

Data intensive scientific analysis with grid computing

*Original*

Data intensive scientific analysis with grid computing / Terzo, Olivier; Mossucca, L; Cucca, Manuela; Notarpietro, Riccardo. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE. - ISSN 1641-876X. - ELETTRONICO. - Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 2011, Vol. 21, No 2:(2011), pp. 219-228. [10.2478/v10006-011-0016-z]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2426391 since:

*Publisher:*

University of Zielona Gora press

*Published*

DOI:10.2478/v10006-011-0016-z

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

default\_article\_editorial [DA NON USARE]

-

(Article begins on next page)

## DATA INTENSIVE SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS WITH GRID COMPUTING

OLIVIER TERZO \*, LORENZO MOSSUCCA \*, MANUELA CUCCA \*\*,  
RICCARDO NOTARPIETRO \*\*

\* ARCAS—Advanced Research on Computing Architectures and Security  
Istituto Superiore Mario Boella, Via P. C. Boggio 61, Torino, Italy  
e-mail: {terzo, mossucca}@ismb.it

\*\*Department of Electronics (DELEN)  
Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, Torino, Italy  
e-mail: {manuela.cucca, riccardo.notarpietro}@polito.it

At the end of September 2009, a new Italian GPS receiver for radio occultation was launched from the Satish Dhawan Space Center (Sriharikota, India) on the Indian Remote Sensing OCEANSAT-2 satellite. The Italian Space Agency has established a set of Italian universities and research centers to implement the overall processing radio occultation chain. After a brief description of the adopted algorithms, which can be used to characterize the temperature, pressure and humidity, the contribution will focus on a method for automatic processing these data, based on the use of a distributed architecture. This paper aims at being a possible application of grid computing for scientific research.

**Keywords:** grid computing, GPS radio occultation, scheduler, agent, e-science.

### 1. Introduction

GPS Radio Occultation (RO) is an emerging remote sensing technique for profiling atmospheric parameters (mainly refractivity, but also pressure, temperature, humidity and electron density (Melbourne *et al.*, 1994; Kursinski *et al.*, 1997)). It is based on the inversion of  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  GPS signals collected by an ad hoc receiver placed on a Low Earth Orbit (LEO) platform, when the transmitter rises or sets beyond the Earth's limb. The relative movement of both satellites allows a “quasi” vertical atmospheric scan of the signal trajectory, and the profiles extracted are characterized by a high vertical resolution and a high accuracy. The RO technique is applied for meteorological purposes (data collected by one LEO receiver placed at an altitude of 700 km produce 300÷400 profiles per day, worldwide distributed) since such observations can be easily assimilated into numerical weather prediction models. Anyway, it is also very useful for climatological purposes (the accuracy of inferred tropopause parameters is one of the most attractive aspects of the RO technique), gravity wave observations and space weather applications. Figure 1 (taken from the work of Luntama *et al.* (2008)) sketches a concept view of the radio occultation technique.




Fig. 1. Radio occultation concept.

Starting from the first operational RO mission on board the German CHAMP satellite (Wickert *et al.*, 2004), there are presently several other satellite missions carrying on board an RO payload. The most important are RO experiments on board the European METOP-1 mission (Luntama *et al.*, 2008) and on board the USA/Taiwan COSMIC constellation mission. Several other missions are planned for the future.

In particular, during the 2009 autumn season, the Indian OCEANSAT-2 mission carrying on-board the Italian ROSA (Radio Occultation Sounder of the Atmosphere) GPS receiver was launched. In the framework of this opportunity, the Italian Space Agency (ASI, 2010) funded a pool of Italian universities and research centers for the implementation of the overall RO processing chain, which is called ROSA-ROSSA (ROSA—Research and Operational Satellite and Software Activities). ROSA-ROSSA was integrated in the operational ROSA ground segment by an Italian software enterprise (INNOVA, located in Matera, Italy), while the ROSA ground segment has been operating in Italy (at the ASI Space Geodesy Center, near Matera) and in India (at the Indian National Remote Sensing Agency (ISRO, 2010), near Hyderabad) starting from the 2009 autumn season.

