

Geometric Operations in Mario Botta's Architectural Work

Original

Geometric Operations in Mario Botta's Architectural Work / Ronco, F., Bertola, G., Pupi, E.. - In: NEXUS NETWORK JOURNAL. - ISSN 1590-5896. - ELETTRONICO. - 25:suppl.1(2023), pp. 431-445. [10.1007/s00004-023-00670-y]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/3001814 since: 2025-07-14T08:55:20Z

Publisher:

Springer

Published

DOI:10.1007/s00004-023-00670-y

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



Geometric Operations in Mario Botta's Architectural Work

Francesca Ronco¹ · Giulia Bertola² · Enrico Pupi³

Accepted: 8 March 2023 / Published online: 11 April 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

This paper investigates the role of primary plane figures, primary solids, and geometric operations in Mario Botta's architectural works during fifty years of his career. Through the selection of three case studies, the research allows the creative process to be discretized and analyzed from a geometric-mathematical point of view.

Keywords Mario Botta · Geometry · Geometric analysis · Symmetry · Transformations

Introduction

The objective of the present work is to interpret and represent via graphical analysis the geometric aspects that govern the composition and shape of Botta's architecture. It represents an analytical work at an early stage, conducted on 74 pieces which compare different building models, thus highlighting the role of geometry as a fundamental tool. The process begins with analyzing his work, identifying a series of geometric figures and solids, frequently found in his architecture, with a digital volumetric reconstruction. At this stage, examining the master's repertoire, our work focuses on three buildings: the cymbalist synagogue in Tel Aviv; the single-family house in Riva San Vitale; and the church of San

✉ Francesca Ronco
fronco@doctor.upv.es

Giulia Bertola
gbertol@doctor.upv.es

Enrico Pupi
enrico.pupi@polito.it

- ¹ D. Expresión Gráfica Arquitectónica - Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain
- ² D. Expresión Gráfica Arquitectónica - Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain
- ³ DAD - Department of Architecture and Design, Politecnico di Torino, Viale Pier Andrea Mattioli, 39, 10125 Turin, Italy

Giovanni Battista in Mogno. They are chosen while condensing the geometric operations that are found in Botta's production. The three architectural structures were investigated from drawings on a graphic scale, published in *Mario Botta. Architecture 1960–2010* (2010) according to these parameters: geometric transformation operator, primary plane figure in plan, primary solids, and geometric operations (boolean, transformation, cuts and sections).

The Research

Preliminary Studies

The study of buildings as products of design requires theories and tools that describe topo-geometric properties as well as the interaction between spatial and geometric systems (Psarra 2017). Architects identify systems by combining geometric forms that give buildings their specific appearance.

The description of form and space is a description of composition as a process of transformation. Formal and spatial patterns interact through geometric properties; the designer's syntactic and semantic rules mediate the relationship between form and function. As Mitchell (1990) argues, the architect's task is to give form to buildings according to their function and then relate the two.

How architecture is given form and experienced in space elicits the question: how can geometric-formal properties of an architectural work be described analytically?

In this paper, the authors attempt, through digital modeling, to compare and classify Botta's architecture and establish relationships between different geometric entities and their compositional rules. This provides recognition, decomposition, and recomposition of various elements and reconstruction towards possible variants and invariants (Spallone and Vitali 2020).

To understand the compositional principles underlying Botta's architectural projects, it is essential to start with certain assumptions, highlighted by Psarra (1997); this constituted an essential reference to draft the present contribution:

- the more geometric properties remain unchanged, the less tension is created between its parts, and structural unity will be achieved to facilitate intelligibility of a single reading;
- the composition is dominated by an explicit syntax, established early in the transformation;
- the spatial narrative is subject to the formal model.

His architectural production and training were inextricably linked to the rationalist experience of Le Corbusier and Louis I. Kahn, aiming for continuous refinement of simple, elementary forms. This simplification is linked to his views on tradition, to refer to the Modern Movement and the Palladian legacy, from which regulatory layouts and composition of solids were often inspired. Botta

intuitively uses geometry as a tool to control form, by which he can describe the connection of his buildings to function and context (Sala and Cappellato 2003).

