

Systemic reuse models for sustainable glass packaging design and innovation

*Original*

Systemic reuse models for sustainable glass packaging design and innovation / Marino, Cristina; Tamborrini, PAOLO MARCO; Miotto, Laura; Ferronato, Giulia. - STAMPA. - Antifragile Glass:(2022), pp. 34-43. (Intervento presentato al convegno Antifragile Glass tenutosi a Venezia nel 17-19 Novembre 2022) [10.57623/979-12-5953-096-7].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2973326 since: 2022-11-23T16:07:22Z

*Publisher:*

Anteferma Edizioni

*Published*

DOI:10.57623/979-12-5953-096-7

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)



edited by  
Maria Antonia Barucco, Elti Cattaruzza, Rosa Chiesa

# ANTIFRAGILE GLASS

edited by  
**Maria Antonia Barucco, Elti Cattaruzza, Rosa Chiesa**

# **ANTIFRAGILE GLASS**



supported by



## Antifragile Glass

edited by

**Maria Antonia Barucco, Elti Cattaruzza, Rosa Chiesa**

Printed version ISBN 979-12-5953-034-9

Digital version ISBN 979-12-5953-096-7

This publication is an Open Access Gold book. The publication file is freely downloadable from the Anteferma Open Books platform  
(<https://www.anteferma.it/aob/index.php/antefermaopenbooks/index>)



Anteferma Open Books is the platform for publishing research volumes, respecting ethical and qualitative standards and the provision of open access content.

Book design

Margherita Ferrari

Editorial board

Emilio Antonioli, Rosaria Revellini

Publisher

Anteferma Edizioni Srl

via Asolo 12, Conegliano, TV

[edizioni@anteferma.it](mailto:edizioni@anteferma.it)

First Edition

November 2022

Copyright



This book is published under a Creative Commons license  
Attribution - Non Commercial - Share Alike 4.0 International



This volume collects proceedings from the  
**ANTIFRAGILE GLASS Conference - Venice November 18th, 2022**

PROMOTED BY

**Università Iuav di Venezia, Università Ca' Foscari Venezia, MUVE Fondazione Musei Civici Venezia**

SUPPORTED BY

**Fondazione Universitaria Iuav, Regione Veneto, OFFICINA\*, Anteferma, Rehüb**

PARTNERSHIPS

**SITdA Società Italiana della Tecnologia dell'Architettura, International Year of Glass 2022, Promovetro Murano, Future Cities Research Hub, CoReVe, Consorzio Nazionale Imballaggi, Confindustria Venezia Area Metropolitana di Venezia e Rovigo**

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE


**Michele Back** Università Ca' Foscari Venezia; **Laura Badalucco** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Adolfo Francesco Lucio Baratta** Università degli Studi Roma Tre; **Maria Antonia Barucco** (*chair*) Università Iuav di Venezia; **Paola Boarin** University of Auckland; **Laura Calcagnini** Università degli Studi Roma Tre; **Andrea Campioli** Politecnico di Milano; **Elti Cattaruzza** (*chair*) Università Ca' Foscari Venezia; **Cristiana Cellucci** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Rosa Chiesa** (*chair*) Università Iuav di Venezia; **Massimiliano Condotta** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Alessio Franconi** Brunel University London; **Elena Giacomello** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Francesco Gonella** Università Ca' Foscari Venezia; **Monica Lavagna** Politecnico di Milano; **Ligia Moretto** Università Ca' Foscari Venezia; **Giovanni Mucelli** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Serena Panighello** Stevanato Group Lab Analytics; **Augusto Panini** Fondazione Musei Civici di Venezia; **Barbara Pasa** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Alberto Quaranta** Università di Trento; **Raimonda Riccini** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Massimo Rossetti** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Chiara Squarcina** (*chair*) Fondazione Musei Civici di Venezia; **Setsubisa Tanabe** Kyoto University; **Valeria Tatano** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Maria Chiara Tosi** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Dario Trabucco** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Enrico Trave** Università Ca' Foscari Venezia; **Arianna Traviglia** Centre for Cultural Heritage Technology dell'Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia.

ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

**Emilio Antoniol** Anteferma Edizioni, Università Iuav di Venezia; **Margherita Ferrari** Anteferma Edizioni, Università Iuav di Venezia; **Pietroluigi Genovesi** MUVE; **Rosaria Revellini** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Matteo Silverio** Rehüb.

ORGANIZING SECRETARIAT

**Letizia Goretti** BnF Parigi; **Marta Possiedi** Università Iuav di Venezia; **Daniela Fontana** Fondazione Universitaria Iuav; **Chiara Pagani** Fondazione Universitaria Iuav.



# **ANTIFRAGILE GLASS**

**MARIA ANTONIA BARUCCO, ELTI CATTARUZZA, ROSA CHIESA**


# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 13. Glass and Science**  
ELTI CATTARUZZA
- 17. Glass and Architecture**  
MARIA ANTONIA BARUCCO
- 21. Glass and Design**  
ROSA CHIESA
- 24. How the technological advancement of glazing changes cities' identity: the example of Dubai**  
CHIARA SCANAGATTA
- 34. Systemic reuse models for sustainable glass packaging design and innovation**  
CRISTINA MARINO, PAOLO M. TAMBORRINI, LAURA MIOTTO,  
GIULIA FERRONATO
- 44. Fragile Business: Libbey-Owens-Ford and the impact of the 1970s energy crisis on the american glass industry**  
GIORGIO MARFELLA
- 54. POSTER Use of waste glass as aggregate for cementitious mortars**  
JACOPO DONNINI, ANGELO MONTENERO, L. DAVID PYE,  
VALERIA CORINALDESI
- 56. Glass on stage. The woman of fire Marietta Barovier**  
MASSIMILIANO CIAMMAICHELLA
- 66. Insights into LCA and EPD environmental impacts data related to glass products for windows**  
ANNA DALLA VALLE, MONICA LAVAGNA, ANDREA CAMPIOLI
- 76. The "beautiful scraps" a precious resource**  
ERIKA COCCATO, LAURA BADALUCCO

86. **POSTER Ancient glass alteration and advancement in active conservation strategies**  
GIULIA FRANCESCHIN, ROBERTA ZANINI, STEFANO CENTENARO
88. **Authorship in Glass Design in Portugal- Four Cycles: from 50's to Contemporaneity**  
CLÁUDIA PEDRO SANTOS
98. **MURANO PIXEL. An experimental and shared research**  
MARIA ANTONIA BARUCCO, ELTI CATTARUZZA, MATTEO SILVERIO
108. **From industrial float glass to collectible design: the functional light sculptures Baracche, project by artist Paolo Gonzato**  
BEATRICE BIANCO, MARINELLA FERRARA
118. **POSTER Physico-chemical and morphological characterization of inner surfaces of glass pharmaceutical vials**  
GIOVANNA PINTORI, SERENA PANIGHELLO
120. **(E)merging layers in Venice. The potential of one landscape and single raw material**  
MAXIMILIANO ROMERO, ANNA LORENS
130. **Air, light, and liquid in motion: blurred transparency of the Glass pavilion in Toledo**  
AKI ISHIDA
140. **POSTER Glass-making workshop: fostering new design practices**  
SOFIA CRETAIO, CRISTINA MARINO, JOSEAN GARCIA DIAZ, PAOLO TAMBORRINI
142. **Glass Future Lab. Murano glass production and digital manufacturing: possible relationships in a future scenario**  
ROSA CHIESA, RICCARDO BERRONE, LUCA COPPOLA
150. **Innovation scenarios in the glass industry in Europe**  
LUCA TRULLI