Two ROSA-ROSSA releases are actually available: the operational one, which implements well consolidated RO algorithms and runs on the Matera and Hyderabad ground segments, and the extended ROSA-ROSSA version. The latter implements RO state-of-the-art algorithms and, for the first time, was developed and runs on a distributed hardware and software infrastructure exploiting a grid computing strategy, which is called the Web Science Grid (WSG). The ROSA-ROSSA extended version was validated through the WSG, giving on input to the overall chain CHAMP raw data (related to one day of observations, those performed on 22 January 2004) formatted to ROSA-ROSSA level 1.a data. Output data, which were extracted at different processing stages, were compared with reference data. In particular, both CHAMP products (at the same ROSA-ROSSA output levels) and co-located data taken on independent sources (we used numerical weather analysis from the European Center for Medium range Weather Forecast, ECMWF) were adopted as reference output data. Statistical comparisons were carried out in order to validate the ROSA-ROSSA VE performances.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to a more detailed description of the ROSA-ROSSA extended version software (in terms of algorithms and the data level) and to the discussion of some results obtained after WSG processing of one-day CHAMP observations from a scientific point of view. This section aims to better set up the “scientific” application which exploits grid processing strategies. Section 3 describes motivations and related work. Section 4 presents the structure of our system, data model, agents and scheduling description. Section 5 explains the applications for elaboration. Section 6 contains a discussion of the time execution obtained by the system based on grid computing. Section 7 draws conclusions and includes proposals for future work.

## 2. Processing chain of RO observations

The ROSA-ROSSA extended version software implements state-of-the-art RO algorithms which were already available from the scientific group and are during the validation phase before their final transfer inside the official ground segment of the ROSA radio occultation receiver. The processing chain, which is subdivided into seven different software modules (Data Generators (DGs)), is executed in a sequential mode. Figure 2 shows a simple diagram of the processing chain and the corresponding data-flow.




Fig. 2. ROSA-ROSSA overall chain.

Starting from ROSA level 1.a engineered data (or raw data observed by other RO payloads made available to the scientific community, formatted as ROSA like input data, as defined by the ROSA-ROSSA interface control document) coming from ROSA on board OCEANSAT-2 platform observations, from the ground GPS network (i.e., the International GNSS Service network) and from other support data, the ROSA-ROSSA extended version is able to produce data at higher levels, using a data processing chain defined by the following data generators.

**SWOrD** is a software module that fully supports orbit determination, orbit prediction, and implements level 2 data generation connected with the ROSA sensor on board OCEANSAT-2. Input data for SWOrD are ROSA GPS navigation and radio occultation observations, ground GPS network data and other support data. It generates the following output data:

- estimated rapid orbits and predicted orbits for GPS

constellation in the conventional terrestrial reference frame (data level 1b.a);

- estimated rapid orbits and predicted orbits for the OCEANSAT-2 platform in the conventional terrestrial and celestial reference frame (data level 1b.b);
- 50 Hz closed-loop and 100 Hz open-loop excess phases (data level 2.a) and signal amplitudes data (data level 2.b) for each single occultation event;
- tables showing estimated and predicted (up to 6 hours in advance) occultation (data level 2.c).

**DG\_BMDL** predicts a bending angle and impact parameter profile (level 2.d data) usable as input in the ROSA on board software excess doppler prediction module for open-loop tracking. For each “predicted” occultation event, the latitude and longitude of geometrical tangent points (the nearest point of each trajectory to the Earth’s surface, evaluated through predicted orbits) is used to compute the bending angle and impact parameter profile from interpolated numerical weather prediction models (the bending angle and the impact parameter are geometrical parameters univocally identifying each trajectory followed by the RO signal, cf. Fig. 3 for details). The predicted bending angle and impact parameter profiles  $\alpha(a)$  (2.d data level) are stored in ASCII data files containing bending angles and impact parameters together with the UTC time stamp, one file for each event. Input data for DG\_BMDL are 1b.a, 1b.b (predicted GPS and LEO orbits, respectively) and 2.c (predicted occultation tables), together with ECMWF world forecasts for synoptic times valid for a future observed occultation event.




