POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

Who Feels Safe? Uncertain Futures and Enduring Aspirations in Italy

Original Who Feels Safe? Uncertain Futures and Enduring Aspirations in Italy / Giudici, Daniela In: GEOPOLITICS ISSN 1465-0045 (2024), pp. 1-21. [10.1080/14650045.2024.2349633]
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/2989400 since: 2024-06-10T13:55:31Z
Publisher: Routledge
Published DOI:10.1080/14650045.2024.2349633
Terms of use:
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository
Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Daniela Giudici

- 2 Interuniversity Department of Regional and Urban Studies and Planning (DIST), Polytechnic and
- 3 University of Turin, Turin, Italy.
- 4 <u>daniela.giudici@polito.it</u>
- 5 ORCID: <u>0000-0002-5769-2728</u>

Who feels safe?

10 Uncertain futures and enduring aspirations in Italy

Abstract

In an attempt of going beyond a simple opposition between those conceived as agents and those seen as subjects of disciplinary power, this article engages with a reflection on differential states of precariousness and (un)safety, which involve both "forced migrants" and "citizens". Drawing on ethnographic research in Milan (Italy), I outline some recent institutional changes in the Italian asylum system, which led to the political abandonment of refugees in situations of increasing marginalization, but also to the layoff of several frontline asylum workers, who were often young people hit by the consequences of economic recession. Through the words and biographical trajectories of three young women (two asylum workers and one refugee), I trace the emergence of contested temporal and work experiences, marked by widespread feelings of uncertainty and devaluation. I approach empirically the idea of (un)safety as a material and bodily condition and as an emotional state, directly linked to the perceived possibility of being able to orient oneself towards the future. By zooming in on differential experiences of (un)safety and precariousness but also on enduring aspirations - I aim at documenting the material and affective entanglements of acts of government, as well as their inherent potential for empowerment and transformation.

Keywords: precarity; temporality; asylum; precarious labor; (un)safety; future; Italy

Word count: 9482 words.

Introduction

This article focuses on differential states of precariousness and (un)safety, which involve both forced migrants and citizens. Taking cue from recent calls to bring together the "commonalities of precarious times for both migrants and non-migrants" (Ramsay 2020, 388; Caglar and Glick Schiller 2018), I engage with a reflection on the pervasiveness of feelings of devaluation and future shrinking, which affect not only asylum seekers but also asylum workers - albeit at different scales and with different outcomes. This brings me to disentangle the very idea of "safe haven", as well as the one of "safe (non)citizenship", in order to ask: what does it mean to feel safe? And how is this (un)safety experienced? Building on ethnographic data and interviews collected in Milan (Italy) between 2019 and 2022, I approach empirically the idea of (un)safety as a material and bodily condition and as an emotional state, directly linked to the perceived possibility of being able to orient oneself towards the future.

It is important to stress that, by pulling together asylum workers' and refugees' perceptions and everyday struggles, I do not mean to argue that their experiences are identical, nor comparable. It goes without saying that the degree of material and existential precarity experienced by refugees in contemporary Italy is far from comparable to that experienced by Italian citizens. Not only have refugees undergone overwhelming and often traumatic experiences, both in their country of origin and during the migration journey. A growing body of scholarship has shown how refugees and asylum seekers are exposed to different forms of violence within European states: structural, bureaucratic and indirect (Artero and Fontanari 2021; Davies et al. 2017), but also direct and extralegal (Statewatch 2020). Furthermore, recent research has started documenting how protracted marginality often characterizes the lives of those who have been granted legal protection, due to the overlapping effects of uncertain legal statuses, racialized forms of discrimination, housing and labor precarity (Belloni and Massa 2022; Wyss and Fischer 2022). Whereas media attention and public discourse have often been fixated on "the spectacle" of the Mediterranean border (Dines et al. 2015), after the landing many refugees find themselves trapped in a protracted transit experience, which substantially hinders the possibility of building a meaningful life (Fontanari 2017; Vacchiano 2005).

Yet, recent critical work on refugeehood has started warning against the pitfalls of inadvertently exceptionalizing displacement and, by doing so, contribute to the reification of an incommensurable difference between "displaced others" and "citizens" (Anderson 2013; Cabot 2019). "Crisis" as a social imaginary and as a dominant explanatory frame has deeply informed both scholarly understanding and political responses to issues of refugees and displacement

(Roitman 2014; Vigh 2008). At same time, displacement continues to be approached as an exceptional experience, almost invariably attached to migrants and refugees. Displaced others tend to be portrayed as "suffering subjects" (Robbins 2013; Coutin and Vogel 2016), permeated by an incessant uncertainty and situated in a temporality radically distinct from that of non-migrants. In an attempt of moving beyond such dichotomies, Ramsay (2020) suggests a more expansive theorization of displacement, conceived as "an existential experience of contested temporal being, in which a person cannot reconcile the contemporary circumstances of their life with their aspirations for, and sense of, the future." (Ramsay 2020, 388). This definition rests on the burgeoning interest in various forms of temporality, not only within migration studies (Andersson 2014; Glick Schiller 2018; Fravega et al. 2023), but also in scholarship more broadly concerned with the individual and collective temporal tensions of contemporary times (Bear 2014; Bryant and Knight 2019). This definition also strongly resonates with the experiences of different subjects both migrants and non-migrants - that I encountered during my fieldwork. As a matter of fact, the feeling of "not moving forward" is far from exceptional in the current scenario of social, political and economic upheaval. In particular, the financial crisis of 2008 and the more recent health and environmental "crises" have fed visions of dramatic changes, filled with speculation, anxiety and uncertainty. As research on precarity and austerity suggests (Knight and Stewart 2016; Wilkinson and Ortega-Alcazar 2019), a growing number of people across the globe – both migrant and nonmigrant – feel trapped within a time loop of the present and have hard time orienting themselves towards an aspirational future.

The case of Italian asylum workers is particularly telling in this respect. Recent analyses of forced migration in Europe have drawn attention to the role of a range of heterogeneous "humanitarian workers" (asylum caseworkers, local government employees, NGOs staff, etc.) engaged in the daily assistance to asylum seekers and refugees. These intermediate actors, endowed with consistent discretionary power, have often been presented as "the left hand of the state" in the implementation of uneven asylum policies (Kalir and Wissink 2016; Ticktin 2006). Yet, many of the asylum workers I encountered during my fieldwork were overqualified young people, often outraged at the injustices that they were witnessing on a daily basis. Some of them were political activists outside their working time, engaged in mobilizations directed at reforming the very asylum system in which they were employed. Furthermore, many of them were — as they were often defining themselves - "precarious workers", employed by third sector actors (social cooperatives or NGOs) under deregulated, temporary and uncertain working conditions. As I will detail below, the economic crisis that hit Europe and Italy has accentuated both the instability of workplace

regime and a shared imaginary of collective and subjective vulnerability. Thus, the idea of *precarity* does not merely refers to labour conditions, but it rather expand into *precariousness*, to "describe a dimension that spans multiple aspects of life, mapping out a condition of shared existence, precarious emotions, languages and affections" (Murgia 2014, 5). These shifts in collective forms of identification hint at the progressive erosion of social citizenship rights, in the context of European states' welfare retrenchment and "market fundamentalism" (Somers 2008). Economic instability and various forms of neoliberalization have unsettled the assumed dichotomy between citizenship and alienage: "in diverse national contexts, then, citizens themselves are increasingly facing the radical precarization of rights, belonging, and *life* – a struggle that has often been ascribed to the domain of alienage" (Cabot 2018, 6; see also Ramsay 2017).

