

Linking solutions for p-Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth

Original

Linking solutions for p-Laplace equations with nonlinearity at critical growth / Degiovanni, M; Lancelotti, Sergio. - In: JOURNAL OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS. - ISSN 0022-1236. - STAMPA. - 256:(2009), pp. 3643-3659.
[10.1016/j.jfa.2009.01.016]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/1996402 since:

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.jfa.2009.01.016

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Author's version

Published in: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 256 (2009), 3643-3659

Doi: 10.1016/j.jfa.2009.01.016

LINKING SOLUTIONS FOR p -LAPLACE EQUATIONS WITH
NONLINEARITY AT CRITICAL GROWTH

MARCO DEGIOVANNI AND SERGIO LANCELOTTI

ABSTRACT. Under a suitable condition on n and p , the quasilinear equation at critical growth $-\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{p^*-2}u$ is shown to admit a nontrivial weak solution $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$. Nonstandard linking structures, for the associated functional, are recognized.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , let $1 < p < n$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We are interested in the existence of nontrivial solutions u for the quasilinear problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{p^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ denotes the p -Laplace operator and $p^* := np/(n-p)$ the critical Sobolev exponent for the embedding of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in $L^q(\Omega)$. Let us also set

$$S = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*}} : u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\} \right\},$$
$$\lambda_1 = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx} : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \right\}$$

and denote by $\varphi_1 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ a positive solution of $-\Delta_p u = \lambda_1|u|^{p-2}u$ (see LINDQVIST [16]).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E05, 35J65.

Key words and phrases. p -Laplace equations, critical growth, nontrivial solutions, linking structures.

The research of the authors was partially supported by the MIUR project "Variational and topological methods in the study of nonlinear phenomena" and by Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (INdAM).

After the seminal paper of BREZIS-NIRENBERG [4], many works have been devoted to problems at critical growth, mainly when $p = 2$. In particular, let us recall that, according to the main result of [4], problem (1.1) admits a positive solution u for any $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_1[$, provided that $p = 2$ and $n \geq 4$. The result has been extended by EGNELL, GARCIA AZORERO-PERAL ALONSO, GUEDDA-VERON [9, 12, 14], who have proved that problem (1.1) admits a positive solution u for any $\lambda \in]0, \lambda_1[$, provided that $p > 1$ and $n \geq p^2$. Such a solution u can be obtained via the Mountain pass theorem of AMBROSETTI-RABINOWITZ [1] applied to the C^1 -functional $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$(1.2) \quad f(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx$$

and satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad 0 < f(u) < \frac{1}{n} S^{n/p}.$$

On the other hand, it is known [4, 9, 14] that, if Ω is star-shaped and with smooth boundary, then problem (1.1) has no nontrivial solution u for any $\lambda \leq 0$.

When $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, it is still meaningful to look for nontrivial solutions u , but the situation is quite different in the two cases $p = 2$ and $p \neq 2$. If $p = 2$, it has been proved by CAPOZZI-FORTUNATO-PALMIERI [5] that problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u in each of the following cases:

- (a) $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$ and $n \geq 5$;
- (b) $\lambda > \lambda_1$, $\lambda \notin \sigma(-\Delta_2)$ and $n \geq 4$;

(see also GAZZOLA-RUF [13, Corollary 1]). Such a solution can be obtained via the Linking theorem of RABINOWITZ (see e.g. [19, Theorem 5.3]) applied to the functional f and still satisfies (1.3).

On the other hand, when $p \neq 2$ there is in general no direct sum decomposition of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, which allows to recognize a linking structure in a standard way. To our knowledge, the only workable situation amounts to the fact that, if Ω is connected and we set

$$\underline{\lambda}_2 = \sup \left\{ \min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in Y, \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1 \right\} : \right. \\ \left. W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = (\mathbb{R}\varphi_1) \oplus Y \text{ with } Y \text{ closed in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\},$$

then $\underline{\lambda}_2 > \lambda_1$ and, for every $b < \underline{\lambda}_2$, there exists a decomposition

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) = (\mathbb{R}\varphi_1) \oplus Y$$

such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx = \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}\varphi_1, \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq b \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx, \quad \forall u \in Y.$$

Taking advantage of this fact, ARIOLI-GAZZOLA [2] have proved that, for any $p > 1$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u in each of the following cases:

- (a) $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda < \underline{\lambda}_2$ and $\frac{n^2}{n+1} > p^2$;
- (b) $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \underline{\lambda}_2$ and $n \geq p^2$.

Such a solution is still obtained via the classical Linking theorem and satisfies (1.3).

