

Advanced Design and Characterization of a Flat Panel Photobioreactor Equipped with a Customizable Light-Emitting Diode Lighting System

*Original*

Advanced Design and Characterization of a Flat Panel Photobioreactor Equipped with a Customizable Light-Emitting Diode Lighting System / Carone, Michele; Frungieri, Graziano; Costamagna, Lorenzo; Zanetti, Mariachiara; Vanni, Marco; Riggio, Vincenzo A.. - In: ACS SUSTAINABLE CHEMISTRY & ENGINEERING. - ISSN 2168-0485. - ELETTRONICO. - 12:7(2024), pp. 2550-2562. [10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c05176]

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2986688 since: 2024-03-09T10:43:16Z

*Publisher:*

AMER CHEMICAL SOC

*Published*

DOI:10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c05176

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

ACS postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in ACS SUSTAINABLE CHEMISTRY & ENGINEERING, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.3c05176>.

(Article begins on next page)

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

**Advanced design and characterization of a flat panel photobioreactor equipped with a customizable LED lighting system.**

Journal:	<i>ACS Sustainable Chemistry &amp; Engineering</i>
Manuscript ID	sc-2023-05176q.R2
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Carone, Michele; Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering Frungieri, Graziano; Politecnico di Torino, Department of Applied Science and Technology Costamagna, Lorenzo; Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering Zanetti, Mariachiara; Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering Vanni, Marco; Politecnico di Torino, Dip. Scienza Applicata e Tecnologia Riggio, Vincenzo; Politecnico di Torino, Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# Advanced design and characterization of a flat panel photobioreactor equipped with a customizable LED lighting system.

*Michele Carone<sup>1</sup>, Graziano Frungier<sup>2</sup>, Lorenzo Costamagna<sup>1</sup>, Mariachiara Zanetti<sup>1</sup>, Marco*

*Vanni<sup>2</sup>, Vincenzo A. Riggio<sup>1\*</sup>*

1: Department of Environment, Land and Infrastructure Engineering, Politecnico di Torino,

Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

2: Department of Applied Science and Technology, Institute of Chemical Engineering,

Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

*\* Correspondence to Vincenzo A. Riggio at [vincenzo.riggio@polito.it](mailto:vincenzo.riggio@polito.it)*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 **KEYWORDS**

11  
12  
13 Flat Panel Photobioreactor, CFD simulation, Numerical tracer experiment, LED lighting,  
14  
15  
16  
17 *Acutodesmus obliquus*, *Galdieria sulphuraria*.

18  
19  
20  
21 **ABSTRACT**

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 Microalgae-based biorefinery processes are gaining particular importance to produce high-quality  
28  
29  
30 biomass and energy feedstock for several industrial markets. However, there are still several  
31  
32  
33 factors that contribute to poor yields of microalgae growth in current technologies. These include  
34  
35  
36 inadequate light management, inefficient gas exchange, limited control over temperature and pH,  
37  
38  
39 and susceptibility to contamination. Additionally, challenges associated with scalability and high  
40  
41  
42 operational costs of photobioreactors (PBR) further hinder the achievement of optimal yields in  
43  
44  
45 microalgae cultivation. This work presents a detailed characterization of a novel flat-panel PBR  
46  
47  
48 equipped with a tunable LED lighting system. A computational fluid dynamics (CFD) study was  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53 conducted to characterize in detail the equipment from the hydrodynamics point of view. CFD  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 results showed that the flow field has several peculiar features, such as vortices and a by-pass  
4  
5  
6  
7 current, that can be expected to affect the light absorbance statistics, and the microalgae and  
8  
9  
10 nutrients spatial distributions. Considerations for both the system optimization and the modeling  
11  
12  
13 of its behavior during the operation were drawn. Additionally, two different microalgae strains,  
14  
15  
16 namely the green microalga *Acutodesmus obliquus* and the red extremophile *Galdieria*  
17  
18  
19 *sulphuraria*, each with specific growth parameters and spectra irradiation requirements, were  
20  
21  
22  
23 successfully cultivated using tailored light spectra. The biomass concentrations and yields  
24  
25  
26 achieved (yields on light of 0.58 and 0.45 g mol<sub>ph</sub><sup>-1</sup> for *A. obliquus* and *G. sulphuraria*,  
27  
28  
29 respectively) were consistent with currently reported productivities for both the species,  
30  
31  
32 highlighting the effectiveness of the adopted strategy for light management and the PBR overall  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 design.

## 45 INTRODUCTION

46  
47  
48  
49 Microalgae have become increasingly important as a source of biomass for various applications,  
50  
51  
52 including biofuels, animal feed, food, cosmetics, and dietary supplements. Compared to land  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 plants, microalgae are better at fixing carbon dioxide and converting solar energy into chemical  
4  
5  
6 energy, and they can grow faster and do not compete with arable land for cultivation<sup>1-3</sup>. Microalgal  
7  
8  
9 cultivation technologies are traditionally classified as open or closed systems (photobioreactors,  
10  
11  
12 PBRs). Open systems are cheaper to construct and manage, but they have limited biomass  
13  
14  
15 productivity due to poor mixing, low CO<sub>2</sub> mass transfer, and high risks of biological and chemical  
16  
17  
18 contamination<sup>4,5</sup>. Closed systems, on the other hand, allow for precise control of operating  
19  
20  
21 conditions and show higher biomass productivities, but they require higher capital and operating  
22  
23  
24 costs, as well as it is challenging to scale up their size while maintaining optimal culture and  
25  
26  
27 hydrodynamic parameters<sup>6,7</sup>. The yield of microalgae growth processes strongly depends on the  
28  
29  
30 design and operative conditions of the PBR. Dark and light zones typically coexist inside  
31  
32  
33 photobioreactors, i) because microalgal cultures are optically dense and hinder light penetration,  
34  
35  
36 and ii) because systems are often designed in such a way as to have microalgae experiencing  
37  
38  
39 alternate light cycles. This requires the microalgae to move between light and dark zones at a  
40  
41  
42 frequency that is high enough to support growth and not remain in dark fluid dead zones too long  
43  
44  
45 up to suffer a decrease in photosynthetic activity. As such, a PBR must be well-designed from the  
46  
47  
48 standpoint of hydrodynamics. CFD (computational fluid dynamics) simulations have emerged as  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 a low-cost and highly efficient strategy for designing microalgal growth equipment, as they can  
4  
5  
6  
7 serve either the initial design stage of the PBR or the optimization of its operative conditions,  
8  
9  
10 eventually allowing one to reduce efforts on expensive and time-consuming experiments. CFD  
11  
12  
13 simulations can be used to obtain an accurate characterization of the flow field, possibly leading  
14  
15  
16 to the detection of a fluid dead zone, to get the statistics of shear stress and light absorbance along  
17  
18  
19 microalgae trajectories, or to detect the sedimentation and adhesion dynamics. By using CFD,  
20  
21  
22 Belolhava *et al.*,<sup>8</sup> for instance evaluated the hydrodynamics of a hybrid horizontal tubular PBR,  
23  
24  
25 showing that even at large flow velocities, low-velocity regions, prone to solid settling, can be  
26  
27  
28 expected. By a similar approach, Wang *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> and Hinterholz *et al.*,<sup>10</sup> developed and optimized  
29  
30  
31 the internal structure of a bioreactor by introducing inclined baffles which were seen to improve  
32  
33  
34 the swirling features of the flow. Through Lagrangian cell tracking combined with a solar radiation  
35  
36  
37 transfer model, Laifa and co-authors<sup>11</sup> related the overall growth rate to the broth velocity, as well  
38  
39  
40 as by applying CFD simulations, Zhang *et al.*,<sup>12</sup> studied the adhesion of cells and the biofilm  
41  
42  
43 growth on the walls of a bioreactor at varying surface roughness. Also, models aimed at coupling  
44  
45  
46 the bio-kinetics of microalgae growth and the equipment hydrodynamics have been devised<sup>13–15</sup>  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 and seem to compare well with experimental data.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Managing light is another fundamental aspect in the design of photobioreactors, as it plays a  
5  
6  
7 crucial role in the growth and productivity of microalgae or cyanobacteria. Precise control of light  
8  
9  
10 parameters such as intensity, duration, and spectral composition is essential to optimize the desired  
11  
12  
13 physiological responses in these photosynthetic organisms and the energy supply. The provision  
14  
15  
16 of light energy can be achieved through natural sunlight or the use of artificial lamps. Utilizing  
17  
18  
19 sunlight as a light source offers the advantage of being free and readily available in abundance.  
20  
21  
22  
23 However, it also presents certain drawbacks, including the presence of location-specific day/night  
24  
25  
26 cycles, unpredictable weather conditions, and seasonal variations. These fluctuations in irradiance  
27  
28  
29 levels can be mitigated by implementing artificial lighting. By employing continuous and  
30  
31  
32 controlled illumination, productivity can be enhanced, as biomass is not lost during night-time  
33  
34  
35 periods <sup>16,17</sup>. In the context of artificial lighting, the predominant choices are fluorescent tubes and  
36  
37  
38 light-emitting diodes (LEDs). LEDs offer numerous advantages compared to fluorescent tubes.  
39  
40  
41 They exhibit lower heat dissipation, resulting in reduced energy consumption, and possess a  
42  
43  
44 narrow emission spectrum, just to cite a few <sup>18,19</sup>. The utilization of light by phototrophic  
45  
46  
47 microalgae relies on their specific pigment composition, primarily chlorophylls, carotenoids, and  
48  
49  
50 phycobiliproteins, characterized by different absorption properties across distinct spectral  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 regions<sup>20,21</sup>. Furthermore, microalgae and their pigments are gaining increasing commercial  
4  
5  
6 interest as a source of natural high-value products<sup>22,23</sup>. The growth and metabolism of microalgae,  
7  
8  
9 including pigment content, can be influenced by the quality of light they receive, as determined by  
10  
11  
12 their spectral characteristics. Numerous studies have investigated the growth behavior and product  
13  
14  
15 formation of microalgae and cyanobacteria under various light conditions<sup>18,21,24,25</sup>. Nevertheless,  
16  
17  
18 most of those studies have been conducted with monochromatic or dichromatic illumination with  
19  
20  
21 fixed color ratios. Furthermore, such studies have been performed mostly at a lab scale in strictly  
22  
23  
24 controlled conditions, and to the authors' knowledge, no pilot-scale PBR equipped with multi-  
25  
26  
27 LEDs has been proposed so far.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33  
34 The present work aims to propose a detailed characterization of a new semi-pilot scale prototype  
35  
36  
37 equipped with a multi-LEDs lighting engine. CFD simulations were carried out to characterize in  
38  
39  
40 detail the PBR's hydrodynamics. The study of the flow field in both the two constituent parts of  
41  
42  
43 the equipment, namely an alveolar flat panel and a mixing tank, was performed. CFD simulations  
44  
45  
46 were run in ANSYS Fluent 20.2 using a k- $\epsilon$  model for modeling turbulence, and numerical tracer  
47  
48  
49 experiments were run to infer the effect of the flow field on the species residence time and spatial  
50  
51  
52 distributions. Additionally, a description of the LED lighting engine is provided. The system  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1  
2  
3 allows us to unpack the entire visible spectrum with the use of 10 wave lengths, produced by  
4  
5  
6 different LEDs, evenly distributed along the structure. The analysis of the incident light  
7  
8  
9  
10 distribution along the entire surface of the flat panels is also provided and commented on. Finally,  
11  
12  
13 the efficiency of the system has been tested by carrying out batch tests with two commercially  
14  
15  
16 relevant microalgae, phylogenetically distant and with different pigment composition and abiotic  
17  
18  
19 conditions requirements. For each microalga, a specific light spectrum composition has been  
20  
21  
22 applied based on the oxygen evolution response as a performance indicator of photosynthesis from  
23  
24  
25 the cells exposed to the different wavelengths. The promising biomass yields obtained with both  
26  
27  
28 species reflect the potential and versatility of the PBR here described. The possibility of monitoring  
29  
30  
31 all growth parameters, as well as the tuning of light intensity and quality, make this system an  
32  
33  
34 excellent platform for the study and growth at a semi-pilot scale of several targeted algae or desired  
35  
36  
37 products. Various considerations and suggestions, especially related to the system's fluid  
38  
39  
40 dynamics, are provided, and will help to further improve the proposed technology and to evaluate  
41  
42  
43 its possible use in an industrial scenario, in which the application of energy-efficient technologies  
44  
45  
46 is nowadays a priority.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### The flat panel photobioreactor

