

Changes in weight secondary to improved odor perception in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps' patients treated with Dupilumab

Original

Changes in weight secondary to improved odor perception in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps' patients treated with Dupilumab / Rosso, C., De Corso, E., Urbanelli, A., Fadda, G., Saibene, A.M., Ferella, F., Spanu, C., Pipolo, C.. - In: EUROPEAN ARCHIVES OF OTO-RHINO-LARYNGOLOGY. - ISSN 0937-4477. - 282:1(2025), pp. 251-256.
[10.1007/s00405-024-09021-0]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/3002561 since: 2025-08-26T14:13:48Z

Publisher:

Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland

Published

DOI:10.1007/s00405-024-09021-0

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Changes in weight secondary to improved odor perception in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps' patients treated with Dupilumab

Cecilia Rosso^{1,7} · Eugenio De Corso² · Anastasia Urbanelli³ · Gianluca Fadda⁴ · Alberto Maria Saibene⁵ · Francesco Ferella¹ · Camilla Spanu⁶ · Carlotta Pipolo⁵

Received: 12 June 2024 / Accepted: 30 September 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Purpose The advent of biologic therapies, notably Dupilumab, has transformed therapeutic approaches to nasal polyposis. This retrospective multicentric study aimed to investigate weight changes in CRSwNP patients undergoing Dupilumab treatment and explore potential correlations with olfactory improvement.

Methods Ninety-six patients with CRSwNP were followed for at least 12 months, with assessments including BMI (Body Mass Index), olfactory function, and disease severity.

Results Significant increases in BMI and olfactory perception were observed after 1 year of Dupilumab treatment ($p < .001$). Subgroup analysis showed that patients with hyposmia and normosmia at T12 (1-year follow up) experienced significant weight gain ($p < .001$) alongside improved olfaction (both $p < .001$). Conversely, patients with anosmia after 1 year of therapy and also patients with stable or worsened olfaction did not show significant BMI changes (respectively $p = .201$ and $p = .107$).

Conclusion While these findings suggest a correlation between olfactory improvement and weight gain/BMI, factors like improved nasal airflow and corticosteroid cessation under Dupilumab treatment may also influence weight in CRPwNP patients. The study highlights the need for further research to elucidate the causal relationship and long-term implications of Dupilumab-induced olfactory improvement on weight regulation.

Keywords Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps · Biologics · BMI · Dupilumab · Olfaction · Hyposmia

Introduction

Chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) is a complex disorder that directly affects the quality of life, with many associated symptoms [1–5]. Among them, the loss of smell gained importance and hence has been included as a criterion for patient selection and response to therapy in both international expert consensus statements regarding biologics (EUFOREA and EPOS) [1, 2, 6], as well as in their latest joint update of 2023 [7].

Even though olfactory restoration has been described for all three currently available monoclonal antibodies targeting CRSwNP (Omalizumab, Mepolizumab, and Dupilumab), both indirect meta-analyses and real-life studies demonstrated that Dupilumab may offer a more significant effect on several outcomes, including restitution of the olfactory sense [8–15], especially showing that the restoration of olfactory function resulted as one of the earliest achieved

✉ Cecilia Rosso
rosso.cecilia1@gmail.com

¹ Otorhinolaryngology Unit, ASST Santi Paolo e Carlo, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy
² Otorhinolaryngology Unit, A. Gemelli University Hospital Foundation IRCCS, Rome, Italy
³ Otorhinolaryngology Unit, Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy
⁴ Department of Otolaryngology, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, University of Turin, Orbassano 10043, Italy
⁵ Department of Health Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy
⁶ Department of Head, Neck and Sensory Organs, Catholic University of The Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy
⁷ via Antonio di Rudini 8, ASST Santi Polo e Carlo, Milan, Italy

outcomes, often occurring after only one month of treatment [3, 14].

Of further interest are the secondary effects that the restoration of olfaction may have on the CRSwNP patient [16]. Even though we have long known that anosmia does indeed influence dietary intake behavior in humans, it is still unclear if impacting the olfactory system directly affects eating behavior and the energy balance in humans [17, 18]. Even more so, data regarding possible correlations between the improvement of the sense of smell with Dupilumab and potential weight gain/loss during treatment are missing. Only a few papers are available about the link between Body Mass Index (BMI) and Dupilumab, but they focus more on how weight may influence the response to Dupilumab treatment in CRSwNP [19].