Fig. 3. Radio occultation geometry. The quasi instantaneous trajectory can be defined by the following geometrical parameters: the bending angle  $\alpha$  (i.e., the total bending experienced by the trajectory), the impact parameter  $a$  (the miss distance of each asymptote of the trajectory from the Earth’s mass center; following the normally adopted assumption of atmospheric local spherical symmetry, impact parameters from both asymptotes are the same).  $R_t$  is the Earth’s local radius and  $h$  the tangent point height (i.e., the height of the nearest point of the trajectory to the Earth’s surface).

**DG\_BEND** provides “raw” bending angle and impact parameter profiles  $\alpha(a)$  computed on GPS occulted signals

on both GPS frequencies  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , by using a wave optics approach below a certain altitude (generally in troposphere). Above that altitude threshold, standard geometrical optics algorithms are applied. Raw bending angle and impact parameter profiles  $\alpha(a)$  (data level 3.a) are stored for each event in ASCII data files. Input data for DG\_BEND are 2.a data ( $L_1$  and  $L_2$  excess phases and related orbit data) and 2.b data ( $L_1$  and  $L_2$  signal amplitudes).

**DG\_BDIF** provides (for each event) a bending angle and impact parameter profile, on which the ionospheric effects have been compensated for. This DG processes both  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  bending angle and impact parameter profiles (data level 3.a) given as input, in order to minimize first order ionospheric dispersive effects. Outputs for DG\_BDIF are bending angle and impact parameter iono-free profiles (data level 3.b).

**DG\_BISI** provides profiles of the bending angle versus the impact parameter optimized in the stratosphere above 40 km. In the ROSA-ROSSA extended version, data coming from a numerical weather prediction model (ECMWF analysis) are used in place of climatological data for implementing the statistical optimization procedure necessary to reduce the high noise level left to the signal after ionospheric first-order compensation applied by the previous DG\_BDIF. DG\_BISI processes bending angle and impact parameter profiles obtained from data level 3.b. Output for DG\_BISI are bending angle and impact parameter profiles optimized in the stratosphere (data level 3.c).

**DG\_NREF** provides (for each event) the refractivity profile as well as dry air temperature and pressure profiles. This DG is able to process iono-free and properly initialized bending angle and impact parameter profiles (data level 3.c) in order to compute the corresponding dry air “quasi” vertical atmospheric profiles (data level 3.d).

**DG\_ATMO** allows evaluating the temperature and water vapour profiles using forecasts or analysis obtained by numerical weather prediction. This DG receives on input level 3.d data files and produces on output level 3.e data files, which contain the total temperature and total pressure profiles in terms of wet and dry components.

Leaving the description of the grid architecture and procedures implemented in the framework of the Web Science Grid for the following sections, we want here to describe some statistical results obtained by processing one day of CHAMP raw data (22 January 2004) using the implemented ROSA-ROSSA extended version. This dataset contains about 120 setting events whose data are available for POD evaluations, at the  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  excess-phase levels, at the bending angle and impact parameter iono free and optimized in the stratosphere profile level, up to the refractivity level. Such data were converted from the native format (CHAMP) to the ROSA-ROSSA one in order






Fig. 4. ROSA-ROSSA scenario for validation purposes using CHAMP reference data as input to the ROSA-ROSSA software. (POD: precise orbit determination; PHD: excess phases; IF: iono-free; SO stratospheric optimization.) Yellow areas define products that were validated against corresponding CHAMP data at the same level. Green areas define products that were validated against independent and co-located atmospheric data (we used data meteorological analysis obtained from ECMWF).

