POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

A new, simple, test-set for on-wafer characterization of millimeter-wave electro-optic devices

Original

A new, simple, test-set for on-wafer characterization of millimeter-wave electro-optic devices / Ferrero, ANDREA PIERENRICO; Ghione, Giovanni; Pirola, Marco. - 3:(2000), pp. 1607-1610. (Intervento presentato al convegno IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium tenutosi a Boston, USA nel 11-16 June) [10.1109/MWSYM.2000.862284].

Availability: This version is available at: 11583/1416132 since:

Publisher: IEEE

Published DOI:10.1109/MWSYM.2000.862284

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

TH3C-1

A new, simple, test-set for on-wafer characterization of millimeter-wave electro-optic devices

A.Ferrero, G.Ghione, M.Pirola Dipartimento di Elettronica, Politecnico di Torino corso Duca degli Abruzzi **24,I-10129** Tonno, Italy D.Frassati Pirelli Componenti Ottici, Viale Sarca, Milano

Ahstract-A simple approach is described for the on-wafer and in**package electrical and electro-optic characterization of electro-optic components, such as electro-optic modulators, up to 40** *GHz* **The technique makes use of a two-port electrical measurement on a device obtained by connecting a calibrated high-speed photodetector to the optical output of the DUT. From the measurement of the electrical** S_{21} and the detector calibration curve, the electro-optical transmission coefficient is derived. The calibration of the on-wafer test set is **carried out through the RSOL technique. The accuracy and repeatability of the proposed method is shown to be comparable with the ones** of commercially available instrumentation, and the frequency band**width, once that the high-frequency responsivity of the photodetec**tor enables the network vector analyzer (NVA) to operate above its **noise Boor, is only determined by the NVA bandwidth. Some results and comparisons are presented concerning packaged and on-wafer LiNbO3 modulators.**

I. INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, considerable interest has been placed on the experimental characterization of electro-optic components at increasingly high frequency. Some general approaches have been proposed to this aim, based on the socalled Electro-Optic *(do)* Network analyzer concept [**1,2].** This approach makes use of a bilateral e/o network [3, 4]. Although **this** solution is potentially able to solve the problem, the implementation is rather complex and the calibration procedure relies on optical standards whose behaviour in not always well known; besides, the published results **are** limited to 10 *GHz.* The same kind of limitation is presented by commercially available equipments for the electro-optic transmission measurement (e.g. **Hp8703A),** whose maximum frequency is limited to 20 *GHz.*

Recently, there has been a growing interest in the development of electro-optic components, in particular modulators, with modulation bandwidths in excess of **40** *GHz.* The electro-optic characterization of these devices poses some clear challenges; besides, both on-wafer and packaged device characterizations are often required to assess the effect of package and connectors on the modulator performances.

This research was partially supported by CNR (National Council of Research) through the MADESS I1 project.

Following these considerations, we developed a **new** simple characterization technique suitable for electro-optic devices. The proposed method can deal both with on-wafer and on-package electro-optic devices, and avoids the use of exotic calibration standards, since it exploits the ones classically used for RF measurements. For on-package measurements, the RF signal is injected into the device through a coaxial connection, while, for on-wafer characterization, the RF modulating signal is directly brought on chip through a coplanar probe. The RF-modulated optical signal exiting from the tested device is then sent to a calibrated photodetector that takes care of the RF recovery, thus making the RF signal available on a coaxial connector at the photodetector output, see [Fig. 1.](#page-2-0) The electrical input reflection coefficient (S_{11}) together with the electrical transmission coefficient (S_{21}) from the DUT input to the photodetector output can be measured directly, while the electro-optical transmission coefficient can be derived by deembedding, from the measured electrical S_{21} , the relative photodector responsivity given by its calibration curve.