This study, therefore, aims to investigate weight changes in patients undergoing treatment with Dupilumab and to determine a potential correlation, while assessing confounders, between the improvement of smell and, if present, the variations of body weight. The primary endpoint of this study was to assess weight variations and, consequently, BMI changes in each subject.

Materials and methods

This is a retrospective multicentric real-life study involving three 2nd level rhinologic clinics from March 2021 to September 2023. The research was conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice and with the requirements of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki. We included 96 patients affected by CRSwNP who met the criteria of severe uncontrolled disease according to the Consensus of the Joint Committee of the Italian Society of Otorhinolaryngology:

- Age \geq 18 years;
- Treatment with Dupilumab in a real-life setting for severe uncontrolled CRSwNP with a follow-up of at least 12 months (criteria for prescription as found in EPOS, EUFOREA, and the Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA));
- Patients receiving a self-administered subcutaneous 300 mg dose of Dupilumab every 2 weeks and that did not modify the interval dose of administration during the follow-up time.

Patients excluded from the study were those not eligible for Dupilumab treatment, or under other concurrent biological therapies, or with diseases which may alter patient's metabolism (type-2 diabetes, thyroid dysfunction, etc.). Data about age, sex, comorbidities, previous surgery, and BMI at baseline were collected.

After baseline assessment, follow-up controls were carried out at 14 days, and 1, 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after the treatment start, and included self-assessment questionnaires (SNOT-22, Visual Analogue Scale), body weight, nasal endoscopy to evaluate Nasal Polyp Score (NPS), and Sniffin' sticks identification test to evaluate the olfactory performance.

The Sniffin' sticks identification test consists of a clinical sensory test based on 16 pen-like odor-dispensing devices employed to assess the patient's odor identification using four-alternative forced-choices. The maximum score of the test is 16 points and reflects optimal olfactory function. We assessed anosmia as a Sniffin' sticks identification test score of 5 or less.

Patients were classified according to the class of smell at baseline and at the end of the study as follows [20]:

- Anosmic group: score of 0–5/16 on the Sniffin' sticks test.
- Hyposmic group: score of 6–11/16 on the Sniffin' sticks test.
- Normosmic group: score of 12–16/16 on the Sniffin' sticks test.

Patients were also classified according to the improvement of olfaction from baseline as follows:

- Olfactory-stable group: patients whose olfaction decreases, stays stable, or does not improve by more than 2 points on the Sniffin' sticks test evaluation from baseline to T12.
- Smell improvement: improvement of more than 2 points on the Sniffin' sticks test evaluation from baseline to T12.

During biological therapy, patients underwent continuous treatment with nasal lavages and intranasal corticosteroids (INCS) twice a day. All weight assessments were conducted as per our standard at baseline (start of the treatment with Dupilumab) and subsequently at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months after the beginning of the therapy. Data regarding BMI variation were compared to the scores of the Sniffin' sticks test at the same time point for each patient.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics software, version 28.0.1.0. Using the Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test, we assessed that BMI data were normally distributed, while Sniffin' sticks, NPS score, and SNOT-22 score results followed a non-normal distribution. We evaluated the modification of BMI data from the beginning of treatment to a 1-year follow-up using a Paired T-test. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was then used to evaluate variation of Sniffin' sticks results from baseline to the first year.

Table 1 Demographic and anamnestic data collected at T0 and T12 timepoints

N° of patients	96
Age	55.6 (\pm 12.5)
Sex	
males	53 (55.2%)
females	43 (44.8%)
Smokers (n° of patients)	7 (7.3%)
Allergic to inhalants (n° of patients)	42 (43.8%)
Asthmatic patients ¹ (n° of patients)	58 (60.4%)
Non-controlled ² asthma (during Dupilumab treatment) (n° of patients)	5 (5.2%)
Non-controlled ³ CRSwNP (during Dupilumab treatment) (n° of patients)	2 (2.1%)
Previous surgery (n° of patients)	89 (92.7%)
Number of previous surgeries	2.4 (\pm 1.7)
Months since last surgery	83.6 (\pm 72.3)
<i>Quality of smell at baseline</i>	
Anosmic (0–5/16 at Sniffin sticks)	69 (71.9%)
Hyposmic (6–11/16 at Sniffin sticks)	21 (21.85%)
Normosmic (12–16/16 at Sniffin sticks)	6 (6.25%)
<i>Quality of smell at T12</i>	
Anosmic (0–5/16 at Sniffin sticks)	13 (13.5%)
Hyposmic (6–11/16 at Sniffin sticks)	39 (40.62%)
Normosmic (12–16/16 at Sniffin sticks)	44 (45.83%)
Use of OCS during biological therapy (n° of patients)	0

