## POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

## Perturbations of symmetric constraints in eigenvalue problems for variational inequalities

Original

Perturbations of symmetric constraints in eigenvalue problems for variational inequalities / Lancelotti, Sergio. - In: NONLINEAR ANALYSIS. - ISSN 0362-546X. - 27:(1996), pp. 633-644. [10.1016/0362-546X(95)00074-6]

*Availability:* This version is available at: 11583/1401822 since:

Publisher: Elsevier

Published DOI:10.1016/0362-546X(95)00074-6

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

# PERTURBATIONS OF SYMMETRIC CONSTRAINTS IN EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS FOR VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES Author's version

Published in: Nonlinear Analysis 27 (1996), 633-644

SERGIO LANCELOTTI Dipartimento di Matematica Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore Via Trieste 17 I 25121 Brescia, Italy

*Key words and phrases*: Variational inequalities, eigenvalue problems, perturbation problems, nonsmooth critical point theory.

### 1 Introduction

Let us consider a nonlinear eigenvalue problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{K} \\ \int_{\Omega} \left[ Du D(v - u) + p(x, u)(v - u) \right] dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v - u) dx \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K} , \\ \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \rho^2 \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{K}$  is a convex closed subset of  $H^1_0(\Omega)$  of the form

$$\mathbb{K} = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \psi_1 \le u \le \psi_2 \right\} \,,$$

This work was partially supported by a national research grant financed by the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica (40% - 1992).

p is a given nonlinearity and  $\rho > 0$ .

If  $p(x, \cdot)$  is odd,  $\psi_1 = -\psi_2$  and suitable qualitative conditions are satisfied, it has been shown that (1.1) admits a sequence  $(\lambda_j, u_j)$  of solutions with  $\lambda_j \to +\infty$  (see [6, 8, 18]).

Therefore one can ask what happens, if (1.1) is subjected to a non-symmetric perturbation. More precisely, one can expect that the number of solutions of the perturbed problem becomes greater and greater, as the perturbed problem approaches the original symmetric problem.

This type of result has been proved in [13], for a perturbation of (1.1) of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{K} \\ \int_{\Omega} \left[ Du D(v - u) + (p(x, u) + q_h(x, u)) (v - u) \right] dx + \\ + < \mu_h, v - u > \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v - u) dx \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K} \\ \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \rho^2 \end{cases}$$

where  $q_h$  and  $\mu_h$  become smaller and smaller in a suitable sense.

The purpose of this paper is to get the same result for a perturbation of (1.1) of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{K}_h \\ \int_{\Omega} \left[ Du D(v - u) + p(x, u)(v - u) \right] dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v - u) dx \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}_h \\ \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \rho^2 \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

Here  $(\mathbb{K}_h)$  is a sequence of convex closed subsets of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  convergent to  $\mathbb{K}$  in the sense of Mosco [21]. Our main contribution (Theorem (3.12)) asserts that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \geq \overline{h}$  problem (1.2) admits at least m solutions.

In the case of equations, results of this kind have been obtained in [1, 4, 17]. Moreover, in some situations, the perturbed problem has still infinitely many solutions (see [3, 4, 5, 19, 22, 26]). For variational inequalities, situations of this type have been considered in bifurcation problems (see, for instance, [11, 12, 14, 20, 23, 24]). However, in that case the limit problem (1.1) has a very particular structure ( $\mathbb{K}$  is a convex cone and  $p(x, \cdot)$  is linear). Moreover, it is  $\mathbb{K}_h = t_h \widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$  with  $t_h \to +\infty$  and  $\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}$  a fixed closed convex set.

As an example, suppose that  $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$  and consider two sequences  $(\psi_h)$  and  $(\varphi_h)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$\varphi_h \le 0 \le \psi_h$$
 a. e.  
 $\lim_h \psi_h = \psi$ ,  $\lim_h \varphi_h = -\psi$ 

in the strong topology of  $H^1(\Omega)$ . Then the case in which

$$\mathbb{K} = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : -\psi \le u \le \psi \text{ a. e.} \right\},$$
$$\mathbb{K}_h = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : \varphi_h \le u \le \psi_h \text{ a. e.} \right\}$$

can be treated by our approach, even if it has not the structure of a bifurcation problem.

In the next section, we modify the notion of essential value, introduced in [13], to get a tool suitable for our purposes. The most important section is the third one, where we prove the main results.

The author wishes to thank professor Marco Degiovanni for helpful discussions.

#### 2 Essential values of continuous functionals

In the following X will denote a metric space endowed with the metric d and  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a continuous function. If  $b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ , let us set

$$f^b = \{ u \in X : f(u) \le b \}$$

For the topological notions involved in this section, the reader is referred to [25].

