

High resolution wind-tunnel investigation about the effect of street trees on pollutant concentration and street canyon ventilation

Original

High resolution wind-tunnel investigation about the effect of street trees on pollutant concentration and street canyon ventilation / Fellini, Sofia; Marro, Massimo; DEL PONTE, ANNIKA VITTORIA; Barulli, Marilina; Soulhac, Lionel; Ridolfi, Luca; Salizzoni, PIETRO STEFANO. - In: BUILDING AND ENVIRONMENT. - ISSN 0360-1323. - 226:(2022), p. 109763. [10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109763]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2974160 since: 2022-12-24T12:15:23Z

Publisher:

Elsevier

Published

DOI:10.1016/j.buildenv.2022.109763

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

1 Supplementary Material: High resolution wind-tunnel
2 investigation about the effect of street trees on
3 pollutant concentration and street canyon ventilation

4 Sofia Fellini^{a,*}, Massimo Marro^a, Annika Vittoria Del Ponte^b, Marilina
5 Barulli^b, Lionel Soulhac^a, Luca Ridolfi^b, Pietro Salizzoni^a

6 ^a*Univ Lyon, INSA Lyon, CNRS, Ecole Centrale de Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1,*
7 *LMFA, UMR5509, 69621, Villeurbanne France*

8 ^b*Department of Environmental, Land, and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di*
9 *Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Turin, Italy*

10 **S1. Characterization of the logarithmic profile for the mean velocity**
11 **above the urban canopy**

The vertical velocity profile in the wind tunnel is influenced by the presence of the obstacles in its lower part, i.e. the roughness sublayer. Above this region, the mean velocity profile is usually modelled by the logarithmic law:

$$\frac{U}{u_*} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \ln \frac{z-d}{z_0}, \quad (1)$$

12 where $\kappa = 0.4$ is the Von Kármán constant, z_0 is the aerodynamic roughness
13 length, d is the zero-plane displacement, and u_* is the friction velocity. In the
14 literature, several techniques have been developed to determine the values of
15 these parameters (Raupach et al., 2006). Here, we compare the results from
16 two different methods.

17 In the first method (Salizzoni et al., 2008), the values of the three parameters
18 were selected so as to minimize the sum of the square difference between the
19 logarithmic velocity profile and the measurements (Fig. S1.b). The logarithmic
20 profile only applies to a fraction of the full velocity profile. Moreover, in urban
21 boundary layers, the inertial sublayer is squeezed by the roughness sublayer that,

*Corresponding author

Email address: sofia.fellini@ec-lyon.fr (Pietro Salizzoni)

22 as seen above, extends beyond the height of the obstacles. This fact makes the
 23 delimitation of the inertial zone even more complex than in boundary layers
 24 developing over smooth or slightly rough walls. For these reasons, we explored
 25 different extensions of the fitted region in the range $0.15 < z/\delta < 0.4$. The
 26 resulting parameters were estimated equal to $u_*/U_\infty = 0.051$, $z_0/\delta = 9 \times 10^{-4}$,
 27 and $d/\delta = 0.085$.

28 In the second method, the friction velocity u_* was inferred from the vertical
 29 profile of the Reynolds shear stress $-\overline{u'w'}$, where u' and w' are the turbulent
 30 fluctuations of the horizontal and vertical velocity, respectively. Except for
 31 a thin layer close to the wall, where viscous effects are dominant, the total
 32 stress ($\tau = \rho_a u_*^2$) in the surface layer almost matches with the Reynolds stress,
 33 which is observed to be almost constant in this layer. Thus, we can write:
 34 $\tau = \rho_a u_*^2 = -\rho_a \overline{u'w'}$. Following this method, we have analysed the vertical
 35 profile of the Reynolds stresses (Fig. S1.c) which was obtained as a spatial
 36 average over the four horizontal positions reported in the inset of Fig. S1.a.
 37 A constant-stress region (red filled markers) was detected for $0.14 < z/\delta <$
 38 0.36 and the corresponding u_*/U_∞ was evaluated equal to 0.046 . We note
 39 that varying the extension of the considered constant-stress region in the range
 40 $H/\delta < z/\delta < 0.4$, slight changes (of the order of 4 %) in the estimated value of
 41 u_*/U_∞ are found. The normalized aerodynamic roughness ($z_0/\delta = 5 \times 10^{-4}$)
 42 and non-dimensional zero-plane displacement ($d/\delta = 0.1$) were then estimated
 43 through a linear regression of the logarithmic law in the semi-log domain.

44 The results from the two methods are slightly different but in line with
 45 previous experimental studies (Rafailidis, 1997; Salizzoni et al., 2008; Garbero
 46 et al., 2010). However, since the Reynolds stresses measured by a 45° X-probe
 47 HWA are usually underestimated by about 10%-20% (Tutu and Chevray, 1975;
 48 Cheng et al., 2007; Marro et al., 2020), we adopt the parameters estimated by
 49 minimum mean square error, namely (in non-normalized values) $u^* = 0.29$ m/s,
 50 $d = 0.09$ m, $z_0 = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ m.