Against this background, this article seeks to "go beyond a simple opposition between those conceived as agents and those seen as subjects of disciplinary power" (Fortier 2016, 1038), in an attempt of showing how different social actors – both migrant and non-migrant – variously experience and feel shifting temporalities of asylum and socio-economic change (Hall 2023). It combines critical geographical and anthropological scholarship, with the aim of contributing to scholarship engaged in the unsettling of consolidated boundaries between the so-called "private sphere" of everyday life on the one hand, and the "public sphere" of geopolitics on the other (Brickell 2012; Narotzky and Besnier 2014). Centering on differential states of uncertainty and (un)safety, - but also on *enduring aspirations* - I aim at documenting the material and affective entanglements of acts of government, as well as their unruly effects or, in other words, their inherent potential for emancipation.

In recent years, *endurance* emerged as a concept heterogeneously employed to speak of subjective experiences and livelihoods, in a world in which ideas and perceptions of conceivable future are radically shifting. For Hage (2009, 463), endurance is a "mode of confronting the crisis by a celebration of one's capacity to stick it out rather than calling for change". Weiss (2022), drawing on fieldwork on livelihoods in austerity-ridden Spain, stresses the ideological aspects of endurance, which she defines "thoroughly nonpolitical in that it eschews an orientation toward the future, placing moral weight on ongoing efforts to get by" (Weiss 2022, 64). Others have, on the contrary, described the transformative or, at least, affirmative and anticipatory effects of "endurance" in late liberal contexts (Povinelli 2011; Lancione and Simone 2021). Here I employ the idea of *enduring aspirations* in an attempt of combining both its straining and future-oriented

qualities¹. By foregrounding dilemmas, everyday struggles and expectations of both asylum workers and people who have been granted a refugee status in Milan, Italy, I aim at expanding scholarship engaged in unsettling well-established borders between "us" and "them", thereby reintegrating refugees and migrants within shared - albeit contested - geopolitical processes of modern time. At the same time, inspired by work on the affective life of late capitalist economies (e.g, Mitchell et al. 2003; Muehlebach and Shoshan 2012), I wish to shed light on affective states and embodied experiences engendered by austerity and socio-economic change. Against this background, the narratives here analyzed are meant to evoke – rather than explain – how abstract notions such as "safety", "future", or "endurance" take shape in everyday life, in often contradictory and ambivalent ways. Thus, the article offers two main contributions. First, a focus on the underexplored connections between foreclosed expectations of both refugees and humanitarian workers allows for a consideration of the inherent ambivalences of taken-for-granted notions of "safety", in contexts marked by widespread feelings of uncertainty and devaluation. Second, a close look to intimate narratives of foreclosure and impossibility brings to the fore the enduring persistence of - often fragmented - aspirations, which are ultimately essential in enabling a sense of life in the present, as well as a political orientation towards the future.

The article unfolds as follows. I first explore perceptions and ideas of "(un)safety", in relation to recent transformations of workplace regimes, temporal orientations and subjectivities. I also introduce the reader to some recent reforms of asylum governance in Italy and to the setting of the research, the city of Milan. Then, I approach empirically the idea of (un)safety as a material and bodily condition and as an emotional state, through the words and experiences of three women, between 30 and 40 years old: two Italian asylum workers and one refugee from Pakistan. In conclusion, I reflect on how those intimate narratives of precariousness and transformation are embedded within broader stories of economic downturn and increasing social polarization.

2. (Un)safety within and outside the asylum system

Feelings and principles of (un)safety are central preoccupations of contemporary states, thereby shedding light on some of the deepest contradictions of contemporary times. "Safety" has been defined as one of the key qualities of modern citizenship, and yet a very problematic one (Weber 2008). On the one hand modern liberal citizenship was designed precisely "to provide insecure

¹ It is noteworthy that by referring to future-oriented qualities, I do not mean to imply an unquestionably positive or inherently political orientation towards what will come. Engagements towards the future, as well as forms of hope, occur in concrete social settings and may be empowering as well as constraining (Kleist and Jansen 2016).

citizens with protection within a secure state and insecure states with protection from their insecuring citizens" (Weber 2008, 130). On the other hand, the idea of safe citizenship is embedded in the separation and stigmatization of internal "threatening subjects". Feelings and principles of unsafety, thus, may easily become instruments of exclusion, as when people are deported or marginalized in order to "enhance the safety" of entitled members of the society (Beattie 2016; see also Gargiulo 2023).

Within the liberal-Western framework of sovereignty, refugees have often been placed in an antithetical – albeit mutually constitutive – relationship with citizens (e.g., Agamben 1998). Yet, recent socio-political transformations have inspired some scholars to go beyond such rigid dichotomies, in order to explore how in the context of economic recession and social citizenship transformations, "citizens and their noncitizen counterparts are not always clearly distinguishable by a set dividing line but instead hover along a gradation of rights and rightlessness" (Muehlebach 2012, 26). Following the consequences of the 2008 financial recession, several ethnographic studies have investigated the effects of growing economic instability and relative restriction of possibilities, in the everyday life of people across the world. In particular, the post-2008 economic crisis and the EU 2015 "refugee crisis" have come to be geographically associated with Southern European countries, thus bringing a new "Mediterranean" into focus, unified by common socio-economic shifts and problems (Ben-Yehoyada 2017). As Knight and Stewart wrote a few years ago: "the study of the Mediterranean thus no longer attempts to establish widely shared cultural forms, it analyses, rather, how societies in this region negotiate the structural violence (economic and political) to which they are all now subject" (Knight and Stewart 2016, 2).