¹ Asthma intended as moderate or severe asthma [23] ² Non-controlled Asthma defined following International ERS/ATS guidelines [23] ³ Non-controlled CRSwNP defined following EPOS guidelines²

We also evaluated whether subgroups (patients with anosmia, hyposmia, normosmia, stability of olfactory function, significant increase in olfactory function) had statistically significant changes in BMI values using the Wilcoxon test, except for the BMI variables of the hyposmic group and smell improvement group, which presented a normal distribution.

Results

Based on inclusion and exclusion criteria, 96 consecutive patients were enrolled. Demographic and anamnestic data are summarized in Table 1. All patients started with a normal BMI or were overweight at baseline, and none of them encountered so much gain as to change their weight status.

Statistical analysis revealed a significant increase in the BMI score in patients under Dupilumab treatment at the 1-year follow-up ($p = .002$; Table 2). Sniffin' sticks also registered an increase in odor perception at the T12 time point evaluation ($p < .001$, Table 2). NPS and SNOT-22 observed an overall improvement from baseline to the T12 evaluation (Table 2). Univariate regression showing the association between the 2 variables (variation of BMI T0-T12 and Sniffin' sticks at T12) shows a non-statistically significant association between the two (Spearman correlation; $p = .181$, Table 2).

Among patients who had no recovery of olfaction and remained anosmic through T1-T12 ($n = 13$) (persistence of score of 0–5/16 at T12 evaluation), there was no statistically significant change in BMI values (Wilcoxon test, $p = .201$). Accordingly, patients whose olfaction decreased, stayed stable, or did not improve by more than 2 points on the Sniffin' sticks test did not have a significant increase in their BMI score ($n = 24$) ($p = .107$). However, hyposmic patients (6–11/16 on the Sniffin' sticks test at T12 evaluation) had a statistically significant increase in BMI ($p = .007$) and also an improvement in the Sniffin' sticks test score ($p < .001$).

Patients who, in general, had a satisfactory improvement in smell ($n = 72$) (intended as an improvement of more than 2 points on the Sniffin' sticks score from T0 to T12 evaluation), regardless of their smell class at T12, presented a statistically significant increase in the BMI index ($p < .001$, see Table 3).

Table 2 Descriptive analysis and statistical comparison of variables

	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Mean	25.52	25.93	Median	3	11
SD	3.83	3.83	IQR	4	5
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^a	0.002		Comparison (p) ^b	< 0.001	
	SNOT22 T0	SNOT22 T12		NPS T0	NPS T12
Median	59.50	15.50	Median	6	1
IQR	20.25	14.75	IQR	2	3
	SNOT22 T12-T0			NPS T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^b	< 0.001		Comparison (p) ^b	< 0.001	
	BMI – Sniffin Sticks T12-T0				
Comparison (p) ^c	0.181				

^a Analysis was made using Paired T-Test since the gaussian distribution of the groups; ^b Analysis was made using Wilcoxon signed-rank Test since the non-gaussian distribution of the variables. ^c Analysis of the association between the two variables (BMI and Sniffin sticks test) was made using Spearman correlation test

Table 3 Descriptive analysis and statistical comparison of variables among anosmic, hyposmic, olfactory-stable and smell improvement groups of patients

Anosmic group^a(n = 13)					
	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Median	26.50	27.70	Median	3	3
IQR	2.42	3.4	IQR	2.5	2.5
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^e	0.201		Comparison (p) ^e	0.627	
Hyposmic group^b(n = 39)					
	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Median	25.00	25.10	Median	3	10
IQR	5.24	4.35	IQR	3	3
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^f	<0.001		Comparison (p) ^e	<0.001	
Normosmic group^b(n = 44)					
	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Median	24.60	25.10	Median	5	13
IQR	5.77	5.96	IQR	7	2
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^e	<0.001		Comparison (p) ^e	<0.001	
Olfactory-stable group^c(n = 24)					
	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Median	26.00	26.00	Median	5	5
IQR	3.32	4.46	IQR	7.75	9
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^e	0.107		Comparison (p) ^e	0.532	
Smell improvement group^d(n = 72)					
	BMI T0	BMI T12		Sniffin sticks T0	Sniffin sticks T12
Mean	25.34	25.73	Median	3.76	11.51
SD	3.97	3.87	IQR	3	3
	BMI T12-T0			Sniffin sticks T12-T0	
Comparison (p) ^f	<0.001		Comparison (p) ^e	<0.001	