The design, hydraulic, and mass transfer characteristics of the flat-panel PBR used in this work have been recently described in the literature <sup>26</sup>. Briefly, the PBR is composed of two parallel polycarbonate alveolar flat panels, each one partitioned into 28 alveoli for a total light-exposed surface of 1.5 m<sup>2</sup>, and a truncated-cone shape tank where mixing and O<sub>2</sub> degassing is achieved. Liquid circulation occurs through a hydraulic circulator (ALPHA1 L - 45W, Grundfos, Denmark) located at the bottom of the mixing tank and upstream of the panels, allowing the culture to flow with positive pressure from the bottom to the top of the panels [Fig. 1A]. CO<sub>2</sub> injection (> 99.5% pure food grade) takes place between the bottom of the mixing tank and the hydraulic circulator, and the CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate is regulated by a thermal flow meter (Red-y smart controller GSC, Vögtlin Instruments GmbH Switzerland). The CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate is very small compared to that of the circulating liquid and, as a result, the gas dissolves almost immediately in the aqueous solution. Indeed, only few gas bubbles manage to reach the inlet of the tube panel. These bubbles are around 1 mm in size and dissolve completely in the first 10-20 cm of the tube. The PBR is equipped with a multi-probes system connected via a transducer to an integrated Programmable Logic Controller

1  
2  
3  
4 (PLC) for both online and offline monitoring and control of cultivation parameters <sup>26</sup>. Compared  
5  
6  
7 to the PBR prototype reported in the above-mentioned study, the lighting hardware has been  
8  
9  
10 updated through the implementation of an own designed tunable-spectrum LEDs lighting engine  
11  
12  
13 directly managed by PLC, interposed between the two panels, instead of the more energy-  
14  
15  
16  
17 consuming fluorescent tubes.



36  
37 *Figure 1: The alveolar flat-panel PBR. A. 3D isometric representation of the PBR. B. Schematic representation of the lighting*  
38 *engine. PLC: Programmable Logic Controller.*

## 43 LED lighting system

44  
45  
46 The lighting engine installed on the PBR is constituted by an innovative LED panel (patent  
47  
48 number WO2020104895A1) engineered by MEG science (Milan, Italy), co-inventor of the system  
49  
50  
51 together with Arcobaleno Cooperativa Sociale (Turin, Italy) and the Polytechnic of Turin (Italy).  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 Excluding the mechanical and control components, two elements can be identified as the system's  
5  
6  
7 main core: the light engines and the optical guide [Fig. 1B]. The light engines are located at the  
8  
9  
10 upper and lower ends of the optical guide and are characterized by two water blocks that allow  
11  
12  
13 heat exchange between the electronic components (LEDs Printed Circuit Boards (PCBs)) and the  
14  
15  
16 external environment, using a forced water-cooled heat exchanger. This technical expedient serves  
17  
18  
19 to ensure operating temperatures below the safety junction temperature that characterizes each  
20  
21  
22 diode, increasing the efficiency and average lifetime of the individual LEDs. Each water block is  
23  
24  
25 equipped with 3 metal core LED PCBs, each populated by 10 LED clusters containing 12 power  
26  
27  
28 LEDs (Luxeon CZ Color Line, LUMILEDS, USA). The structure and configuration of this unit  
29  
30  
31 allow a spatially homogeneous distribution of the 10 discrete LEDs characterized by different  
32  
33  
34 peaks of dominant wavelength and 2 white light LEDs (not used in this work, as well as the Far-  
35  
36  
37 Red LEDs). The spectral range of the 10 dominant peak LEDs varies from 430 nm to 730 nm,  
38  
39  
40 while the two white LEDs are characterized respectively by a white with CCT (Correlated Color  
41  
42  
43 Temperature) 4000 K and CCT 5000 K. It is, therefore, possible to vary the quality and intensity  
44  
45  
46 of the light spectrum directly through the PLC. However, as indicated in Table S.1, this  
47  
48  
49 configuration is not normalized in terms of radiometric power, leading to a difference in the  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 furnished light intensity of the single wavelengths. This is due to the different spectral power  
4  
5  
6 distribution, and the related radiometric powers, of the considered LEDs, which nowadays  
7  
8  
9 constitutes a hardware limitation with red and blue LEDs considerably more efficient than other  
10  
11  
12 wavelengths. The optical guide is interposed between the two LED water blocks and ensures a  
13  
14  
15 homogeneous light distribution from the LEDs over the entire hydraulic panels' surfaces. The  
16  
17  
18 guide is made of polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), with a length of 1500 mm and a height of  
19  
20  
21 1120 mm, shaped in a 10 mm-thick sheet. Both the surfaces were laser-carved to obtain a pre-  
22  
23  
24 established pattern allowing the re-direction of light toward the hydraulic panels, assuring a certain  
25  
26  
27 degree of uniformity. Its geometry has been designed to allow an easy installation between the  
28  
29  
30 hydraulic panels in which the microalgae circulate and to reduce as much as possible the space  
31  
32  
33 between the emission source and the illuminated surfaces.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

#### 44 **Computational Fluid Dynamics simulations setup**

45  
46  
47 The CFD simulations were run using ANSYS FLUENT 20.2. The geometry of the reactor was  
48  
49  
50 created using ANSYS DesignModeler, whereas the meshes were created using the tool  
51  
52  
53 snappyHexMesh from OpenFOAM 5.0. Figure S.1 reports the geometry of the tank and some  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 detailed views of the mesh used for the simulations. The flow is fed to the mixing tank through a  
4  
5  
6 cascade flow, and it flows out via the bottom outlet tube. The cascade is reproduced as a vertical  
7  
8  
9  
10 cylindrical element of fluid with free slip at the lateral surface. The diameter of the inlet flow and  
11  
12  
13 the outlet tube are 2.5 and 2.6 cm, respectively. The top surface is the liquid-air interface, which  
14  
15  
16 stays at a constant level during the operation with a height, measured from the bottom of the tank,  
17  
18  
19 equal to 30 cm. Figure S.2 illustrates the geometry of the alveolar flat panel. The fluid enters the  
20  
21  
22 panel from the bottom right, and it flows to the left along the horizontal direction, then passes  
23  
24  
25 through the hole (magnified in the inset of Figure S.2) and reaches the upper channel, where it  
26  
27  
28 inverts its direction and moves to the next hole. The zigzagging motion generated by the sequence  
29  
30  
31 of 28 horizontal channels allows the fluid to spend sufficient time in the illuminated region of the  
32  
33  
34 equipment, but also generates a distribution of the residence times of the different elements of the  
35  
36  
37 liquid. CFD simulations were restricted to the portion of the system shown in green in the Figure  
38  
39  
40 S.2, since the fluid dynamics of the whole panel can be reconstructed by replicating such  
41  
42  
43 simulation at each turn.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 The meshes of the tank and the flat panel are composed of approximately  $1.0 \cdot 10^6$  and  $1.3 \cdot 10^6$   
51  
52  
53 hexahedral cells, respectively. The side of each cell is approximately equal to 1 mm. The mesh  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 quality was checked evaluating the cells' orthogonal quality, which was seen to be equal to 1 (on  
5  
6  
7 a scale from 0 to 1) in practically all the fluid domains. Grids of such resolution have shown to be  
8  
9  
10 able to accurately predict the velocity field, both in mixing tanks <sup>27</sup> and in ducts of rectangular  
11  
12  
13 cross section <sup>28</sup>.  
14  
15

16  
17 Since the CO<sub>2</sub> dissolves almost immediately in the circulating fluid, the process was modelled  
18  
19  
20 as single-phase flow. The fluid's properties and physical parameters were set by considering the  
21  
22  
23 culture medium as water. The inlet flow rates to the tank and the flat panel were set equal to 13.5  
24  
25  
26 L min<sup>-1</sup> and 6.75 L min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The Reynolds number in the flat panel duct is about 8000  
27  
28  
29 (based on the hydraulic diameter), well above the minimum value of 4000 required for the  
30  
31  
32 turbulence to occur <sup>29</sup>. The tank inlet duct has a Reynolds number just above 10000, making also  
33  
34  
35 this a turbulent flow, when feeding the tank inlet cascade.  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 A Reynolds-averaged approach for the computation of the system hydrodynamics was resorted.  
41  
42  
43 Under these conditions, the equation of fluid motion reads as in [Eq. (1)] and [Eq. (2)]:  
44  
45

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\rho \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j) = - \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (\bar{\tau}_{ij} - \overline{\rho u'_i u'_j}) + \rho g_i \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{\tau}_{ij} = \mu \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$  is the viscous stress tensor,  $\mu$  the fluid viscosity,  $\bar{u}_i$  the velocity,  $\bar{p}$  the fluid pressure, and  $\rho$  the fluid density, and where the bars indicate time-averaged quantities. The fluctuating component of the fluid velocity is denoted by  $u'_i$ . The Reynolds stress term  $\overline{\rho u'_i u'_j}$  was modeled as in [Eq. (3)]:

$$-\overline{\rho u'_i u'_j} = \mu_t \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (3)$$

*i.e.*, as proportional to the mean fluid velocity gradients and the turbulence viscosity  $\mu_t$ . The two-equations  $k - \varepsilon$  turbulence model was used for the closure of the set of equations. The turbulent kinetic energy  $k$  ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$ ) and the rate of energy dissipation  $\varepsilon$  ( $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-3}$ ) were computed by solving the additional transport equations [Eq. (4), (5)]:

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial k}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho k \bar{u}_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j} \right) + g_k - \rho \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\rho \varepsilon \bar{u}_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x_j} \right) + c_{1,\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} g_k - c_{2,\varepsilon} \rho \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} \quad (5)$$

where the turbulent viscosity is given by  $\mu_t = \rho c_{\mu} \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon}$ , and where the set of  $c_{1,\varepsilon}$ ,  $c_{2,\varepsilon}$ ,  $c_{\mu}$ ,  $\sigma_k$  and  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  are as given in the standard implementation of the  $k-\varepsilon$  turbulence model of ANSYS Fluent.

