POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

A Generalization of a Theorem of Mammana

Original A Generalization of a Theorem of Mammana / Camporesi, Roberto; DI SCALA, ANTONIO JOSE' In: COLLOQUIUM MATHEMATICUM ISSN 0010-1354 STAMPA 122:2(2011), pp. 215-223. [10.4064/cm122-2-6]
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2424025 since: 2015-12-17T17:04:50Z
Publisher: Institute Matematyczny PAN
Published DOI:10.4064/cm122-2-6
Terms of use:
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Post print (i.e. final draft post-refereeing) version of an article published on Colloq. Math. 122 (2011), 215-223, DOI: 10.4064/cm122-2-6.

Beyond the journal formatting, please note that there could be minor changes from this document to the final published version.

The final published version is accessible from here:

http://journals.impan.pl/cgi-bin/doi?cm122-2-6

This document has made accessible through PORTO, the Open Access Repository of Politecnico di Torino (http://porto.polito.it), in compliance with the Publisher's copyright policy as reported in the SHERPA-ROMEO website: http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/issn/0010-1354/

A generalization of a theorem of Mammana

Roberto Camporesi and Antonio J. Di Scala

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino

Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino Italy

e-mail: camporesi@polito.it, antonio.discala@polito.it *

June 28, 2010

Abstract

We prove that any linear ordinary differential operator with complex-valued coefficients continuous in an interval I can be factored into a product of first-order operators globally defined on I. This generalizes a theorem of Mammana for the case of real-valued coefficients.

^{*2000} Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A30.

Key words. Linear differential operators, Polya-Mammana factorization.

A generalization of a theorem of Mammana

Roberto Camporesi and Antonio J. Di Scala Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino Italy e-mail: camporesi@polito.it, antonio.discala@polito.it *

June 28, 2010

Abstract

We prove that any linear ordinary differential operator with complex-valued coefficients continuous in an interval I can be factored into a product of first-order operators globally defined on I. This generalizes a theorem of Mammana for the case of real-valued coefficients.

^{*2000} Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A30. Key words. Linear differential operators, Polya-Mammana factorization.

1 Introduction

Let L be a linear ordinary differential operator of order n

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n + a_1(x)\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}(x)\frac{d}{dx} + a_n(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where the coefficients a_1, \ldots, a_n are real-valued continuous functions in an interval I, $a_i \in C^0(I)$. Mammana [4, 5] proved that L always admits a factorization of the form

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_1(x)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_2(x)\right) \cdots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_n(x)\right), \tag{1.2}$$

where the functions $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are in general *complex-valued* and continuous in the entire interval I and such that $\alpha_j \in C^{j-1}(I, \mathbb{C})$ $(1 \le j \le n)$. (See [5], Teorema generale, p.207.)

A *local* factorization of the form (1.2) had been known for some time, dating back to works of Frobenius and Floquet (see, for instance, [3], p.121).

The new point established in [4, 5] is that one can always find a global decomposition of the form (1.2) (i.e., valid on the whole of the interval I) if one allows the α_j to be complex-valued. The proof is based on the existence of a fundamental system of solutions of the homogeneous equation Ly = 0 whose complete chain of Wronskians is never zero in I. More specifically, let z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n be a fundamental system of solutions with the property that the sequence of Wronskian determinants

$$w_{0} = 1, \ w_{1} = z_{1}, \ w_{2} = \begin{vmatrix} z_{1} & z_{2} \\ z'_{1} & z'_{2} \end{vmatrix}, \dots, w_{j} = \begin{vmatrix} z_{1} & z_{2} & \cdots & z_{j} \\ z'_{1} & z'_{2} & \cdots & z'_{j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ z_{1}^{(j-1)} & z_{2}^{(j-1)} & \cdots & z_{j}^{(j-1)} \end{vmatrix}$$
 $(1 \le j \le n)$