160. **POSTER XPS characterization of plasma-activated surfaces. A possible benefit for glass bonding**  
ANNE TALNEAU, CHRISTOPHE CARDINAUD
162. **Technology hybridization for multi-performance variable glazing**  
CRISTIANA CELLUCCI, BENEDETTA MARRADI
172. **Glass and light. Suspension lamp design in Italy between 1930 and 1969 in the magazines**  
FEDERICA DAL FALCO, RAISSA D'UFFIZI
184. **POSTER Guaranteeing the authenticity of VENINI Murano glass**  
ELENA TESSER, FABRIZIO ANTONELLI
186. **Apparent divide. An observation of the handmade and the machine-made through the work of Laura de Santillana**  
LAURA PANCHAUD
196. **Digital manufacturing for Murano glass**  
OMRI REVESZ
- 
206. **POSTER VETRO FUTURO muraNEWABLE: from the needs of glass comes a new energetic opportunity**  
GIULIA CAZZADOR, GIANMARCO GNOATO, DANIELE VENDRAME, SOFIA ZANANDREA
208. **POSTER VETRO FUTURO poroVE: the fluid value of the waste**  
CHIARA GHIDINI, SARA LABIDI, IRENE MARTIN, ILENIA PESSOTTO
210. **POSTER VETRO FUTURO wearGLASS: from waste to resource for wearable**  
GIULIA DA PIAN, SERENA DE CONTI, NICOLA MASSIMI, MICHELE MESCOLIN



# Systemic reuse models for sustainable glass packaging design and innovation

**CRISTINA MARINO<sup>A</sup>, PAOLO M. TAMBORRINI<sup>A,B</sup>, LAURA MIOTTO<sup>C</sup>, GIULIA FERRONATO<sup>C</sup>**

The Italian glass packaging industry is in first place in Europe with 21.3% of production value. It has 14 companies with 39 factories, 7,800 employees and a growing annual turnover of 2.4 billion euros. This trend of production preserves, on the one hand, a good synergy concerning the recycling process, but at the same time, it needs to reduce environmental impacts through reuse practices.

This research and analysis work was carried out in collaboration with a company that designs and produces glass packaging, focusing on and evaluating the current reuse and returnable-empty models, outlining the opportunities for a transition towards systemic business that stimulates innovation in new sectors. Subsequently, through a research-through-design approach, a second concept phase defines which guidelines to design packaging within returnable vacuum systems in new sectors and which strategies are feasible to start a transition.

**A** Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Italy.

**B** Department of Engineering and Architecture, University of Parma, Italy.

**C** Marketing and Communication, Verallia, Italy.

**KEYWORDS: PACKAGING DESIGN, SYSTEMIC APPROACH, REUSE MODEL**

## **Introduction**

The Italian glass packaging industry is today in first place in Europe with 21.3% of production value. It has 14 companies with 39 factories, 7,800 employees and an annual turnover of 2.4 billion euros. The growing trend reported by sector association studies (Feve and Weber Shandwick, 2020) predicts an increase of over 500 jobs between 2020 and 2024, with a production of 500,000 tonnes of glass packaging. This continuously increasing production as early as 2019 indicates virtuous glass-environment 'synergies'. Indeed, data published by the Close the Glass Loop platform (Feve, 2019) confirm that glass collection and recycling increased in 2019 to an average rate of 78%, up 2% from the previous year's results. These figures, encouraging for the multi-stakeholder platform that has set itself the goal of achieving a 90% post-consumer glass container collection target by 2030, ensure that a large proportion of packaging glass is correctly recycled in the glass production cycle.

This industry overview shows how, over the years, the environmental footprint of this material has been increasingly reduced, so much so that 85% of Italian consumers consider it the best packaging (Feve, 2019). Compared to 40 years ago, producing bottles and jars has reduced energy requirements by 50% and emissions by 70%. The recycling rate for glass reached 76.3% in 2018 (+6.6% compared to the previous year). Thanks to recycling in 2018, 3,395,000 tons of virgin raw materials, 320,000 tons of energy, and 2,082,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> were saved. In 2018, 85.9% of households sorted glass (10 years earlier, it was just over 50%). Bottles and jars then underwent a drastic 'slimming down': a bottle of sparkling wine since the 1990s, for example, has decreased in weight by 18%, a jar of baby food by 13% and an oil bottle by 12.2%.