In this context, "precarity" has emerged as a concept that captures – for ordinary people, scholars and activists alike – struggles for basic rights and livelihoods in the context of declining welfare and social support. In Italy, a well-developed body of scholarly work have documented the multiple effects of the progressive deregulation of labour not only on working conditions (Choi and Mattoni 2010), but also on social activism (Murgia and Semi 2012) and subjectivities (Molè 2010; Muehlebach 2012). Although an increasingly precarious labour market dominates contemporary societies, the shift to unprotected and deregulated working conditions has been particularly abrupt in Italy (Ferrera and Gualmini 2004), thereby giving birth to different forms of social vulnerability and complex social movements (Murgia 2014). These transformations have routinised the everyday use of the utterance "I am a precarious one" (Sono un precario), with two distinct but intersecting socio-cultural meanings: "on the one hand, it refers colloquially to one's employment with a particular kind of semipermanent work contract, and, on the other hand, it

implicitly indexes psychological doubt and uncertainty, a subject fraught with acute anxiety and nagging hypervigilance." (Molè 2010, 38). The level of job uncertainty experienced by the asylum workers encountered during my fieldwork was particularly high, as their temporary contracts were dependent on the fluctuating availability of funding for refugee reception (Riccio and Tarabusi 2018). As I have argued elsewhere (Giudici 2021), in Italy recent developments in asylum management profoundly affected both humanitarian workers' labor conditions and the kind of support that they ended up providing to asylum seekers. The former were often young and overqualified people hit by the consequences of economic recession and unable to enjoy the social rights once taken for granted in advanced capitalist economies. As a result, a set of "unruly feelings" (Fortier 2016) – such as exhaustion and bitterness, but also indignation and anger – seemed to proliferate within the everyday workings of the state-managed asylum system.

The article draws on almost three years (2019-2021) of ethnographic fieldwork conducted in three different Northern Italian cities, as part of a collaborative research project on housing pathways and home experiences of refugees in Italy². However, the material on which this article draws upon refers specifically to everyday encounters and interviews that took place in the city of Milan. Fieldwork in Milan was conducted in different settings, such as big refugee reception centers, but also small apartments and informal accommodations. It consisted in daily visits to the centers, visiting refugees in their apartment, but also hanging out informally with both refugees and social workers in public spaces and informal settings. While asylum workers' feelings of precariousness and uncertainty were not initially a central concern of the project, they resounded powerfully within in-depth interviews and during participant observation. This prompted me to question more openly everyday experiences of future impossibility and feelings of (un)safety, both within and outside the asylum system. Although the article examines three selected life histories, my empirical analysis is based on long-term ethnographic engagement in the field of asylum and humanitarian work in Italy.

Traditionally considered as the financial and industrial hub of Italy, Milan is also one of the most important Italian scenes for urban activism. For instance, it is the city where "socially precarious workers" organized the first MayDay Parade (May 1st, 2001), which rapidly spread across Europe through transnational activist networks. The social movement, born to address manifold uncertainties connected to temporary forms of work, gathered a great variety of

² The MIUR-FARE HOASI, based at the University of Trento, Italy. As in many other ethnographic projects carried out in those years, fieldwork underwent several interruptions due to the global pandemic and relative social distancing rules. However, I have personally been involved in different stages of ethnographic research with asylum seekers, refugees and social workers in Italy since 2010.

temporary employees, migrant and non-migrant (Murgia 2014). The period between 2014 and 2016 registered one of the highest rates of arrivals and asylum applications in the EU and in Italy (Eurostat 2017). During and after the European "long summer of migration", Milan re-emerged as a crucial setting in the current geography of migrant mobility and a transit hub for many people crossing the central Mediterranean route towards Europe (Grimaldi 2016; Sinatti 2022) ³. Yet, after 2017 a turnover in key positions in the Milanese local governance, combined with the establishment of increasingly restrictive measures against migrants at the national and European level, led to significant shifts in the attitude towards migration (Campesi 2018). In particular, the resurgence of xenophobic and nationalist stances after the 2018 national elections brought drastic budget cuts in refugee reception, which involved the closure of several local reception facilities for refugees, to the abandonment of migrants in situations of increasing marginalization, and to the layoff of several frontline asylum workers (IDOS 2019; Marchetti 2020). Against this background, asylum workers' ethical dilemmas and sentiments of uncertainty ended up being exacerbated.

3. If I wanted safety, I would have chosen another job.

I first met Elena⁴ in December 2019. At that time, she was 32 years old, and she was employed in a service for the professional integration of refugees, on a temporary basis. She was also engaged as a volunteer in a non-governmental organization offering health services, counselling and legal support for migrants in Milan. Elena, born and raised in Milan, had lived for several years in the UK, where she graduated in Anthropology and Development. After completing her master's degree, she worked a couple of years in London as social worker, in community-based services for migrants. In 2011 she was willing to go back to Italy, mainly for personal reasons, and she was offered a full-time job in one of the refugee reception centres that were created to face the so-called "North African Emergency". The centre was managed by one of the biggest social cooperatives ⁵active in Milan. Yet, after just three months, she decided to leave the job because as she said: "the conditions in which refugees were living were terrible, I really could not take it anymore". She then worked for a while as a freelance English teacher. After the sharp increase of

³ At that time, the local administration aimed at establishing Milan as a "city of transit and refuge" (Caponio 2018). Yet, Italy was also criticized for its informal "policies of laissez-passer". The municipal reception system for transit migrants was definitely closed in the first half of 2017 (see also Artero and Fontanari 2021).

⁴ All names and some more recognizable details have been changed to protect research participants' anonymity.

⁵ In Italy social and immigration services are generally outsourced to a multiplicity of non-governmental actors, such as social cooperatives, NGOs, charitable and volunteer organizations. On the specificities of the governance of social services in Milan, see Polizzi and Vitale 2017. On the multi-level governance of asylum reception in Italy, see Campomori and Ambrosini 2020).

refugees' arrivals in 2014-2015 and the subsequent expansion of the Italian asylum system, refugee centers (re)opened in Milan and Elena decided to work again in refugee reception.

Interestingly, she ended up working part-time in the same building where she used to work few years before, a former school in a semi-peripheral neighborhood of Milan, where around fifty young male asylum seekers were hosted. Yet, at that time the center changed management and it was part of the SPRAR network⁶. SPRAR centers were managed by local authorities that were subcontracting their everyday management to third sector organizations (NGOs or cooperatives), active in the social sector. They were often considered a sort of "second-line reception system", as they were offering many more services (such as legal support, intercultural mediation, etc.) compared to governmental reception centers. This time too, Elena was employed by the social cooperative that was managing the centre on a temporary basis, with six-months contracts that were periodically renewed. When I asked her why she decided to work in refugee reception, she replied:

"Because [...] because I decided to work in this environment. Because I really believe in some values, such as equality. Because I believe in the freedom of movement, I believe that nobody choses to be born in a place or in another. Because I am politically engaged and I take sides... through this work, I thought I could do something in which I believe, despite everything. Instead of just going away from everything and everyone, I thought that through this work I could find a mediation between my principles and the fact of living within this society".

Elena's narrative was not exceptional. The very choice of working with asylum seekers and refugees often stemmed from a political engagement with issues of minority rights and social justice. Many times, working with refugees was perceived in continuity with long-term engagement with political activism. Yet, the multiple inadequacies of the asylum system, combined with an increasingly hostile social and political landscape, were often engendering deep frustration, if not anger, among asylum workers themselves. Elena worked for four years at that center (between 2015 and 2019) and, as she recounted, during the final year the initial hopes for change often turned sour:

⁶ The Italian asylum reception system is very complex: it involves several state and non-governmental actors, strong regional variations and recurrent changes of functions. For a synthetic analysis of asylum procedures and policies until 2015, see Sorgoni (2015). For an exploration of recent shifts in Italian policies meant to govern asylum seekers, and their moral underpinnings, see Marchetti (2020).