^a Anosmic group intended as score of 0–5/16 at T12 evaluation. ^b Hyposmic group intended as score of 6–11/16 at Sniffin' sticks test at T12 evaluation. ^c Olfactory-stable group intended as patients whose olfaction decreases, stays stable or does not improve of more than 2 points at T12 Sniffin stick test evaluation. ^d Smell improvement intended as improvement of more than 2 points at T12 Sniffin stick test evaluation. ^e Analysis was made using Wilcoxon signed-rank Test since the non-gaussian distribution of the variables. ^f Analysis was performed using T-paired Test since the gaussian distribution of the variables

Discussion

Dupilumab appears to be the most effective biologic treatment for CRSwNP regarding the improvement of smell, with significant increases in subjective and semi-objective scores already observed at the 1-month follow-up [22–24]. As expected, considering real-life data on Dupilumab, we found a significant improvement in olfactory function in our case series ($p < .001$). The physiopathological mechanisms underlying olfactory impairment in CRSwNP patients and the mechanisms underlying olfactory recovery after therapy with Dupilumab are in part unrelated to the volume and flow-halting effect of the polyps and might be mainly correlated to the resolution of local inflammation. Some authors assert that olfactory sensory neurons (OSNs) are particularly susceptible to local immune mediators in the setting of CRSwNP, like IL-4, IL-5, IL-13, and eosinophils [25, 26]. Infiltrating immune cells in the

olfactory neuroepithelium might account for the dysfunction of the peripheral olfactory system [26]. This might explain how the amelioration in olfaction could be led both by an improvement of conduction towards the olfactory epithelium and by the decrease of neuroinflammation.

Odors in the surrounding environment significantly influence an individual's capacity to recognize and identify food sources, subsequently eliciting various appetite responses [27]. A recent review by Zhang et al. highlighted how the characteristics of the olfactory stimuli (e.g., the congruency between the olfactory perception and the foods, intensity and duration of exposure to smells, and taste properties of odors) modulate the effects on food behavior [28]. Consequently, overweight people show a heightened response to appetite and hence food intake when explicitly exposed to food smells compared to normal-weight patients [27, 29, 30].

Nevertheless, in our series, all patients started with a normal BMI or were overweight but not obese at baseline, and none of them encountered so much gain as to change their weight status (e.g., from normal-weight to overweight/to obese). Therefore, even if the olfaction restoration may have significantly influenced weight variation, it appears not to be clinically significant during our follow-up period. Even though weight gain is not a known or described side effect of Dupilumab treatment, there are some preliminary reports that explore this possibility in atopic dermatitis [31]. The aim of our study is therefore not to link the treatment itself to weight gain but the restoration of olfaction to an increase in appetite and therefore a secondary weight gain.

We found a significant increase in our case series' BMI ($p=.002$) and, given the unavailability of real-life comparisons, we tried to further investigate our findings. We divided our case series in terms of smell variation to see if there is a link to weight gain. Among patients who remained anosmic after 1 year of treatment ($n=13$, 13.5%), there was no statistically significant change in BMI values ($p=.201$). Also, patients whose olfaction decreased, stayed stable, or did not improve by more than 2 points at the Sniffin' Sticks test did not have a significant increase in their BMI score ($p=.107$). Of note, that also these patients that are non-responders to the treatment regarding olfaction had significant improvement of SNOT ($p<.001$) and NPS ($p<.001$) scores, showing that these parameters are independent of each other.

Accordingly, when considering only patients who had a satisfactory improvement in smell ($n=72$, 75%), these presented with a statistically significant increase in their BMI index ($p<.001$). Patients who ended up at T12 with hyposmia or normosmia reported an overall improvement in smell ($p<.001$ both) and accordingly an increase in BMI ($p<.001$ both).