never vanishes in the interval I. A generic fundamental system does not have this property. Recall that z_1, \ldots, z_n are linearly independent solutions of Ly = 0 if and only if their Wronskian w_n is nonzero at some point of I, in which case $w_n(t) \neq 0 \ \forall t \in I$. However, the lower dimensional Wronskians w_j , j < n, can vanish in I. Mammana proves that a fundamental system with $w_j(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I$, $\forall j$, always exists, with z_1 (generally) complex-valued, while z_2, \ldots, z_n can be taken to be real-valued. The functions α_j in (1.2) are then the logarithmic derivative of ratios of Wronskians, namely

$$\alpha_j = \frac{d}{dx} \log \frac{w_{n-j+1}}{w_{n-j}} \quad (1 \le j \le n). \tag{1.3}$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the result of Mammana to linear ordinary differential operators (1.1) with complex-valued coefficients $a_j \in C^0(I,\mathbb{C})$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$). We prove that any such operator can be written in the form (1.2) with $\alpha_j \in C^{j-1}(I,\mathbb{C})$, by showing that there exists a fundamental system with a nowhere-vanishing complete chain of Wronskians (this condition being equivalent to factorization).

Our proof is quite different from the proof of Mammana in the real case. It is more of a topological or differential-geometric nature. For example for n=2 we use the fact that a differentiable map $f: I \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ can not be surjective (by Sard's theorem) to prove the existence of a nowhere-vanishing complex linear combination of any given fundamental system. This implies the factorization of L. The case n>2 is handled by induction on n using similar ideas.

2 The case n=2

We start with the following result, whose proof is easy.

Proposition 2.1. Let L be a second-order linear ordinary differential operator

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + a_1(x)\frac{d}{dx} + a_2(x),$$

where $a_1, a_2 \in C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, I an interval. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1) L admits the factorization

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \gamma(x)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \beta(x)\right) \tag{2.1}$$

for some $\gamma \in C^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ and $\beta \in C^1(I, \mathbb{C})$.

2) There exists a solution $\beta \in C^1(I,\mathbb{C})$ of the complex Riccati equation

$$\beta' + \beta^2 + a_1 \beta + a_2 = 0.$$

3) There exists a solution $\alpha: I \to \mathbb{C}$ of Ly = 0 such that $\alpha(x) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in I$. The relation between the functions α, β and γ is then

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = e^{\int \beta \, dx}, \quad \gamma = -a_1 - \beta.$$

If a_1 and a_2 are real-valued, then the conditions 1), 2) and 3) above can always be satisfied with α , β and γ complex-valued. Indeed let y_1, y_2 be two linearly independent real solutions of Ly = 0. Then the function $\alpha = y_1 + iy_2$ is never zero in I, and we get the factorization (2.1) with $\beta = \alpha'/\alpha$ [4]. It is natural to ask in the real case if there exists a factorization of the form (2.1) with β and γ real-valued. The answer is no, in general. Indeed for I open or compact and a_1, a_2 real-valued, the conditions 1), 2) and 3) with α, β, γ real-valued are equivalent to

4) L is disconjugate on I, i.e., every nontrivial real solution of Ly = 0 has at most one zero in I.

See, for instance, [2], Corollary 6.1, p.351, or [1] Theorem 1 p.5. This is also proved in [4] (for I compact), but the connection between disconjugacy and the factorization of a real linear differential operator of order n into a product of first-order real operators was first discussed by Pólya in [6]. (The so-called Pólya factorization ([6], formula (18)) is equivalent to the Mammana factorization (1.2) (see [1], formula (8) p.92).) In general, a real L is not disconjugate on I. For example if the differential equation Ly = 0 is oscillatory on I, then every solution has infinitely many zeros in I.

When we move from real-valued coefficients to complex-valued coefficients, the equivalence between disconjugacy and factorization breaks down. (The definition of disconjugacy in the complex case is similar to the one in the real case.) A technical reason for this is that there is no analogue of Rolle's theorem in the complex case. Rolle's theorem is used, in the real case, for proving one of the implications in the above mentioned equivalence, as well as a number of important results, such as Sturm's separation theorem (see e.g., [1], Proposition 1 p.4).

This brings us to the question whether the conditions 1), 2) and 3) in Proposition 2.1 always hold for complex differential operators. We shall now see that this is indeed the case. Thus for a_1 and a_2 complex-valued, we can always arrange a factorization of the form (2.1) (even if L is not disconjugate on I). Of course such a factorization is not unique, in fact we shall see that the functions α as in 3) are quite abundant.