to make them directly available on input to the ROSA-ROSSA chain for immediate processing and to ease the comparisons with ROSA-ROSSA products at the corresponding level. Statistical comparison between data generated at different levels from the ROSA-ROSSA software using WSG and the corresponding CHAMP original products at the same level was carried out (the scenario is shown in Fig. 4). Also independent atmospheric data were used to validate our ROSA-ROSSA products. ECMWF global analyses were co-located in time and space to the generic atmospheric ROSA-ROSSA product, differences were computed, and statistical comparisons on several data files (up to 120, the total number of available occultation events) were performed. Figure 5 shows the statistics on fractional differences between bending angle iono-free and stratosphere optimized profiles obtained by the ROSA-ROSSA extended version and the corresponding CHAMP original profiles. The observed bias is probably due to the incomplete knowledge of the center of the local atmospheric symmetry computation strategy performed by the CHAMP software. Statistics on fractional differences between refractivity profiles obtained by ROSA-ROSSA ( $N_{RSA}$ ) and the corresponding CHAMP original profiles ( $N_{CHA}$ ) are shown in Fig. 6.

Statistical validation of the refractivity profiles given on output to DG\_NREF and ECMWF co-located data was also carried out. Results are given in Fig. 7.

Finally, co-located ECMWF temperature profiles ( $T_{ECM}$ ), specific humidity profiles ( $q_{ECM}$ ) and refractivity profiles ( $N_{ECM}$ ) derived from global analysis for January 22, 2004 are the reference data for the corresponding products generated by the ROSA-ROSSA extended version DG\_ATMO data generator. Statistics on the differences are shown in Figs. 8–10.




Fig. 5. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding CHAMP profile. Profiles here are the iono-free and stratospheric optimized bending angle and impact parameter profiles.




Fig. 6. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding CHAMP profile. Profiles here are the refractivity profiles.




Fig. 7. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding ECMWF co-located profile. Profiles here are the refractivity profiles.

### 3. Related work

The increasing number of research projects on Earth observation, such as GPS radio occultation, produces a large amount of geographical datasets. The analysis, storage and management of these data are a very hard and bor-




Fig. 8. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding ECMWF co-located profile. Profiles here are the refractivity profiles  $N(h)$ .




Fig. 9. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding ECMWF co-located profile. Profiles here are the temperature profiles  $T(h)$ .




Fig. 10. Statistical analysis of fractional differences between the ROSA-ROSSA profile and the corresponding ECMWF co-located profile. Profiles here are the specific humidity profiles  $q(h)$ .

ing job. Specifically, in this project, the main goal was to make a collaborative framework between research centers, university and distributed data in Italy, where physicists and computer engineers need to work together to process

data in an efficient way. It was necessary to find a reliable and flexible way to process these data at every moment (Foster, 2003), hence we think that a distributed architecture is the best choice. This solution also allows overcoming some obstacles such as processing time, because it permits processing multiple events in parallel mode, solving network problems by ensuring that the chain is performed automatically using all the nodes belonging to the grid.




Fig. 11. SWOrD description.

As discussed by Kurowski *et al.* (2006), scheduling jobs on grid and resource management are the major challenge in a grid environment. In general, a lot of effort and features used for grid scheduling have been partly developed by the enabling grid for e-science project (EGEE), now the European Grid Infrastructure (EGI) and the Globus Alliance. Due to their complexity, scientific applications need efficient algorithms to manage resources and jobs. In the e-science environment, in many cases some effort is required in terms of the scheduling approach. In this paper, due to the complexity of the analysis of radio occultation as mentioned by Dimitriadou and Karatza (2010), a global and local scheduling approach was studied and implemented. As mentioned in previous sections, the processing chain consists of seven DGs and these involve complex algorithms that use Matlab. Executable files were created with the Matlab Compiler, which allows reducing further processing time and discarding source files. SWOrD use a set of languages like Fortran and C++. It is elaborated on the master node and generates about 256 files per day; only these files are processed sequentially within the grid (see Fig. 11).