Concerning RF measurements, the system is a two-port and, as well known, it can be easily characterized using a vector network analyzer, once the reference planes **are** properly placed at the system ports through the calibration of the system. When the RF input is through a coaxial connector, the calibration can be carried out using whatsoever technique (e.g. TRL, LRM, SOLT). *On* the contrary, problems **arise** when the RF input is on a coplanar probe. In fact, all conventional calibration approaches for two-port devices make use at least of one well defined, two port standard, usually referred as *Thru*, which is to be connected between the two RF ports for the netwowk analyzer calibration procedure. Unfortunately, such a well-characterized two-port standard is not available when the two ports **are** of different type, as in our case for on-wafer measurements. This problem can be overcome using the so-called **RSOLT** method, as discussed in *Sec.* **11.**

The main advantages of the proposed system and calibration technique **are** the test set simplicity compared to other

0-7803-5687-X/00/\$10.00 *0 2000* IEEE

II m electro-optic measurement system; the high calibration accuracy without making **use** of optical standards or cumbersome deembedding procedure; the low cost, since the main parts of the measurement setup are an ordinary network analyzer with a simple photodiode. Moreover, the upper frequency limitation of the system is only dictated by the frequency bandwidth of the analyzer and by the available photodiode, whose response, being deembedded from the measurement, must not be necessarily flat up to the maximum operating frequency.

The paper is structured as follows. Section **I1** is devoted to describing the measurement set-up and the calibration technique. In Sec. **III** results from the present set-up **are** compared to those obtained from a commercially available electro-optic characterization system up to 20 *GHz* and the set-up repeatability is discussed; some examples of characterization up to **40** *GHz* are finally presented for electrooptic modulators both in package and on chip.

Fig. **1.** Measurement **set-up.**

Fig. *2.* Calibration **procedure.**

11. THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

The measurement system, shown in Fig. 1, uses a high-speed calibrated photodiode, produced by Optospeed (Model PD-MH-40A) and characterized by NIST, to detect the optical modulated signal and a traditional network analyzer to excite the modulator and measure the photodetector output. The system can perform the electrical S_{11} and the electro-optic S_{21} measurements through a particular application of the *so* called RSOL calibration *[5].* The same setup was also exploited for in-package characterization; in this case both RSOL and conventional calibration procedures have been tested and applied, giving identical results. In what follows, the attention will be focused on the on-wafer system.

As shown in Fig. 1, the microwave reference planes **are** placed one at the on wafer probe tips (sec. A, port l), and the other at the coaxial output of the photodiode (sec. B, port 2). Traditional calibrations (e.g. TRL, SOLT) are not applicable **since** they all required a THRU (i.e. an ideal zero length connection) between the two different connector type ports or at least a cumbersome de-embedding procedure to include the coplanar probe effect.

The RSOL calibration *[5]* procedure allows to overcome this hindrance, since it uses an undefined passive reciprocal network instead of the fully known THRU standard. This technique is appropriate to our case, for which one port of the device under test is on the coplanar input of an electrooptic modulator, and the other port is on the coaxial K connector of the photodiode used for RF detection. During calibration, simply another probe, cascaded with a coplanar transition, was used as the two-poft reciprocal network, as shown in Fig. 2.

The one-port connections, further required for this kind of calibration to complete the error box extraction procedure, are three known standards for each of the two-ports. At port 2, a set of traditional coaxial standards was used (short, open and load), while the same standard, in onwafer form, were connected to port **1.** Finally, the electrooptic calibration is completed by combining the photodiode response provided by the NIST calibration (see Fig. 3) with the error coefficient given by the procedure described above.

111. ANALYSIS AND VALIDATION

Figure 6 and Fig. 7 show the electro-optical transmission coefficient and the electrical reflection coefficient of an electro-optic LiNbO₃ modulator. In order to assess the repeatability of the proposed set-up, several measurements were carried out with the same and with different calibrations, the maximum measurement difference for the input reflection coefficient were less then 8 % while, conceming the transmission coefficient, a maximum difference of **4** % were found; the higher maximum value for the input reflection coefficient is clearly related to the presence of reflection zeros.

