



**Politecnico  
di Torino**

**ScuDo**

Scuola di Dottorato ~ Doctoral School

WHAT YOU ARE, TAKES YOU FAR

Doctoral Dissertation  
Doctoral Program in Architectural Heritage and Landscape  
(37<sup>th</sup> Cycle)

**Economic Enhancement of  
Architectural Heritage  
through Renewable Energy  
Communities (REC):  
Opportunities, Scenarios  
and Evaluation tools.**

La Valorizzazione Economica del  
Patrimonio Architettonico attraverso le  
Comunità Energetiche Rinnovabili (CER):  
Opportunità, Scenari e Strumenti di  
Valutazione.

**Giorgia Malvasi**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Supervisors**

Prof. Elena Fregonara  
Prof. Diana Rolando, Co-Supervisor  
Prof. Anna Pellegrino, Co-Supervisor

Politecnico di Torino  
April 24, 2024



## **1. Introduction**

- 1.1. Research Context and Questions
- 1.2. Research Aim
- 1.3. Thesis Outline

## **PART I – Background**

### **2. Renewable Energy Communities (RECs): Definitions and Regulatory Framework**

- 2.1 Definitions
- 2.2 Principles for RECs' Development
  - 2.2.1 Development Phases
  - 2.2.2 Actors and Legal Identity
  - 2.2.3 Benefits, Advantages and Incentives
  - 2.2.4 Digital Management Tools
- 2.3 RECs Regulatory Framework and Context
  - 2.3.1 Europe
  - 2.3.2 Italy

### **3. Architectural Heritage Enhancement in a Life Cycle Thinking Perspective**

- 3.1 Architectural Heritage Enhancement
  - 3.2 Evaluating Architectural Heritage
  - 3.3 Public Lighting in Heritage Contexts
- 3.2 Life Cycle Thinking
- 3.3 Integrating Heritage Assets into RECs: Case Studies and Best Practices

## **PART II – Methodological approach**

### **4. Economic evaluation tools and RECs**

- 4.1 Economic Evaluation Tools to Support RECs
- 4.2 Bibliographic Research Criteria
- 4.3 Overview of the Results
- 4.4 Economic Approaches and their Applications to Support RECs

### **5. A methodological approach for the Economic Evaluation of Architectural Heritage Energy Empowerment**

- 5.1 Evaluation aim and objectives (Phase 1)
- 5.2 Analysis of the context, definition of the design areas (Phase 2)
- 5.3 Definition of the scenarios (Phase 3)
- 5.4 Data collection and organization (Phase 4)

- 5.5 Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) (Phase 5)
- 5.6 Scenarios Comparison through LCC Indicators (Phase 6)
- 5.7 Identification of the Preferable Scenario (Phase 7)

### **PART III – Case study and results**

## **6. Case Study: the Former Ammunition Depot in Sangano**

- 6.1 The Territorial Context of Sangano and the Sangone Valley
  - 6.1.1 Accessibility
  - 6.1.2 Population
  - 6.1.3 Tourism
  - 6.1.4 Real estate market
- 6.2 The Former Ammunition Depot Area
  - 6.2.1 Chronological History: from the 18th Century to Today
  - 6.2.2 Current Conservation Status
  - 6.2.3 A Redevelopment Approach to Enhance the Cultural Value of Military sites
- 6.3 The “ETICAMENTE Hub” process
  - 6.3.1 Objectives
  - 6.3.2 Phases
  - 6.3.3 The participation process for the ETICAMENTE Hub
  - 6.3.4 Culture Area
- 6.4 The Sangano REC
  - 6.4.1 Institution of the “Sangano Energia Comune” Cooperative
  - 6.4.2 Feasibility study
  - 6.4.3 Studies on the Sangano REC
  - 6.4.4 Future Perspectives for the Site Redevelopment and Enhancement Process

## **7. Results**

- 7.1 Knowledge Identification and Organization: Historical and Current Data
  - 7.1.1 Structuring of the new GIS: “The Former Ammunition Depot of Sangano”
  - 7.1.2 Systematization of Unpublished Historical Documentation
- 7.2 Economic Enhancement of the Former Ammunition Depot Through the Economic Evaluation of Architectural Heritage Energy Empowerment – Base scenarios
  - 7.2.1 Evaluation aim and objectives
  - 7.2.2 Analysis of the context and definition of the design areas
  - 7.2.3 Definition of the scenarios