Our purpose is to provide a complete extension to the case $p > 1$ of the mentioned result of CAPOZZI-FORTUNATO-PALMIERI. Because of the lack of decompositions by linear subspaces, we will apply the results of our recent paper [7], which provide an extension of the Linking theorem with linear subspaces substituted by cones. In the line of the case (a), we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that*

$$(1.4) \quad \Omega \text{ has } C^{1,\bar{\alpha}} \text{ boundary for some } \bar{\alpha} \in]0, 1[$$

and that

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{n^3 + p^3}{n^2 + n} > p^2.$$

Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u satisfying (1.3) for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$.

By the way, we also improve the condition on n and p of ARIOLI-GAZZOLA, as (1.5) is equivalent to

$$\frac{n^2 + \frac{p^3}{n}}{n+1} > p^2.$$

This is due to a different concentration technique on points “moving to the boundary” of Ω , rather than at a fixed interior point (the key information is contained in Lemma 3.2).

Still in the line of (a), we also prove the following results:

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that*

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{n^2}{n+1} > p^2.$$

Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u satisfying (1.3) for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$.

In other words, under the condition of ARIOLI-GAZZOLA, the result holds for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$, without any smoothness assumption on the boundary of Ω .

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that Ω is a ball and that (1.6) holds. Then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial radial solution u satisfying (1.3) for every $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$.*

A comparison between Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 raises an interesting question: if Ω is a ball and

$$\frac{n^2}{n+1} \leq p^2 < \frac{n^3 + p^3}{n^2 + n},$$

what about the existence of a nontrivial *radial* solution u satisfying (1.3), say, when $\lambda = \lambda_1$? A (negative) answer could come from an extension of the result of ARIOLI-GAZZOLA-GRUNAU-SASSONE [3].

In order to state our results in the line of (b), let us set, according to [6, 7, 17, 18],

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda_m = \inf \left\{ \sup_{u \in A} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx} : A \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, A \text{ is symmetric and} \right. \\ \left. \text{Index}(A) \geq m \right\},$$

where Index is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomological index of FADELL-RABINOWITZ [10, 11]. Then it is well-known that (λ_m) is a nondecreasing divergent sequence and λ_1 is the same as before, while $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_2$. Moreover, in the case $p = 2$ we have $\{\lambda_m : m \geq 1\} = \sigma(-\Delta_2)$, but for $p \neq 2$ it is only known that the equation $-\Delta_p u = \lambda_m |u|^{p-2} u$ admits a nontrivial solution u for any $m \geq 1$.

We prove the following result:

Theorem 1.4. *If $n \geq p^2$, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial solution u satisfying (1.3) for every $\lambda > \lambda_1$ with $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_m : m \geq 1\}$.*

If Ω is a ball, let

$$\lambda_m^{(r)} = \inf \left\{ \sup_{u \in A} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx} : A \subseteq W_{0,r}^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}, A \text{ is symmetric and} \right. \\ \left. \text{Index}(A) \geq m \right\},$$

where $W_{0,r}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes the corresponding Sobolev space of radial functions. From the results of [16] it follows that $\lambda_1^{(r)} = \lambda_1$. Then we have

Theorem 1.5. *Assume that Ω is a ball. If $n \geq p^2$, then problem (1.1) has a nontrivial radial solution u satisfying (1.3) for every $\lambda > \lambda_1$ with $\lambda \notin \{\lambda_m^{(r)} : m \geq 1\}$.*

In the next section we recall and prove some preliminary facts, while in Section 3 we prove the results we have stated in the Introduction.

2. LINKING OVER CONES

First of all, let us recall from [7] a generalization of the Linking theorem in which linear subspaces are substituted by symmetric cones.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a Banach space and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of class C^1 . Let X_-, X_+ be two symmetric cones in X such that X_+ is closed in X ,*

$$X_- \cap X_+ = \{0\},$$

$$\text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(X \setminus X_+) < \infty.$$

Let also $e \in X \setminus X_-, 0 < r_+ < r_-$,

$$S_+ = \{v \in X_+ : \|v\| = r_+\},$$

$$Q = \{te + w : t \geq 0, w \in X_-, \|te + w\| \leq r_-\},$$

$$P = \{w \in X_- : \|w\| \leq r_-\} \cup \{te + w : t \geq 0, w \in X_-, \|te + w\| = r_-\}$$

be such that

$$\sup_P f < \inf_{S_+} f, \quad \sup_Q f < +\infty.$$

Define

$$c = \inf_{\eta \in \mathcal{N}} \sup f(\eta(Q \times \{1\})),$$

where \mathcal{N} is the set of deformations $\eta : Q \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $\eta(P \times [0, 1]) \cap S_+ = \emptyset$.