Geometrical Analysis of Mario Botta's Architectural Works

In Botta's design, the geometric foundation is understood as a source of inspiration and only later as support of the creative process and a matrix of forms. (Studio Botta 2010). The plan represents as it is combined with volume, the starting point, the definition which constitutes a central moment within the composition process.

"The plan defines the volume to such an extent that other elements of the project—the section, the elevation—impose themselves as necessary consequences." (Trevisol 1982: 98).

The process leads to a definition of the project, always starting from the definition of a primary geometric entity, which develops and changes, but always remains legible. Generally, a main volume shapes the whole and smaller volumes are distinct from it. When there are several volumes, their conjunction follows precise rules. Symmetry is the main rule of order (Sakellaridou 2000). The plan and volume form the basis and beginning of the design process, which involves geometric transformations, always related to context, function and light.

Drawing accompanies Botta's design process and becomes a key expression. The ultimate result is often intrinsically linked to initial intentions. However, there is a logical maturation throughout the process, as Botta never denies the principles from which he began, constituting a circular design process. His architecture, as Alessandra Coppa argues, prompts us to attend to the signs used as well as to their changes (Coppa 2007).

Starting from this assumption, the authors present three projects representative of geometric devices that recur as Botta's *modus operandi*. These are identified by analyzing his whole architectural production, the result of which is explicit in tabular form (Tables 1, 2, 3).

After an initial overall reconstruction of the artifacts and an identification of primary entities, the geometric operations that characterize their tectonics are discerned: Boolean unions (in blue), Boolean subtraction (in red), Boolean juxtaposition (cyan), cut/section (in yellow), and section transformation along a trajectory, called loft (in green) (Fig. 1).

Selected Case Studies

Design of residential buildings, concentrated in the early years of his career, provided a means for experimenting with the potential of variations in elementary forms of the square and circle as well as the cube and cylinder. In the 1979 monograph (Battisti and Frampton 1979), Botta collects in a single sheet the genetic patterns of twelve buildings designed in that period.

Table 1 Excerpt from the geometrical analysis table of Mario Botta's architectures: living spaces, collective living spaces, working spaces

Work	Site	Timing		Metric data		Primary geometric entities		Geometric operations						
		Design year	Construction year	Area [sq. m]	Volume [cu]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	Primary solids	Function of n. of space solids	Solid	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section
<i>Living spaces</i>														
Single-family House	Riva di San Vitale (Switzerland)	1971	1972–1973	220	1000	Similarity: scale 1 reduction;	1	Square	Parallelepiped	Lodge	1	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–
										Terrace	2	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–
										Skylight	1	Cylinder	Subtraction	–
										Footbridge	1	Parallelepiped	Juxtaposition	–
Single-family House	Stabio (Switzerland)	1980	1980–1981	295	1400	Axial symmetry 1	1	Circumference	Cylinder	Entrance	1	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–
										Staircase	1	Cylinder	Union	Loft
										Skylight	1	Polyhedron with triangular base	Juxtaposition	–
Single-family House	Vacallo (Switzerland)	1986	1987–1988	310	2000	Axial symmetry 1	1	Triangle	Prism	Lodge	1	Parallelepiped with trapezoidal base	Subtraction	–
										Lodge	1	3D arches	Union	Translation
										Terrace	1	portion of cylinder	Union	–
										Skylight	1	Parallelepiped with triangular base	Juxtaposition	–

Table 1 (continued)