(2.1) **Definition.** Let  $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  with  $a \leq b$ . The pair  $(f^b, f^a)$  is said to be *trivial*, if for every neighbourhood  $[\alpha', \alpha'']$  of a and  $[\beta', \beta'']$  of  $b(\alpha', \alpha'', \beta', \beta'' \in \overline{\mathbb{R}})$  there exists a continuous map  $\mathcal{H}: f^{\beta'} \times [0, 1] \to f^{\beta''}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x,0) &= x \ \forall x \in f^{\beta'}, \\ \mathcal{H}\left(f^{\beta'} \times \{1\}\right) \subseteq f^{\alpha''}, \\ \mathcal{H}\left(f^{\alpha'} \times [0,1]\right) \subseteq f^{\alpha''}. \end{aligned}$$

(2.2) Remark. If  $\alpha < \alpha'$  in the above definition, we can suppose, without loss of generality, that  $\mathcal{H}(x,t) = x$  on  $f^{\alpha} \times [0,1]$ . Actually, it is sufficient to substitute  $\mathcal{H}(x,t)$  with  $\mathcal{H}(x,t\vartheta(x))$ , where  $\vartheta : f^{\beta'} \to [0,1]$  is a continuous function with  $\vartheta(x) = 0$  for  $f(x) \leq \alpha$  and  $\vartheta(x) = 1$  for  $f(x) \geq \alpha'$ .

(2.3) Theorem. Let  $a, c, d, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < c < d < b. Let us assume that the pairs  $(f^b, f^c)$  and  $(f^d, f^a)$  are trivial.

Then the pair  $(f^b, f^a)$  is trivial.

Proof. Let  $[\alpha', \alpha'']$  be a neighbourhood of a and  $[\beta', \beta'']$  a neighbourhood of b. Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\alpha'' < c$  and  $\beta' > d$ . Moreover, let  $c < \gamma < d$ . There exists a continuous map  $\mathcal{H}_1 : f^{\beta'} \times [0,1] \to f^{\beta''}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_1(x,0) = x \quad \forall x \in$  $f^{\beta'}, \mathcal{H}_1\left(f^{\beta'} \times \{1\}\right) \subseteq f^{\gamma}, \mathcal{H}_1\left(f^{\alpha''} \times [0,1]\right) \subseteq f^{\gamma}$  and such that  $\mathcal{H}_1(x,t) = x$  on  $f^{\alpha'} \times [0,1]$ . Moreover there exists a continuous map  $\mathcal{H}_2 : f^{\gamma} \times [0,1] \to f^{\beta'}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_2(x,0) = x \quad \forall x \in f^{\gamma}, \mathcal{H}_2\left(f^{\gamma} \times \{1\}\right) \subseteq f^{\alpha''}, \mathcal{H}_2\left(f^{\alpha'} \times [0,1]\right) \subseteq f^{\alpha''}$ . If we define  $\mathcal{H} : f^{\beta'} \times [0,1] \to f^{\beta''}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}(u,t) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_1(u,2t) & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2} \\ \mathcal{H}_2\left(\mathcal{H}_1(u,1),2t-1\right) & \frac{1}{2} \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$

it turns out that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a continuous map with the required properties, therefore the thesis follows.

(2.4) Definition. A real number c is said to be an essential value of f, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $a, b \in ]c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon[$  with a < b such that the pair  $(f^b, f^a)$  is not trivial.

(2.5) *Remark.* The set of essential values of f is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(2.6) Theorem. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b. Let us assume that f has no essential value in ]a, b[.

Then the pair  $(f^b, f^a)$  is trivial.

*Proof.* For a slightly different notion of essential value, the assertion is proved in [13, Theorem (2.5)]. Taking into account Theorem (2.3), the same argument works in the present context.  $\blacksquare$ 

Now let us recall a notion from [9, 15].

(2.7) Definition. For every  $u \in X$  let us denote by |df|(u) the supremum of the  $\sigma$ 's in  $[0, +\infty[$  such that there exist  $\delta > 0$  and a continuous map  $\mathcal{H} : B_{\delta}(u) \times [0, \delta] \to X$  with

$$d(\mathcal{H}(v,t),v) \le t,$$
$$f(\mathcal{H}(v,t)) \le f(v) - \sigma t$$

The extended real number |df|(u) is called the weak slope of f at u.

If X is a Finsler manifold of class  $C^1$  and f a function of class  $C^1$ , it turns out that |df|(u) = ||df(u)|| for every  $u \in X$ .

Let us point out that the above notion has been independently introduced also in [16]. (2.8) Definition. An element  $u \in X$  is said to be a critical point of f, if |df|(u) = 0. A real number c is said to be a critical value of f, if there exists a critical point  $u \in X$  of f such that f(u) = c. Otherwise c is said to be a regular value of f.

(2.9) Definition. Let c be a real number. The function f is said to satisfy the Palais - Smale condition at level c ( $(PS)_c$  in short), if every sequence  $(u_h)$  in X with  $|df|(u_h) \to 0$  and  $f(u_h) \to c$  admits a subsequence  $(u_{h_k})$  converging in X.

(2.10) Theorem. Let c be an essential value of f. Let us assume that X is complete and f satisfies  $(PS)_c$ .

Then c is a critical value of f.

*Proof.* Again the result is proved in [13, Theorem (2.10)] for a slightly different notion of essential value, but the same argument works in our case.

(2.11) Theorem. Let E be a normed space, D a symmetric subset of E with respect to the origin with  $0 \notin D$  and  $f: D \to \mathbb{R}$  an even continuous function. Let us assume that D is non-empty and k-connected for every  $k \ge 0$ . For every  $h \ge 1$  let us set

$$c_h = \inf_{C \in \Gamma_h} \sup_{u \in C} f(u) \,,$$

where  $\Gamma_h$  is the family of compact subsets of D of the form  $\varphi(S^{h-1})$  with  $\varphi: S^{h-1} \to D$ continuous and odd.