"We had some important infrastructural problems at the centre. Broken closets, broken doors, problems in the toilets... And the guys were always coming to complain to us, obviously. But the cooperative did not have funds, they said, so the answer was always the same. And even if I was against the system, even if I was feeling angry exactly like them... you are always the white one, you have an office, you should always have the power to change things. Even though - I know it sounds funny - some of the refugees working full time were earning more than me, than us".

Aid work, as Fechter (2016, 230) noted, requires a specific form of immaterial labour that arises "spontaneously and perhaps inevitably, from discrepancies between aspirations and ideals, and achieved - or achievable - realities". In the case of Italian asylum workers, however, a growing uneasiness was not simply elicited by the chasm between "ideals" and "reality", but also from the daily challenges of highly unprotected work conditions, which left little space for moments of rest, recognition, or future projects. For instance, Elena was often working overtime in the evening without being paid, as well as during weekends, and this was taken for granted by the cooperative managers. She was living in shared apartment with her sister and another friend, as rents were too high to find an apartment on her own. Furthermore, as she argued, nobody would rent her an apartment with her short-term contract. Elena's material and existential uncertainty is illustrative of the reshaping of livelihood projects and hopes of many young people across contemporary Italy, and beyond (Narotzky 2016; Pozzi and Rimoldi 2017). However, Elena was also well aware that the refugees she worked with on a daily basis were undergoing a much deeper housing and existential precarity, due to the overlapping effects of an inadequate housing policies, skyrocketing rents and entrenched forms of discrimination (see also Dotsey and Chiodelli 2021).

In 2019 budget funds for refugee reception were drastically lowered and the cooperative chose not to renew Elena's contract. She took this decision as a predictable outcome of her life decisions:

"Well, I was expecting it, soon or later. I mean, if I wanted safety, I would have chosen another job, probably with a salary suitable for 2020. Obviously, in some moments I felt also very rewarded. For example, when I meet some guys that I worked with, and I see them doing much better. [...] But there are ups and downs. Sometimes I would like just to break everything and go away, sometimes I ask myself why I studied for five years to get the same salary of my mum that did not even go to the university..."

Elena's words - and even her round-shouldered posture while having this conversation – were embodying the injuries of limited recognition and unrealized potential. By recalling the downward mobility experienced by her generation, Elena evokes the breakdown of consolidated expectations of improvement and "good life", typical of many young adults across Europe (Narotsky and Besnier 2016). Surely, her words were informed by a deep resignation to structural instabilities and constraints. Elena's vignette points at how the economic crisis, especially in Southern European countries, has radically reworked everyday imaginings of the future, often foreclosing the aspirations of improvement that were once taken for granted. Yet, it is also noteworthy that Elena, like some of her colleagues, cultivated an enduring sense of pride concerning her "different" work path. For her, sacrificing middle-class goals of "stability" and "safety" was also a way of cultivating a deeper alignment with political ideals of social justice and solidarity. I now turn to the story of Miriam that, while in some ways similar to Elena's, is also more explicitly confronting the unbearable "ethics of sacrifice" creeping in precarious humanitarian work, in times of "emergency" and "migration crisis".

4. It was the right time to leave

Starting from 2018 the Italian approach to asylum and migration took a more restrictive, expulsive turn. In the context of steady economic recession, the cost of asylum reception became an important issue in Italian political and public life. Asylum seekers were increasingly depicted as "welfare freeloaders" taking advance of shrinking resources and, thus, undeserving of protection (see Burnett 2015). At the same time, the idea of criminalizing migrant solidarity - both inside European territory and during search-and-rescue operations in the Mediterranean Sea - became widely accepted (Cutitta 2018; Tazzioli and Walters 2019). Interestingly, the internal enemies of the far-right nativist propaganda were not only pro-refugee activists and NGOs, but also social workers engaged in refugee support (Castelli Gattinara 2017). Thus, various forms of humanitarian aid, once at the center of anthropological scrutiny for their inherently de-politicizing effects (Fassin 2012; Ticktin 2006), acquired a new contentious character (Ambrosini 2022; Della Porta 2020).

In 2018 a new Immigration and Security Decree (commonly referred to as "Salvini Decree") introduced a sharp restriction of services and facilities available to asylum seekers and refugees in the country. These institutional and societal changes had a profound impact on self-perceptions and everyday activities of people involved in refugee reception, as Miriam, a 30-year-old ex-asylum

worker, explained: "After the Salvini Decree was approved, I felt weakened, I felt that I didn't even have anymore the law by my side. I got this job with many resistances, about how it could be working inside this system, what it means... and I confess that I experienced it with lot of uneasiness."

Miriam, like Elena, was engaged in pro-migrant activism outside her working time. She was also sharing an apartment with some friends, as she could not afford a place of her own with her salary. She held a master's degree in human rights and started being involved in institutional refugee reception in 2016, after a summer spent as an activist at the Franco-Italian border of Ventimiglia (Aru 2021). During the peak of arrivals of the 2015-2016 "EU refugee crisis", Miriam was employed by a social cooperative that was managing a big center for male refugees in the Milanese hinterland. After an internship period of six months, she was offered a permanent contract. Yet, the progressive deterioration of the asylum system impacted profoundly also on her everyday working conditions:

"We didn't have a place where to have a lunch break, we were given cars without insurance for job duties, with a huge personal danger, huge risks... Our skills and degrees were not acknowledged, we never had a clear working schedule: I could finish at midnight or at 2 am... We used work phones, which we were supposed to leave on day and night... If there was a problem or an emergency in one of the centres, refugees were calling us. And this guaranteed availability was never paid."

Miriam and some colleagues, exhausted by impossible demands, misrecognition and everyday devaluation ended up filing a lawsuit against the social cooperative⁷ that employed them: "Last years' political decisions gave us the final push to say: "This is not the work we want to do. We don't find any meaning in it.' We were people with degrees, with experiences, with many ideas. And we felt treated really like the last of the last, without any recognition. We felt this injustice so strong. But we also didn't know what to do. In social services jobs are always underpaid and temporary." Miriam and her colleagues were aware that their workers' rights were infringed daily. Yet, this was an extremely common condition for people employed in social services in Italy (see also Pentaraki and Dyonsopoulo 2019). As Miriam explained, being involved in refugee support – as well as in other kinds of social support – involved moralized expectations of working for solidaristic duties, rather than to earn a fair wage. In the name of the moral imperative to offer care and support,

⁷ Miriam and her colleagues were asking a dismissal for "just cause", to prove that their contractual rights had not been respected. They were asking this kind of dismissal also in order to be eligible for some social benefits, such as unemployment allowance.