Then we have

$$(2.1) \quad \inf_{S_+} f \leq c \leq \sup_Q f$$

and there exists a sequence (u_k) in X with $\|f'(u_k)\| \rightarrow 0$ and $f(u_k) \rightarrow c$.

Proof. From [7, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.9] it follows that (2.1) holds. If, by contradiction, there is no sequence (u_k) as required, then there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $\|f'(u)\| \geq \sigma$ whenever $c - \sigma \leq f(u) \leq c + \sigma$. In particular, f satisfies $(PS)_c$ and from

[7, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.9] we deduce that c is a critical value of f , whence a contradiction. \square

Assume now that Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and that $1 < p < \infty$. If we define λ_m according to (1.7), by [7, Theorem 3.2] the following holds:

Theorem 2.2. *If $m \geq 1$ is such that $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Index} \left(\left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \lambda_m \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\} \right) \\ = \text{Index} \left(\left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx < \lambda_{m+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\} \right) = m. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the application of Theorem 2.1, the simplest choice is

$$(2.2) \quad X_+ = \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \lambda_{m+1} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\},$$

while X_- could be defined as

$$(2.3) \quad \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \lambda_m \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\}.$$

The next result asserts that as X_- we can also choose a smaller cone, with better regularity properties. Let us set $\|u\| = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$ for every $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and denote by $\|\cdot\|_q$ the usual norm in $L^q(\Omega)$. We also set $M = \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1\}$ and denote by $B_{\varrho}(x)$ the open ball of center x and radius ϱ .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $m \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1}$. Then there exists a symmetric cone X_- in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that X_- is closed in $L^p(\Omega)$ and:*

(a) *we have*

$$X_- \subseteq \left\{ u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \lambda_m \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right\} \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega);$$

(b) *$X_- \cap M$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and in $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$;*

(c) *$X_- \cap M$ is strongly compact in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and in $C^1(\Omega)$;*

(d) *we have $\text{Index}(X_- \setminus \{0\}) = m$.*

Moreover, if Ω satisfies (1.4), we have that $X_- \cap M$ is bounded in $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, for some $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, and strongly compact in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.

Proof. We only prove the case $1 < p < n$. The case $p \geq n$ can be treated with minor modifications.

Let \tilde{X}_- be the symmetric cone defined in (2.3). Then $M \cap \tilde{X}_-$ is a symmetric subset of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{Index}(M \cap \tilde{X}_-) = m$, being an odd deformation retract of $\tilde{X}_- \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, $M \cap \tilde{X}_-$ is strongly compact in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Let us recall that, for every $w \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \geq (p^*)'$, there exists one and only one $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta_p u = w$. Moreover, if $q \neq n/p$, we have $u \in L^{\beta(q)}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{\beta(q)}^{p-1} \leq c(\Omega, p, q) \|w\|_q$, where

$$\beta(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{n(p-1)q}{n-pq} & \text{if } q < n/p, \\ \infty & \text{if } q > n/p. \end{cases}$$

(see e.g. [14, Propositions 1.2 and 1.3]).

In particular, for every $w \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q/(p-1) \geq (p^*)'$, there exists one and only one $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta_p u = |w|^{p-2}w$. Moreover, if $q/(p-1) \neq n/p$, we have $u \in L^{\gamma(q)}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{\gamma(q)} \leq \tilde{c}(\Omega, p, q) \|w\|_q$, where

$$\gamma(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{nq}{n(p-1)-pq} & \text{if } q/(p-1) < n/p, \\ \infty & \text{if } q/(p-1) > n/p. \end{cases}$$

For every $w \in M$, let $J(w) \in M$ be defined as $J(w) = u/\|u\|_p$, where $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the solution of $-\Delta_p u = |w|^{p-2}w$. Then it is easily seen that there exists $k \geq 2$ such that $J^{k-1}(M)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. By [8, 15, 20] it follows that $J^k(M)$ is also bounded in $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, or even in $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in]0, 1[$, if Ω satisfies (1.4).

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p-2}w \left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|_p^p} \right) dx &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|_p^p} \right) dx = 1 \\ &= \int_{\Omega} |w|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w dx \leq \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} \|\nabla w\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by the convexity of $\|\cdot\|_p^p$,

$$\left\| \frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|_p^p} \right\|_p^p \geq \|w\|_p^p + p \int_{\Omega} |w|^{p-2} w \left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|_p^p} - w \right) dx = 1,$$

hence

$$\left\| \nabla \left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_p} \right) \right\|_p \leq \frac{1}{\|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1}} \leq \|\nabla w\|_p,$$

namely $\|\nabla(J(w))\|_p \leq \|\nabla w\|_p$.