No slip boundary conditions were imposed at all walls of the system, whereas a zero-stress condition was adopted for modeling the inlet cascade flow and the liquid-free surface of the tank.

1  
2  
3  
4 The pressure-velocity coupling was achieved with the SIMPLE algorithm and a second-order  
5  
6  
7 upwind spatial discretization scheme was used to solve all the transport equations. In this work,  
8  
9  
10 the  $k$ - $\epsilon$  method used to describe turbulence is a Reynolds-Averaged Navier Stokes (RANS)  
11  
12  
13 technique that solves a time-averaged equation of motion, where the transient nature of turbulent  
14  
15  
16 fluctuations is absorbed by the Reynolds averaging. The averaged variables usually reach a  
17  
18  
19 stationary condition if the flow rate supplied does not vary over time, as is the case here. Hence,  
20  
21  
22 steady-state computations were performed. The simulations were iterated up to the point where  
23  
24  
25 the scales residuals of all variables between subsequent iterations stopped decreasing and reached  
26  
27  
28 an asymptotic value. This value was below  $10^{-6}$  and, since additional iterations would not reduce  
29  
30  
31 the residuals any further, to the authors' opinion a condition where all the variables, including the  
32  
33  
34 local one, have attained convergence, is reached.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 Due to the extremely special geometry of the system, direct comparisons with literature results  
41  
42  
43 are difficult to obtain. Modelling single-phase mixing tanks is a classic problem in chemical  
44  
45  
46 engineering, where reliable simulations are normally obtained using models and grid densities  
47  
48  
49 similar to those used in this work <sup>30</sup>. With regard to the duct, the simulations of this work were  
50  
51  
52  
53 checked by comparing the prediction of the friction factor far away from the curves, where the  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 flow is fully developed, with the relationship reported by Schlichting (1979, chapter 20)<sup>31</sup>, which  
4  
5  
6  
7 is corroborated by the experimental data. However, the shape of the duct with U-curves of complex  
8  
9  
10 internal geometry makes it impossible to obtain full validation from literature.

11  
12  
13 It should be noted that a large number of experimental and modelling studies are available for  
14  
15  
16 motion in flat ducts of rectangular cross-section, but these are of little significance in the context  
17  
18  
19 of this work<sup>32-36</sup>. In fact, these studies often focus on the ability to predict the secondary flows  
20  
21  
22 triggered by the anisotropy of turbulence in straight ducts (Prandtl's secondary flow of the second  
23  
24  
25 kind). These, however, do not significantly alter the averaged profiles compared to canonical wall-  
26  
27  
28 bounded flows<sup>37</sup>, being of modest intensity, with transverse velocities of the order of 1% of the  
29  
30  
31 axial ones. The  $k$ - $\epsilon$  method used in this work is unable to detect this particular type of secondary  
32  
33  
34 flow, which is triggered by the anisotropy of turbulence. It should be noted, however, that the  
35  
36  
37 relevant properties of the system, such as pressure drop and mixing in the panel, are dominated by  
38  
39  
40 the effects of the U-curves and the recirculation immediately following the curves, which is instead  
41  
42  
43 satisfactorily described by eddy-viscosity-based models such as the  $k$ - $\epsilon$ <sup>38</sup>.

#### 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 **Microalgae-specific light spectra composition** 55 56 57 58 59 60

1  
2  
3  
4 The composition of the species-specific spectrum was based on the evaluation of the O<sub>2</sub>  
5  
6  
7 evolution response following cells' exposure to the individual wavelengths available on the PBR.  
8  
9  
10 More precisely, the cells of *A. obliquus* and *G. sulphuraria*, at a concentration of about 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup>,  
11  
12  
13 were directly exposed within the PBR at the individual wavelengths, each one adjusted to deliver  
14  
15  
16 about 30 μmol<sub>ph</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, for 10 minutes after a 15-minute dark adaptation period and/or the time  
17  
18  
19 necessary for the O<sub>2</sub> concentration in the culture to be returned at equilibrium with the atmosphere.  
20  
21  
22  
23 The averaged value of 30 μmol<sub>ph</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> was chosen according to the current upper range limit of  
24  
25  
26 some of the single wavelengths (*i.e.*, 499.5 and 520 nm). Oxygen evolution activity was recorded  
27  
28  
29 through the InPro 6000 Optical O<sub>2</sub> sensor located at the output of the panels. The ΔO<sub>2</sub> value  
30  
31  
32 recorded was then normalized by the light intensity for each wavelength. The final spectrum was  
33  
34  
35 built according to the wavelengths yielding net oxygen production, each of them set at a certain  
36  
37  
38 power percentage weighted for the corresponding yield of O<sub>2</sub>.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

#### 47 **Microalgae and cultivation conditions**

48

49  
50 *Acutodesmus obliquus* strain 276-3b, formally *Scenedesmus obliquus* (Turpin) Kützing, was  
51  
52  
53 obtained from the SAG Culture Collection of Algae (Göttingen, Germany), whereas *Galdieria*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 *sulphuraria* strain 074W was kindly donated by Prof. Antonino Pollio (University of Naples, Italy).

5  
6  
7 The PBR was inoculated with BG-11 medium <sup>39</sup> for *A. obliquus* or Allen medium <sup>40</sup> for *G.*  
8  
9  
10 *sulphuraria*, and microalgae cells for a total volume ( $V_{\text{PBR}}$ ) of 60 L, corresponding to a surface  
11  
12  
13 area-to-volume ratio ( $S_f/V$ ) of  $50 \text{ m}^{-1}$ , and an initial cell concentration of  $\approx 0.25 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  of dry weight.

14  
15  
16  
17 Each experiment was conducted in batch mode until the stationary phase was reached. The  
18  
19  
20 injection of  $\text{CO}_2$  was carried out with a flow rate of  $0.06 \text{ NL min}^{-1}$ , keeping constant the  $\text{CO}_2$   
21  
22  
23 concentration threshold in the PBR at  $25 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  for *A. obliquus* and  $15 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  for *G. sulphuraria*,  
24  
25  
26 using the combination of solenoid valve and mass flow meter. The choice of key parameters for  
27  
28  
29 *A. obliquus*, such as temperature ( $23^\circ\text{C} \pm 2$ ) and pH (7.0-7.5), was done according to previous  
30  
31  
32 experiments performed within the same PBR structure equipped with fluorescent tubes, chosen  
33  
34  
35 among the most performing setups, as well as the spectrum-specific averaged photon flux density  
36  
37  
38 ( $\text{PFD}$ ) on the panels' surface was set to  $150 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  ( $12.96 \text{ mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ), to have a fair  
39  
40  
41 comparison with the previously published results <sup>26</sup>. On the other hand, the red microalga *G.*  
42  
43  
44 *sulphuraria* is a polyextremophile organism that has been extensively studied due to its ability to  
45  
46  
47 survive at low pH (as low as 0.2 for some strains) <sup>41</sup>, high temperatures (up to  $57^\circ\text{C}$ ) and high  
48  
49  
50 osmotic pressure <sup>42</sup>. Therefore, the pH and temperature were adjusted to be 1.5-2.0 and  $37.5^\circ\text{C} \pm$   
51  
52  
53 2, respectively, according to several literature studies <sup>43-46</sup>. The spectrum-specific averaged PFD  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

was set to  $125 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  since, according to its benthonic nature, *G. sulphuraria* is considered extremely photosensitive, usually growing at low light intensities<sup>42,47</sup>, with light inhibition already occurring above  $200 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ <sup>41,45</sup>. The culture medium temperature was controlled for both the microalgae using a thermostat (Lauda IN 250 XTW, Germany).

### Biomass concentration measurements

Microalgae growth was gravimetrically quantified as dry biomass concentration as previously reported<sup>26</sup>. Briefly, 10-20 mL of microalgae culture were filtered using pre-weighted  $1.5 \mu\text{m}$  pore size glass fiber filters (Hahnemühle, Germany), then dried using a thermobalance (MLS-N, Kern, Germany) until stable weight, and weighed with an analytical balance (Kern, Germany). The biomass volumetric productivity  $P_x$  [ $\text{g L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ ] was then calculated as [Eq. (6)]:

$$P_x = \frac{X_{t+1} - X_t}{t_{+1} - t} \quad (6)$$

where  $X(t / t+1)$  is the biomass concentration [ $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ] at the sampling time  $t / t+1$  [d]. The volumetric productivity was then used to calculate the biomass yield on light  $Y_{x/ph}$  [ $\text{g mol}_{\text{ph}}^{-1}$ ] according to<sup>41</sup> [Eq. (7)]:

$$Y_{x/ph} = \frac{P_x \cdot V_{PBR}}{A_{PBR} \cdot PFD} \quad (7)$$

Where  $A_{PBR}$  [ $\text{m}^2$ ] represents the total illuminated area (*i.e.*,  $3 \text{ m}^2$  considering both the panels).