asylum workers were supposed to come to terms with their disappointment and frustration. A well-developed body of scholarly work has examined the transfer of the social and moral responsibility of care from the state to individual citizens, in "advanced liberal", neoliberal or post-Fordist regimes (e.g, Rose 1999; Greenhouse et al. 2012). These shifts have led to moralized notions of individual responsibility, but also to dutiful engagements in volunteerism and community care work (Muehlebach 2012; Marchesi 2022). In Italy, the seminal work of Andrea Muehlebach (2012) on the rise of the "culture of voluntarism" have shed light on how the region of Milan have been particularly invested by these ethical and affective forms of citizenship. The new "public" emerging from these socio-economic transformations, in Muehlebach's words, "weds hyperexplotation to intense moralization, nonremuneration to a public fetishization of sacrifice" (Muehlebach 2011, 75). Yet, as opposed to many social workers engaged in refugee reception, Miriam and her colleagues decided to step away from those self-sacrificing logics. They ended up winning the case: "The lawyer insisted on the fact that we were not volunteers, our work needed to be acknowledged, we needed to demand our rights. We suddenly felt that our anger was justified, it was legitimate. [...] I liked this job at the beginning, but it was the right time to leave. I felt I was wasting my time and skills."

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

A set of "unruly feelings" (Fortier 2016) – such as anger, frustration, or indignation – pushed Miriam to leave the apparent safety of a permanent contract, in search of a job that could better accommodate her ethical values, political engagements, and personal aspirations. Miriam's narrative hint at asylum workers' efforts to re-instantiate their everyday tasks as a proper form of labor, with clear duties and rights. Her story is embedded in the broader politicization of welfare workers in Italy, which erupted mainly after the recent restrictive turns in migration management (see also Giudici 2021). Thus, it evokes the underexplored continuities between humanitarian work and forms of political activism. Interestingly, those struggles often deconstructed the dominant frame of "ethnic competition for scarce resources", by trying "to reunite 'those below' against 'those above' across national and racializing lines" (Oliveri 2018, 1). Whereas Miriam and her colleagues faced different kinds of conflicts, both within and outside the workplace, the choice of leaving the supposed "safety" of a permanent job (contratto indeterminato), ultimately led to meaningful subjective and collective changes. At the time of the interview, she was always working in social services and for a relatively low salary, but she was much more satisfied as she was employed by a serious NGO concerned about workers' rights. Miriam's experience retained some transformative imaginaries, where to rebuild a sense of personal worth, as well as forms of collective political agency. Building on these insights, in the next section I turn to Susanna's story,

to deepen attention to the constrained temporalities of asylum reception, but also to the everyday attempts of reconstructing a sense of meaningful futurity.

5. Until today, it has been a constant struggle.

I first met Susanna on a warm Saturday afternoon in the summer 2022, in the yard of the Social Centre (centro sociale)⁸, a squatted building in a peripheral neighborhood of Milan. Among the activities of the centre, there was the organization of Italian classes for migrants, run by volunteers. The multiplication of such places, offering various self-managed community services, is indicative of how grassroots actors are increasingly embodying a duty of care progressively abandoned by state institutions (MacLeavy 2023). Susanna, a 36-year-old woman from Pakistan, was attending one of those Italian classes. She had arrived in Italy in 2020, with her two children, in the middle of lockdown measures. As she explained to me, she didn't choose Italy as a destination, but she was told that it was a safe place: "You don't choose the place, but people tell you that this is a place where you can be safe. It's like... we call it destiny (laughs). Many people that are in difficult circumstances, they have to choose what it comes". After one year and half of waiting, she had been granted a refugee status.

Several ethnographic studies on asylum in Europe have stressed how, for refugees and asylum seekers, perceptions of the future tend to be transformed: "it becomes a temporal horizon that is detached from the present and imagined as lying far ahead in a blurred temporal dimension quite distant from the real situation of the present day" (Fontanari 2017, 33). In particular, the long waiting times (for legal status, for economic independence, etc.) so typical of the asylum experience represent temporal ruptures in people's biographical trajectories. They can produce subjective effects of subordination, as well as the feeling of not being able to move forward with life (Khosravi 2010). Nevertheless, numerous people in several parts of the world endure such hostile contexts, retaining certain capacities for agency and the ability to imagine and hope for a new life (Brun 2015; Kallio et al. 2021). This was the case of Susanna, who had particularly clear ideas about her aspirations and goals. Susanna was hosted in an apartment of the locally managed asylum system. The accommodation was temporary, and she was supposed to gain economic independence soon, as her rights to asylum reception were about to end. As a single mother with children, she was considered a particularly vulnerable subject and, thus, she knew she was not

-

⁸ Grounded in leftist social movements, self-managed Social Centers are a typical feature of Italian post-industrial urban landscape. Squatted empty warehouses, factories or vacant schools are the setting for a multiplicity of self-organized social, cultural and political activities (see, e.g., Casaglia 2016).

going to be left on the street. However, the pressure to find a job and housing was very high, even though to find an affordable accommodation was extremely challenging. Susanna had a university education and, before leaving, she was working as a bank clerk in Pakistan. In Italy her university degree was not recognized and, since her arrival, she had been struggling to have the time to get a university education.

"I have been fighting, I have been struggling. *Until today, it has been a constant struggle*. I am explaining that I am doing exactly what I am meant to do. My goal and your goals (i.e. *the ones of asylum caseworkers*) are the same, it's just that my strategy is different. I want to continue doing what I know doing. But they have been "no, no, you are wasting your time. How can you attend the university, you are "mamma", your children should be your first priority". [...] You know, when I entered, they told me that the project is for six months, plus six months, plus six months. That is 1.5 year. And it's already 1.5 years that I am there. So, they need me to get out of it as soon as possible."

Conflicting temporal frameworks come together in how the temporariness of asylum reception measures clashes with the time and efforts needed to rebuild a meaningful life (Thorshaug and Brun, 2019; Pitzalis 2023). Whereas her physical safety was preserved within the asylum system, Susanna was feeling that the possibility to build her own future was profoundly hampered. Those everyday clashes point to feelings of misrecognition and devaluation, which may be integral part of refugees' experience even after being granted protection. At the same time, it is important to emphasize that conditions of *safety* – just like feeling "at home" – do not necessarily only generate positive and warm feelings (Brickell 2012; Yuval-Davis 2011). Susanna's "faith" in university education and its potentials stands in stark contrast with asylum workers' own sense of downward mobility and devaluation. By insisting to go back to the university, Susanna was refusing to get trapped in the unskilled labor market reserved to immigrants: "I know that everybody thinks I should find a job as a cleaner or *badante* (elderly caretaker). But I simply cannot do this. These are not my skills; I don't know how to do it. Also, the salary is going to be very low, it would be very difficult to support my children".