Therefore $J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-)$ is a bounded subset of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and of $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ (resp. $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$) with $J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-) \subseteq M \cap \tilde{X}_-$. Since J is odd and continuous from the topology of $L^p(\Omega)$ to that of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, it follows that

$$\text{Index} \left(J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-) \right) = \text{Index} \left(M \cap \tilde{X}_- \right) = m$$

and that $J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-)$ is strongly compact in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By the boundedness in $C_{loc}^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, the set $J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-)$ is also strongly compact in $C^1(\Omega)$ (or even in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$, if we have the boundedness in $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$). Now, if we set

$$X_- = \left\{ tu : t \geq 0, u \in J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-) \right\},$$

it is clear that X_- is a symmetric cone in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ satisfying (a)–(d). Since $J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-)$ is compact in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $0 \notin J^k(M \cap \tilde{X}_-)$, we also have that X_- is closed in $L^p(\Omega)$. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and let $p > 1$ with $p^2 \leq n$. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we set, as in [2],

$$u_\varepsilon^*(x) = \frac{c(n,p) \varepsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(p-1)}}}{\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p}}},$$

where $c(n,p) > 0$ is such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_\varepsilon^*|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_\varepsilon^*|^{p^*} dx = S^{n/p}.$$

Up to a different parametrization with respect to ε , the family (u_ε^*) is the same of [9, 12, 14]. Let also $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ -function such that $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \leq 1/4$ and

$\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \geq 1/2$. For every $\varepsilon, \varrho > 0$, we set

$$u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}(x) = \eta\left(\frac{|x|}{\varrho}\right) u_{\varepsilon}^*(x).$$

Lemma 3.1. *There exist $C, \sigma > 0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}|^p dx \leq S^{n/p} + C(\varepsilon/\varrho)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}},$$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}|^{p^*} dx \geq S^{n/p} - C(\varepsilon/\varrho)^{\frac{n}{p-1}},$$

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}|^p dx \geq \begin{cases} \sigma \varepsilon^p - C \varrho^p (\varepsilon/\varrho)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} & \text{if } n > p^2, \\ \sigma \varepsilon^p \log(\varrho/\varepsilon) - C \varepsilon^p & \text{if } n = p^2, \end{cases}$$

for every $\varrho, \varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Formulae (3.1) and (3.2) can be found in [2]. Formula (3.3) is similar. Let us prove it for reader's convenience. Since

$$u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}(\varrho x) = \varrho^{-\frac{n-p}{p}} u_{1, \varepsilon/\varrho}(x),$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}(x)|^p dx = \varrho^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{\varrho, \varepsilon}(\varrho y)|^p dy = \varrho^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{1, \varepsilon/\varrho}(y)|^p dy.$$

On the other hand, it is well known (see e.g. [14]) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_{1, \varepsilon}(y)|^p dy \geq \begin{cases} \sigma \varepsilon^p - C \varepsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} & \text{if } n > p^2, \\ \sigma \varepsilon^p \log(1/\varepsilon) - C \varepsilon^p & \text{if } n = p^2. \end{cases}$$

Then formula (3.3) easily follows. □

Now let $\bar{x} \in \Omega$ and $R > 0$ be such that $B_R(\bar{x}) \subseteq \Omega$ and $\partial B_R(\bar{x}) \cap \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$. If $x_0 \in \partial B_R(\bar{x}) \cap \partial\Omega$ and

$$x_\varrho = x_0 + \varrho \frac{\bar{x} - x_0}{|\bar{x} - x_0|},$$

we have that $|x_\varrho - x_0| = \varrho$ and $B_\varrho(x_\varrho) \subseteq \Omega$ for every $\varrho \in]0, R]$.

Let $\vartheta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ -function such that $\vartheta(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1/2$ and $\vartheta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 1$. Let also $m \geq 1$ with $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1}$, let X_+ be as in (2.2), X_- be as in Theorem 2.3

and let

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\varrho,\varepsilon}(x) &= u_{\varrho,\varepsilon}(x - x_{\varrho}), \\ v_{\varrho}(x) &= \vartheta \left(\frac{|x - x_{\varrho}|}{\varrho} \right) v(x) \quad \text{for every } v \in X_-, \\ X_-^{\varrho} &= \{v_{\varrho} : v \in X_-\}. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, X_-^{ϱ} also is a symmetric cone in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume that Ω satisfies (1.4). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx - C\varrho^{n+p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^{p^*} dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx - C\varrho^{n+p^*} \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varrho}|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + C\varrho^n \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*},$$

for every $v \in X_-$ and $\varrho \in]0, R]$.