## Radiance matrix

The uniformity of incident light on both panels' exposed surfaces was determined as previously reported<sup>26</sup>. Briefly, a matrix array was imposed on the artificial lighting system to fix, and homogeneously distribute, the *PPFD* sampling points along the radiant surface. The *PPFD* was determined by a calibrated Photosynthetic Active Radiation (PAR) spectroradiometer (PLA 20, Everfine, China). The measured *PPFD* values were interpolated by the Linear model Poly22 of the Curve Fitting Tool of the software Matlab® to obtain a *PPFD*'s pattern for the whole exposed panel surface. The mean and minimum values of the obtained *PPFD*'s matrix were then used to calculate the light uniformity coefficient ( $U_I$ [%]) as in Eq. (8):

$$U_I = \frac{I_{min}}{I_{mean}} \times 100 \quad (8)$$


where  $I_{min}$  is the *PPFD* minimum value [ $\mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ] and  $I_{mean}$  is the *PPFD* mean value [ $\mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ].

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### CFD

Figure 2 reports a characterization of the flow field in both a 3D and a 2D view for three different cross sections. For each of those, a velocity magnitude contour plot, and a representation of the velocity field, as obtained by an arrow representation, were reported. Cross section 1 passes through the inlet of the tank, but not through the outlet which is instead included in cross section 2. Cross section 3 is instead parallel to the liquid-air-free surface of the tank. From the pictures, it is apparent that the inlet flow is slowed down upon impact on the free surface, and it is deviated towards the bulk of the tank by the inclined bottom walls. This is visible both in the velocity magnitude contour plot of cross section 1 and in the fluid path lines reported in the 3D view. It is also apparent that in the bulk of the mixing tank, the fluid undergoes a large recirculation motion with a vortical region that takes up almost completely the tank space, both in the vertical and horizontal directions. A lateral by-pass current traveling directly from the inlet to the outlet of the tank can also be observed. As it will be later discussed, these features of the flow field have a

1  
2  
3 relevant effect on the fluid residence time distributions in the tank. In cross section 2 the outlet  
4  
5  
6  
7 flow is shown; here it is observed that the fluid flows out of the tank with a velocity that is close  
8  
9  
10 in magnitude to the inlet velocity, though with a velocity gradient that is unsymmetrical with  
11  
12  
13 respect to the tube centerline. This must be considered as a consequence of the lateral offset  
14  
15  
16  
17 between the inlet and outlet flow.  
18  
19



20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 *Figure 2: Visualization of the flow field in the mixing tank, by a contour plot representation of the velocity magnitude field and by*  
39 *fluid path lines. Three cross-sections are reported together with a 3D representation.*  
40

41 Concerning the panel, our simulations were limited to the green-shaded region of Figure S.2. As  
42  
43  
44 the horizontal channel connecting two subsequent turns is long enough for the flow to be fully  
45  
46  
47 developed, an identical replication of the flow configuration at every turn of the panel may be  
48  
49  
50 expected. This point was confirmed by checking the identity of the simulated velocity distribution  
51  
52  
53 at the midplanes of the two subsequent horizontal channels.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 In Figure 3 only the portion of the flow field close to the passage hole was reported, as this is  
5  
6  
7 the region where the flow presents features of more worth. Figure 3A. illustrates the velocity field  
8  
9  
10 by a contour plot representation. It is seen here that the flow travels almost undisturbed until it  
11  
12  
13 reaches the passage hole, where it is accelerated reaching large velocities, particularly in the  
14  
15  
16 converging region of the hole close to the wall separating two subsequent channels. The flow field  
17  
18  
19 representations of Figure 3 B. and C. (streamlines and vectors of time-averaged velocity) make it  
20  
21  
22 also apparent that two vortical regions establish upstream and downstream of the passage hole.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 The first, visible in the bottom left corner, is a small, low-speed vortex, whereas the second,  
28  
29  
30 occurring downstream the passage hole, takes up a large vertical portion of the channel. However,  
31  
32  
33 this flow disturbance is seen to vanish completely at moderate distances from the passage hole.  
34  
35  
36

37 From the inspection of the flow field just reported, it is apparent that a complex flow dynamics  
38  
39  
40 condition establishes in the two pieces of the equipment. To address this in some more detail,  
41  
42  
43 numerical tracer tests using non-reactive, dissolved species were performed. For the case of the  
44  
45  
46 tank, a step experiment was selected. Two non-interacting passive scalars were defined, one fed  
47  
48  
49 through the inlet flow at a constant concentration  $c_{inlet} = c_0$ , the second fed through the top liquid-  
50  
51  
52 free surface via a constant flux, such as a dissolved gas from the atmosphere. Within the tank, the  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 tracer is transported by the convective motion of the fluid, only, with the diffusive transport  
4  
5  
6 disregarded. This is done to assess the effect of the flow features on species' transport and to rule  
7  
8  
9  
10 out the effect of species' molecular diffusion. Thus, the transport equation reads as [Eq. (9)]:  
11

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + u_i \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (9)$$

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 where  $c$  is the passive scalar concentration and where  $u_i$  is the fluid velocity as calculated by  
18  
19  
20 solving the fluid momentum transport equation. For both scalars, the outlet concentration  $c(t)$  as a  
21  
22  
23  
24 function of time was measured.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 3: Visualization of the flow field in the flat panel. **A.** Velocity magnitude contour plot. **B.** Fluid path lines. **C.** Arrow representation of the flow field. Each arrow is aligned with the local fluid velocity. The length and color of the arrows are set according to the velocity magnitude.

The case of the release from the inlet is reported by the orange curve in Figure 4 together with a few snapshots of the spatial distribution of the tracer concentration. It is apparent that at the outlet the tracer concentration reaches, in a quite short time, a rather large value ( $c \approx 0.32c_0$ ) which


1  
2  
3 stays almost constant for approximately 25 s, and it then progressively approaches the asymptotic  
4  
5  
6  
7 value ( $c = c_0$ ). This response is the consequence of the inlet current that drags a large part of the  
8  
9  
10 tracer feed directly towards the bottom of the tank, from which it flows out practically by-passing  
11  
12  
13 the bulk of the fluid, as also visible in the concentration field snapshots at 10 and 100 s. The other  
14  
15  
16 part of the tracer feed flow is instead recirculated by the central vortex and spends a longer  
17  
18  
19 residence time in the tank. For comparison, the response of an ideal continuous stirred tank reactor  
20  
21  
22 is reported as a dotted line in the plot. The discrepancy between the two curves makes particularly  
23  
24  
25 apparent the by-pass phenomena just described.  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 The case of a uniform release from the tank-free surface is also reported in Figure 4. In this case,  
31  
32  
33 the concentration at large times reaches a lower value due to the dilution effect induced by the inlet  
34  
35  
36 flow. For this mode of release, no by-pass phenomena occur, and the system's dynamical behavior  
37  
38  
39 is very similar to the one that would be observed under perfectly mixed conditions. The uniform  
40  
41  
42 release from the liquid-free surface, together with the large vortical motion of the liquid bulk,  
43  
44  
45 distributes the tracer uniformly in the tank, making the outlet concentration increase more steadily  
46  
47  
48 until asymptotic conditions are reached, though with a delay compared to the perfectly mixed case.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 The signals of the outlet concentration make it possible to calculate the mean residence time  $\tau$  of  
5  
6  
7 the tracers for the two different modes of release as in [Eq. (10)]:  
8  
9

$$\tau = \frac{1}{c_0} \int_0^{c_0} t dc \quad (10)$$

10  
11  
12  
13 This returned an average residence time equal to 86 s for the case of the release through the inlet  
14  
15  
16 flow, and 129 s for the case of the free surface release, with a difference compared to the average  
17  
18  
19 residence time under perfectly mixed conditions equal approximately to -8% and +37%,  
20  
21  
22  
23 respectively.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



49 *Figure 4: Results of the tracer experiments. Top) Concentration contour plot at three subsequent times for the case of a step release*  
 50 *from the inlet. Centre) Concentration at the outlet as a function of time for the two modes of release. Bottom) Concentration contour*  
 51 *plot at three subsequent times for the case of a step release from the liquid-free surface. The dotted lines report the outlet*  
 52 *concentration that would be observed in perfectly mixed conditions.*

1  
2  
3  
4 Finally, in Figure 5 the distribution of the residence times in the flat panel, measured at the halfway  
5  
6  
7 of each channel, is reported. The measurement was done by an impulse experiment and by tracking  
8  
9  
10 tracer particles on the simulated domain. The results were extended to the whole flat panel by  
11  
12  
13 taking advantage of the system periodicity. It is seen that the residence time distribution of the  
14  
15  
16 particles is initially narrow, and it becomes wider after each channel. Finally, at the exit of the flat  
17  
18  
19 panel, three main peaks of decreasing height can be observed, approximately at 130 s, 140 s, and  
20  
21  
22 165 s. This behavior is in line with what could be inferred from the analysis of the velocity field  
23  
24  
25 reported in Figure 3, where it could be observed that the channel arrangement induces the  
26  
27  
28 formation of two recirculation regions (one downstream and one upstream of each passage hole)  
29  
30  
31 and the occurrence of a large velocity region near the converging region of the hole. These flow  
32  
33  
34 field features make the particles to be redistributed longitudinally at each elbow and to display a  
35  
36  
37 rather wide residence time distribution at the flat panel exit.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60




Figure 5: Residence time distributions measured at the halfway of the length of each channel of the alveolar flat panel as obtained by an impulse tracer experiment.

## Light spectra composition

Although light absorption is wavelength-dependent, every PAR photon absorbed by the antennae can be used in the reaction centres to induce charge separation with the same efficiency, and results in an equal photosynthetic output. Nevertheless, the absorption bands of each pigment are different and, consequently, only certain wavelengths in the PAR region are effective for productive algal photosynthesis<sup>48</sup>. Red light, with a narrow spectrum of 600–700 nm, is usually reported as the optimal wavelength for the photosynthetic growth of most algal species<sup>17,21,49,50</sup>.

1  
2  
3 This is primarily because the most abundant pigments in most species are chlorophylls which can  
4  
5  
6 more efficiently absorb red light compared to other light wavelengths <sup>51</sup>. However, due to its longer  
7  
8  
9 wavelength, the low-energy red light poorly penetrates high-density or deep cultures. Therefore,  
10  
11  
12 the cultures should be well mixed or kept at low concentrations under these light conditions <sup>52</sup>. On  
13  
14  
15 the other hand, blue light, with its shorter wavelength, has a higher probability to trigger photo-  
16  
17  
18 inhibition by striking the light-harvesting complexes due to its high energy content <sup>50,53</sup>. Overall,  
19  
20  
21 as reported in several works, the different wavelengths affect the cells' metabolism, by either  
22  
23  
24 enhancing the growth or the accumulation of specific compounds, acting differently according to  
25  
26  
27 the microalgae species <sup>21,25,54-58</sup>. The experiments described in this section aimed to assess, for  
28  
29  
30 each microalga used, the optimal conditions in terms of light quality. This ideal light composition  
31  
32  
33 was investigated by measuring the oxygen evolution response as a performance indicator of  
34  
35  
36 photosynthesis from the cells exposed to the different wavelengths directly within the PBR, and  
37  
38  
39 thus when cultivated on a large scale. In this way, the resulting spectra represent the combination  
40  
41  
42 of wavelengths, rather than using only monochromatic lights, weighted based on the algae's ability  
43  
44  
45 to trigger redox reactions within the chloroplast. Additionally, the possibility of qualitatively and  
46  
47  
48 quantitatively regulating the provided individual wavelengths may be a useful strategy to  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 investigate and minimize the energy consumption associated with the use of artificial light. The  
4  
5  
6  
7 microalgae growth rates were then evaluated directly with the final ideal spectra, rather than testing  
8  
9  
10 the growth at each wavelength available in the PBR.