The relationship with food is complex and a combination of many factors has to be taken into account. Certainly, the cause of weight gain should not be reduced only to an improvement in the sense of smell, even though it may be in this specific scenario the leading cause. The emotional sphere, physical activity, genetic predisposition, and other factors interact to influence weight. Indeed, we have to consider the secondary effects of improved health due to Dupilumab: there certainly is evidence of better nasal airflow, which could lead to an increase in physical activity and fitness, and hence to weight loss [32]. Furthermore, none of our patients used oral corticosteroids (OCS) during the follow-up period, which are known to increase the risk of weight gain: we initially expected to observe a weight loss in this case series, which eventually did not occur. Also, in the current literature, the association of OCS dose and duration with increased weight risk is not well-quantified [33].

This shows the many contrasting factors at play in this field: further studies could shed light on how these drivers play a role in weight maintenance and fluctuation. A potential bias of our

study is the lack of a control group to observe the natural progression of the disease and its consequences on BMI.

Furthermore, we did not consider other potential influencing factors in the weight variation, such as any change in physical activity or any other external factors in daily life that may interfere with patients' metabolism. Also, smoking was not analyzed statistically as we only had very few smokers in our cohort.

Conclusions

This study found a significant increase in BMI among patients with olfactory restoration under Dupilumab treatment for CRSwNP, prompting an exploration of the relationship between olfactory improvement and weight gain. While the study suggests a correlation between smell improvement and weight gain, the complex nature of weight regulation, influenced by factors such as emotional well-being, physical activity, and genetics, is acknowledged. It emphasizes the need for further research to delve into the molecular pathways involved, the specific causal relationship, and long-term implications.

Funding Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Milano within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Declaration

Conflicting Interests Eugenio De Corso: Lecture fees and participations in experts board meeting of GSK, Novartis, Sanofi, Astrazeneca, Firma.

Carlotta Pipolo: Lecture fees and participations in experts board meeting of GSK, Novartis, Sanofi, Astrazeneca, Firma and Deca. All other Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bachert C, Han JK, Wagenmann M et al (2021) EUFOREA expert board meeting on uncontrolled severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP) and biologics: definitions and management. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 147(1):29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JACI.2020.11.013>

2. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C et al (2020) European position paper on Rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2020. *Rhinology* 58(Suppl S29):1–464. <https://doi.org/10.4193/RHIN20.600>
3. Mullol J, Bachert C, Amin N et al (2022) Olfactory outcomes with Dupilumab in Chronic Rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 10(4):1086–1095e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAIP.2021.09.037>
4. Chung JH, Lee YJ, Kang TW et al (2015) Altered quality of Life and Psychological Health (SCL-90-R) in patients with chronic Rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 124(8):663–670. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0003489415576181>
5. Kohli P, Naik AN, Harruff EE, Nguyen SA, Schlosser RJ, Soler ZM (2017) The prevalence of olfactory dysfunction in chronic rhinosinusitis. *Laryngoscope* 127(2):309–320. <https://doi.org/10.1002/LARY.26316>
6. Fokkens WJ, Lund V, Bachert C et al (2019) EUFOREA consensus on biologics for CRSwNP with or without asthma. *Allergy* 74(12):2312–2319. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ALL.13875>
7. Fokkens WJ, Viskens AS, Backer V et al (2023) EPOS/EUFOREA update on indication and evaluation of Biologics in Chronic Rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps 2023. *Rhinology* 61(3):194–202. <https://doi.org/10.4193/RHIN22.489>
8. Seegräber M, Srour J, Walter A, Knop M, Wollenberg A (2018) Dupilumab for treatment of atopic dermatitis. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* 11(5):467–474. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17512433.2018.1449642>
9. Bachert C, Mannent L, Naclerio RM et al (2016) Effect of Subcutaneous Dupilumab on nasal polyp burden in patients with chronic sinusitis and nasal polyposis: a Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 315(5):469–479. <https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMA.2015.19330>
10. Bachert C, Han JK, Desrosiers M et al (2019) Efficacy and safety of dupilumab in patients with severe chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (LIBERTY NP SINUS-24 and LIBERTY NP SINUS-52): results from two multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group phase 3 trials. *Lancet (London England)* 394(10209):1638–1650. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(19\)31881-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31881-1)
11. Peters AT, Han JK, Hellings P et al (2021) Indirect Treatment Comparison of Biologics in Chronic Rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 9(6):2461–2471e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAIP.2021.01.031>
12. Cai S, Xu S, Lou H, Zhang L (2022) Comparison of different biologics for treating chronic Rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps: A Network Analysis. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 10(7):1876–1886e7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAIP.2022.02.034>
13. van der Lans RJL, Fokkens WJ, Adriaensen GFJPM, Hoven DR, Drubbel JJ, Reitsma S (2022) Real-life observational cohort verifies high efficacy of dupilumab for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Allergy* 77(2):670–674. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ALL.15134>
14. De Corso E, Pasquini E, Trimarchi M et al (2023) Dupilumab in the treatment of severe uncontrolled chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps (CRSwNP): a multicentric observational phase IV real-life study (DUPIREAL). *Allergy Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol* 78(10):2669–2683. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ALL.15772>
15. Nettis E, Brussino L, Patella V et al (2022) Effectiveness and safety of dupilumab in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps and associated comorbidities: a multicentric prospective study in real life. *Clin Mol Allergy* 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12948-022-00171-2>
16. Riera CE, Tsaousidou E, Halloran J et al (2017) The Sense of Smell Impacts Metabolic Health and Obesity. *Cell Metab* 26(1):198–211e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CMET.2017.06.015>
17. Aschenbrenner K, Hummel C, Teszmer K et al (2008) The influence of olfactory loss on dietary behaviors. *Laryngoscope* 118(1):135–144. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLG.0B013E318155A4B9>
18. Matiashova L, Hoogkamer AL, Timper K (2023) The role of the olfactory system in obesity and metabolism in humans: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Metab* 2024 14(1):16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/METABO14010016>
19. Nitro L, De Corso E, Borin MPC Role of body Mass Index as a predictor of Dupilumab efficacy in patients with severe CRSwNP. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital*. Accepted feb 2024 under print
20. De Corso E, Bellocchi G, De Benedetto M et al (2022) Biologics for severe uncontrolled chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps: a change management approach. Consensus of the Joint Committee of Italian Society of Otorhinolaryngology on biologics in rhinology. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital* 42(1):1. <https://doi.org/10.14639/0392-100X-N1614>
21. Berek K, Hegen H, Auer M et al (2023) Odour discrimination and identification as a biomarker of long-term disability worsening in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13524585231201093>
22. Oleszkiewicz A, Schriever VA, Croy I, Hähner A, Hummel T (2019) Updated Sniffin' sticks normative data based on an extended sample of 9139 subjects. *Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngology* 276(3):719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00405-018-5248-1>
23. Chung KF, Wenzel SE, Brozek JL et al (2014) International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma. *Eur Respir J* 43(2):343–373. <https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00202013>
24. Haloob N, Karamali K, Hopkins C (2023) The role of Biologics in the treatment of Chronic Rhinosinusitis. *BioDrugs* 37(4):477–487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40259-023-00602-9>
25. Förster-Ruhrmann U, Stergioudi D, Szczepek AJ et al (2023) A real-life comparison of pulmonary and nasal outcomes in patients with severe asthma and nasal polyposis treated with T2-biologics. *World Allergy Organ J* 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WAOJOU.2023.100746>
26. Cantone E, De Corso E, Ricciardiello F et al (2022) Olfaction recovery following Dupilumab is Independent of Nasal Polyp reduction in CRSwNP. *J Pers Med* 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/JPM12081215>
27. Boesveldt S, de Graaf K (2017) The Differential Role of Smell and Taste For Eating Behavior. 46(3–4):307–319. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0301006616685576>
28. Zhang T, Spence C (2023) Orthonasal olfactory influences on consumer food behaviour. *Appetite* 190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPET.2023.107023>
29. Stafford LD, Whittle A (2015) Obese individuals have higher preference and sensitivity to odor of chocolate. *Chem Senses* 40(4):279–284. <https://doi.org/10.1093/CHEMSE/BJV007>
30. Herman CP, Polivy J (2008) External cues in the control of food intake in humans: the sensory-normative distinction. *Physiol Behav* 94(5):722–728. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSBEH.2008.04.014>
31. Johansson EK, Ivert LU, Bradley B, Lundqvist M, Bradley M (2020) Weight gain in patients with severe atopic dermatitis treated with dupilumab: a cohort study. *BMC Dermatol* 20(1):1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12895-020-00103-0/TABLES/2>
32. Ottaviano G, De Corso E, Cantone E et al (2023) Measuring Nasal Patency and the sense of Smell in CRSwNP patients treated with Dupilumab. *J Pers Med* 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/JPM13020234>
33. Rice JB, White AG, Scarpati LM, Wan G, Nelson WW (2017) Long-term systemic corticosteroid exposure: a systematic literature review. *Clin Ther* 39(11):2216–2229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CLINTHERA.2017.09.011>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.