Moreover, there exists $\varrho_0 \in]0, R]$ such that

$$e_{\varrho,\varepsilon} \notin X_-^{\varrho} \text{ and } X_-^{\varrho} \text{ is closed in } L^p(\Omega),$$

$$X_-^{\varrho} \cap X_+ = \{0\}, \quad \text{Index}(X_-^{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus X_+) = m,$$

for every $\varrho \in]0, \varrho_0]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Since Ω is smooth enough, according to Theorem 2.3 there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{cases} v(x_0) = 0 \\ \|v\|_{\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{\infty} \leq C\|v\|_p \end{cases} \quad \text{for every } v \in X_-.$$

For every $v \in X_-$ and $\varrho \in]0, R]$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx - \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})) \sup_{B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p.$$

On the other hand, since $v(x_0) = 0$ it holds

$$\sup_{B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v| \leq 2\varrho\|\nabla v\|_{\infty}.$$

Then (3.4) easily follows. The proof of (3.5) is similar. We also have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varrho}|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + C \mathcal{L}^n(B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})) \left(\sup_{B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |\nabla v|^p + \varrho^{-p} \sup_{B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p \right),$$

whence assertion (3.6).

From (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7) it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varrho}|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_m + \lambda_{m+1}) \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^p dx,$$

provided that ϱ is small enough. Therefore $X_{-}^{\varrho} \cap X_{+} = \{0\}$. Moreover, for every $v \in X_{-}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx &\leq (\mathcal{L}^n(B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})))^{1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^{*}} dx \right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p dx \\ &\leq S^{-1} (\mathcal{L}^n(B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})))^{1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p dx \\ &\leq S^{-1} \lambda_m (\mathcal{L}^n(B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})))^{1-\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

If ϱ is small enough, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho})} |v|^p dx \quad \text{for every } v \in X_{-}.$$

First of all, it follows that $e_{\varrho, \varepsilon} \notin X_{-}^{\varrho}$ and that we have $v_{\varrho} = 0$ only for $v = 0$. Since $\{v \mapsto v_{\varrho}\}$ is continuous and odd from $X_{-} \setminus \{0\}$ to $X_{-}^{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}$, it follows

$$\text{Index}(X_{-}^{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}) \geq \text{Index}(X_{-} \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus X_{+}) = m.$$

Actually, equality holds, as $X_{-}^{\varrho} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus X_{+}$. Finally, let $(v^{(k)})$ be a sequence in X_{-} with $(v_{\varrho}^{(k)})$ convergent to some z in $L^p(\Omega)$. Then $(v^{(k)})$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega \setminus B_{\varrho}(x_{\varrho}))$, hence in $L^p(\Omega)$, hence in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Up to a subsequence, $(v^{(k)})$ is $L^p(\Omega)$ -convergent to some element of X_{-} , whence $z \in X_{-}^{\varrho}$. \square

Now let $f : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functional defined in (1.2).

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that Ω satisfies (1.4) and that (1.5) holds. Let $m \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda_m < \lambda_{m+1}$, $\lambda_m \leq \lambda$ and let X_{-} be as in Theorem 2.3. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and two*

sequences $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\varrho_k \rightarrow 0^+$ with $\varepsilon_k/\varrho_k \rightarrow 0^+$ such that

$$\sup \left\{ f(te_{\varrho_k, \varepsilon_k} + w) : t \geq 0, w \in X_-^{\varrho_k} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{n} S^{n/p} (1 - \delta \varepsilon_k^p)^{n/p}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since X_-^ϱ is a cone, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ f(te_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w) : t \geq 0, w \in X_-^\varrho \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\nabla(e_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w)\|_p^p - \lambda \|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w\|_p^p}{\|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w\|_{p^*}^p} : w \in X_-^\varrho \right\} \right]^{n/p} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left[\sup \left\{ \frac{(\|\nabla e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p - \lambda \|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p) + (\|\nabla w\|_p^p - \lambda \|w\|_p^p)}{(\|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \|w\|_{p^*}^{p^*})^{p/p^*}} : w \in X_-^\varrho \right\} \right]^{n/p}, \end{aligned}$$

as $\text{supt}(e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}) \cap \text{supt}(w)$ is negligible. Writing $w = v_\varrho$ with $v \in X_-$, the assertion we need to prove takes the form