Then  $\Gamma_h \neq \emptyset$  for every  $h \ge 1$  and we have

$$\sup_{h} c_h \le \sup \{ c \in \mathbb{R} : c \text{ is an essential value of } f \}$$

with the convention  $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$ .

*Proof.* In [13, Theorem (2.12)] it is shown that  $\Gamma_h \neq \emptyset$  for every  $h \ge 1$ .

Let us set

$$\gamma = \sup \left\{ c \in \mathbb{R} : c \text{ is an essential value of } f \right\}.$$

It is readily seen that  $c_1 = \inf_D f$  is an essential value of f or  $c_1 = -\infty$ . Therefore  $c_1 \leq \gamma$ . By contradiction let us assume that  $\sup_h c_h > \gamma$ . Hence there exists  $h \geq 1$  such that  $c_h \leq \gamma < c_{h+1}$ . Let  $a, \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\gamma < \alpha < \alpha' < a < \alpha'' < c_{h+1}$ . There exists  $\varphi : S^{h-1} \to D$  continuous and odd with  $\varphi(S^{h-1}) \subseteq f^{\alpha}$  and there exists a homotopy  $\mathcal{H} : S^{h-1} \times [0, 1] \to D$  between  $\varphi$  and a constant map. Since  $a > \gamma$ , f has no essential value in  $]a, +\infty[$ . By Theorem (2.6) the pair  $(D, f^a)$  is trivial. Let

$$\beta = \max \left\{ f(\mathcal{H}(x,t)) : x \in S^{h-1}, t \in [0,1] \right\}.$$

Then there exists a continuous map  $\eta : f^{\beta} \times [0,1] \to D$  such that  $\eta(x,0) = x \quad \forall x \in f^{\beta}$ ,  $\eta(f^{\beta} \times \{1\}) \subseteq f^{\alpha''}, \eta(f^{\alpha'} \times [0,1]) \subseteq f^{\alpha''} \text{ and } \eta(x,t) = x \text{ on } f^{\alpha} \times [0,1].$  Let us define  $\mathcal{K} : S^{h-1} \times [0,1] \to f^{\alpha''}$  by  $\mathcal{K}(x,t) = \eta(\mathcal{H}(x,t),1)$ . Then  $\mathcal{K}$  is a homotopy between  $\varphi : S^{h-1} \to f^{\alpha''}$  and a constant map. By [17, Lemma VI.4.5] there exists  $\psi : S^h \to f^{\alpha''}$  continuous and odd. This is absurd, as  $\alpha'' < c_{h+1}$ .

#### 3 Multiplicity of solutions for non-symmetric variational inequalities

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n \geq 3$ , let  $p: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a Carathéodory function such that

$$p(x, -s) = -p(x, s),$$
  

$$s p(x, s) \ge 0,$$
  

$$|p(x, s)| \le a(x) + b|s|^r$$

with  $a \in L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}(\Omega)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < r < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and let  $\rho > 0$ . For every  $h \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$ let  $\mathbb{K}_h$  be a convex closed subset of  $H^1_0(\Omega)$  with  $0 \in \mathbb{K}_h$  such that the sequence  $(\mathbb{K}_h)$ is convergent to  $\mathbb{K}_\infty$  in the sense of Mosco [21]. This means that the following two properties are satisfied:

- a) if  $h_j \to +\infty$ ,  $u_j \in \mathbb{K}_{h_j}$  and  $u_j$  is weakly convergent to u in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , then  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ ;
- b) for every  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  there exists a sequence  $(u_h)$  strongly convergent to u in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with  $u_h \in \mathbb{K}_h$ .

In the following  $\|\cdot\|_p$  will denote the norm in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{1,p}$  the norm in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

We are concerned with the family of nonlinear eigenvalue problems

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{K}_h \\ \int_{\Omega} \left[ Du D(v - u) + p(x, u)(v - u) \right] dx \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v - u) dx \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}_h \\ \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx = \rho^2 \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Problems (3.1) have a variational structure. Let us set

$$S_{\rho} = \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} u^{2} dx = \rho^{2} \right\}$$

and let us define for every  $h \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  the functional  $f_h : \mathbb{K}_h \cap S_\rho \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$f_h(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} P(x, u) dx,$$

where  $P(x,s) = \int_0^s p(x,t) dt$ . In the following, the set  $\mathbb{K}_h \cap S_\rho$  will be endowed with the  $H_0^1$ -metric.

Let us recall a definition from [7].

(3.2) Definition. Let C be a convex subset of a Banach space X, let M be a hypersurface in X of class  $C^1$ , let  $u \in C \cap M$  and let  $\nu(u) \in X'$  be a unit normal vector to M at u. The sets C and M are said to be *tangent at u*, if we have either

$$<
u(u), v-u>\leq 0 \qquad \forall v\in C$$

or

$$<
u(u), v-u>\geq 0 \qquad \forall v\in C$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the pairing between X' and X.

The sets C and M are said to be *tangent*, if they are tangent at some point of  $C \cap M$ .

Let us set

 $D = \{ (h, u) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times S_{\rho} : u \in \mathbb{K}_h \text{ and } \mathbb{K}_h \text{ and } S_{\rho} \text{ are not tangent at } u \} .$ 

In the following, D will be endowed with the topology induced by  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \times L^2(\Omega)$ .