#### 4. System design

**4.1. Overview.** Grid Processing Management (GPM) is an integrated system devoted to handle and process RO data of the OCEANSAT-2 ROSA on board sensor. GPM is composed of the following subsystems (Fig. 12): middleware, central repository, relational database, adapter,

scheduler, agents, and application. The general purpose of our project is sharing the computational resources, transferring a great amount of files and submitting jobs from several different organizations of the scientific community located in different areas of Italy. The nodes are located geographically in Italy, namely, at Istituto Superiore Mario Boella (Turin), the Polytechnic University of Turin (Turin), the University of Padua (Padua), Sapienza University (Rome), the University of Camerino (Macerata), the International Center of Theoretical Physics (Trieste), the Italian Space Agency (Matera) and the Institute for Complex Systems (Florence). Hence, we used the Globus Toolkit as middleware (Berman, 2003; Globus, 2010a; 2010b), since it allows obtaining a reliable information technology infrastructure that enables integrated, collaborative use of computers, networks and databases. The repository is the component responsible for storing data in directories and files; it stores executable files, source, input/output and support files.




Fig. 12. Architecture overview.

**4.2. Data model.** The data model, based on a MySQL Database Management System, is a fundamental component of the system. It has three main functions: one for grid configuration and user accounting, one for data generator algorithm description, and one for log data. The data model is composed of six domains in order to define the main function (Fig. 13).

**GRID CONFIGURATION:** It is dedicated to the nodes' description, the technical characteristics of each node, the path where are stored files and the network parameters such as IP, MAC address and location. This information is useful for the scheduler and middleware.

**DATA GENERATORS DESCRIPTION:** This set of tables describe each data generator. Each table is deputed to store information about files, softwares and libraries list needed for its execution, the path where all sources and support files can be found. All DGs are characterized by

an XML file, and we also describe the XML file structure for each DG; in this way, we are able to dynamically generate the XML file for each event.

**GRID STATUS:** This set of tables are dedicated to the scheduler; each table contains all information concerning the general status of each node and how many services are up/down.

**GRID STATISTIC:** This set of tables store information about the behavior of some parameters (CPU and RAM) on each node and the total processing time for each DG on each node. A policy rule for the scheduler is based on the estimation of the total elaboration time.

**USER ACCOUNT AND GRID CREDENTIAL:** On the grid, each user has different permission, such as executing DGs, reading data and showing output.




Fig. 13. Data model.

**4.3. Scheduling models.** The scheduler provides for automated scheduling of any input files, processes as well as import and export of data (Buyya *et al.*, 2000). It uses all machines belonging to the grid to distribute the work load and provide a backup system for all critical tasks within the system (Kurowski *et al.*, 2006). It has the ability to run more than one job at the same time and run multiple steps within a single job in parallel to complete jobs faster and more efficiently (Leonid, 2004). Its tasks are all created as Java class files, allowing the creation of new and complex tasks in an easy manner. Tasks can also be run with a configuration parameter if desired, creating only a part of the chain. Two schedulers were developed: a global and a local scheduler. The global scheduler is installed only on the master node and makes it possible to check the number of files to be processed and the number of available nodes, and send files to these machines (see Fig. 14). This scheduler decides how to share the computer among the processes that are ready to run. The choice of how to share the file to run is based on two sets of scheduling rules, one concerning the available nodes and one derived from an analysis of the file to run. The global scheduler is split in modules, one module for each data generator. Each module is a daemon and is in charge of the scheduling of jobs. Each module is independent.

Six modules have been implemented, each of them based on Java implementation from the Globus Toolkit






Fig. 14. Scheduler scenario.