Work	Site	Timing		Metric data		Primary geometric entities		Geometric operations						
		Design year	Construction year	Area [sq. m]	Volume [cu]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	Primary solids	Function of n. of space solids	Solid	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section
<i>Collective living spaces</i>														
Home for the elderly	Novazzano (Switzerland)	1992	1995–1997	3271	16,557	Axial symmetry 1	1	Circle	Cylinder	Housing	1	Cylinder	Subtraction	–
										Pilotis floor 1		Portion of cylinder	Subtraction	–
										Common spaces	1	Parallelepiped	Union	–
										Skylight	2	Portion of cylinder	Juxtaposition	–
<i>Working spaces</i>														
Building Ransila 1	Lugano (Switzerland)	1981	1982–1985	4,000	20,000	Axial symmetry 2 along the diagonal	2	Rectangle	Parallelepiped	Corner	1	Cube	Subtraction	–
										Porch	3	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–
										Inner bow-window	8	Parallelepiped with trapezoidal base	Subtraction	–
Five continents center	Lugano (Switzerland)	1986	1989–1992	4300	13,000	Axial symmetry 1	1	Circumference	Cylinder	Square	1	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–
										Staircase	1	Cylinder	Juxtaposition	–
										Corridors	3	Parallelepiped	Juxtaposition	–
										Roof	1	Straight prism with base composed by two arcs of circumference	Juxtaposition	Axial symmetry

Table 1 (continued)

Work	Site	Timing		Metric data		Primary geometric entities		Geometric operations						
		Design year	Construction year	Area [sq. m]	Volume [cu]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	Primary solids	Function of n. of space solids	Solid	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section
Greek National Bank	Athens (Greece)	1998	1999–2001	5000	28,900	Axial symmetry 1	Rectangle	Parallelepiped	Square	1	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–	–
									Covered space	1	Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–	–
									Auditorium 1	1	Portion of prism with octagonal base	Union	–	–
								Skylight	6	Parallelepiped with triangular base	Juxtaposition	–	–	

Table 2 Excerpt from the geometrical analysis table of Mario Botta's architectures: school and free time spaces, wineries, libraries, museums)

Work	Site	Timing		Metric data		Primary geometric entities			Primary solids
		Design year	Construction year	Usable area [sq. m]	Volume [cu]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	
<i>School and free time spaces</i>									
Club House "Agorà"	Jeju Island (South Korea)	2006	208	1000	7000	Central symmetry	1	Square	Parallelepiped
<i>Wineries</i>									
Petra winery	Suvereto (Italy)	1999	2001–2003	7200	63,000	Axial symmetry	1	Circumference	Cylinder
						Axiality	2	Rectangle	Parallelepiped
<i>Libraries</i>									
Media Library	Villeurbanne (France)	1984	1985–1988	5580	18,000	–	1	Parallelogram	Parallelepiped
						Radial symmetry	1	Semi-circumference	Semi-cylinder
						Axial symmetry	1	Trapezoid	Parallelepiped
<i>Museums</i>									
Art Gallery Watari-um	Tokio (Japan)	1985–1988	1988–1990	627	3650	Axial symmetry, scaling	1	Triangle	Parallelepiped
MOMA Museum	San Francisco (USA)	1989	1992–1995	18,500	100,000	Axial symmetry	1	Rectangle	Parallelepiped
Leeum, Samsung Art Museum	Seul (South Korea)	1995–1997/2002	2002–2004	10,000	42,000	–	1	Rectangle	Parallelepiped
						–	1	Circle	Inverted cone

Table 2 (continued)

Work	Geometric operations				Function of space	n. of solids	Solid
	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section				
<i>School and free time spaces</i>							
Club House "Agorà"	Subtraction	–	–	Square	1	Parallelepiped with square base	
<i>Wineries</i>	Juxtaposition	–	Horizontal cutting plane	Roof	1	Pyramid	
Petra winery	Subtraction	–	Oblique cutting plane (parallel to the hills' profile)	Entrance	1	Portion of ring	
<i>Libraries</i>	Union	–	Prism with triangular base	Staircase	1		
Media Library	Subtraction	–	–	Entrance	1	Parallelepiped	
	Subtraction	–	–	Inner courtyard	1	Cylinder	
	Juxtaposition	–	–	Staircase	3	Cylinder	
<i>Museums</i>							
Art Gallery Watari-um	–	Fillet	–	Staircase	1	–	
	Subtraction	–	–	Entrance	1	Parallelepiped	
	Subtraction	–	–	Display	2	Parallelepiped	
	Subtraction	–	–	Window	1	Parallelepiped	
	Juxtaposition	–	–	Technical space	1	Parallelepiped	
	Juxtaposition	–	–	Technical space	1	Cylinder	