The proof is, however, quite different from the proof of Mammana in the real case. Indeed, if y_1, y_2 is a fundamental system, it is not clear how to exhibit a nowhere-vanishing linear combination of y_1 and y_2 as in the real case. Intuitively, one can reason by contradiction as follows. Suppose such a linear combination does not exist. Then every solution of Ly = 0 has at least one zero in I. This implies that in order to specify a given solution, it would be enough to know one of its zeros and the derivative at that point. This is one real parameter plus one complex parameter, for a total of three real parameters. But we know that the vector space of solutions is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$. This argument would allow us to construct an injective map $\mathbb{R}^4 \to I \times \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, and one would have to prove its continuity to get a contradiction.

Instead of making this argument more precise, we will proceed in a different (and simpler) way. We will actually get the result as a corollary of the following proposition about the impossibility of filling the sphere S^2 with a differentiable curve.

Recall that the complex projective space \mathbb{CP}^1 is the compactification of \mathbb{C} and can be identified with the Riemann sphere S^2 .

Proposition 2.2. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A differentiable map $f: I \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ can not be surjective.

Proof. Sard's theorem implies that the image by f of the set of critical values has measure zero. Since all points in I are critical, f(I) has measure zero and must be different from S^2 .

Corollary 2.3. Let $y_1, y_2 : I \to \mathbb{C}$ be two differentiable functions without common zeros in I. Then there exists a linear combination of y_1 and y_2 that vanishes nowhere in I.

Proof. Since y_1 and y_2 do not vanish simultaneously, there is a well defined map

$$f: I \to \mathbb{CP}^1, \quad x \to f(x) = [y_1(x) : y_2(x)].$$

Assume by contradiction that any linear combination has a zero in I. If $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, then the determinant

$$\left| \begin{array}{cc} \alpha & y_1(x) \\ \beta & y_2(x) \end{array} \right|$$

vanishes at some point $x_0 \in I$. This implies that $(y_1(x_0), y_2(x_0))$ is proportional to (α, β) . Thus the map f is surjective, which contradicts Proposition (2.2).

Theorem 2.4. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $L = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + a_1(x)\frac{d}{dx} + a_2(x)$ be a second-order linear differential operator, where $a_1, a_2 : I \to \mathbb{C}$ are continuous functions. Then there exists a solution $\alpha : I \to \mathbb{C}$ of Ly = 0 that vanishes nowhere in I. As a consequence, L admits a factorization of the form (2.1).

Proof. Let y_1, y_2 be two linearly independent solutions of Ly = 0. Then y_1, y_2 have no common zero in I, and the result follows from Corollary 2.3 and Proposition 2.1.

3 The general case

Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n : I \to \mathbb{C}$ be complex-valued functions. Let $\mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ be the linear span (over \mathbb{C}) of the functions f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n . Namely, $f \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ if and only if f is a linear combination with complex coefficients of f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n .

Lemma 3.1. Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n : I \to \mathbb{C}$ be C^1 functions without a common zero in I. That is, for $x \in I$ there is $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $f_j(x) \neq 0$. Then there exists $f \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$ such that

$$f(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n. If n=1 it is obvious. Assume the lemma is true for n and let us show it is true for n+1. So let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n, f_{n+1}: I \to \mathbb{C}$ be n+1 C^1 functions without a common zero in I. Consider the map $F: I \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ given by

$$F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x), f_{n+1}(x)).$$

Let \mathbb{CP}^n be the complex projective space, namely the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}$ under the action of \mathbb{C}^* . It is standard to denote the projection $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}\setminus\{0\}\to\mathbb{CP}^n$ by

$$\pi((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})) = [x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_{n+1}].$$

Note that $\pi(F(x))$ is well defined since the functions f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n do not vanish simultaneously at any $x \in I$. Since the map F is C^1 , the composition $\pi \circ F : I \to \mathbb{CP}^n$ can not be surjective by Sard's theorem. Thus there exists $a = [a_1 : a_2 : \cdots : a_{n+1}]$ such that $\pi(F(x)) \neq a$ for all $x \in I$. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ invertible matrix such that

$$M \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \\ a_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} := e_{n+1}.$$