To validate the presented approach, some measurements

Fig. 4. Comparison between measurements of S_{11} on an electro-optical **modulator up to** 20 *GHz.* Continuous **curve: this set-up, dotted curve: HP8703A.**

of electro-optic modulators were made and the results compared with the one given by a conventional electro-optic measurement system HP8703A. Since this test set only supports coaxial RF input, the comparison was made on a packaged device. The measured electrical input reflection coefficient up to the maximum HP8703A operating frequency (20 *GHz),* is reported on Fig. **4;** the agreement is very good on the whole frequency range, with **a** maximum difference below 0.2 dB.

Figure 5 shows the electro-optic S_{21} as measured by the present set-up (continuous line) and the one obtained with the HP8703Ameasurement system (dashed line). The electro-optic S_{21} is, as done usually, normalized to the low frequency **(1** *GHz)* value. The agreement is quite good at

Fig. 5. Comparison between measurements of the electro-optical S_{21} **on an electro-optical modulator until 20 Ghz.** Continuos **curve: this set-up, dotted curve: HP8703A.**

very low frequency, and the discrepancy between the two measurements is within the expected uncertainty. In fact, the uncertainty of the measured electro-optic transmission coefficient is affected, in the present approach, mainly by the photodiode calibration curve, which, in **our** case, **was** ± 0.5 dB on the whole frequency range [6]. The same uncertainty is reported for the HP8703A electro-optic network analyzer [7].

The system was also tested by measuring electro-optic modulators up to 40 *GHz,* both in packaged form and on wafer. It important **to** notice that the maximum frequency is, at **least** in **principle, only limited by the network analyzer** bandwidth. The photodetector bandwidth canbe lower than the maximum operating frequency, provided that the highfrequency responsivity allows the network analyzer to operate well above its noise floor.

Figure 6 reports the frequency behaviour of the electrical input reflection coefficient up to 40 *GHz,* while Fig. 7 shows the electro-optical transmission coefficient of same device, in packaged form. Conceming on-wafer measurement, the electrical input reflection coefficient and the electro-optic S_{21} for a modulator with coplanar RF input, and for the same frequency range, *are* reported on Fig. 8 and Fig. 9, respectively.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The characterization of electro-optical devices up to 40 *GHz* has been addressed through a new, simple technique, making use of a network analyzer and a calibrated photodetector. The accuracy and repeatability of the method are

Fig. 6. Electrical S_{11} of an electro-optical modulator (in package).

Fig. 7. Electro-optical response S_{21} of an electro-optical modulator (in package).

comparable to commercially available equipment, with the advantage that the bandwidth is **only** limited by the network bandwidth and by the photodetector response.

REFERENCES

- [I] D.Curtis and E.Ames, "Optical Test Set for Microwave Fiber-optic Network Analysis", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and
- *Technigue.9,* **pp. 552-559,** Vol. **38,** NO. **5,** May 1990. **[Z] B.Elamaran, R.** Pollard and S.Iezekie1, "Implementation and Calibration of a Two-Port Elecrooptic Network Analyzer", IEEE *Microwave and Guided Wave Leffer.7,* **pp. 369-371,** Vol. **9,** NO. **9,** Sep. **1999.**

Fig. 8. Electrical S_{11} of an electro-optical modulator (on wafer).

Fig. 9. Electro-optical response S_{21} of an electro-optical modulator (on wafer).

- **[3]** S.Iezekiel, C. Snowden and M.J.Howes, "Scattering Parameter Characterization of Microwave Optoelectronic Devices and Fiber-optic Networks", IEEE *Microwave and Guided Wave Lefterr,* **pp. 233-235, Vol. 9,** NO. **9,** Sep. **1991.**
- **[4] B.Elamaran,** R. Pollard and S.Iezekie1, "Simulation and Implementation of Ligthwave Component Characterization Using a Bilateral
- Electro-Optic Network", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory
and Techniques, pp. 1493-1496, Vol. 45, NO. 8, Aug. 1997.
[5] A.Ferrero and U. Pisani, "Two Port Network Analyzer Calibration Us-
ing an Unknown THRU", IEEE Mic
-
- [6] Optospeed PDMH-IOA NIST Characterization Report. **[7] HP8703A Hewlett** Packard Electro-optic Network Analyzer Reference Manual.

 \cdot