11  
12  
13 Figure 6 shows the  $\Delta O_2$  values obtained using the methodology described in the “Microalgae-  
14  
15  
16 specific light spectra composition” section. Not surprisingly, the blue and red spectral regions have  
17  
18  
19 the largest impact on photosynthesis in green algae (Fig. 6A.), due to the large number of  
20  
21  
22 molecules of chlorophyll a (*Chl a*) and chlorophyll b (*Chl b*) in the light-harvesting complexes  
23  
24  
25 (*LHCs*). From Fig 6A. It can be noted as the green (520nm) and orange (602 nm) lights do not  
26  
27  
28 lead to positive oxygen production. For the green light, the  $\Delta O_2$  is slightly negative, suggesting  
29  
30  
31 that the light at 520 nm induces electron transfer, but most probably the moles of photons given  
32  
33  
34 are not enough to reach the compensation point of photosynthesis. For instance, the light at 602  
35  
36  
37 nm shows very negative  $\Delta O_2$  values, as there is a hardware limit linked to the fact that the photon  
38  
39  
40 flux at this wavelength is very small due to hardware limitations (around  $6 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  at the  
41  
42  
43 highest power). So, this suggests no photosynthetic electron transfer and thus only respiration  
44  
45  
46 occurring when applying only this light wavelength. For this reason, the  $\Delta O_2$  values that occurred  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

at 602 nm can be used as a reference for the cell's respiration. Fig. 6B. shows the correspondent spectrum used for *A. obliquus*.




Figure 6: Light spectra customization. **A.** Wavelength-specific normalized oxygen evolution response ( $\Delta O_2$  [mg L<sup>-1</sup>] /  $\mu E$  [ $\mu\text{molph m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ]) of *A. obliquus*. **B.** Resultant final spectrum employed for *A. obliquus*. **C.** Wavelength-specific normalized oxygen evolution response of *G. sulphuraria*. **D.** Resultant final spectrum employed for *G. sulphuraria*. (Kindly refer to section [2.4]). Graphs were produced with the software OriginPro8.5®.

In contrast to green algae, red algae, as well as cyanobacteria, possess water-soluble Phycobilisomes (*PBS*) as major photosynthetic light-harvesting complexes. In *G. sulphuraria*, the *PBS*s are composed exclusively of allophycocyanin and (mainly) (C-) phycocyanin (*C-PC*) phycobiliproteins, with the *C-PC* having a single absorption maximum at  $\sim 620$  nm<sup>59</sup>. Furthermore,

1  
2  
3 as widely reported in the literature, cyanobacteria and red algae possess low photosystem II /  
4  
5  
6  
7 photosystem I (*PSII/PSI*) ratios, with the core complexes of *PSII* usually incorporating less *Chl a*  
8  
9  
10 than *PSI* cores <sup>60,61</sup>. Moreover, unlike green algae, *G. sulphuraria* also lacks *Chl b* and instead has  
11  
12  
13 zeaxanthin as its major xanthophyll <sup>62</sup>. In accordance with its photosynthetic apparatus, *G.*  
14  
15  
16  
17 *sulphuraria* showed no positive oxygen production when illuminated with all the blue wavelengths  
18  
19  
20 [Fig. 6C.]. The wavelengths resulting in the highest positive  $\Delta O_2$  were 627 nm and 662 nm, and  
21  
22  
23 in less extent 639.5, being the first two closer to the maximal absorption peak of *C-PC* and *Chl a*,  
24  
25  
26 respectively. Although *Chl a* is not present around *PSII*, it provides the energy along the *C-PC* to  
27  
28  
29  
30 *PSI*, therefore enhancing electron transfer between the two photosystems. Unlike *A. obliquus*, also  
31  
32  
33 green light (520nm) lead to positive oxygen evolution. Despite this, it has been decided to not  
34  
35  
36 include it in the correspondent spectrum since (Fig. 6D.), as previously reported, the cultivation  
37  
38  
39 process appears to not require a green or blue fraction to achieve optimal biomass productivity <sup>21</sup>.  
40  
41  
42  
43 This would also reduce the energy consumption related to the artificial lighting system since in  
44  
45  
46  
47 this prototype each LED is associated with a dedicated electric transformer. As for the light at  
48  
49  
50 602nm, the same considerations for *A. obliquus* can be done, reflecting the need for adequate  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 hardware modifications if the effects on photosynthesis of this wavelength need to be further  
4  
5  
6  
7 investigated.  
8  
9

### 13 **Light homogeneity**

14  
15  
16  
17 Light availability in photoautotrophic microalgae cultivation is of primary importance for the  
18  
19  
20 overall process performance. Especially when employing artificial light, it is important to evaluate  
21  
22  
23 the light distribution along the photo-exposed surfaces to better characterize the amounts of  
24  
25  
26 photons and times at which microalgae cells are exposed. As previously investigated for a  
27  
28  
29 fluorescent tube light source, also in this work the light measurements on the exposed surfaces  
30  
31  
32 have been used to build up a radiant matrix for the two composed spectra used. The data were then  
33  
34  
35 computed as described in the “Radiance matrix” section, obtaining an averaged *PPFD* of  $150 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}}$   
36  
37  
38  $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $125 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for *A. obliquus* and *G. sulphuraria*, respectively, as well as a light  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44 uniformity coefficient  $U_I$  of 70% (Fig. 7A.) and 48% (Fig. 7B.). The considerable uniformity  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50 difference between the two spectra may be ascribed, as already addressed in the “LED lighting  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60 system” section, to the fact that the individual LEDs are distributed uniformly in clusters along the  
whole PCBs, but their distribution implies an unevenness from the emissive point of view. This is

1  
2  
3 most evident from Fig. 7B., where the composed spectrum for *G. sulphuraria* is characterized by  
4  
5  
6 only 3 wavelengths, and therefore by a lower resolution along the entire optical guide. This non-  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

most evident from Fig. 7B., where the composed spectrum for *G. sulphuraria* is characterized by only 3 wavelengths, and therefore by a lower resolution along the entire optical guide. This non-uniformity, with an important gradient of light intensity ranging from 250 to 50  $\mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  along the surface of the hydraulic panels, may be overcome by changing the PCBs components, replacing the unintended wavelengths with a greater population of LEDs more uniform for each cluster. For the sake of simplicity, in this work, the averaged *PFDs* have been considered for the calculations of the biomass yields on light. Nevertheless, as pinpointed by Blanken et al.<sup>63</sup>, the light distribution over the reactor surface has a significant influence on the growth rate, as high light will result in increased photosaturation. Further investigations on the growth kinetics with respect to the light distribution described in this section are currently ongoing.




Figure 7: Graphical representation of the light intensity in a 3D space of the LEDs lighting source. *PFDs* measurements were interpolated by the Linear model Poly22 of the Curve Fitting Tool ( $f(x,y) = p00 + p10*x + p01*y + p20*x^2 + p11*x*y + p02*y^2$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are the optical guide's length and height for the *PFD* sampling points, and  $p(n)$  the fitted coefficients) of the software

1  
2  
3 *Matlab*®. **A.** Light intensity distribution for the light spectrum employed for *A. obliquus*. The goodness of fit:  $SSE = 320.3$ ,  $R^2 =$   
4  $0.972$ . **B.** Light intensity distribution for the light spectrum employed for *G. sulphuraria*. The goodness of fit:  $SSE = 70.2$ ,  $R^2 =$   
5  $0.995$ .  
6  
7  
8  
9

## 10 **Microalgae growth**

11  
12  
13  
14 In this study, the behaviour of PBR's fluid dynamics as well as the influence of the composed  
15  
16  
17 spectra on the biomass productivity of the two different microalgae (*A. obliquus*, *G. sulphuraria*)  
18  
19  
20 was investigated<sup>26</sup>. After 7 days of cultivation, the *A. obliquus* cells reached a final concentration  
21  
22  
23 of  $2.305 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  (Fig. 8A.). The analysis of the growth curves showed a mean daily volumetric  
24  
25  
26 productivity ( $P_x$ ) of  $0.295 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \pm 0.03$  ( $n = 3$ ). The biomass yield on light energy was found to  
27  
28  
29 be on average  $0.58 \text{ g mol}_{\text{ph}}^{-1}$  during the exponential phase, a value between the highest found in  
30  
31  
32 the literature for several green microalgae<sup>64,65</sup>, although continuous experiments should be  
33  
34  
35 performed to address consistent estimations of this value. Nevertheless, to the authors' opinion,  
36  
37  
38 there is ample room for improvement, especially considering that in this work the batch  
39  
40  
41 experiments were conducted with a relatively low averaged light intensity ( $150 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ).  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 Overall, the results obtained in this work are slightly higher than what was found in the previous  
48  
49  
50  
51 study conducted with fluorescent lights, where the average light intensity on the panels' surface  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 was found to be  $120 \mu\text{mol}_{\text{ph}} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ . This confirms the suitability of using the composed optimized  
5  
6  
7 spectrum for *A. obliquus*.  
8  
9