Table 2 (continued)

Geometric operations						
Work	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section	Function of space	n. of solids	Solid
MOMA Museum	Subtraction	-		Square	1	Parallelepiped
	Subtraction	-		Exhibition	1	Parallelepiped
	Juxtaposition	-	45° cutting plane	Skylight	1	Cylinder
	Subtraction	-				
	Juxtaposition	-		Exhibition	2	Parallelepiped
Leeum, Samsung Art Museum	Subtraction	-		Exhibition	1	Parallelepiped
	Subtraction	Scaling		Exhibition	1	Parallelepiped
	Subtraction	-		Exhibition	1	Parallelepiped
	Subtraction	-		Exhibition	1	Inverted cone

Table 3 Excerpt from the geometrical analysis table of Mario Botta's architectures: theaters, sacred spaces)

Work	Site	Timing		Metric data		Primary geometric entities		Geometric operations						
		Design year	Construction year	Usable area [cu]	Volume [sq. m]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	Primary solids	Function ofn. of space	Solid	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section
<i>Theaters</i>														
Theater and cultural center	Chambéry (France)	1982	1983–1987	9800	82,000	–	1	Composition of rectangle	Composition of parallelepipeds	–	–	–	–	–
André Malraux						–	1	Circle	Cylinder	Entrance to the hall	Prism with trapezoidal base	Subtraction	–	–
						–	–	–	–	Emergency stairs	prism with triangular base	Juxtaposition	–	–
<i>Sacred spaces</i>														
San Giovanni Battista Church	Mogno (Switzerland)	1986–1992	1990–1996	123	1590	Axial and central symmetry	1	Ellypse	Cylinder	Main space	Parallelepiped with rectangular plan	Subtraction	Loft	Cutting plane with an inclination suitable for obtaining a circle
										Entrance and apse	Parallelepiped with square base	Subtraction	–	–
										Niche	2 intersected cylinders	Subtraction	–	–
										Apse	1 Parallelepiped	Union	–	–
										Rampant arch	1 3D arch	Juxtaposition	–	–
Synagogue Cymbalista	Tel Aviv (Israel)	1996	1997–1998	800	7325	Bi-axial symmetry	1	Rectangle	Parallelepiped	Entrance	1 Parallelepiped	Subtraction	–	–
										–	2 Parallelepiped with square base	Subtraction	loft	–
										Tower	2 Cylinder	Union	–	–
										Roof	2 Parallelepiped with square base	Juxtaposition	–	–

Table 3 (continued)

Work	Site	Timing	Metric data		Primary geometric entities			Geometric operations							
			Construction year	Usable area [sq. m]	Volume [cu]	Transformation operator	n. of entities	Primary plane figure in plan	Primary solids	Function of space	of solids	Solid	Boolean operator	Transformation operator	Cut/section
Santo Volto church	Torino (Italy)	2001	2004–2006	26,300	125,000	Central symmetry	1	Circle	Cylinder	Church	1	Pyramid with polygon base (14 sides)	Subtraction	–	45° cutting plane
							7	Trapezoid	Parallelepiped with trapezoidal base	Roof	1	Inverted pyramid with polygon base (14 sides)	Subtraction	–	–
										Skylight	1	cylinder	Subtraction	–	–
							1	Rectangle	Parallelepiped	Chapel	7	Union of parallelepipeds	Union	–	–