Glass is the only material that meets the definition of a 'permanent' (Larsen et al., 2009). This is because:

- it maintains its chemical and physical features unaltered over time;
- collection systems are centralized for an effective recycling, treatment and reuse strategy; and
- reuse is permitted an infinite number of times.

This virtuous process thus saves energy for production from virgin materials, reduces the impact of glass on the environment and creates new entrepreneurship (Cocking, 2003).

Nonetheless, although the glass industry, and the glass packaging industry in particular, already applies circular economy principles (e.g. with water and minor with beer packaging), not all product categories are currently in these processes, and the circular economy approach is sometimes limiting concerning the urge to close the process on itself.

In particular, the circular economy applied to glass is strictly linked to the manufacture of products and the concept of the life cycle of industrial

processes (Verallia, 2022). Instead, this research uses Systemic Design as a methodological approach (Barbero and Tamborrini, 2015). An innovative design practice developed to apply design knowledge to systemic problems with the aims of co-designing products, systems, and policies for better and sustainable services (Jones, 2014).

Systemic design methods and principles enable the human-centred design of complex, multi-system and multi-stakeholder services and programmes by connecting different cycles and creating open systems sustained by relationships and connections between local realities.

With this approach, the main aim of this research and analysis work carried out in collaboration with a company that designs and produces glass packaging is to focus on and evaluate the current reuse and returnable-empty models, outlining the opportunities for a transition towards systemic business that stimulate innovation in new sectors<sup>1</sup>. Subsequently, through a research-through-design approach, a second development phase defines which guidelines to design packaging within returnable vacuum systems in new sectors and which strategies are feasible to start a transition.

## **Methodology**

For future sustainability, it is necessary to understand the different and complex challenges surrounding glass reuse: from changing consumer behaviour to product design trends, from logistics for glass collection and cleaning to the development of new business models within the different political contexts of the target markets. This investigation, thus guided by a two-track approach, on the one hand, systematises research for means to reduce emissions through alternative business models and, on the other, identifies the most compelling features and practices to reuse glass packaging.

The broad inquiry subject, including different product categories and production formats, underwent the first skimming through selecting some product categories. The selection was made by taking into consideration both qualitative aspects for which product categories not yet involved in returnable vacuum systems were preferred, and quantitative aspects that, concerning the identified category, considered the possible collection volumes and a balance between revenues and investments about the business model, at first linear, then systemic.

The theoretical framework, considering the complexity of the topic, is based

---

<sup>1</sup> This research work was conducted within the Design 1 laboratory of the Master *Eco Packaging Design – Systemic Innovation Design for the packaging project* of the Politecnico di Torino in collaboration with Verallia. The Master is a one-year training course that deals in an interdisciplinary way with the strategic and project aspects of design, communication and sustainability for the packaging project in collaboration with Fondazione Carta Etica. The students involved in the research were: Marta Caruso, Marika Baresi, Anna Rita Asaro, Irene Rubino, Ginevra Grisotto, Margherita Viràg Sartori, Natalia Cito, Andrea Guidi, Ruben Faraci, Elisabetta Sechi, Maria Chiara Tortia.

on a “mixed” approach. It proposes the use in the first instance of an analysis of current models and, in the second phase, a research-through-design approach aimed at defining design guidelines for reusing glass packaging.