(3.3) Theorem. For every  $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$  there exists a continuous map

$$\eta: D \to H^1_0(\Omega)$$

such that for every  $(h, u) \in D$  we have

$$\eta(h,u)\in\mathbb{K}_h\,,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\eta(h,u)-u) \, dx > 0 \, ,$$

$$\|\eta(h,u)-u\|_2 \leq \tilde{\varepsilon},$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \|D\eta(h, u)\|_2^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \|Du\|_2^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* For every  $(h, u) \in D$  let us denote by  $\Sigma(h, u)$  the set of  $\sigma$ 's in  $]0, +\infty[$  such that there exists  $u^+ \in \mathbb{K}_h$  with

$$\int_{\Omega} u(u^{+} - u)dx > \sigma, \ \|u^{+} - u\|_{2} < \tilde{\varepsilon}, \ \frac{1}{2}\|Du^{+}\|_{2}^{2} < \frac{1}{2}\|Du\|_{2}^{2} + \tilde{\varepsilon}.$$

Because of the definition of D, for every  $(h, u) \in D$  we can find  $u^+ \in \mathbb{K}_h$  with  $\int_{\Omega} u(u^+ - u)dx > 0$ . By substituting  $u^+$  with  $(1 - t)u + tu^+$  for some  $t \in ]0, 1[$ , we can also suppose that  $||u^+ - u||_2 < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ , and  $\frac{1}{2}||Du^+||_2^2 < \frac{1}{2}||Du||_2^2 + \tilde{\varepsilon}$ . Therefore  $\Sigma(h, u)$  is a non-empty interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Moreover, let us consider  $\sigma \in \Sigma(\infty, u)$  and let us choose  $u^+ \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  according to the definition of  $\Sigma(\infty, u)$ . Let  $(u_h^+)$  be a sequence converging to  $u^+$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $(u_h^+) \in \mathbb{K}_h$ . Then it is readily seen that  $\sigma \in \Sigma(h, v)$  for every (h, v) sufficiently close to  $(\infty, u)$  in D.

Now it is easy to see that, for every  $(h, u) \in D$  and for every  $\sigma \in \Sigma(h, u)$ , we have  $\sigma \in \Sigma(k, v)$  whenever (k, v) is sufficiently close to  $(h, u) \in D$ . Therefore there exists a continuous function  $\sigma : D \to ]0, +\infty[$  such that  $\sigma(h, u) \in \Sigma(h, u)$ .

For every  $(h, u) \in D$  let us denote by  $\mathcal{F}(h, u)$  the set of  $u^+$ 's in  $\mathbb{K}_h$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(u^{+} - u)dx \ge \sigma(h, u), \ \|u^{+} - u\|_{2} \le \tilde{\varepsilon}, \ \frac{1}{2}\|Du^{+}\|_{2}^{2} \le \frac{1}{2}\|Du\|_{2}^{2} + \tilde{\varepsilon}$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}(h, u)$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Let  $(\infty, u) \in D$ ,  $u^+ \in \mathcal{F}(\infty, u)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\hat{u}^+ \in K_\infty$  be related to  $\sigma(\infty, u)$ , as in the definition of  $\Sigma(\infty, u)$ . By substituting  $\hat{u}^+$  with  $(1 - t)u^+ + t\hat{u}^+$  for some  $t \in ]0, 1[$ , we can suppose that  $\|\hat{u}^+ - u^+\|_{1,2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Let  $(\hat{u}_h^+)$  be a sequence converging to  $\hat{u}^+$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\hat{u}_h^+ \in \mathbb{K}_h$ . Then it is readily seen that  $\|\hat{u}_h^+ - u^+\|_{1,2} < \varepsilon$  and  $\hat{u}_h^+ \in \mathcal{F}(h, v)$  for every (h, v) sufficiently close to  $(\infty, u)$  in D.

Now it is easy to see that the multifunction  $\{(h, u) \mapsto \mathcal{F}(h, u)\}$  is lower semicontinuous on D. By Michael selection theorem [2, Theorem (1.11.1)] there exists a continuous map  $\eta: D \to H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\eta(h, u) \in \mathcal{F}(h, u)$  and the thesis follows.

(3.4) Lemma. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent. Then for every  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$  there exists a function  $\eta : D \to H_0^1(\Omega)$  as in Theorem (3.3) such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}|Dv|^{2}\,dx\,+\,\int_{\Omega}P(x,v)\,dx\,\leq\,f_{\infty}(u)\,+\,\hat{\varepsilon}$$

whenever

$$v = \rho \frac{(1-t)u + t\eta(\infty, u)}{\|(1-t)u + t\eta(\infty, u)\|_2}$$

with  $u \in f_{\infty}^b$ ,  $t \in [0,1]$ .