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{(\|\nabla e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p - \lambda \|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p) + (\|\nabla v_\varrho\|_p^p - \lambda \|v_\varrho\|_p^p)}{(\|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \|v_\varrho\|_{p^*}^{p^*})^{p/p^*}} : v \in X_- \right\} \leq S(1 - \delta \varepsilon^p).$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and the fact that $\lambda_m \leq \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(\|\nabla e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p - \lambda \|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_p^p) + (\|\nabla v_\varrho\|_p^p - \lambda \|v_\varrho\|_p^p)}{(\|e_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \|v_\varrho\|_{p^*}^{p^*})^{p/p^*}} \\ & \leq \frac{\left(S_p^n + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon^p + \lambda C \varrho^p \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \right) + (C \varrho^n \|v\|_{p^*}^p - \lambda C \varrho^{n+p} \|v\|_{p^*}^p)}{\left(S_p^n - C \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{n}{p-1}} + \|v\|_{p^*}^{p^*} - C \varrho^{n+p^*} \|v\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$ and let $\varrho_k = \mu \varepsilon_k^{p^2/n^2}$ with $\mu > 0$ small enough, which will be determined later. We need to show that, for every sequence (v_k) in X_- ,

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{\left(S_p^n + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + \lambda C \varrho_k^p \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \right) + (C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p - \lambda C \varrho_k^{n+p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p)}{S \left(S_p^n - C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n}{p-1}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} - C \varrho_k^{n+p^*} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right]$$

has strictly negative upper limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Up to subsequences, it is enough to consider the three cases:

(i) $\|v_k\|_{p^*} \rightarrow +\infty,$

$$(ii) \|v_k\|_{p^*} \rightarrow \ell \in]0, +\infty[,$$

$$(iii) \|v_k\|_{p^*} \rightarrow 0.$$

In case (i) we get

$$\frac{\left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + \lambda C \varrho_k^p \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \right) + (C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p - \lambda C \varrho_k^{n+p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p)}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} - C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n}{p-1}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} - C \varrho_k^{n+p^*} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} \rightarrow 0,$$

while in case (ii) we obtain

$$\frac{\left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + \lambda C \varrho_k^p \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} \right) + (C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p - \lambda C \varrho_k^{n+p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p)}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} - C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n}{p-1}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} - C \varrho_k^{n+p^*} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} \rightarrow \frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}}}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \ell^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} < 1.$$

In both cases, the assertion easily follows. In case (iii), it is equivalent to consider, neglecting higher order terms, the upper limit of

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right].$$

Since there exists $a > 0$ such that

$$\left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} \geq S^{\frac{n}{p^*}} + a \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right] \\ & \leq \varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S S^{\frac{n}{p^*}} + a S \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}} - 1 \right] \\ & = \varepsilon_k^{-p} \frac{C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p - a S \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + a S \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p \leq C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{np^*}{p^*-p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{n^2}{p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}.$$

It follows

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^n \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right] \leq \varepsilon_k^{-p} \frac{C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{n^2}{p}}}{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}.$$

If we choose $\mu > 0$ small enough to guarantee that

$$C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{n^2}{p}} = C_1 \mu^{\frac{n^2}{p}} \varepsilon_k^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p,$$

it only remains to control the term $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}$ by requiring

$$\frac{n-p}{p-1} - \frac{p^2}{n^2} \frac{n-p}{p-1} > p.$$

This is exactly assumption (1.5) and the assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $m \geq 1$ be such that $\lambda_m \leq \lambda < \lambda_{m+1}$, let X_+ be as in (2.2) and X_- be as in Theorem 2.3.

Since $\lambda < \lambda_{m+1}$, there exist $r_+, \alpha > 0$ such that $f(u) \geq \alpha$ for every $u \in X_+$ with $\|u\| = r_+$. On the other hand, since $\lambda \geq \lambda_m$, by Lemma 3.2 we also have, for every $v \in X_-$,

$$f(v_\varrho) \leq \frac{C}{p} \varrho^n \|v\|_{p^*}^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} C \varrho^{n+p} \|v\|_{p^*}^p - \frac{1}{p^*} \|v\|_{p^*}^{p^*} + \frac{C}{p^*} \varrho^{n+p^*} \|v\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha - \frac{1}{2p^*} \|v\|_{p^*}^{p^*}$$

if $\varrho > 0$ is small enough. Combining this fact with Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we see that there exist $\varepsilon, \varrho, \delta > 0$ such that $e_{\varrho, \varepsilon} \notin X_-^{\varrho}$, X_-^{ϱ} is closed in $L^p(\Omega)$ and

$$X_-^{\varrho} \cap X_+ = \{0\}, \quad \text{Index}(X_-^{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Index}(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus X_+) = m,$$

$$\sup \left\{ f(te_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w) : t \geq 0, w \in X_-^{\varrho} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{n} S^{m/p} (1 - \delta \varepsilon^p)^{n/p},$$

$$\sup \left\{ f(w) : w \in X_-^{\varrho} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha.$$