Her aspirations were stubborn and enduring, despite overwhelming and harsh conditions (Povinelli 2011). Susanna's word and actions kept a future-oriented stance, which counterbalanced experiences of "enforced presentism" (Guyer 2007) and passive waiting (Hyndman and Giles 2011) that tend to characterize the asylum experience. She ended up getting a master's degree

scholarship for refugees. As she observed, she was determined not to spend her time waiting passively, like many other asylum seekers. Her everyday struggle entailed a long-term investment in an activity - studying - which would not give immediate, or certain, results. Of course, her endurance may also be interpreted as a desperate effort to maintain her middle-class identity unaltered (Hage 2009). Yet, in a context in which her life path and work integration seemed predetermined by her condition of single mother and racialized migrant, her aspirations retained a disruptive character. This is also why they sounded so unrealistic, in the eyes of the caseworkers in charge of her case. Through her stubbornness in pursuing a university education, Susanna enacted a form of temporal agency, in that she was not merely showing her capacity to stick it out despite the absence of alternatives. Thus, her narrative, rather than being focused on loss and existential immobility, was interwoven with the demand to find a path of hope and fulfillment through the altered present.

Conclusions

Through a reflection on "the enduring significance of austerity and its multifaceted influence on society" (MacLeavy 2023: 1), this article approached multi-layered experiences of precariousness and (un)safety within and outside the asylum system, in an attempt of avoiding the reproduction of well-worn dichotomies between "us" and "them". Again, this does not mean underestimating the differential positioning of subjects across borders and boundaries, whereby "societal hope" and the capacity to aspire become resources unevenly distributed within societies (Hage 2003). Admittedly, asylum workers' and refugees' experiences are extremely different in scale and nature and, ultimately, incomparable. Yet, as the cases analyzed above show, the focus on shared temporalities of uncertainty and (un)safety allows us to see the pervasiveness of feelings of precariousness, devaluation and future shrinking across different subjects within contemporary societies. On the one hand, young and overqualified asylum workers, like Elena and Miriam, struggle to reconcile their ideals of social justice with their daily work routine, while also being affected by the mismatch between consolidated expectations and multiple structural and subjective constraints. They both end up, for different reasons, leaving their precarious and exploited jobs within the asylum reception system. While having hard time in orienting themselves toward an aspirational future, they are also not ready to sacrifice ethical values and political engagements in the name of a blurred idea of safety, to which they feel they are not even entitled. On the other hand, Susanna, a refugee and a single mother, is determined to get a university education in Italy,

while also refusing to be trapped in the unskilled labor market reserved to immigrants. Her aspirations are openly regarded as "unrealistic" for someone in her position. As a person assisted by an institutional asylum project, her control over her daily and biographical time is quite limited. Yet, her future orientations seem stubborn and enduring, despite multi-layered structural constraints.

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

This article adds weight to scholarship where political life is approached from below, in everyday settings where multi-scalar power relations between individuals, collectives and institutions are shaped and negotiated (Brickell 2012; Hydman, 2004). I approached empirically the idea of (un)safety as a material and bodily condition and as an emotional state, directly linked to the perceived possibility of being able to orient oneself towards the future. Going beyond a certain tendency to speak unquestionably positively of hope and indeterminacy, I presented a critical analysis of the actual work of future engagements as they occur in concrete social settings and geopolitical moments. As a matter of fact, the future "is a placeholder, a placebo, a no-place, but it is also a commonplace that we need to investigate in all its cultural and historical density" (Rosenberg and Harding 2005, 9). The narratives here analyzed evoke post-austerity landscapes, marked by the erosion of safety nets and a growing tension surrounding access to basic opportunities and rights. When the future is increasingly perceived as risk, feelings and principles of unsafety may easily become instruments of exclusion and separation. It is not by chance that in times of economic "crisis" and welfare states restructuring, narratives of victimhood are shifted from refugees to "Italians", thereby feeding an exponential growth in inequality and social polarization (Perocco and Della Puppa 2023). Approaching asylum workers' and refugees' temporal (dis)orientations within a shared analytical framework enables the questioning of normative imaginaries of a "normal" time, beyond the uncertainty and unsafety of the immigration process.

As a result, the very idea of Europe as "safe haven" is inevitably exposed and unraveled. Experiences of marginality, devaluation and precariousness punctuate refugees' everyday lives, before *and* after the granting of a legal status. Furthermore, in contexts increasingly marked by economic downturn and manifold "crises", the supposed values of "freedom, security and justice" on which European democracies are grounded are increasingly called into question, for citizens and non-citizens alike. Against this background, ideas of "dignified life" are being reworked, while consolidated expectations of unlimited possibilities of upward social mobility, typical of capitalist economies, have started to crumble (Hage 2003; Guyer 2007). These processes are personal and

⁹ Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (Lisbon Treaty), Article 67.

affective but, also, inherently political. An ethnographic appreciation of how uncertainty feels in specific geopolitical and historical contexts eventually brings to the fore the naturalization of logics of exclusion and distinction, which end up exacerbating barriers and boundaries between territories and people. It also reminds us of the intrinsic political potential of less visible, often overlooked, everyday struggles. Acknowledgements I wish to thank my research interlocutors, who kindly shared with me their words and time. I am also thankful to the editors and fellow contributors of the special issue "Questioning the Safe Haven" for interesting and fruitful collective discussions. **Disclosure Statement** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author. Funding Research for this article was supported by the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR), in framework of the MIUR-FARE HOASI project based at the University of Trento.

- 573 References
- Agamben, G. 1998. Homo sacer: Sovereign Power and Bare Life. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

- Anderson, B. 2013. Us and them? The dangerous politics of immigration control. Oxford: Oxford University
- 577 Press.

578

- Andersson, R. 2014. Time and the migrant other: European border controls and the temporal
- 580 economics of illegality *American Anthropologist.* 116(4): 795–809.

581

- Ambrosini, M. 2022. Humanitarian help and refugees: de-bordering solidarity as a contentious
- 583 issue Journal of Immigrant & Refugee Studies. Advance online publication. doi:
- 584 https://doi.org/10.1080/15562948.2022.2059823.

585

- Artero, M., and E. Fontanari. 2021. Obstructing lives: local borders and their structural violence
- 587 in the asylum field of post-2015 Europe Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies. 47(3): 631-648.

588

- Aru, S. 2021. Abandonment, agency, control: Migrants' camps in Ventimiglia. Antipode 53(6): 1619-
- 590 1638.

591

- Bear, L. 2014. Doubt, conflict, mediation: The anthropology of modern time. *Journal of the Royal*
- *Anthropological Institute* 20(S1): 3–30.

594

- Beattie, A. R. 2016. Between safety and vulnerability: the exiled other of international
- relations. Citizenship Studies 20(2): 228-242.

597

- Belloni, M. and A. Massa. 2022. Accumulated homelessness: Analysing protracted displacement
- along Eritreans' life histories. *Journal of Refugee Studies* 35(2): 929-947.

600

- Ben-Yehoyada, N. 2017. The Mediterranean incarnate: region formation between Sicily and Tunisia since
- 602 World War II. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- 604 , D. 2002. Security and Immigration: Toward a Critique of the Governmentality of Unease.
- 605 Alternatives 27: 63-92.