Regarding M as a linear map from \mathbb{C}^{n+1} into itself, we get that the composition $(M \circ F)(x)$ is not proportional to e_{n+1} at any point $x \in I$. Hence the n functions

$$z_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} m_{1k} f_k, \dots, z_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} m_{nk} f_k$$

do not vanish simultaneously at any $x \in I$. Since z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n are C^1 , we can use the inductive hypothesis to get $f \in \mathcal{L}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ such that $f(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I$. But since $\mathcal{L}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n) \subset \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n, f_{n+1})$, we get $f \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n, f_{n+1})$, which proves the lemma.

Let $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n : I \to \mathbb{C}$ be complex-valued functions of class C^n . Their Wronskian is defined to be the following determinant:

$$W(f_1, \dots, f_n)(x) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1(x) & f_2(x) & \dots & f_n(x) \\ f'_1(x) & f'_2(x) & \dots & f'_n(x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)}(x) & f_2^{(n-1)}(x) & \dots & f_n^{(n-1)}(x) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Theorem 3.2. Assume the Wronskian $W(f_1, ..., f_n)$ has no zeros in I. Then there exist $z_1, z_2, ..., z_n \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, ..., f_n)$ such that

$$\begin{cases}
W(z_1)(x) = z_1(x) \neq 0 & \forall x \in I, \\
W(z_1, z_2)(x) \neq 0 & \forall x \in I, \\
\dots \\
W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)(x) \neq 0 & \forall x \in I.
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Proof. The existence of z_1 follows from Lemma 3.1. Let us show how to construct z_2 . Since $z_2 \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n)$, we need constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} z_2(x) := \alpha_1 f_1(x) + \alpha_2 f_2(x) + \dots + \alpha_n f_n(x), \\ W(z_1, z_2)(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I. \end{cases}$$
 (3.2)

Observe that

$$W(z_{1}, z_{2})(x) = W(z_{1}, \alpha_{1}f_{1} + \alpha_{2}f_{2} + \dots + \alpha_{n}f_{n})(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}W(z_{1}, f_{k})(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \begin{vmatrix} z_{1}(x) & f_{k}(x) \\ z'_{1}(x) & f'_{k}(x) \end{vmatrix}$$

Thus the existence of $z_2(x)$ with the desired properties (3.2) is equivalent to the existence of a linear combination of the 2×2 determinants

$$D_k(x) := \begin{vmatrix} z_1(x) & f_k(x) \\ z'_1(x) & f'_k(x) \end{vmatrix}, \qquad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

without zeros in I. Notice that D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n are C^1 . If we show that D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n do not have a common zero on I, then we can use Lemma 3.1 to show the existence of z_2 .

We claim that D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n do not have a common zero on I. Indeed, if $x_0 \in I$ and $D_1(x_0) = D_2(x_0) = \cdots = D_n(x_0) = 0$, it follows that the rank of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_0) & f_2(x_0) & \cdots & f_n(x_0) \\ f'_1(x_0) & f'_2(x_0) & \cdots & f'_n(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

is one since each column $\begin{pmatrix} f_j(x_0) \\ f'_j(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$ is proportional to the non zero column $\begin{pmatrix} z_1(x_0) \\ z'_1(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$.

That is to say, the first two rows of the Wronskian $W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)(x_0)$ are proportional. Since the Wronskian was assumed to be non zero on I, we have a contradiction. It follows that D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n do not have a common zero on I, and Lemma 3.1 implies the existence of a linear combination $\alpha_1 D_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n D_n$ without zeros in I. Then $z_2 := \alpha_1 f_1 + \alpha_2 f_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n f_n$ satisfies the conditions (3.2).