Since X_-^{ϱ} is closed in $L^p(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|te_{\varrho, \varepsilon}\|_{p^*} + \|w\|_{p^*} \leq b \|te_{\varrho, \varepsilon} + w\|_{p^*} \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } w \in X_-^{\varrho}$$

for some $b > 0$ (see also [7]). It follows that

$$f(u) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{whenever } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty \text{ with } u \in \mathbb{R}e_{\varrho,\varepsilon} + X_-^{\varrho}.$$

In particular, there exists $r_- > r_+$ such that $f(u) \leq 0$ whenever $u \in \mathbb{R}e_{\varrho,\varepsilon} + X_-^{\varrho}$ with $\|u\| = r_-$.

From Theorem 2.1 we deduce that f admits a Palais-Smale sequence at a level c with $0 < c < \frac{1}{n} S^{n/p}$. On the other hand, by [14, Theorem 3.4] f satisfies the Palais-Smale condition at such a level. Then f admits a critical point u with

$$0 < f(u) < \frac{1}{n} S^{n/p}.$$

Of course, u is a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since the general lines of the argument are the same, we only point out the changes. This time, given $B_{2R}(\bar{x}) \subseteq \Omega$, we set as in [2]

$$e_{\varrho,\varepsilon}(x) = u_{\varrho,\varepsilon}(x - \bar{x}),$$

$$v_{\varrho}(x) = \vartheta \left(\frac{|x - \bar{x}|}{\varrho} \right) v(x) \quad \text{for every } v \in X_-.$$

Without any assumption on $\partial\Omega$, we know from Theorem 2.3 that

$$\sup_{B_R(\bar{x})} |\nabla v| + \sup_{B_R(\bar{x})} |v| \leq C \|v\|_p \quad \text{for every } v \in X_-.$$

Then Lemma 3.2 holds with (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) substituted by

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^p dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx - C \varrho^n \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*},$$

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} |v_{\varrho}|^{p^*} dx \geq \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx - C \varrho^n \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{\varrho}|^p dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + C \varrho^{n-p} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^{p^*} dx \right)^{p/p^*}.$$

In the proof of Lemma 3.3, only case (iii) needs some adaptation. Neglecting higher order terms, we have to consider the upper limit of

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^{n-p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right],$$

which is less than or equal to

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \frac{C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^{n-p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p - aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}.$$

By Young's inequality, there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$C \varrho_k^{n-p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p \leq C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{(n-p)p^*}{p^*-p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} = C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{(n-p)n}{p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C \varrho_k^{n-p} \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right] \\ \leq \varepsilon_k^{-p} \frac{C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p + C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{(n-p)n}{p}}}{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + aS \|v_k\|_{p^*}^{p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose $\varrho_k = \mu \varepsilon_k^{p^2/(n-p)n}$ with $\mu > 0$ small enough to guarantee that

$$C_1 \varrho_k^{\frac{(n-p)n}{p}} = C_1 \mu^{\frac{(n-p)n}{p}} \varepsilon_k^p \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sigma \varepsilon_k^p.$$

In the end, to control the term $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}$, we have to require that

$$\frac{n-p}{p-1} - \frac{p^2}{(n-p)n} \frac{n-p}{p-1} > p.$$

This is exactly assumption (1.6) and the assertion follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We follow step by step the proof of Theorem 1.2, taking as \bar{x} the center of Ω and working in the space of radial functions. It is easily seen that the proof of Theorem 2.3 and all the other constructions are compatible with radially. Then the assertion follows in a standard way. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Again the proof is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. We only point out the changes, concerning case (iii) in the proof of Lemma 3.3.