10 The red microalga *Galdieria sulphuraria* is nowadays considered one of the most promising  
11  
12 biotechnological platforms for food and feed applications, due to its peculiar polyextremophile  
13  
14 characteristics, favouring a selective environment that prevents contaminations, as well as its high  
15  
16 content of proteins, insoluble dietary fibers, and antioxidants<sup>43,66</sup>. Moreover, its amino acid profile  
17  
18 is particularly noteworthy, as it contains a higher proportion of essential sulfur amino acids  
19  
20 compared to *Chlorella*, *Spirulina*, and soybean protein<sup>67</sup>.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 In this work, it has been decided to assess the photoautotrophic growth of *G. sulphuraria* strain  
31  
32 074W, one of the most performant autotrophic strains<sup>43</sup>, to show the versatility of the presented  
33  
34 PBR to investigate and achieve high biomass growth even with extremophiles, far from common  
35  
36 green microalgae.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 After 16 days of cultivation, the cells reached a final concentration of  $3.28 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  (Fig. 8B.). The  
45  
46 analysis of the growth curves showed a mean daily volumetric productivity  $P_x$  of  $0.22 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1} \pm$   
47  
48  $0.03$  ( $n = 3$ ). Although the observed  $P_x$  may seem quite low compared to other reported autotrophic  
49  
50 batches<sup>41,69</sup>, it is noteworthy pinpoint that the light intensity used is relatively low as well as, to  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4 the authors' knowledge, this work is one of the few studies where *G. sulphuraria* growth was  
5  
6  
7 conducted at real scale. Indeed, the biomass yield on light energy was found to be on average 0.45  
8  
9  
10 g mol<sub>ph</sub><sup>-1</sup> during the exponential phase, a value in accordance with what has been found by Canelli  
11  
12  
13 and co-authors <sup>70</sup> in a 17 L annular column PBR (*G. sulphuraria* strains ACUF 064 and SAG  
14  
15  
16 108.79), and close to the highest (0.5 – 0.65 g mol<sub>ph</sub><sup>-1</sup>) reported for *G. sulphuraria* (ACUF 064 and  
17  
18  
19 074G) grown in autotrophic conditions at lab-scale <sup>41,67,69</sup>. Taken together, the results obtained  
20  
21  
22  
23 show the potential of the presented PBR prototype to achieve relatively high biomass  
24  
25  
26 concentrations, at a real scale, with more microalgae belonging to different phyla. Furthermore,  
27  
28  
29 the adopted strategy for the wavelength-specific spectra composition turned out to effectively  
30  
31  
32  
33 achieve comparable yields on light obtained at the lab scale, especially considering *G. sulphuraria*.  
34  
35  
36  
37 This aspect is relevant as, considering the cost of artificial lights, the possibility of choosing the  
38  
39  
40 optimal wavelengths according to the microorganisms' quantum requirements may strongly  
41  
42  
43 reduce the energy losses associated with, *e.g.*, blue-to-yellow light conversion in white LEDs, and  
44  
45  
46 the overall electric input. Nevertheless, the authors are in accordance with the fact that, due to the  
47  
48  
49 increase of the overall costs and the negative energy balances, the electrical energy required for  
50  
51  
52  
53 microalgae cultivation employing artificial light should be generated as 'green' energy instead of  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

that derived from exploiting fossil fuels<sup>17</sup>, or alternatively be used in compensation of sunlight to ensure a 24h production.




Figure 8: Photoautotrophic batches. **A.** Biomass concentration of *Acutodesmus obliquus* in the flat panel photobioreactor. **B.** Biomass concentration of *Galdieria sulphuraria* in the flat panel photobioreactor. Squares represent the dry weight measurements  $\pm$  standard deviation ( $n = 2$  *A. obliquus*,  $n = 3$  *G. sulphuraria*). The dotted lines represent the third-degree polynomial interpolation ( $R^2 = 0.999$  *A. obliquus*,  $R^2 = 0.997$  *G. sulphuraria*) obtained with the software OriginPro8.5®.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the detailed characterization of a novel flat-panel PBR equipped with a LED lighting system is proposed. From the CFD analysis, it has been observed that the system hydrodynamics has several peculiar features which must be expected to determine the statistics of light and flow field sampling by the microalgae. In the mixing tank, two main regions of interest were observed: a vortex occupying almost completely the bulk of the tank, and a rather large lateral

1  
2  
3  
4 by-pass current traveling directly from the inlet to the outlet, counting for approximately 30% of  
5  
6  
7 the inlet flow and having a short residence time compared to what is obtained under perfectly  
8  
9  
10 mixed conditions. Also, the flat panel was seen to have a peculiar feature: close to the passage hole  
11  
12  
13 between two subsequent channels, the fluid was accelerated and two vortical regions were  
14  
15  
16 observed, causing the axial dispersion of the particles and a distinctive behaviour of the distribution  
17  
18  
19 of the residence times. Overall, the CFD analysis returned several useful indications for the  
20  
21  
22 technical optimization of the system and put the basis for a few further studies. Among these, the  
23  
24 use of internal baffles or deflectors of the fluid flow will be considered, as these can improve the  
25  
26  
27 mixing and mass transfer performance of the equipment.  
28  
29  
30  
31

32  
33 The PBR has been equipped with a peculiar LED system capable of unpacking the entire visible  
34  
35  
36 spectrum. The possibility to dynamically change the light spectrum and intensity allows a high  
37  
38  
39 degree of customization of the cultivation process. This has been demonstrated by cultivating two  
40  
41  
42 completely different microalgae strains characterized by different growth parameters and spectral  
43  
44  
45 requirements. The biomass concentrations and yields achieved with both the strains, for which  
46  
47  
48 specific spectra were built, were perfectly in line with the data reported in the literature. These  
49  
50  
51 highlight the effectiveness of the adopted strategy for light management, which includes precise  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 control of the light intensity and wavelength, and showcase the high efficiencies achieved by the  
4  
5  
6  
7 PBR, with still ample room for improvement also considering the low light intensities applied. The  
8  
9  
10 peculiar light management features would also open the investigation of wavelength-specific  
11  
12  
13 effects on biomass composition at a pilot scale. The PBR's hardware components, equipped with  
14  
15  
16 the multi-probes system – transductor - integrated PLC connections, make this prototype already  
17  
18  
19 suitable for remote monitoring and control of cultivation parameters to incorporate the Internet of  
20  
21  
22  
23 Things (IoT). This aspect is becoming relevant as both academic research and industry are  
24  
25  
26 gradually moving towards process automation and remote operation. Future research will focus on  
27  
28  
29 advance optimizing the PBR design and exploring the economic viability of scaling up the system  
30  
31  
32  
33 for commercial production.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

## 47 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

48  
49  
50

51 **Supporting Information.** Table S.1: LEDs technical specifications extrapolated from the  
52  
53  
54 producers' technical sheet; Figure S.1: The geometry of the tank and some detailed views of the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 mesh used for the simulations; Figure S.2: The geometry of the alveolar flat panels with details  
4  
5  
6  
7 on the hydraulic direction change section.  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

## 13 **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

### 14 **Corresponding Author**

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 \*E-mail: [vincenzo.riggio@polito.it](mailto:vincenzo.riggio@polito.it)  
22  
23  
24

### 25 **Author Contributions**

26  
27  
28  
29 M.C.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Data curation, Writing –  
30  
31  
32 original draft. G.F.: Investigation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Data curation, Writing –  
33  
34  
35 original draft. L.C.: Investigation, Formal analysis. M.Z.: Formal analysis, Writing – review &  
36  
37  
38 editing, Funding acquisition. M.V.: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.  
39  
40  
41  
42 V.A.R.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing – review &  
43  
44  
45  
46 editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

### 53 **Funding Sources**

1  
2  
3  
4 This publication is part of the project NODES which has received funding from the MUR –  
5  
6  
7 M4C2 1.5 of PNRR funded by the European Union - NextGenerationEU (Grant agreement no.  
8  
9  
10 ECS00000036).

## 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

19  
20  
21 The authors dedicate this manuscript to the memory of Dr. Graziano Frungieri, who passed away  
22  
23  
24 during the revision process. The authors are also grateful to Piero Santoro from MEG Science  
25  
26  
27 (Milan, Italy) for the realization of the LED lighting system, Andrea Occhipinti from Abel  
28  
29  
30 Nutraceuticals (Turin, Italy), Arcobaleno Cooperativa Sociale (Turin, Italy) in the person of F.  
31  
32  
33  
34 Passarelli and F. De Bernardi for their technical support.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