Proof. By contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ ,  $u_j \in f_{\infty}^b$ ,  $t_j \in [0, 1]$  and a sequence of functions  $\eta_j : D \to H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $\|\eta_j(\infty, u_j) - u_j\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{j}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} \|D\eta_j(\infty, u_j)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|Du_j\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{j}$  and

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}|Dv_j|^2\,dx\,+\,\int_{\Omega}P(x,v_j)\,dx\,>\,\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega}|Du_j|^2\,dx\,+\,\int_{\Omega}P(x,u_j)\,dx\,+\,\hat{\varepsilon}$$

with

$$v_j = \rho \frac{(1 - t_j)u_j + t_j \eta_j(\infty, u_j)}{\|(1 - t_j)u_j + t_j \eta_j(\infty, u_j)\|_2}$$

Up to a subsequence,  $(u_j)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . Hence we have that  $\eta_j(\infty, u_j) \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It follows that  $[(1 - t_j)u_j + t_j\eta_j(\infty, u_j)] \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , hence  $v_j \rightharpoonup u$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . On the other hand, from  $||(1 - t_j)u_j + t_j\eta_j(\infty, u_j)||_2 \ge \rho$  we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du_j|^2 \, dx \, + \, \int_{\Omega} P(x, u_j) \, dx \, + \, \hat{\varepsilon} \, < \, \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Dv_j|^2 \, dx \, + \, \int_{\Omega} P(x, v_j) \, dx \, \le \\ \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |Du_j|^2 \, dx \, + \, \frac{1}{j} \, + \, \int_{\Omega} P(x, v_j) \, dx \, . \end{split}$$

For j sufficiently large we get a contradiction and the thesis follows.

For every  $h \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  let us denote by  $\pi_h : H_0^1(\Omega) \to \mathbb{K}_h$  the orthogonal projection in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  on the closed convex set  $\mathbb{K}_h$ .

(3.5) Lemma. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent. Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ and  $\eta : D \to H_0^1(\Omega)$  be as in the previous lemma. Moreover, if  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$  and  $\pi_h(\eta(\infty, u)) \neq 0$ , let

$$P_h(u) = \rho \frac{\pi_h(\eta(\infty, u))}{\|\pi_h(\eta(\infty, u))\|_2}$$

Then there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the following facts hold:

- a) for every  $h \ge \overline{h}$  the sets  $\mathbb{K}_h$  and  $S_\rho$  are not tangent at u, whenever  $u \in f_h^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$ ;
- b) for every  $h, k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $h, k \ge \overline{h}$  and  $u \in f_k^b$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_h(\eta(k,u))\|_2 &> \rho\,, \\ f_h\left(\rho\frac{\pi_h(\eta(k,u))}{\|\pi_h(\eta(k,u))\|_2}\right) &\leq f_k(u) + 2\hat{\varepsilon}\,, \end{aligned}$$

c) for every  $h \ge \overline{h}$ ,  $u \in f_{\infty}^{b}$  and  $t \in [0,1]$  we have

$$\|(1-t)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u)) + t\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, P_{h}(u)))\|_{2} > \rho,$$
  
$$f_{\infty}\left(\rho\frac{(1-t)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u)) + t\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, P_{h}(u)))}{\|(1-t)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u)) + t\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, P_{h}(u)))\|_{2}}\right) \leq f_{\infty}(u) + 4\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let us prove property *a*). By contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $h_k \to +\infty$  and  $u_k \in f_{h_k}^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$  such that  $\mathbb{K}_{h_k}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are tangent at  $u_k$ . Since  $0 \in \mathbb{K}_{h_k}$ , we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k(v - u_k) \, dx \, \le 0 \qquad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}_{h_k}$$

and, up to a subsequence,  $(u_k)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . Let  $v \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ . There exists  $v_h \in \mathbb{K}_h$  such that  $v_h \to v$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k \left( v_{h_k} - u_k \right) \, dx \, \le 0 \, ,$$

which implies

$$\int_{\Omega} u(v-u) \, dx \, \le 0 \, :$$

a contradiction, because  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent.

Let us prove property b). First of all, by contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $h_j \to +\infty$ ,  $k_j \to +\infty$  and  $u_j \in f_{k_j}^b$  such that

$$\left\|\pi_{h_j}\left(\eta(k_j, u_j)\right)\right\|_2 \le \rho.$$

Up to a subsequence,  $(u_j)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . Consequently,  $(\eta(k_j, u_j))$  is strongly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to  $\eta(\infty, u)$ . Let  $v_h$  be a sequence converging to  $\eta(\infty, u)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $v_h \in \mathbb{K}_h$ . We have that

$$\left\|\pi_{h_j}\left(\eta(k_j, u_j)\right) - \eta(k_j, u_j)\right\|_{1,2} \le \|v_{h_j} - \eta(k_j, u_j)\|_{1,2}$$

Therefore  $\pi_{h_j}(\eta(k_j, u_j)) \to \eta(\infty, u)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , which implies  $\|\eta(\infty, u)\|_2 \le \rho$ . This is absurd, as  $\int_{\Omega} u(\eta(\infty, u) - u) dx > 0$ .

Now, by contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $h_j \to +\infty$ ,  $k_j \to +\infty$  and  $u_j \in f_{k_j}^b$  such that

$$f_{h_j}\left(\rho\frac{\pi_{h_j}(\eta(k_j, u_j))}{\|\pi_{h_j}(\eta(k_j, u_j))\|_2}\right) > f_{k_j}(u_j) + 2\hat{\varepsilon}$$

Up to a subsequence,  $(u_j)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . As in the previous argument, it follows  $\pi_{h_j}(\eta(k_j, u_j)) \to \eta(\infty, u)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Since

$$f_{\infty}(u) \leq \liminf_{j} f_{kj}(u_j),$$

by Lemma (3.4) we get a contradiction.