First of all, now $\lambda_m < \lambda < \lambda_{m+1}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_\varrho\|_p^p - \lambda \|v_\varrho\|_p^p &\leq \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \lambda \|v\|_p^p + C\varrho^{n-p}\|v\|_{p^*}^p + C\varrho^n\|v\|_{p^*}^p \\ &\leq -S\lambda_m^{-1}(\lambda - \lambda_m)\|v\|_{p^*}^p + C\varrho^{n-p}\|v\|_{p^*}^p + C\varrho^n\|v\|_{p^*}^p, \end{aligned}$$

up to higher order terms, we have to consider the upper limit of

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \|e_{\varrho_k, \varepsilon_k}\|_p^p - S\lambda_m^{-1}(\lambda - \lambda_m)\|v_k\|_{p^*}^p + C\varrho_k^{n-p}\|v_k\|_{p^*}^p}{S \left(S^{\frac{n}{p}} + \|v_k\|_{p^*}^p \right)^{p/p^*}} - 1 \right].$$

In turn, it is enough to argue on the upper limit of

$$\varepsilon_k^{-p} \left[\frac{S^{\frac{n}{p}} + C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \|e_{\varrho_k, \varepsilon_k}\|_p^p}{SS^{\frac{n}{p^*}}} - 1 \right] = \varepsilon_k^{-p} \frac{C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{\varrho_k} \right)^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}} - \lambda \|e_{\varrho_k, \varepsilon_k}\|_p^p}{S^{\frac{n}{p}}}.$$

Now, in both cases $n > p^2$ and $n = p^2$, it is easily seen that, for every sequence $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0^+$, there exists some sequence (ϱ_k) , going to 0 slowly enough, which guarantees the result. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. It is enough to repeat the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the setting of radial functions. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI AND P. H. RABINOWITZ, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis, 14 (1973), pp. 349–381.
- [2] G. ARIOLI AND F. GAZZOLA, *Some results on p -Laplace equations with a critical growth term*, Differential Integral Equations, 11 (1998), pp. 311–326.
- [3] G. ARIOLI, F. GAZZOLA, H.-C. GRUNAU, AND E. SASSONE, *The second bifurcation branch for radial solutions of the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in dimension four*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 15 (2008), pp. 69–90.
- [4] H. BREZIS AND L. NIRENBERG, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), pp. 437–477.
- [5] A. CAPOZZI, D. FORTUNATO, AND G. PALMIERI, *An existence result for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponent*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 2 (1985), pp. 463–470.
- [6] S. CINGOLANI AND M. DEGIOVANNI, *Nontrivial solutions for p -Laplace equations with right-hand side having p -linear growth at infinity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 30 (2005), pp. 1191–1203.

- [7] M. DEGIOVANNI AND S. LANCELOTTI, *Linking over cones and nontrivial solutions for p -Laplace equations with p -superlinear nonlinearity*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 24 (2007), pp. 907–919.
- [8] E. DI BENEDETTO, *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 7 (1983), pp. 827–850.
- [9] H. EGNELL, *Existence and nonexistence results for m -Laplace equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 104 (1988), pp. 57–77.
- [10] E. R. FADELL AND P. H. RABINOWITZ, *Bifurcation for odd potential operators and an alternative topological index*, J. Functional Analysis, 26 (1977), pp. 48–67.
- [11] ———, *Generalized cohomological index theories for Lie group actions with an application to bifurcation questions for Hamiltonian systems*, Invent. Math., 45 (1978), pp. 139–174.
- [12] J. GARCÍA AZORERO AND I. PERAL ALONSO, *Existence and nonuniqueness for the p -Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 12 (1987), pp. 1389–1430.
- [13] F. GAZZOLA AND B. RUF, *Lower-order perturbations of critical growth nonlinearities in semilinear elliptic equations*, Adv. Differential Equations, 2 (1997), pp. 555–572.
- [14] M. GUEDDA AND L. VÉRON, *Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Nonlinear Anal., 13 (1989), pp. 879–902.
- [15] G. M. LIEBERMAN, *Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., 12 (1988), pp. 1203–1219.
- [16] P. LINDQVIST, *On the equation $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 109 (1990), pp. 157–164.
- [17] K. PERERA, *Nontrivial critical groups in p -Laplacian problems via the Yang index*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., 21 (2003), pp. 301–309.
- [18] K. PERERA AND A. SZULKIN, *p -Laplacian problems where the nonlinearity crosses an eigenvalue*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 13 (2005), pp. 743–753.
- [19] P. H. RABINOWITZ, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, vol. 65 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1986.
- [20] P. TOLKSDORF, *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), pp. 126–150.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA, UNIVERSITÀ CATTOLICA DEL SACRO CUORE, VIA DEI MUSEI 41, 25121 BRESCIA, ITALY

E-mail address: m.degiovanni@dmf.unicatt.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, POLITECNICO DI TORINO, CORSO DUCA DEGLI ABRUZZI 24, 10129 TORINO, ITALY

E-mail address: sergio.lancelotti@polito.it