## 40 41 **REFERENCES**

- 42  
43  
44 (1) Carlsson, A. S.; van Beilen, J. B.; Möller, R.; Clayton, D. *Micro- and Macro-Algae: Utility*  
45 *for Industrial Applications*; Outputs from the EPOBIO Project. CPL Press, Berks., 2007.  
46  
47  
48 (2) Goli, A.; Shamiri, A.; Talaiekhosani, A.; Eshtiaghi, N.; Aghamohammadi, N.; Aroua, M.  
49 K. An Overview of Biological Processes and Their Potential for CO<sub>2</sub> Capture. *Journal of*  
50 *Environmental Management*. Academic Press December 1, 2016, pp 41–58.  
51 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.08.054>.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (3) Rosenberg, J. N.; Mathias, A.; Korth, K.; Betenbaugh, M. J.; Oyler, G. A. Microalgal  
5 Biomass Production and Carbon Dioxide Sequestration from an Integrated Ethanol  
6 Biorefinery in Iowa: A Technical Appraisal and Economic Feasibility Evaluation. *Biomass*  
7 *Bioenergy* **2011**, *35* (9), 3865–3876. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2011.05.014>.  
8  
9
- 10  
11 (4) Benemann, J. Microalgae for Biofuels and Animal Feeds. *Energies (Basel)* **2013**, *6* (11),  
12 5869–5886. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en6115869>.  
13  
14
- 15 (5) Acién, F. G.; Molina, E.; Reis, A.; Torzillo, G.; Zittelli, G. C.; Sepúlveda, C.; Masojídek, J.  
16 *Photobioreactors for the Production of Microalgae*, 2017. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-101023-5.00001-7)  
17 08-101023-5.00001-7.  
18  
19
- 20  
21 (6) Adesanya, V. O.; Cadena, E.; Scott, S. A.; Smith, A. G. Life Cycle Assessment on  
22 Microalgal Biodiesel Production Using a Hybrid Cultivation System. *Bioresour Technol*  
23 **2014**, *163*, 343–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.04.051>.  
24  
25
- 26  
27 (7) Norsker, N. H.; Barbosa, M. J.; Vermuë, M. H.; Wijffels, R. H. Microalgal Production - A  
28 Close Look at the Economics. *Biotechnol Adv* **2011**, *29* (1), 24–27.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2010.08.005>.  
30  
31
- 32  
33 (8) Belohlav, V.; Uggetti, E.; García, J.; Jirout, T.; Kratky, L.; Díez-Montero, R. Assessment  
34 of Hydrodynamics Based on Computational Fluid Dynamics to Optimize the Operation of  
35 Hybrid Tubular Photobioreactors. *J Environ Chem Eng* **2021**, *9* (5).  
36 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105768>.  
37  
38
- 39  
40 (9) Wang, L.; Wang, Q.; Zhao, R.; Tao, Y.; Ying, K. Z.; Mao, X. Z. Novel Flat-Plate  
41 Photobioreactor with Inclined Baffles and Internal Structure Optimization to Improve Light  
42 Regime Performance. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* **2021**, *9* (4), 1550–1558.  
43 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c06109>.  
44  
45
- 46  
47 (10) Hinterholz, C. L.; Trigueros, D. E. G.; Módenes, A. N.; Borba, C. E.; Scheufele, F. B.;  
48 Schuelter, A. R.; Kroumov, A. D. Computational Fluid Dynamics Applied for the  
49 Improvement of a Flat-Plate Photobioreactor towards High-Density Microalgae Cultures.  
50 *Biochem Eng J* **2019**, *151*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2019.107257>.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (11) Laifa, R.; Morchain, J.; Barna, L.; Guiraud, P. A Numerical Framework to Predict the  
5 Performances of a Tubular Photobioreactor from Operating and Sunlight Conditions. *Algal*  
6 *Res* **2021**, *60*(102550).  
7  
8  
9 (12) Zhang, Q.; Yu, Z.; Jin, S.; Liu, C.; Li, Y.; Guo, D.; Hu, M.; Ruan, R.; Liu, Y. Role of Surface  
10 Roughness in the Algal Short-Term Cell Adhesion and Long-Term Biofilm Cultivation  
11 under Dynamic Flow Condition. *Algal Res* **2020**, *46*.  
12 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2019.101787>.  
13  
14  
15 (13) Frungieri, G.; Carone, M.; Riggio, V.; Buffo, A.; Vanni, M.; Zanetti, M. Numerical  
16 Modelling of a Lab-Scale Reactor for Microalgae Growth. *Chem Eng Trans* **2022**, *92*, 127–  
17 132. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET2292022>.  
18  
19  
20 (14) Fernández del Olmo, P.; Ación, F. G.; Fernández-Sevilla, J. M. Productivity Analysis in  
21 Tubular Photobioreactors Using a Dynamic Photosynthesis Model Coupled to  
22 Computational Fluid Dynamics Particle Tracking. *Bioresour Technol* **2022**, *344*.  
23 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126277>.  
24  
25  
26 (15) Anye Cho, B.; Grobler, E.; William McClelland Pott, R.; Antonio del Río Chanona, E.;  
27 Zhang, D. A CFD Coupled Photo-Bioreactive Transport Modelling of Tubular  
28 Photobioreactor Mixed by Peristaltic Pump. *Chem Eng Sci* **2023**, *270*.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2023.118525>.  
30  
31  
32 (16) Cuaresma, M.; Janssen, M.; Vílchez, C.; Wijffels, R. H. Productivity of *Chlorella*  
33 *Sorokiniana* in a Short Light-Path (SLP) Panel Photobioreactor under High Irradiance.  
34 *Biotechnol Bioeng* **2009**, *104*(2), 352–359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.22394>.  
35  
36  
37 (17) Blanken, W.; Cuaresma, M.; Wijffels, R. H.; Janssen, M. Cultivation of Microalgae on  
38 Artificial Light Comes at a Cost. *Algal Research*. October 2013, pp 333–340.  
39 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2013.09.004>.  
40  
41  
42 (18) Schulze, P. S. C.; Barreira, L. A.; Pereira, H. G. C.; Perales, J. A.; Varela, J. C. S. Light  
43 Emitting Diodes (LEDs) Applied to Microalgal Production. *Trends in Biotechnology*.  
44 Elsevier Ltd 2014, pp 422–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.06.001>.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (19) Radzun, K. A.; Wolf, J.; Jakob, G.; Zhang, E.; Stephens, E.; Ross, I.; Hankamer, B.  
5 Automated Nutrient Screening System Enables High-Throughput Optimisation of  
6 Microalgae Production Conditions. *Biotechnol Biofuels* **2015**, *8* (1).  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-015-0238-7>.  
8  
9  
10  
11 (20) Carvalho, A. P.; Silva, S. O.; Baptista, J. M.; Malcata, F. X. Light Requirements in  
12 Microalgal Photobioreactors: An Overview of Biophotonic Aspects. *Applied Microbiology*  
13 *and Biotechnology*. March 2011, pp 1275–1288. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-3047-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-3047-8)  
14 [8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-010-3047-8).  
15  
16  
17  
18 (21) Baer, S.; Heining, M.; Schwerna, P.; Buchholz, R.; Hübner, H. Optimization of Spectral  
19 Light Quality for Growth and Product Formation in Different Microalgae Using a  
20 Continuous Photobioreactor. *Algal Res* **2016**, *14*, 109–115.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2016.01.011>.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (22) Borowitzka, M. A. High-Value Products from Microalgae-Their Development and  
27 Commercialisation. *Journal of Applied Phycology*. June 2013, pp 743–756.  
28 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-013-9983-9>.  
29  
30  
31  
32 (23) Koller, M.; Muhr, A.; Braunegg, G. Microalgae as Versatile Cellular Factories for Valued  
33 Products. *Algal Research*. Elsevier B.V. October 1, 2014, pp 52–63.  
34 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2014.09.002>.  
35  
36  
37  
38 (24) Vadiveloo, A.; Moheimani, N. R.; Cosgrove, J. J.; Bahri, P. A.; Parlevliet, D. Effect of  
39 Different Light Spectra on the Growth and Productivity of Acclimated *Nannochloropsis* Sp.  
40 (Eustigmatophyceae). *Algal Res* **2015**, *8*, 121–127.  
41 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.02.001>.  
42  
43  
44  
45 (25) Han, P. P.; Shen, S. G.; Wang, H. Y.; Sun, Y.; Dai, Y. J.; Jia, S. R. Comparative  
46 Metabolomic Analysis of the Effects of Light Quality on Polysaccharide Production of  
47 Cyanobacterium *Nostoc Flagelliforme*. *Algal Res* **2015**, *9*, 143–150.  
48 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.02.019>.  
49  
50  
51  
52 (26) Carone, M.; Alpe, D.; Costantino, V.; Derossi, C.; Occhipinti, A.; Zanetti, M.; Riggio, V.  
53 A. Design and Characterization of a New Pressurized Flat Panel Photobioreactor for  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Microalgae Cultivation and CO<sub>2</sub> Bio-Fixation. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *307*.  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.135755>.  
6  
7  
8 (27) Maluta, F.; Buffo, A.; Marchisio, D.; Montante, G.; Paglianti, A.; Vanni, M. Effect of  
9 Turbulent Kinetic Energy Dissipation Rate on the Prediction of Droplet Size Distribution  
10 in Stirred Tanks. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* **2021**, *136*, 103547.  
11 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2020.103547>.  
12  
13  
14  
15 (28) Faria, R.; Ferreira, A. D.; Lopes, A. M. G.; Sousa, A. C. M. Modeling of Turbulent Flows  
16 in Rectangular Ducts Using Openfoam®. In *OpenFOAM - Selected Papers of the 11th*  
17 *Workshop*; Springer, 2019; pp 325–340. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60846-4\\_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-60846-4_24).  
18  
19  
20  
21 (29) White, F. M. *Viscous Fluid Flow*, 3rd ed.; McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2006.  
22  
23  
24 (30) Ranade, V. V. *Computational Flow Modeling for Chemical Reactor Engineering*, 1st ed.;  
25 Academic Press, 2001.  
26  
27  
28 (31) Schlichting, H. *Boundary Layer Theory*, 7th ed.; McGraw-Hill, 1979.  
29  
30  
31 (32) Hinze, J. O. Experimental Investigation on Secondary Currents in the Turbulent Flow  
32 through a Straight Conduit. *Applied Scientific Research* **1973**, *28* (1), 453–465.  
33 <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00413083>.  
34  
35  
36 (33) Melling, A.; Whitelaw, J. H. Turbulent Flow in a Rectangular Duct. *J Fluid Mech* **1976**, *78*  
37 (2), 289–315. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022112076002450>.  
38  
39  
40 (34) Bradshaw, P. Turbulent Secondary Flows. *Annu Rev Fluid Mech* **1987**, *19* (1), 53–74.  
41 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fl.19.010187.000413>.  
42  
43  
44 (35) Yao, J.; Zhao, Y.; Fairweather, M. Numerical Simulation of Turbulent Flow through a  
45 Straight Square Duct. *Appl Therm Eng* **2015**, *91*, 800–811.  
46 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2015.08.065>.  
47  
48  
49  
50 (36) Stankovic, B.; Belosevic, S.; Crnomarkovic, N.; Stojanovic, A.; Tomanovic, I.; Milicevic,  
51 A. Specific Aspects of Turbulent Flow in Rectangular Ducts. *Thermal Science* **2017**, *21*  
52 (suppl. 3), 663–678. <https://doi.org/10.2298/TSCI160201189S>.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (37) Orlandi, P.; Pirozzoli, S. Transitional and Turbulent Flows in Rectangular Ducts: Budgets  
5 and Projection in Principal Mean Strain Axes. *Journal of Turbulence* **2020**, *21* (5–6), 286–  
6 310. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14685248.2020.1779276>.  
7  
8  
9 (38) Pope, S. B. *Turbulent Flows*; Cambridge University Press, 2000.  
10  
11 (39) Stanier, R. Y.; Kunisawa, R.; Mandel, M.; Cohen-Bazire, G. Purification and Properties of  
12 Unicellular Blue-Green Algae (Order Chroococcales). *Bacteriol Rev* **1971**, *35*(2), 171–205.  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1128/mmbr.35.2.171-205.1971>.  
14  
15 (40) Allen, M. B. Studies with *Cyanidium Caldarium*, an Anomalously Pigmented Chlorophyte.  
16 *Arch Mikrobiol* **1959**, *32*, 270–277.  
17  
18 (41) Abiusi, F.; Trompetter, E.; Hoenink, H.; Wijffels, R. H.; Janssen, M. Autotrophic and  
19 Mixotrophic Biomass Production of the Acidophilic *Galdieria Sulphuraria* ACUF 64. *Algal*  
20 *Res* **2021**, *60*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2021.102513>.  
21  
22 (42) Pinto, G.; Ciniglia, C.; Cascone, C.; Pollio, A. A. Species Composition of Cyanidiales  
23 Assemblages in Pisciarelli (Campi Flegrei, Italy) and Description of *Galdieria Phlegrea* SP.  
24 NOV. In *Algae and Cyanobacteria in Extreme Environments. Cellular Origin, Life in*  
25 *Extreme Habitats and Astrobiology*; Seckbach, J., Ed.; Springer: Dordrecht, 2007; Vol. 11,  
26 pp 487–502. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6112-7\\_26](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6112-7_26).  
27  
28 (43) Graziani, G.; Schiavo, S.; Nicolai, M. A.; Buono, S.; Fogliano, V.; Pinto, G.; Pollio, A.  
29 Microalgae as Human Food: Chemical and Nutritional Characteristics of the Thermo-  
30 Acidophilic Microalga *Galdieria Sulphuraria*. *Food Funct* **2013**, *4* (1), 144–152.  
31 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2fo30198a>.  
32  
33 (44) Oesterhelt, C.; Schmäzlin, E.; Schmitt, J. M.; Lokstein, H. Regulation of Photosynthesis in  
34 the Unicellular Acidophilic Red Alga *Galdieria Sulphuraria*. *Plant Journal* **2007**, *51* (3),  
35 500–511. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03159.x>.  
36  
37 (45) Sloth, J. K.; Wiebe, M. G.; Eriksen, N. T. Accumulation of Phycocyanin in Heterotrophic  
38 and Mixotrophic Cultures of the Acidophilic Red Alga *Galdieria Sulphuraria*. *Enzyme*  
39 *Microb Technol* **2006**, *38*(1–2), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2005.05.010>.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