In order to explore a business model for reuse, it was necessary to know in-depth the challenges and characteristics of the main stakeholders in the supply chain. Therefore, the basic research integrated concepts, experiences, and interventions not only from the glass packaging design field but also from the business sector, whose models are examined in the analysis. For this reason, we involved several brands that accompanied the research project and returned a helpful transdisciplinary approach to thinking in terms of open systems. Specifically, the stakeholders that have been involved were: an online shopping brand, a food delivery service, a mineral water brand, a producer of food packaging caps, an organic supermarket chain and a producer of food packaging labels.

This transversal involvement of several realities was fundamental to treating the individual production systems as complex ones with complementary and symbiotic activities rather than disconnected entities. In this way, the research process could benefit from sharing resources, know-how and technological skills.

The second part, dedicated to applied research where concept




Fig. 01 Example of the linear model analysis of the wine e-commerce system. Courtesy of the authors

development took place, was supported, and verified by the glass packaging company, which provided feedback on the prototypes' suitability concerning innovative business models.

Therefore, the research methods involved the analysis of several case studies divided into the following categories: large-scale retail purchasing models, large-scale retail distribution platforms, traditional e-commerce and quick commerce, and proximity commerce.

Then, four innovative business models were developed, each assuming a specific product category. Bottles of oil, beer, wine, fruit juices and jars for jam and ready-to-eat products were analyzed. A user with different needs was traced back to each product category to define better the aesthetic-functional characteristics of both the model and the product under consideration. Following the validation phases by the experts, the data collected were synthesized into some guidelines for the design of glass packaging capable of adapting to the returnable vacuum models.

## **Analysis of existing business models**

The first part of the research provides a basic description of the functioning of the different models and distinguishing implementation issues. The models analysed fall within the following categories: retail purchasing models, retail distribution platforms, traditional e-commerce, quick commerce and proximity commerce (Barbero and Pereno, 2020).

The analysis summarised in Table 1 shows that each model has peculiarities concerning timing and supply. The transport systems and logistics platforms differ mainly in the distribution over the territory and the size of the warehouses. If storage and timing are two factors that specifically impact the user experience, transport systems, handling dynamics, and the need for large or small warehouses are critical points for the design of returnable collection systems.

Figure 1 exemplifies a scheme for analysing the linear system of a quick commerce model, highlighting critical points. All the other models were investigated using the same method; the results of the comparative analysis are summarized in Table 2.

Recurring criticalities include the premature disposal of glass packaging, often still intact, and the over-packaging of the product for further protection. On the other hand, opportunities enclose leveraging local relationships, exploiting empty trips by riders, warehouses and points of sale throughout the territory to facilitate collection operations on the one hand, and container storage on the other.

However, a gap in the analysis was found in the lack or reduced availability of external washing and sterilisation services. The latter would represent a costly investment for companies to start an internal returnable vacuum cycle but also a pivotal point to establish new entrepreneurship around reusing glass packaging.

	Traditional Commerce	E-commerce	Quick commerce	Proximity commerce
Time to shop	Self-service	2-3 day delivery	Less than 1h delivery	Reservation of grocery
Offer	Wide offer	Limited offer	Small offer	Small offer
Transport	Private vehicles	Road transport	Bike transport	Private vehicles
Support platform	Superstore	Mega warehouses	Warehouse / local stores	Local stores

**Tab. 01** Business Model Analysis categories.

## **Designing innovative business models for glass packaging reuse**

The second part focuses on packaging reuse solutions in business-to-consumer (B2C) and business-to-business (B2B) applications. Both design directions have been retained because, although there are many opportunities for reuse in business-to-business (B2B) applications, the latter are generally better understood and adopted at scale. Therefore, part of the research aimed to identify sectors that do not currently adopt reuse models, which could also open up to reuse models, especially to consumers.

For this reason, before conceptualising a functional reuse model for the glass packaging industry, a case study review was interposed on currently advantageous reuse models, both environmentally and economically. Such models, classified in the Ellen MacArthur Foundation's Reuse report (2019), can be categorised as:

- Refill at home: where users refill their reusable packaging at home;
- Refill on the go: where users refill their reusable containers away from home (e.g. at an in-store dispensing system);
- Return from home: packaging is picked up from home by a pick-up service (e.g. by a logistics company);
- Return on the go: users return the packaging at a store or drop-off point (e.g. in a deposit return machine or mailbox).