Fig. 1 Analysis of the generative geometric process of three architectures by Mario Botta: Riva San Vitale single-family house (1972–73), Cymbalista Synagogue and Jewish Heritage Center (Tel Aviv, 1997–98) and St. John the Baptist Church (Mogno, 1990–96)

The single-family house in Riva San Vitale (1971–1973) has a square plan on which the parallelepiped volume is set, subject to boolean subtractions generating voids (Fig. 1a.3) and interior terraces (Fig. 1a.4) that relate to context. The building plans are set on a square base that varies from floor to floor. This figure recurs on all floors. We found it scaled several times along the diagonal to define the staircase body, the terrace, and volume at the entrance level. The skylight is obtained by subtracting a cylinder from the roof slab (Fig. 1a.5). A linear element, the footbridge, is inserted here, creating a plan with an orientation (Fig. 1a.6).

Clarity of volume and symmetry are elements that we can also find in the Cymbalista Synagogue and Jewish Heritage Center in Tel Aviv (1998), enhanced with symbolic value. The solid parallelepiped base with a flat profile, containing the entrance lobby and service spaces, symbolizing the human condition. At the same time, the vertical axes of the two symmetrical truncated towers represent the quest for the divine.

Analyzing the plan, it is possible to identify an axial pattern set at the center of the towers and draws cuts of the outer envelope (Fig. 1b.1). At the volumetric level, we see a series of operations: three parallelepiped volumes are subtracted (Fig. 1b.3) from the base parallelepiped (Fig. 1b.2), which define the entrance and square bases of the two symmetrical towers (Fig. 1b.4). A loft operation links them to roof circumferences (Fig. 1b.5), where the square slab is juxtaposed and inscribed in the edge circumference (Fig. 1b.6). The composition takes on a totemic aspect, the Botta's rationalism allowing for an abstraction of his work, symbolically aiming at the circle's squaring.

The church of San Giovanni Battista in Mogno (1986–96) represents another project in which there is a geometric transformation of the plan at different levels. The church space is tiny, and the geometric synthesis between figures of the rectangle, ellipse, and circle is composed with a symmetric balance.

It represents the ascent from the human dimension (space of the basement) to divine perfection (circular roof). Again, the center of the plan's volume represents the construction's starting point. The diagonals of the rectangle and square, rotated at 45°, pass through it (Fig. 1c.1). The rectangle represents primary footprints of volume subtraction (Fig. 1c.3). Then, the rectangular-based volume of the apse is added (Fig. 1c.4) and the volumes of the entrance, the apse and of the side niches are subtracted (Fig. 1c.5). The cylindrical volume with an elliptical base is then cut by a plane inclined to 45° at its axis (Fig. 1c.6), forming a circular roof. This circle is finally linked to the rectangle-shaped setting wall with a loft operation. Two arches are juxtaposed to the main solid, balancing the composition.

Conclusion

Our graphical analysis highlights and compares the geometric compositional processes of Botta's architecture.

The presented classification has been composed for multiple purposes, can originate different interpretations and indexing regarding the evolutions and transformations of geometries. It is therefore a dynamic tool, capable of generating

different fields of information, depending on the adopted reading key. It can generate considerations about the evolution of design poetics over time, about the relationship between geometry and function or between geometry and scale of intervention.

Parametric digital modeling tools can be useful for analyzing architecture with a geometric matrix by highlighting recurrences, variants, and invariants, and offering interpretative keys to the architectural design. The research offers broad perspectives in the Visual Programming Language landscape, to implement the geometry under study in the algorithmic system of Grasshopper (McNeel Rhinoceros plug-in).

Given these developments, we discern broad prospects for additional work. With this, it is plausible to investigate different aspects of the process: in the input phase for the generation of masses, in the processing phase for the geometric operations performed, and in the output phase for a mathematical/geometric analysis of assumed formal variations.

Acknowledgements This paper, with which the authors shared the methodological framework, was written by Francesca Ronco (Introduction, Geometrical analysis of Mario Botta's architectural works), Giulia Bertola (Preliminary studies), Enrico Pupi (Selected Case Studies), all the authors (Conclusion).