(Globus, 2010a). Due to the requirements of RO analysis, a job is defined by the presence of data files to be analyzed. Each module checks the availability of files on a directory and has a specific directory, one for each Data Generator. The DG module is responsible for getting the list of files for analysis. The next step is to check the availability of the node by querying the grid status table on the data model. A list of available nodes is returned; for each node the schedule module checks if it is in condition to run a job by sending a globus command.

The last condition is to check how many nodes are running; the module sends files by choosing free nodes. If all nodes are running, the files are in a queue condition. Finally, each node has a threshold for its computational capacity and, according to this criterion, one or more files are sent for the analysis. The local scheduler is a daemon and has in charge the run execution of each DG. Each node has a set of directories that correspond to each repository of files for each DG. The local scheduler is implemented with a round robin policy in order to give a rotation for the elaboration of each DG algorithm. The local scheduler takes the list of files and for each of them runs the correct DG algorithm. DG algorithms are developed in a Matlab compiled file. When the execution job is accomplished, the output file is sent to the master node and is located in the next DG directory. In this case, it will be managed by the global scheduler for the next elaboration.

**4.4. Agent.** In spite of the success of grid computing in providing solutions for a number of large-scale science fields, one of the problems is the scalability of the system. The agents are emerging as a solution to provide flexibility and scalability (Gradwell, 2002). The agent is installed on each node, is used to monitor the availability of each service on the node and periodically sends its general status to the database on the master node. If all services are available, the node is in condition to receive a job. The scheduler, for the selection of nodes available and ready to run, instead of querying each machine, queries

the database directly. A specific function checks if the feedback information from all nodes has been sent and, in the case of a missing status, the node is considered unavailable.

## 5. Java application

**5.1. Single job request.** At a preliminary step of development, where the algorithms were continuously improved, it was necessary to have a user friendly Java application. It was born for university researchers in order to allow them to test their own algorithms, but it also provides some functionalities:

- to grant secure access to the grid;
- to allow choosing where a DG will be executed, in a local machine or in a grid through the scheduler;
- to display the evolution of the submitted DG;
- to allow monitoring the available machine belonging to the grid;
- to plot the final result of the DG.

**5.2. Automatic chain.** Our software allows running the chain automatically. It is composed of two daemons: one that listens to the master node, checks for files ready for execution and sends them on worker nodes, according to the scheduling rules, and one that listens to the worker nodes, and on a receipt of a file, executes and returns the result file obtained on the master node. In Fig. 15, the data flow is depicted. The first transaction takes place on the master node, which receives the files directly from the satellite and performs the first step in the chain, i.e., SWOrD, generating about 256 files that are placed in the folder the next step, DG\_BEND. When there are files in the DG\_BEND folder, the scheduler daemons check nodes available by querying the database, and sends them necessary information. When the worker node sees a file in its folder, it starts the processing procedure that will generate an output file that will be sent on the master node in the folder next step, i.e., DG\_BDIF. This procedure is performed for each step of the chain, and the operation is as follows: from SWOrD, the DG  $n - 1$  generates the output file that will be the input files of DG  $n$ , and so on. On worker nodes, each execution is performed in a temporary folder so that, in the case of an error, it is possible to identify the type of error made. An important component of this architecture is the database, which allows us to monitor any action of the grid. Regarding the automatic chain, each transaction is stored in the database: when it starts running, when it ends, input files, output files, the node that has run and the type of error, if it has generated them. The database also contains information on the status of each machine and is available to receive the file to run.






Fig. 15. Automatic processing chain.

This allows us to understand whether there are network problems and hence whether the machine is reachable.

### 6. Experimental results

The DGs for the overall processing chain were tested during a learning phase. For a single event we obtained the percentage values in Fig. 16 and for daily events those in Fig. 17. The amounts of input, output and time for elaboration were considered. The following equations represent the estimation time of elaboration and cover both a non-distributed ( $N = 1$ ) and a distributed architecture ( $N > 1$ ):

$$T_p = T_e \cdot \eta, \tag{1}$$

$$T_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{\eta} (T_{ei} + \beta), \tag{2}$$

respectively, where  $T_p$  is the total process time,  $T_e$  is the event process time,  $T_{ei}$  is the process time for event  $i$ ,  $\eta$  denotes the number of RO events,  $N$  stands for the number of grid nodes,  $\beta$  is the file transfer time.