Based on the analysis of the case studies, some of the strategies identified appear to be ill-suited to the world of glass packaging, so it was decided to opt for door-to-door and out-of-home return models. A door-to-door collection is an option that, although it can overcome certain limitations, as far as recycling is concerned, from a system perspective, is more costly due to the weight of the product itself and the emissions generated during transport. However, it becomes disadvantageous if collection volumes are not high and the consumption of specific product categories infrequent.

The analysis, therefore, led to the exclusion of product categories with sporadic consumption and instead to a preference for local productions, with low volumes but high networking potential in the local area, and categories that cannot do without glass packaging at present.

An example of a new business model which comes from the intuition to systematise similar packaging is the ‘Elis’ project, which is based precisely on the creation of added value through the collaboration of existing and geographically close players. The project proposes the development of a new range of hybrid bottles. Also, it connects oil mills and microbreweries that, despite being realities of limited size and production scale, are born with the concrete intention of being sustainable and therefore need suitable containers to communicate their mission.

The design of this model is aimed at both B2C and B2B users. This way, the system can optimise the delivery trip for vacuum collection by consumers and companies by fitting both consumption times and collection volumes.

Similar projects also targeted small local producers in the concept phase by leveraging proximity commerce as a collection point. Other projects, referring to wine or ready-to-eat products, have optimised the reduced volumes of collected packaging by redistributing it to micro and medium-sized enterprises that do not need large orders and can quickly source from third parties.

Finally, some reflections concerning the conceptualisation of new models emerge about the colouring of glass packaging produced mainly in three colours: clear, green and amber. Undoubtedly, although mixed scrap can only be used for the production of green glass, the production of clear or flint glass and, to a lesser extent, amber glass requires a scrap of the same colour. Therefore, mixed colours can lead to overcapacity for green glass furnaces (Testa et. al, 2017), and the collection of the products should take place in a differentiated manner. This point, in particular, meant that colour was a characteristic feature of packaging explicitly designed for inclusion in unique returnable vacuum models at the expense of clear glass.

	Logistics Criticalities	User Criticalities	Opportunities
Commerce	Lack of door-to-door pick-up; packaging management delegated to the municipality	Autonomous time and vehicles for grocery shopping, fatigue; storage space needs	In-store collection possibilities, user incentives, warehouse space, suppliers network
E-commerce	Excessive consumption during transport	Delivery/collection booking	Multiple productions/ systems connection on territory
Q-commerce	Rider's empty trips	Unclear disposal process	Take advantage of empty trips and warehouse points
Packaging features	Premature recycling of an undamaged packaging, risk of breakage during handling	Use of bubble plastic wrap, overpackaging and frustration	Stackability, adaptability to multiple production systems, standardization

**Tab. 02** Criticalities and Opportunities of Linear Business Model.





- **Stackability and optimisation of transport:** the concepts developed also focused on the formal characteristics of the packaging in terms of both weight and volume. In addition, jars and entire product families were designed to be stackable and facilitate transport operations in quick commerce systems and crates. The latter is suggested to be equipped with intelligent systems for monitoring returnable cycles.

These guidelines, drawn up for the reuse of glass packaging, allow for specific improvements to the product as a result of a systemic analysis that addresses future needs by adopting sustainability criteria throughout a product's life cycle, from conception through transport to final disposal; considering the needs of the product at all stages of the process and the co-responsibility of all the players involved in the system. A system that is made up and has to consider manufacturers, packaging converters, user companies, associations and consortia, etc., and who must not forget the end-users who play a decisive role in the purchasing, consumption and post-consumption phases. In this way, the packaging results from an integrated set of choices made by the plurality of actors, each of which plays a specific -direct or indirect- role in its definition.