- 1  
2  
3  
4 (46) Selvaratnam, T.; Pegallapati, A. K.; Montelya, F.; Rodriguez, G.; Nirmalakhandan, N.  
5 Bioresource Technology Evaluation of a Thermo-Tolerant Acidophilic Alga , Galdieria  
6 Sulphuraria , for Nutrient Removal from Urban Wastewaters. *Bioresour Technol* **2014**, *156*,  
7 395–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.075>.  
8  
9  
10  
11 (47) Carbone, D. A.; Olivieri, G.; Pollio, A.; Melkonian, M. Comparison of Galdieria Growth  
12 and Photosynthetic Activity in Different Culture Systems. *AMB Express* **2020**, *10* (1).  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-020-01110-7>.  
14  
15  
16  
17 (48) Atta, M.; Idris, A.; Bukhari, A.; Wahidin, S. Intensity of Blue LED Light: A Potential  
18 Stimulus for Biomass and Lipid Content in Fresh Water Microalgae *Chlorella Vulgaris*.  
19 *Bioresour Technol* **2013**, *148*, 373–378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.08.162>.  
20  
21  
22  
23 (49) Baba, M.; Kikuta, F.; Suzuki, I.; Watanabe, M. M.; Shiraiwa, Y. Wavelength Specificity of  
24 Growth, Photosynthesis, and Hydrocarbon Production in the Oil-Producing Green Alga  
25 *Botryococcus Braunii*. *Bioresour Technol* **2012**, *109*, 266–270.  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.05.059>.  
27  
28  
29  
30 (50) Wang, S. K.; Stiles, A. R.; Guo, C.; Liu, C. Z. Microalgae Cultivation in Photobioreactors:  
31 An Overview of Light Characteristics. *Engineering in Life Sciences*. Wiley-VCH Verlag  
32 November 1, 2014, pp 550–559. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201300170>.  
33  
34  
35  
36 (51) Matthijs, H. C. P.; Balke, H.; Van Hes, U. M.; A Kroon, B. M.; Mur, L. R.; Binot, R. A.  
37 *Application of Light-Emitting Diodes in Bioreactors: Flashing Light Effects and Energy*  
38 *Economy in Algal Culture ( Ch/Ore//a Pyrenoidosa)*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1996; Vol.  
39 50.  
40  
41  
42  
43 (52) Mohsenpour, S. F.; Willoughby, N. Luminescent Photobioreactor Design for Improved  
44 Algal Growth and Photosynthetic Pigment Production through Spectral Conversion of  
45 Light. *Bioresour Technol* **2013**, *142*, 147–153.  
46 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2013.05.024>.  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51 (53) Das, P.; Lei, W.; Aziz, S. S.; Obbard, J. P. Enhanced Algae Growth in Both Phototrophic  
52 and Mixotrophic Culture under Blue Light. *Bioresour Technol* **2011**, *102* (4), 3883–3887.  
53 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.102>.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (54) Teo, C. L.; Atta, M.; Bukhari, A.; Taisir, M.; Yusuf, A. M.; Idris, A. Enhancing Growth and  
5 Lipid Production of Marine Microalgae for Biodiesel Production via the Use of Different  
6 LED Wavelengths. *Bioresour Technol* **2014**, *162*, 38–44.  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.03.113>.  
8  
9  
10  
11 (55) Niizawa, I.; Leonardi, R. J.; Irazoqui, H. A.; Heinrich, J. M. Light Wavelength Distribution  
12 Effects on the Growth Rate of *Scenedesmus Quadricauda*. *Biochem Eng J* **2017**, *126*, 126–  
13 134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2016.09.006>.  
14  
15  
16  
17 (56) Leonardi, R. J.; Niizawa, I.; Irazoqui, H. A.; Heinrich, J. M. Modeling and Simulation of  
18 the Influence of Fractions of Blue and Red Light on the Growth of the Microalga  
19 *Scenedesmus Quadricauda*. *Biochem Eng J* **2018**, *129*, 16–25.  
20 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2017.10.014>.  
21  
22  
23  
24 (57) Kula, M.; Rys, M.; Mozdzeń, K.; Skoczowski, A. Metabolic Activity, the Chemical  
25 Composition of Biomass and Photosynthetic Activity of *Chlorella Vulgaris* under Different  
26 Light Spectra in Photobioreactors. *Eng Life Sci* **2014**, *14* (1), 57–67.  
27 <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201200184>.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 (58) Dikicioglu, D.; Wood, V.; Rutherford, K. M.; McDowall, M. D.; Oliver, S. G. Improving  
33 Functional Annotation for Industrial Microbes: A Case Study with *Pichia Pastoris*. *Trends*  
34 *in Biotechnology*. Elsevier Ltd 2014, pp 396–399.  
35 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2014.05.003>.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 (59) Eriksen, N. T. Production of Phycocyanin - A Pigment with Applications in Biology,  
41 Biotechnology, Foods and Medicine. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*. August  
42 2008, pp 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008-1542-y>.  
43  
44  
45  
46 (60) Jordan, P.; Fromme<sup>2</sup>, P.; Witt<sup>2</sup>, H. T.; Klukas, O.; Saenger, W.; Krauß, N. Three-  
47 Dimensional Structure of Cyanobacterial Photosystem I at 2.5 Å Resolution. *Nature* **2001**,  
48 *411*.  
49  
50  
51  
52 (61) Stadnichuk, I. N.; Bulychev, A. A.; Lukashev, E. P.; Sinetova, M. P.; Khristin, M. S.;  
53 Johnson, M. P.; Ruban, A. V. Far-Red Light-Regulated Efficient Energy Transfer from  
54 Phycobilisomes to Photosystem i in the Red Microalga *Galdieria Sulphuraria* and  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 Photosystems-Related Heterogeneity of Phycobilisome Population. *Biochim Biophys Acta*  
5 *Bioenerg* **2011**, *1807* (2), 227–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabi.2010.10.018>.  
6  
7  
8 (62) Marquardt, J. Effects of Carotenoid-Depletion on the Photosynthetic Apparatus of a  
9 Galdieria Sulphuraria (Rhodophyta) Strain That Retains Its Photosynthetic Apparatus in the  
10 Dark. *J Plant Physiol* **1998**, *152* (4–5), 372–380. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(98)80250-2)  
11 [1617\(98\)80250-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0176-1617(98)80250-2).  
12  
13  
14  
15 (63) Blanken, W.; Postma, P. R.; de Winter, L.; Wijffels, R. H.; Janssen, M. Predicting  
16 Microalgae Growth. *Algal Res* **2016**, *14*, 28–38.  
17 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2015.12.020>.  
18  
19  
20  
21 (64) Kliphuis, A. M. J.; de Winter, L.; Vejrazka, C.; Martens, D. E.; Janssen, M.; Wijffels, R. H.  
22 Photosynthetic Efficiency of Chlorella Sorokiniana in a Turbulently Mixed Short Light-  
23 Path Photobioreactor. *Biotechnol Prog* **2010**, *26* (3), 687–696.  
24 <https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.379>.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29 (65) Li, J.; Stamato, M.; Velliou, E.; Jeffryes, C.; Agathos, S. N. Design and Characterization of  
30 a Scalable Airlift Flat Panel Photobioreactor for Microalgae Cultivation. *J Appl Phycol*  
31 **2015**, *27* (1), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10811-014-0335-1>.  
32  
33  
34  
35 (66) Carfagna, S.; Bottone, C.; Cataletto, P. R.; Petriccione, M.; Pinto, G.; Salbitani, G.; Vona,  
36 V.; Pollio, A.; Ciniglia, C. Impact of Sulfur Starvation in Autotrophic and Heterotrophic  
37 Cultures of the Extremophilic Microalga Galdieria Phlegrea (Cyanidiophyceae). *Plant Cell*  
38 *Physiol* **2016**, *57* (9), 1890–1898. <https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw112>.  
39  
40  
41  
42 (67) Abiusi, F.; Moñino Fernández, P.; Canziani, S.; Janssen, M.; Wijffels, R. H.; Barbosa, M.  
43 Mixotrophic Cultivation of Galdieria Sulphuraria for C-Phycocyanin and Protein  
44 Production. *Algal Res* **2022**, *61*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.algal.2021.102603>.  
45  
46  
47  
48 (68) Selvaratnam, T.; Pegallapati, A. K.; Montelya, F.; Rodriguez, G.; Nirmalakhandan, N.; Van  
49 Voorhies, W.; Lammers, P. J. Evaluation of a Thermo-Tolerant Acidophilic Alga, Galdieria  
50 Sulphuraria, for Nutrient Removal from Urban Wastewaters. *Bioresour Technol* **2014**, *156*,  
51 395–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2014.01.075>.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 (69) Wan, M.; Wang, Z.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, J.; Li, S.; Yu, A.; Li, Y. A Novel Paradigm for the  
5 High-Efficient Production of Phycocyanin from *Galdieria Sulphuraria*. *Bioresour Technol*  
6 **2016**, *218*, 272–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2016.06.045>.  
7  
8  
9 (70) Canelli, G.; Abiusi, F.; Vidal Garcia, A.; Canziani, S.; Mathys, A. Amino Acid Profile and  
10 Protein Bioaccessibility of Two *Galdieria Sulphuraria* Strains Cultivated Autotrophically  
11 and Mixotrophically in Pilot-Scale Photobioreactors. *Innovative Food Science and*  
12 *Emerging Technologies* **2023**, *84*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2023.103287>.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS USE ONLY



## SYNOPSIS

Advanced PBR with LED lighting optimizes microalgae growth, cuts costs, enables 24h production, and aligns with sustainability principles in closed systems.