Let us prove property c). First of all, by contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $h_k \to +\infty$ ,  $u_k \in f_{\infty}^b$  and  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$||(1-t_k)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u_k)) + t_k\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h_k, P_{h_k}(u_k)))||_2 \le \rho.$$

Up to a subsequence,  $(u_k)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . As in the proof of property b), we have that  $\pi_{h_k}(\eta(\infty, u_k)) \to \eta(\infty, u)$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It follows  $P_{h_k}(u_k) \to P_{\infty}(u)$  and  $\eta(h_k, P_{h_k}(u_k)) \to \eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u))$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . As in the proof of b), we get a contradiction.

Finally, by contradiction, let us assume that there exist  $h_k \to +\infty$ ,  $u_k \in f_{\infty}^b$  and  $t_k \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$f_{\infty}\left(\rho\frac{(1-t_{k})\eta(\infty,P_{\infty}(u_{k})) + t_{k}\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h_{k},P_{h_{k}}(u_{k})))}{\|(1-t_{k})\eta(\infty,P_{\infty}(u_{k})) + t_{k}\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h_{k},P_{h_{k}}(u_{k})))\|_{2}}\right) > f_{\infty}(u_{k}) + 4\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Up to a subsequence,  $(u_k)$  is weakly convergent in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  to some  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ . As in the previous argument, we have  $(1 - t_k)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u_k)) + t_k\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h_k, P_{h_k}(u_k))) \rightarrow$  $\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u))$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Therefore by Lemma (3.4) we get a contradiction.

(3.6) Lemma. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent and let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ .

Then there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  and, for every  $h \geq \overline{h}$ , two continuous maps

$$P_h: f^b_{\infty} \to \mathbb{K}_h \cap S_\rho, \qquad Q_h: f^{b+\hat{\varepsilon}}_h \to \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_\rho$$

such that  $f_h(P_h(u)) \leq f_\infty(u) + \hat{\varepsilon}$ ,  $f_\infty(Q_h(v)) \leq f_h(v) + \hat{\varepsilon}$  for every  $u \in f_\infty^b$ ,  $v \in f_h^{b+\hat{\varepsilon}}$ and such that  $Q_h \circ P_h : f_\infty^b \to f_\infty^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$  is homotopic to the inclusion map  $f_\infty^b \to f_\infty^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$ by a homotopy  $\mathcal{H} : f_\infty^b \times [0,1] \to f_\infty^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$  such that

$$\forall (u,t) \in f_{\infty}^{b} \times [0,1] : \qquad f_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}(u,t)) \leq f_{\infty}(u) + 2\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let us consider  $(b + \hat{\varepsilon})$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}/2$ . Let  $\eta : D \to H_0^1(\Omega)$  be as in Lemma (3.4) and let  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  be as in Lemma (3.5). According to Lemma (3.5), for every  $h \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $h \geq \overline{h}$  let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \forall u \in f_{\infty}^{b} : \qquad P_{h}(u) &= \rho \frac{\pi_{h}(\eta(\infty, u))}{\|\pi_{h}(\eta(\infty, u))\|_{2}}, \\ \forall v \in f_{h}^{b+\hat{\varepsilon}} : \qquad Q_{h}(v) &= \rho \frac{\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, v))}{\|\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, v))\|_{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma (3.5) it is readily seen that  $P_h$  and  $Q_h$  are well defined, continuous and satisfy  $f_h(P_h(u)) \leq f_\infty(u) + \hat{\varepsilon}$ ,  $f_\infty(Q_h(v)) \leq f_h(v) + \hat{\varepsilon}$  for every  $u \in f_\infty^b$ ,  $v \in f_h^{b+\hat{\varepsilon}}$ . Now let us define  $\mathcal{H}_0: f_\infty^b \times [0,1] \to f_\infty^{b+\hat{\varepsilon}}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_0(u,t) = \rho \frac{(1-t)u + t\eta(\infty, u)}{\|(1-t)u + t\eta(\infty, u)\|_2}$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}_0(u,0) = u$  and, by Lemma (3.4), we have  $f_\infty(\mathcal{H}_0(u,t)) \leq f_\infty(u) + \hat{\varepsilon}$ . Essentially in the same way, we can define  $\mathcal{H}_1 : f_\infty^b \times [0,1] \to f_\infty^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_{1}(u,t) = \rho \frac{(1-t)P_{\infty}(u) + t\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u))}{\|(1-t)P_{\infty}(u) + t\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u))\|_{2}}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_1(u,0) = \mathcal{H}_0(u,1)$  and  $f_\infty(\mathcal{H}_1(u,t)) \le f_\infty(u) + 2\hat{\varepsilon}$ .

Finally, let us define  $\mathcal{H}_2: f^b_{\infty} \times [0,1] \to f^{b+2\hat{\varepsilon}}_{\infty}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_{2}(u,t) = \rho \frac{(1-t)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u)) + t\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, P_{h}(u)))}{\|(1-t)\eta(\infty, P_{\infty}(u)) + t\pi_{\infty}(\eta(h, P_{h}(u)))\|_{2}}$$

By Lemma (3.5),  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is well defined, continuous, with  $f_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}_2(u,t)) \leq f_{\infty}(u) + 2\hat{\varepsilon}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{H}_2(u,0) = \mathcal{H}_1(u,1)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2(u,1) = Q_h(P_h(u))$ . The proof is complete.

Now we can prove a perturbation theorem concerning the essential values of  $f_{\infty}$ . Remark that, so far,  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  is any convex closed subset of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ .

(3.7) Theorem. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent. Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  be an essential value of  $f_{\infty}$ .

Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \ge \overline{h}$  the functional  $f_h$  has an essential value in  $]c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon[$ .

*Proof.* By contradiction, let us assume there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $h_k \to +\infty$  such that  $f_{h_k}$  has no essential value in  $]c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon[$ .

Let  $a, b \in ]c - \varepsilon, c + \varepsilon[$  with a < b. Let us prove that the pair  $(f_{\infty}^{b}, f_{\infty}^{a})$  is trivial. Let  $[\alpha', \alpha'']$  be a neighbourhood of a and  $[\beta', \beta'']$  be a neighbourhood of b. Since  $f_{h_k}$  has no essential value in ]a, b[, the pair  $(f_{h_k}^{b}, f_{h_k}^{a})$  is trivial by Theorem (2.6). Let  $a', a'', b', b'' \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\alpha' < a' < a < a'' < \alpha''$  and  $\beta' < b' < b < b'' < \beta''$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a continuous function  $\mathcal{K}_k : f_{h_k}^{b'} \times [0, 1] \to f_{h_k}^{b''}$  such that  $\mathcal{K}_k(u, 0) = u, \mathcal{K}_k\left(f_{h_k}^{b'} \times \{1\}\right) \subseteq f_{h_k}^{a''}, \mathcal{K}_k\left(f_{h_k}^{a'} \times [0, 1]\right) \subseteq f_{h_k}^{a''}$ . Let  $\hat{\varepsilon} > 0$  be such that  $\alpha' + \hat{\varepsilon} \leq a', a'' + \hat{\varepsilon} \leq \alpha'', \beta' + \hat{\varepsilon} \leq b', b'' + \hat{\varepsilon} \leq \beta''$ . Now let  $\overline{h}$ ,  $P_h$  and  $Q_h$  be related to  $\beta''$  and  $\hat{\varepsilon}$  as in Lemma (3.6) and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $h_k \geq \overline{h}$ . Let us define  $\mathcal{H}: f_{\infty}^{\beta'} \times [0,1] \to f_{\infty}^{\beta''}$  by

$$\mathcal{H}(u,t) = Q_{h_k} \left( \mathcal{K}_k(P_{h_k}(u),t) \right) \,.$$

Of course  $\mathcal{H}\left(f_{\infty}^{\beta'} \times \{1\}\right) \subseteq f_{\infty}^{\alpha''}$  and  $\mathcal{H}\left(f_{\infty}^{\alpha'} \times [0,1]\right) \subseteq f_{\infty}^{\alpha''}$ . By Lemma (3.6)  $\mathcal{H}(\cdot,0): \left(f_{\infty}^{\beta'}, f_{\infty}^{\alpha'}\right) \to \left(f_{\infty}^{\beta''}, f_{\infty}^{\alpha''}\right)$  is homotopic to the inclusion map. Therefore the pair  $\left(f_{\infty}^{b}, f_{\infty}^{a}\right)$  is trivial.

We conclude that c is not an essential value of  $f_{\infty}$ : a contradiction.

## (3.8) Theorem. Let us assume that $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ and $S_{\rho}$ are not tangent.

Then for every  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \geq \overline{h}$  the following facts hold:

a) for every  $u \in f_h^b$  there exist  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  such that  $\|\eta\| = |df_h|(u)$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[ DuD(v-u) + p(x,u)(v-u) \right] dx \ge \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(v-u) \, dx + <\eta, v-u > \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{K}_h;$$

b) the function  $f_h$  verifies  $(PS)_c$  for every  $c \leq b$ .

Proof. Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma (3.5) there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \geq \overline{h}$  the sets  $\mathbb{K}_h$  and  $S_\rho$  are not tangent at u, for every  $u \in f_h^b$ . Then the argument is the same of [13, Theorem (3.10)].

Now let us consider the case in which

$$\mathbb{K}_{\infty} = \left\{ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : -\psi(x) \le \tilde{u}(x) \le \psi(x) \text{ cap. q.e. in } \Omega \right\},$$
(3.9)

where  $\psi : \Omega \to [0, +\infty]$  is a quasi-lower semicontinuous function such that  $\int_{\Omega} \psi^2 dx > \rho^2$  and  $\tilde{u}$  is a quasi-continuous representative of u. For notions and results related to capacities, the reader is referred to [10].

Let us recall a characterization from [13].

#### (3.10) Theorem. The following facts hold:

a) given  $u \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho}$ , the sets  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are tangent at u, if and only if

 $\tilde{u}(x) \neq 0 \implies |\tilde{u}(x)| = \psi(x)$  cap. q.e. in  $\Omega$ ;

b) the sets  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are tangent, if and only if there exists a measurable subset E of  $\Omega$  such that the function  $\psi \chi_{E}$  is quasi-continuous and belongs to  $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \cap S_{\rho}$ .

(3.11) Theorem. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent. Then the functional  $f_{\infty} : \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \cap S_{\rho} \to \mathbb{R}$  admits a sequence  $(d_h)$  of essential values with  $d_h \to +\infty$ .

*Proof.* Also this result is proved in [13, Theorem (3.9)] with a slightly different notion of essential value. Taking into account Theorem (2.11) the same argument can be repeated in our situation.

Now we can prove our main result.