We have assume that SWOrD has already been executed, and then it is outside the calculation.

In Fig. 18, the execution time trend is estimated when the number of nodes and events is increased. When only one node is available, the total execution time for daily files is 1752 minutes (about 29 hours). Instead of increasing the number of nodes, the execution decreases further, just note that with 2 nodes it is 912 (about 15 hours). This means that, when a single event is processed, there is no gain time in the grid environment. The time is higher rather because we must consider the transfer time. It has sizeable gain time only when a set of files are processed. In Table 1, detected processing time is considered.

Certainly, the benefit of the grid is ensuring the elaboration of the overall chain in less time. In a distributed




Fig. 16. DG elaboration for a single event.

Table 1. Detected processing time.

| Nodes | Processing time |
|-------|-----------------|
| 10    | 2 h 20 min      |
| 8     | 3 h 06 min      |
| 6     | 4 h 00 min      |
| 4     | 6 h 30 min      |

system where worker nodes are geographically located, it can have disadvantages in the network layer in the case of network failures or slow connections. To overcome this problem, only internal nodes are available for elaboration.

### 7. Conclusions and future work

The radio occultation technique, its implementation on the ROSA-ROSSA extended version software which runs for the first time on a distributed hardware and software in-




Fig. 17. DG elaboration for daily events.




Fig. 18. Estimated processing time.

frastructure based on grid computing (the Web Science Grid), as well as some results obtained during the validation activity were described. It has to be noted that, besides the impressive decrease in computation time, which allows the availability of radio occultation products in quasi real time, one of the benefits of using a grid approach is the possibility to open the door to each researcher which is interested in developing his or her own processor (or even a small subroutine) of radio occultation data at each level of the processing chain. Such a researcher could take data from a certain level, locally process such data and put the corresponding output into the grid, exploiting the processing given by the previous and, (if interested) the following data generators. This is a very big added value of such an approach, which can permit in the future to improve radio occultation processing strategies without the necessity to implement the complicated processing chain.

This paper attempts to be also an example of an application of grid computing. In frameworks such as radio occultation, where the amount of data to be processed is significant, the use of a distributed architecture as the grid can be the best choice. Increasing the number of the nodes

belonging to the grid, the processing time is reduced exponentially. For the future, we are evaluating the possibility of creating a cluster around each node, thereby increasing computational power, so that the scientific community would have a tool for sharing the results and a collaborative engineering approach.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to the Italian Space Agency for supporting this project within the contract I/006/07/0 and to all ROSA-ROSSA partners for their contributions.

### References

- ASI (2010). Italian Space Agency, <http://www.asi.it/>.
- Berman, F., Fox, G. and Hey A. (2003). *Grid Computing Making the Global Infrastructure a Reality*, Wiley, Chichester, pp. 117–170.
- Buyya, R., Abramson, D. and Giddy, J. (2000). NIMROD/G: An architecture of a resource management and scheduling system in a global computational grid, *High Performance Computing Asia 2000, Beijing, China*, pp. 283–289.
- Dimitriadou, S. and Karatza, H. (2010). Job scheduling in a distributed system using backfilling with inaccurate runtime computation, *International Conference on Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive System, Washington, DC, USA*, pp. 329–336.
- Foster, I. and Kesselman C. (2003). *The Grid 2: Blueprint for a New Computing Infrastructure*, Morgan Kaufmann, San Francisco, CA, pp. 38–63.
- Globus (2010a). The globus alliance, <http://www.globus.org/>.
- Globus (2010b). The globus consortium, <http://www.globusconsortium.org/>.
- Gradwell, P. (2002). Grid scheduling with agents, *Proceedings of the Second International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents & Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2003), Melbourne, Australia*, pp. 229–245.
- ISRO (2010). Indian space research organization, <http://www.isro.org/>.
- Kurowski, K., Nabrzyski, J., Oleksiak, A. and Weglarz, J. (2006). Scheduling jobs on the grid multicriteria approach, *Computational Methods in Science and Technology* 12(2): 123–138.
- Kursinski, E.R., Hajj, G.A., Schofield J.T., Linfield R.P., and Hardy K.R. (1997). Observing Earth's atmosphere with radio occultation measurements using the Global Positioning System, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102(D19): 23.429–23.465.
- Leonid O., Rupak B., Hongzhang S. and Warren S. (2004). Job scheduling in a heterogeneous grid environment, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, <http://www.escholarship.org/uc/item/6659c4xj>.