Suppose now that we have constructed $z_j \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ (j < n) such that

$$\begin{cases} W(z_1)(x) = z_1(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I, \\ W(z_1, z_2)(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I, \\ \dots \\ W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j)(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I, \end{cases}$$

and let us show the existence of $z_{j+1} \in \mathcal{L}(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)$ such that

$$W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i, z_{i+1})(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I.$$

As for z_2 , we look for constants β_1, \ldots, β_n such that

$$W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i, \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_n f_n)(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I.$$

That is, we look for a linear combination of the determinants

$$E_k(x) := W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_j, f_k)(x)$$
 $(k = 1, \dots, n)$

without zeros in I. Note that the functions E_k are C^1 . If we show that the E_k do not have a common zero in I, then we can use Lemma 3.1 to conclude that such a linear combination does exist, and so we can use these coefficients to define z_{j+1} . We claim that the E_k do not have a common zero in I. Indeed, assume on the contrary that there exists $x_0 \in I$ such that $E_1(x_0) = E_2(x_0) = \cdots = E_n(x_0) = 0$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(x_0) & f_2(x_0) & \cdots & f_n(x_0) \\ f'_1(x_0) & f'_2(x_0) & \cdots & f'_n(x_0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(j)}(x_0) & f_2^{(j)}(x_0) & \cdots & f_n^{(j)}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

has rank $\leq j$ because all its columns are linear combinations of the columns of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1(x_0) & z_2(x_0) & \cdots & z_j(x_0) \\ z'_1(x_0) & z'_2(x_0) & \cdots & z'_j(x_0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ z_1^{(j)}(x_0) & z_2^{(j)}(x_0) & \cdots & z_j^{(j)}(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

whose rank is j because $W(z_1, z_2, ..., z_j)(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I$. It follows that the first j+1 rows of the Wronskian $W(f_1, ..., f_n)(x_0)$ are linearly dependent and so $W(f_1, ..., f_n)(x_0) = 0$.

This is a contradiction because we assume the Wronskian $W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ has no zeros in I. This proves the existence of a linear combination $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_k E_k$ of the determinants E_k $(k=1,\ldots,n)$ which never vanishes in I. Then $z_{j+1} := \beta_1 f_1 + \cdots + \beta_n f_n$ satisfies

$$W(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_i, z_{i+1})(x) \neq 0 \ \forall x \in I.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let L be a linear ordinary differential operator of order n

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n + a_1(x)\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1}(x)\frac{d}{dx} + a_n(x),$$

with coefficients $a_j \in C^0(I,\mathbb{C})$, I an interval. Then L has the property W, i.e., there exists a fundamental system z_1, \ldots, z_n of solutions of Ly = 0 such that (3.1) holds. Consequently, L admits the factorization

$$L = \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_1(x)\right) \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_2(x)\right) \cdots \left(\frac{d}{dx} - \alpha_n(x)\right),\,$$

where $\alpha_j \in C^{j-1}(I, \mathbb{C})$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ is given by (1.3), with $w_0 = 1$ and $w_j = W(z_1, \ldots, z_j)$.

Proof. Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be any fundamental system of solutions of Ly = 0. We apply Theorem 3.2 to find z_1, \ldots, z_n with the required property. The equivalence (in the real case) between the property W of L and the factorization of L into first-order factors is proved in [1], Theorem 2 p. 91. Note that the proof remains unchanged in the case of complex-valued coefficients. (The condition that the partial Wronskians are all positive throughout I is replaced by the condition that they vanish nowhere in I.) See also [6], and [5], Lemma II p.198.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Paolo Tilli and Uri Elias for interesting conversations.

References

- [1] W.A. Coppel, "Disconjugacy", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 220. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
- [2] P. Hartman, "Ordinary differential equations", second edition, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1982.
- [3] E.L. Ince, "Ordinary differential equations", Dover Publications, New York, 1944.
- [4] G. Mammana, Sopra un nuovo metodo di studio delle equazioni differenziali lineari,
 Math. Z. 25 (1926) 734-748.
- [5] G. Mammana, Decomposizione delle espressioni differenziali lineari omogenee in prodotti di fattori simbolici e applicazione relativa allo studio delle equazioni differenziali lineari, Math. Z. 33 (1931) 186-231.
- [6] Pólya, G. On the mean-value theorem corresponding to a given linear homogeneous differential equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1922) 312–324.