- 7.2.4 Data collection and organization
- 7.2.5 Life Cycle Cost Analysis
- 7.2.6 Scenarios Comparison through LCC Indicators
- 7.2.7 Identification of the Preferable Scenario
- 7.3 Economic enhancement of the former Ammunition Depot through the economic evaluation of Architectural Heritage Energy Empowerment – Enhancement scenarios
  - 7.3.1 Evaluation Aim and Objectives
  - 7.3.2 Analysis of the Context and Definition of the design areas
  - 7.3.3 Definition of the Scenarios
  - 7.3.4 Data Collection and Pre-Processing
  - 7.3.5 Life Cycle Cost Analysis
  - 7.3.6 Scenarios Comparison through LCC Indicators
  - 7.3.7 Identification of the Preferable Scenario

## **8. Conclusion**

- 8.1 Main Results and Impacts
- 8.2 Limits and Future Perspectives
- 8.3 Discussion and Conclusion

## **9. References**

### **Annexes**

**A - Catalogue of the Riservette**

**B - Technical sheets of PV Panels and Lighting Fixtures**

**C - Calculation and input data for LCCA**



## Summary

In response to the challenges posed by climate change and the global energy transition, the conservation and enhancement of architectural heritage play a crucial role in promoting sustainability.

This research explores the complex relationships between architectural heritage enhancement and economic evaluation in the context of Renewable Energy Communities (RECs) development, examining the potential synergies and interactions throughout the entire lifecycle of historic assets.

The analysis focuses on the challenges and opportunities related to enhancing historic sites through renewable energy and protected landscapes, with particular attention to safeguarding architectural and historical value within a framework of sustainable innovation. The proposed methodological approach was developed to enhance the architectural and landscape heritage through the economic evaluation of energy empowerment interventions in the context of REC's development by supporting Public Administrations in decision-making processes finalized to identify preferable solutions.

The present research work applied this innovative methodological approach to the city of Sangano (in Northern Italy) in the enhancement process of a former Ammunition Depot (Ex Polveriera), a property of the Municipality of Sangano that holds significant historical value and could be included in the existing REC, initiated at the beginning of 2024.

The methodological approach is performed to two different applications. The first application was aimed at understanding if the public lighting of the site could be supported through the REC energy production (Base Scenarios). The second application, instead, was aimed to assess and to identify the preferable option for the public lighting of public spaces (Enhancement Scenarios) through a focused public lighting design. A comprehensive Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) was applied to evaluate both Base Scenarios and Enhancement Scenarios, comparing costs, benefits, and the whole life cycle of the project. The Base Scenario demonstrated that REC energy production could support the maintenance costs referred to the site's public lighting; Enhancement Scenarios revealed the preferable lighting design for the Former Ammunition Depot. After the LCCA, a final scenario discussed with the Municipality of

Sangano was proposed and it highlighted further economic and community benefits also thanks to a regional funding with increased positive externalities considering biodiversity conservation, and enhanced public access. In this regard, the potential benefits deriving from the REC have not been fully included in the current research, but they could significantly enhance the economic analysis, especially considering the public nature of the intervention.

By presenting a replicable model for small municipalities like Sangano, the study demonstrates that the economic evaluation of energy empowerment interventions on architectural and landscape heritage jointly with the potential of RECs can support processes of revitalizing fragile areas and enhancing community well-being, serving as a benchmark for sustainable and integrated solutions in energy and heritage redevelopment.

Moreover, this research underscores the role of lighting design not only as a tool for enhancing architectural and natural heritage but also for promoting biodiversity protection and fostering social and environmental regeneration. The project's outcomes emphasize the value of close collaboration between universities and public administrations, bridging innovative research with practical implementation.

Results show that although the initial costs of renewable plants are higher due to conservation constraints and technical challenges, these costs can be offset by long-term savings in energy and maintenance; in the analysed site, energy-efficient public lighting interventions proved to be pivotal in achieving these outcomes considering also the importance of lighting control systems. The economic evaluation of heritage energy empowerment in the context of RECs' development further highlights enhanced economic sustainability compared to standalone retrofit solutions, leveraging incentives related to energy production over the asset's lifecycle.

The conclusions emphasize the potential of energy efficiency interventions to contribute to sustainable energy goals, enhancing the resilience and longevity of historic and cultural sites in a low-carbon future while allowing the preservation of abandoned areas. This ensures the conservation and accessibility of heritage while addressing economic and environmental vulnerabilities, making such interventions particularly beneficial in fragile and under-resourced contexts.