Luntama, J.P., Kirchengast, G., Borsche, M., Foelsche, U., Steiner, A., Healy, S., von Engel, A., O'Clérigh, E. and Marquardt, C. (2008). Prospects of the EPS GRAS mission for operational atmospheric applications, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **89**(12): 1863.

Melbourne, W.G., Davis, E.S., Duncan, C.B., Hajj, G.A., Hardy, K.R., Kursinski, E.R., Meehan, T.K., Young, L.E. and Yunck T.P. (1994). The application of spaceborne GPS to atmospheric limb sounding and global change monitoring, *JPL Publication*, pp: 18–94.

Wickert J., Schmidt T., Beyerle G., Knig R., Reigber C. and Jakowski N. (2004). The radio occultation experiment aboard CHAMP: Operational data analysis and validation of vertical atmospheric profiles, *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan* **82**(1B): 381–395.



**Olivier Terzo** was born in Dijon, France, in 1970. He obtained his degrees in electronic engineering from the Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy, in 2004. His research interests include grid computing, cloud computing technologies and in e-science/engineering data intensive applications.



**Lorenzo Mossucca** is a student of information engineering at the Polytechnic University of Turin, Italy. He works on the project *Software ROSA for Oceansat-2*, related to the development of the ground segment for processing GPS data, collected by the Italian payload ROSA on board the Indian OCEANSAT-2 mission (he implemented the grid computing environment in the system). His scientific interests include grid computing, database development and Java applications.



**Manuela Cucca** works on the project entitled *Software ROSA for Oceansat-2*, related to the development of the ground segment for the processing of GPS data, collected by the Italian payload ROSA on board the Indian OCEANSAT-2 mission. Furthermore, she carries on a research activity on GNSS reflectometry. In particular, she is designing a GNSS receiver for scatterometry purposes, with the goal of developing a low cost, portable and easily reconfigurable receiving system; a measurement campaign is soon foreseen both on ground and on a small UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) aircraft. She is involved in teaching some courses holding practical exercises.

**Riccardo Notarpietro** is an assistant professor at the Polytechnic University of Torino. He belongs to the Remote Sensing Group, operating at the Electronics Department. His fields of activities include also electromagnetic wave propagation and radarmeteorology. He has been involved in several national and international research projects. Presently he is the scientific coordinator of a sub-contract related to the Italian Space Agency project *Software ROSA for Oceansat-2*. This project is concerned with the development of the ground segment for the processing of GPS data collected by the Italian payload ROSA on board the Indian OCEANSAT-2 mission. Within the field of GPS meteorology, he is also involved in the project *METAWAVE (Mitigation of Electromagnetic Transmission Errors Induced by Atmospheric Water Vapour Effects)*; in this framework he is developing a tomographic algorithm for three dimensional reconstruction of high resolution wet refractivity fields using GPS signals collected by a dense receiver network. He is the author or coauthor of 11 peer-reviewed papers and of more than 40 contributions to international and national conferences and workshops.

Received: 28 June 2010

Revised: 4 December 2010

Re-revised: 21 January 2011