it, http://www.rinnovabili.it/storico/arrivano-7-bus-ibridi-diesel-531 elettrico-2/ (in Italian), accessed on March 2th, 2015 532 19. EESI, "HYBRID BUSES COSTS AND BENEFITS", 533 http://www.eesi.org/files/eesi_hybrid_bus_032007.pdf, accessed on March 2th, 534 2015 535 20. GTT. "Parco Veicoli scheda tecnica". 2012 October 536 http://www.comune.torino.it/gtt/gruppo/veicoli/parco veicoli tpl.pdf (in Italian), 537 accessed on Jan. 30th, 2015. 538 21. GTT, "Rete Urbana e suburbana - Autobus in servizio", October 2012 539 http://www.comune.torino.it/gtt/gruppo/ veicoli/autobus.shtml 540 (in Italian). accessed on Jan. 30th, 2015. 541 22. "Standardised On-Road Test Cycles - SORT - A project of the UITP Bus 542 Committee in collaboration with manufacturers", 54th International UITP 543 Congress, London, 20-25 May 2001. 544 23. Millo F., Rolando L., Andreata M., Numerical Simulation for Vehicle Powertrain 545 Development, In: Numerical Analysis - Theory and Application / Awrejcewicz 546 J., InTech, Rijeka, pp. 519-540. ISBN 9789533073897 547 24. Guzzella, L., & Sciarretta, A. (2007). Vehicle propulsion systems (Vol. 1). 548 Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 549 Phosphate?", 550 25. Valence, "Whv use Valence Lithium https://www.valence.com/why-valence/, accessed on March 2th, 2015 551 552 26. Millo, F., Rolando, L., Fuso, R., & Mallamo, F. (2014). Real CO2 emissions benefits and end user's operating costs of a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle. 553 Applied Energy, 114, 563-571 554 27. GAMMA TECHNOLOGY, (2013) GT-Suite User Manuals. Chicago, United 555 States. 556 28. Ciesla, C., Keribar, R., and Morel, T., "Engine/Powertrain/Vehicle Modeling Tool 557 Applicable to All Stages of the Design Process," SAE Technical Paper 2000-01-558 0934, 2000, doi: 10.4271/2000-01-0934. 559

Morel, T., Keribar, R. and Leonard, A., "Virtual Engine/Powertrain/Vehicle
 Simulation Tool Solves Complex Interacting System Issues", SAE Technical
 Paper 2003-01-0372, 2003.

- S63 30. Cipolla, G., Mallamo, F., Paladini, V., Vassallo, A., Millo, F., and Mafrici, G.:
 S64 "Transient Correction of Diesel Engine Steady-State Emissions and Fuel
 S65 Consumption Maps for Vehicle Performance Simulation", 16th Aachener
 S66 Kolloquium Fahrzeug und Motorentechnik, Aachen, Germany 2007.
- Millo, F., Mallamo, F., Pautasso, E. and Ganio Mego, G.: "The potential of
 Electric Exhaust Gas Turbocharging for HD Diesel Engines", SAE Technical
 Paper 2006-01-0437, 2006.
- 570 32. FVT Report "Emissions and Fuel Consumption of Clean City Bus Concepts" –
 571 Report No. FVT/85/06/ Haus Em 29/04-6770 from 16.11.2006.
- 33. R. E. Bellman, Dynamic programming. Princeton N.J.: Princeton University
 Press, 1957.
- Sundstrom, O., and Guzzella, L. "A generic dynamic programming Matlab
 function." Control Applications, (CCA) & Intelligent Control, (ISIC), 2009 IEEE.
 IEEE, 2009.
- 577 35. Millo, F., Rolando, L., Mallamo, F., and Fuso, R.: "Development of an optimal strategy for the energy management of a range-extended electric vehicle with additional noise, vibration and harshness constraints," *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part D: Journal of Automobile Engineering*,
- vol. 227, pp. 4-16, 2012.