Brambilla, C. 2015. Exploring the critical potential of the borderscapes concept. *Geopolitics* 20(1): 14-34. Brickell, K. 2012. Geopolitics of home. Geography Compass 6(10): 575-588. Bryant, R., and D.M. Knight. 2019. The anthropology of the future. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Brun, C. 2015. Active waiting and changing hopes: Toward a time perspective on protracted displacement. Social Analysis 59(1): 19-37. Burnett, J. 2015. The War on Welfare and the War on Asylum. Race & Class 57: 96-100. Cabot, H. 2019. The European refugee crisis and humanitarian citizenship in Greece. *Ethnos* 84(5): 747-771. Caglar, A. and N. Glick Schiller. 2018. Migrants & City-Making: Dispossession, Displacement, and Urban Regeneration. Durham: Duke University Press. Campesi, G. 2018. Between containment, confinement and dispersal: The evolution of the Italian reception system before and after the 'refugee crisis'. Journal of Modern Ialian Studies 23(4): 490-506. Campomori, F. and Ambrosini M. 2020. Multilevel governance in trouble: the implementation of asylum seekers' reception in Italy as a battleground. Comparative Migration Studies 8(1): 22. Caponio, T. 2018. Immigrant integration beyond national policies? Italian cities' participation in European city networks. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 44(12): 2053-2069. Casaglia, A. 2018. Territories of struggle: Social centres in northern Italy opposing mega-

events. Antipode 50(2): 478 - 497.

- 638 Castelli Gattinara, P. 2017. Mobilizing against "the Invasion": Far Right Protest and the "Refugee
- 639 Crisis" in Italy. Mondi Migranti 75-95.

- 641 Choi, H. L. and A. Mattoni. 2010. The contentious field of precarious work in Italy: political actors,
- strategies and coalitions. WorkingUSA 13(2): 213-243.

643

- 644 Coutin, S. and E. Vogel. 2016. Migrant narratives and ethnographic tropes: Navigating tragedy,
- creating possibilities. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography* 45(6): 631–644.

646

- 647 Cutitta, P. 2018. Repoliticization through search and rescue? Humanitarian NGOs and migration
- management in the central Mediterranean *Geopolitics*, 23(3): 632-660.

649

- Davies, T., A. Isakjee, and S. Dhesi. 2017. Violent Inaction: The Necropolitical Experience of
- 651 Refugees in Europe. *Antipode* 49(5): 1263 84.

652

- De Genova, N. 2002. Migrant "illegality" and deportability in everyday life. Annual Review of
- 654 *Anthropology* 31: 419 447.

655

- Della Porta, D. 2020. Building bridges: Social movements and civil society in times of crisis.
- 657 VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations 31(5): 938–948.

658

- Dotsey, S. and A. Lumley-Sapanski. 2021. Temporality, refugees, and housing: The effects of
- temporary assistance on refugee housing outcomes in Italy. City 25(5-6): 720-739.

661

- Dines, N., Montagna, N., and V. Ruggiero. 2015. Thinking Lampedusa: border construction, the
- spectacle of bare life and the productivity of migrants. Ethnic and Racial Studies 38(3): 430-445.

664

Fechter, A. M. 2016. Aid work as moral labour. *Critique of Anthropology* 36(3): 228-243.

666

- Fekete, L. 2018. Migrants, borders and the criminalisation of solidarity in the EU. Race &
- 668 *Class* 59(4): 65-83.

- 670 Ferrera, M. and E. Gualmini. 2004. Rescued by Europe? Social and Labour Market Reform in Italy from
- 671 Maastricht to Berlusconi. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

- 673 Fassin, D. 2012. Humanitarian reason: A moral history of the present. Berkeley: University of California
- 674 Press.

675

- 676 Fontanari, E. 2018. Lives in transit: An ethnographic study of refugees' subjectivity across European Borders.
- 677 London: Routledge.

678

- 679 Fortier, A. M. 2016. Afterword: Acts of affective citizenship? Possibilities and
- limitations. Citizenship Studies 20(8): 1038-1044.

681

- Fravega, E., Giudici, D., & Boccagni, P. (2023). La lotta per il tempo: Temporalità contestate nell'esperienza
- dei richiedenti asilo in Italia. Milano: Meltemi.

684

- 685 Gargiulo, E. (2023). Registration as a border: Shaping the population at the local level in
- 686 Italy. *Geopolitics 28*(1): 439-463

687

- 688 Giudici, D. (2021). Beyond compassionate aid: Precarious bureaucrats and dutiful asylum seekers
- 689 in Italy. Cultural Anthropology 36(1): 25-51

690

- 691 Glick Schiller, N. 2018. Theorising transnational migration in our times: A multiscalar temporal
- 692 perspective. Nordic Journal of Migration Research. 8(4): 201–212.

693

- 694 Greenhouse, C. J. (2012). Ethnographies of Neoliberalism. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania
- 695 Press.

696

- 697 Griffiths, M. 2014. Out of time: The temporal uncertainties of refugees, asylum seekers, and
- 698 immigration detainees. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*. 40(12): 1991–2009.

- 700 Grimaldi, G. 2016. Tra sbarco e approdo: liminalità e valore performativo del transito dei
- 701 richiedenti asilo eritrei ed etiopi nel quartiere di Milano Porta Venezia. Mondi Migranti. 29 (2): 229–
- 702 247.

- 703
- Hage, G. 2003. Against Paranoid Nationalism. Searching for Hope in a Shrinking Society. Annandale: Pluto
- 705 Press & Merlin.
- Hage, G. 2009. Waiting out the crisis: On stuckedness and governmentality. *Anthropological theory*
- 707 5(1): 463-475.
- 708
- Hall, S. M. 2023. A pregnant pause? Reproduction, waiting and silences in the relational endurance
- 710 of austerity. Geoforum 142. Advance online publication. doi:
- 711 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2023.103755.
- 712
- 713 Hyndman, J. and W. Giles. 2011. Waiting for what? The feminization of asylum in protracted
- 714 situations. *Gender, Place & Culture* 18(3): 361 379.
- 715
- 716 IDOS. 2019. Dossier statistico immigrazione. Roma: IDOS Edizioni.
- 717
- Kalir, B. and L. Wissink, L. 2016. The deportation continuum: Convergences between state agents
- and NGO workers in the Dutch deportation field. Citizenship Studies 20(1): 34 49.
- 720
- Kallio, K. P., Meier, I., and J. Häkli. 2021. Radical Hope in asylum seeking: political agency beyond
- 722 linear temporality. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 47(17): 4006-4022.
- 723
- Knight, D. M. and C. Stewart. 2016. Ethnographies of austerity: Temporality, crisis, and affect in
- 725 Southern Europe. *History and Anthropology* 27(1): 1–18.
- 726
- 727 Lancione, M. and A. Simone (2021). Dwelling in liminalities, thinking beyond
- 728 inhabitation. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space 39(6): 969-975.
- 729
- 730 Marchetti, C. 2020 (Un)Deserving Refugees: Contested Access to the 'Community of Value' in
- 731 Italy. In Europe and the Refugee Response: A Crisis of Values?, ed. E. M. Goździak, I. Main, and B. Suter,
- 732 236 52. London: Routledge.
- 733
- Marchesi, M. 2022. The intimate public of relational welfare in Milan. *Ethnography* 23(3): 358-381.
- 735

- 736 Mitchell, K., Marston, S.A. and C. Katz. 2003. Life's work: An introduction, review and critique.
- 737 Antipode 35(3): 415 442.