(3.12) Theorem. Let us assume that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  has the form (3.9) and that  $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$  and  $S_{\rho}$  are not tangent. Then for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\overline{h} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \geq \overline{h}$  the problem (3.1) has at least m solutions  $(\lambda_1, u_1), \dots, (\lambda_m, u_m)$  with  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  all distinct.

Proof. By Theorem (3.11) we can find m distinct essential values  $d_1 < \cdots < d_m$  of  $f_{\infty}$ . Let  $b = d_m + 1$  and let  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1[$  be such that  $2\varepsilon < d_i - d_{i-1}$  for every i. By Theorem (3.7) there exists  $\overline{h}_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every  $h \ge \overline{h}_1$  the functional  $f_h$  has an essential value in every  $]d_i - \varepsilon, d_i + \varepsilon[$ , hence it has at least m distinct essential values in  $] - \infty, d_m + \varepsilon[$ . Let us choose  $\overline{h}_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  according to Theorem (3.8) and let us set  $\overline{h} = \max\{\overline{h}_1, \overline{h}_2\}$ . If  $h \ge \overline{h}$ ,  $f_h$  has m distinct critical values in  $] - \infty, d_m + \varepsilon[$  by Theorems (2.10) and (3.8) , hence m distinct critical points  $u_1, \cdots, u_m$ . By Theorem

(3.8) there exist  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(\lambda_i, u_i)$  is a solution of (3.1), and the assertion follows.

#### References

- A. AMBROSETTI, A perturbation theorem for superlinear boundary value problems, Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin–Madison, Tech. Sum. Report 1446, 1974.
- [2] J.P. AUBIN AND A. CELLINA, Differential inclusions. Set valued maps and viability theory, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 264, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1984.
- [3] A. BAHRI, Topological results on a certain class of functionals and application, J.
   Funct. Anal. 41 (1981), 397–427.
- [4] A. BAHRI AND H. BERESTYCKI, A perturbation method in critical point theory and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 267 (1981), 1–32.
- [5] A. BAHRI AND P. L. LIONS, Morse index of some min max critical points. I. Application to multiplicity results, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 1027-1037.
- [6] A. CANINO AND U. PERRI, Constrained problems in Banach spaces with an application to variational inequalities, Nonlinear Anal., in press.
- [7] G. COBANOV, A. MARINO AND D. SCOLOZZI, Evolution equation for the eigenvalue problem for the Laplace operator with respect to an obstacle, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat. 14 (1990), 139–162.
- [8] G. ČOBANOV, A. MARINO AND D. SCOLOZZI, Multiplicity of eigenvalues for the Laplace operator with respect to an obstacle, and nontangency condition, Nonlinear Anal. 15 (1990), 199–215.

- [9] J. N. CORVELLEC, M. DEGIOVANNI AND M. MARZOCCHI, Deformation properties for continuous functionals and critical point theory, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 1 (1993), 151–171.
- [10] G. DAL MASO, On the integral representation of certain local functionals, Ricerche Mat. 32 (1983), 85–113.
- [11] M. DEGIOVANNI, Bifurcation for odd nonlinear elliptic variational inequalities, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse 11 (1990), 39–66.
- [12] M. DEGIOVANNI, Variational methods in bifurcation problems for variational inequalities, Autumn School on Variational Inequalities (Paseky, 1992), 27–51, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University, Prague, 1993.
- [13] M. DEGIOVANNI AND S. LANCELOTTI, Perturbations of even nonsmooth functionals, Differential Integral Equations, in press.
- M. DEGIOVANNI AND A. MARINO, Non-smooth variational bifurcation, Atti Accad.
   Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8) 81 (1987), 259–269 (1988).
- [15] M. DEGIOVANNI AND M. MARZOCCHI, A critical point theory for nonsmooth functionals, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 167 (1994), 73–100.
- [16] G. KATRIEL, Mountain pass theorems and global homeomorphism theorems, Ann.
   Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 11 (1994), 189–209.
- [17] M. A. KRASNOSELSKII, Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn. – Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1956. The Macmillan Co., New York, 1964.
- [18] A. LEACI AND D. SCOLOZZI, Esistenza e molteplicità per gli autovalori non lineari dell'operatore -Δ g rispetto a due ostacoli, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.)
  35 (1989), 71–98.
- [19] A. MARINO AND G. PRODI, Metodi perturbativi nella teoria di Morse, Boll. Un.
   Mat. Ital. (4) 11 (1975), no. 3, suppl., 1–32.

- [20] E. MIERSEMANN, On higher eigenvalues of variational inequalities, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 24 (1983), 657–665.
- [21] U. Mosco, Convergence of convex sets and of solutions of variational inequalities, Adv. in Math. 3 (1969), 510–585.
- [22] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Multiple critical points of perturbed symmetric functionals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 272 (1982), 753–769.
- [23] F. SCHURICHT, Eigensolutions and bifurcations for variational inequalities with potential operators in convex sets, Autumn School on Variational Inequalities (Paseky, 1992), 93–119, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of Charles University, Prague, 1993.
- [24] F. SCHURICHT, Bifurcation from minimax solutions by variational inequalities in convex sets, Nonlinear Anal., in press.
- [25] E. H. SPANIER, Algebraic topology, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, 1966.
- [26] M. STRUWE, Infinitely many critical points for functionals which are not even and applications to superlinear boundary value problems, Manuscripta Math. 32 (1980), 335–364.