## **Conclusion**

To conclude, this research project, which applies the systemic approach to the glass packaging sector to study innovative business models based on reuse, has shown how tangible effects on the product exist if the entire design process is structured following a holistic approach. The range of products designed responds to specific needs that do not derive from market research or the requirements of a single sector but address the real needs that have emerged from the paradigm shift they apply in an interconnected manner. The project activates systemic value chains thanks to an in-depth multi-stakeholder analysis that integrates different actors and sectors, enhancing local production and connecting the actors of a territory, standardising where necessary the product but considering the reality of local needs to activate returnable vacuum strategies. In this way, the research shows that there is a space to open up different scenarios, not only at the system level but also at the product design level, which must prepare itself to respond to sustainability challenges, sometimes going beyond traditional aesthetic-formal characteristics. The project then highlights the scalability of the multi-level approach to the glass packaging industry. It proposes to open up to other product and production categories to implement the value chain.

## References

- Barbero, S., Pereno, A. (2020). *Packaging Design in the Digital Age: A systemic approach to e-commerce*. Milano: FrancoAngeli.
- Barbero, S., Tamborrini, P. (2015). Systemic Design goes between disciplines for sustainability in food processes and cultures. In AA. VV. (ed.), *Sustainable Food Planning Conference*. Torino: Proceedings of the 7th International Aesop, pp. 7-9.
- Cocking, R. (2003). The challenge for glass recycling. In *Sustainable waste management*. London: Proceedings of the international symposium held at the University of Scotland Dundee, pp. 73-78.
- Ellen MacArthur Foundation (2019). *Reuse – rethinking packaging* (online). In <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/reuse-rethinking-packaging> (last accessed August 2022).
- Feve (2019). *Record collection of glass containers for recycling hits 78% in the EU* (online). In [https://feve.org/glass\\_recycling\\_stats\\_2019/](https://feve.org/glass_recycling_stats_2019/) (last accessed August 2022).
- Feve and Weber Shandwick (2020). *Packaging & Recycling survey* (online). In: <https://content.presspage.com/uploads/1081/feve-packagingrecycling-finalreport-commsapril2020.pdf?10000> (last accessed August 2022).
- Jones, P. H. (2014). Systemic design principles for complex social systems. In Metcalf, G. (ed.), *Social systems and design. Translational Systems Sciences*, vol 1. Tokyo: Springer, pp. 91-128.
- Larsen, A. W., Merrild, H., Christensen, T. H. (2009). Recycling of glass: accounting of greenhouse gases and global warming contributions. *Waste Management & Research*, 27 (8), pp. 754-762.
- Testa, M., Malandrino, O., Sessa, M. R., Supino, S., Sica, D. (2017). Long-term sustainability from the perspective of cullet recycling in the container glass industry: Evidence from Italy. *Sustainability*, 9 (10), 1752.
- Verallia (2022). *Reimagining reuse for the circular economy of glass. Stakeholder Perspectives Series* (online). In [https://www.verallia.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/VERALLIA\\_WHITE-BOOK\\_EN\\_march2022.pdf](https://www.verallia.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/VERALLIA_WHITE-BOOK_EN_march2022.pdf) (last accessed August 2022).



**GLASS is ANTIFRAGILE**  
because, throughout history,  
it faces challenges, crises,  
and innovations. Glass, its  
design and its technologies  
are in a constant state of  
transformation by fulfilling  
different functions, affirming  
some of its characteristics and  
modifying others, adapting  
to changing contexts and  
demonstrating a capacity for  
innovation.

**In the International Year of  
Glass, this book presents  
the work of researchers and  
scholars who highlight the  
differences and at the same  
time the commonalities  
between three different fields  
of study: Science, Design and  
Architecture.**

**The aim of this book is to share  
descriptions of the glass and its  
innate aptitude for innovation.**

20,00 €

ISBN 979-12-5953-034-9



9 791259 530349