- 739 Molè N. 2010. Precarious Subjects: Anticipating Neoliberalism in Northern Italy's Workplace.
- 740 *American Anthropologist* 112(1): 38 53.

741

- 742 Muehlebach, A. and N. Shoshan. 2012 Introduction: post-Fordist affect. Anthropological
- 743 Quarterly 85(2): 317-343.

744

- 745 Muehlebach, A. 2012. The Moral Neoliberal: Welfare and Citizenship in Italy. Chicago: University of
- 746 Chicago Press.

747

- Murgia, A. 2014. Representations of precarity in Italy: Collective and individual stories, social
- imaginaries and subjectivities. *Journal of Cultural Economy* 7(1): 48-63.

750

- 751 Murgia, A. and G. Selmi. 2012. "Inspire and conspire": Italian precarious workers between self-
- 752 organization and self-advocacy. *Interface* 4 (2): 181-196.

753

- Narotzky, S. 2016. Between inequality and injustice: Dignity as a motive for mobilization during
- 755 the crisis. *History and anthropology* 27(1): 74-92.

756

- 757 Narotzky, S. and N. Besnier. 2014. Crisis, value, and hope: rethinking the economy: an
- 758 introduction to supplement 9. *Current anthropology* 55(S9): S4-S16.

759

- Oliveri, F. 2018. Racialization and counter-racialization in times of crisis: taking migrant struggles
- in Italy as a critical standpoint on race. Ethnic and Racial Studies 41(10): 1855-1873.

762

- Pentaraki, M. and Dionysopoulou, K. 2019. Social workers: A new precariat? Precarity conditions
- of mental health social workers working in the non-profit sector in Greece. European Journal of Social
- 765 Work 22(2): 301-313.

766

- Pitzalis, S. 2023. 'Plenty of Time, Out of Time'. Plurality of Timing in the Italian Asylum Reception
- 769 System. Outcomes from the Field. Journal of International Migration and Integration. Advance online
- publication. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-023-01109-6.

- Polizzi, E. and T. Vitale. 2017. Governo collaborativo e catene relazionali di innovazione. Spunti
- 773 a partire dal caso di Milano. *Quaderni di rassegna sindacale 18*(2): 129-147.

774

- Povinelli, E. 2011. Economies of Abandonment: Social Belonging and Endurance in Late Liberalism.
- 776 Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press.

777

- Powers, T. and T. Rakopoulos. 2019. The anthropology of austerity: An introduction. *Focaal* (83):
- 779 1-12.

780

- 781 Pozzi, G. and L. Rimoldi. 2017. Marginal Uncertainties. Making a living and working in the
- 782 outskirts of Milan. *EtnoAntropologia* 5(1): 95-108.

783

- Perocco, F. and F. Della Puppa. 2023. The Racialized Welfare Discourse on Refugees and Asylum
- 785 Seekers: The Example of "Scroungers" in Italy. *Social Sciences* 12(2): 50-59.

786

- Ramsay, G 2017. Incommensurable futures and displaced lives: Sovereignty as control over time.
- 788 Public Culture 29(3): 515 538.

789

- 790 Ramsay, G. 2020. Time and the other in crisis: How anthropology makes its displaced
- 791 object. Anthropological Theory 20(4): 385-413.

792

- Riccio, B. and F. Tarabusi, F. 2018. Dilemmi, mediazioni e pratiche nel lavoro dell'accoglienza
- 794 rivolta a rifugiati e richiedenti asilo. Educazione Interculturale 16(1): 1-9.

795

796 Roitman J. 2014. Anti-Crisis. Durham. NC: Duke University Press.

797

- Rose, N. 1996. The death of the social? Re-figuring the territory of government. *International Journal*
- 799 of Human Resource Management 25(3): 327 356.

- Robbins, J. 2013. Beyond the suffering subject: Toward an anthropology of the good. *Journal of the*
- 802 Royal Anthropological Institute 19(3): 447-462.

Rosenberg, D. and S. Harding. 2005. Histories of the future. London: Duke University Press.

805

- 806 Sinatti, G. 2023. Border as Mess: Navigating Contradictions and Borderwork in Civil Society
- Migrant Assistance. *Journal of Intercultural Studies* 44(1): 12-27.

808

- 809 Somers, M. 2008. Genealogies of citizenship, markets, statelessness, and the right to have rights. Cambridge:
- 810 Cambridge University Press.

811

- 812 Sorgoni, B. 2015. Anthropology and asylum procedures and policies in Italy. In The public value of
- anthropology: Engaging critical social issues through ethnography, ed. E. Tauber and D. Zinn, 31-60. Bozen-
- 814 Bolzano: Bozen-Bolzano University Press.

815

- Tazzioli, M. and W. Walters. 2019. Migration, solidarity and the limits of Europe. *Global Discourse*:
- An interdisciplinary journal of current affairs 9(1): 175-190.

818

- 819 Thorshaug, R. Ø. and C. Brun. 2019. Temporal injustice and re-orientations in asylum reception
- 820 centres in Norway: towards critical geographies of architecture in the institution. Fennia 197(2):
- 821 232-248.

822

Ticktin, M. 2006. Where ethics and politics meet. *American ethnologist* 33(1): 33-49.

824

- Vacchiano, F. 2005. Cittadini sospesi: violenza e istituzioni nell'esperienza dei richiedenti asilo in
- 826 Italia. *Antropologia* 5(5): 85 101.

827

- 828 Vigh, H. 2008. Crisis and chronicity: Anthropological perspectives on continuous conflict and
- 829 decline. Ethnos 73(1): 5-24.

830

- 831 Vollebergh, A., de Koning, A. and M. Marchesi. 2021. Intimate states: Techniques and
- entanglements of governing through community in Europe. Current Anthropology 62(6): 741-770.

Weber, C. 2008. Designing safe citizens. Citizenship studies 12(2): 125-142. Weiss, H. 2022. From Desire to Endurance: Hanging on in a Spanish Village. Cultural Anthropology. 37(1): 45-68. Wilkinson, E., & Ortega-Alcázar, I. (2019). The right to be weary? Endurance and exhaustion in austere times. Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers 44(1), 155-167. Wyss, A. and C. Fischer. 2022. Working for protection? Precarious legal inclusion of Afghan nationals in Germany and Switzerland. Antipode 54(2): 629-649.