Funding Open access funding provided by Politecnico di Torino within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

Data availability The authors confirm that all data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Conflict of interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Battisti, Emilio, and Frampton, Kenneth. 1979. *Mario Botta. Architectures and projects in the '70*. Milano: Electa Editrice.
- Coppa, Alessandra. 2007. *Mario Botta*. Milano: Motta Architettura.
- Mitchell, William J. 1990. *The logic of Architecture. Design, Computation, and Cognition*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Psarra, Sophia. 1997. *Geometrical Walks in Architectural Space - The Synchronous Order of Geometry and the Sequential Experience of Space*. (Publication No. 10106616) [Doctoral Thesis, University College London]. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses Global.
- Psarra, Sophia. 2017. An archaeology of the present: Topo-geometric Properties from the Invention of Geometrical Notation to Non-Standard Variation in Architecture and Design. In Proceedings of the 11th Space Syntax Symposium, Lisbon, Portugal, 3-7 July 2017, 172.1-172.13.
- Sakellaridou, Irena. 2000. *Mario Botta. Poetica dell'architettura*. Milano: Rizzoli.

- Sala, Nicoletta, and Cappellato, Gabriele. 2003. *Viaggio matematico nell'arte e nell'architettura*. Milano: Franco Angeli Editore.
- Spallone, Roberta, and Vitali, Marco. 2020. Geometry, Modularity and Proportion in the Extraordinary Libro by Sebastiano Serlio: 50 Portals Between Regola and Licentia. *Nexus Network Journal* 22:139-167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00004-019-00458-z>.
- Studio Mario Botta (eds). 2010. *Mario Botta. Architetture 1960–2010*. Milano: Silvana Editoriale.
- Trevisol, Robert. 1982. *MARIO BOTTA, La casa rotonda*. Milano: ed. L'erba Voglio.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Francesca Ronco Architect and Ph.D. student. Since 2019, she has been collaborating with the Polytechnic University of Turin as a Research Fellow to re-evaluate and enhance the Modelling Laboratory (Mod Lab Design—DAD). She is a Ph.D. student at the Architecture, Building, Urban Planning and Landscape Programme of the Universitat Politècnica de València with a research on digital technologies applied to the accessible management of architectural and museum heritage. Since 2011, she has been working as a tutor for the courses “Laboratory of drawing and survey of architecture” and “Representation of the project” (Polytechnic University of Torino—DAD). From 2021, she collaborates as tutor at the introductory seminar “Inside the museum” and to the course “Representation of the project” (Polytechnic University of Torino—DAD).

Giulia Bertola Architect and Ph.D. student. Since 2019, she has been collaborating with the Polytechnic University of Turin as a Research Fellow to re-evaluate and enhance the Modelling Laboratory (Mod Lab Arch—DAD). She is a Ph.D. student at the Architecture, Building, Urban Planning and Landscape Programme of the Universitat Politècnica de València with a research path focused on studying plastic models for the narrative of architecture and the city and on innovative integrations of narrative devices between real and virtual. Since 2018, she has been working as a tutor for the courses “Laboratory of drawing and survey of architecture” and “Representation of the project” (Polytechnic University of Torino—DAD). Driven by a passion for drawing and “craftsmanship”, she has always complemented her work with in-depth and personal research activity in different fields of visual expressions, such as painting, graphics, and illustration.

Enrico Pupi Architect, research fellow in “Advanced digital modelling for architectural and cultural heritage” at the Department of Architecture and Design (DAD) of the Politecnico di Torino, he has written articles on the realization of physical models for a wide range of purposes; specializes in geometric, parametric, informative, and algorithmic modelling and communication using physical models obtained through digital fabrication. He deals with a plurality of representation methodologies and techniques, both traditional and digital, which involved a wide variety of approaches, in analysis, restitution, interpretation, and presentation. Advanced skills in digital modelling and interoperability between software.