51




Figure S1: a) Mean velocity at 4 different position in a space periodic unit (red bottom x -axis) and at 4 different distances along the streamwise direction of the wind tunnel (blue top x -axis). For the two groups of profiles, a vertical line corresponding the $U/U_\infty = 1$ is reported. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to the canyon roof level (H). b) Mean velocity obtained as average over four different positions. The line represents the logarithmic law with $u_*/U_\infty = 0.051$, $z_0/\delta = 9 \times 10^{-4}$, and $d/\delta = 0.085$. The full symbols indicate the region where the logarithmic law applies. c) Reynolds stresses $-\overline{u'w'}$. The full symbols indicate the constant-stress region.

52 S2. Measurement grid for the concentration field

53 The concentration field inside the street canyon was measured on a high-
 54 refined measurement grid with around 1000 sampling points for each config-
 55 uration of tree density. The grid was not exactly the same for the different
 56 configurations due to the presence of trees. In Fig. S2, we report the measure-
 57 ment grid over a single horizontal plane for the different configurations. The
 58 same grid was repeated at different heights, namely $z/H = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8,$
 59 and 1.




Figure S2: Sketch of the street canyon with the three different configurations of tree density: a) *Zero*, b) *Half*, and c) *Full*. The measurement grid is also shown for one of the five horizontal planes at fixed z .

60 **S3. Concentration field inside the canyon**

61 In addition to the measurements already shown in the main text (Section
 62 3.1), we report here the concentration field over all the measured vertical planes
 63 (yz -planes) inside the canyon. Figs. S3-S5 show the concentration field for the
 64 *Zero*, *Half*, and *Full* configurations, respectively.

65 Finally, we report in Fig. S6 the concentration field on the horizontal plane
 66 placed at $z/H = 0.2$. This is the minimum measurement height in the ex-
 67 periment and corresponds to approximately 4 m in real scale. Although this
 68 elevation is greater than the pedestrian level, which is usually considered to be
 69 1.5 m, the concentration field at this height can still give some insights about
 70 the exposure of citizens in the street. The figure shows how, in the case with-
 71 out trees (panel a), the concentration at the downwind wall is roughly 3 times
 72 lower than the one at the upwind wall, while in presence of trees this difference
 73 increases up to 8 times at $y/H \approx -2$ and 2 . Despite the presence of concen-
 74 tration peaks at the upwind wall, we note that in the vegetated canyon, the




Figure S3: Configuration Zero. Mean concentration of the passive scalar on vertical sections at different x positions. Measurement points are reported as circles coloured according to the measured value.




Figure S4: Configuration *Half*. Mean concentration of the passive scalar on vertical sections at different x positions. The position of trees⁶ is represented by dashed lines. Measurement points are reported as circles coloured according to the measured value.




Figure S5: Configuration *Full*. Mean concentration of the passive scalar on vertical sections at different x positions. The position of trees⁷ is represented by dashed lines. Measurement points are reported as circles coloured according to the measured value.




Figure S6: Mean concentration of the passive scalar on the horizontal section at $z/H=0.2$. *Zero* (a and d), *Half* (b and e) and *Full* (c and f) configurations are shown. The position of trees is represented by dashed lines. Measurement points are reported as circles colored according to the measured value.

75 concentrations at the downwind wall are lower than in the empty canyon.

76 **References**

77 Cheng, H., Hayden, P., Robins, A., Castro, I., 2007. Flow over cube arrays
 78 of different packing densities. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial*
 79 *Aerodynamics* 95, 715–740.

80 Garbero, V., Salizzoni, P., Soulhac, L., 2010. Experimental study of pollutant
 81 dispersion within a network of streets. *Boundary-layer meteorology* 136, 457–
 82 487.

- 83 Marro, M., Gamel, H., Méjean, P., Correia, H., Soulhac, L., Salizzoni, P.,
84 2020. High-frequency simultaneous measurements of velocity and concen-
85 tration within turbulent flows in wind-tunnel experiments. *Experiments in*
86 *Fluids* 61, 1–13.
- 87 Rafailidis, S., 1997. Influence of building areal density and roof shape on the
88 wind characteristics above a town. *Boundary-layer meteorology* 85, 255–271.
- 89 Raupach, M., Hughes, D., Cleugh, H., 2006. Momentum absorption in rough-
90 wall boundary layers with sparse roughness elements in random and clustered
91 distributions. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 120, 201–218.
- 92 Salizzoni, P., Soulhac, L., Mejean, P., Perkins, R.J., 2008. Influence of a two-
93 scale surface roughness on a neutral turbulent boundary layer. *Boundary-layer*
94 *meteorology* 127, 97–110.
- 95 Tutu, N.K., Chevray, R., 1975. Cross-wire anemometry in high intensity turbu-
96 lence. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 71, 785–800.