

Herbarium 2.0

Original

Herbarium 2.0 / Lobosco, Gianni. - ELETTRONICO. - (2015), pp. 231-235. (LANDSCAPE IN FLUX, ECLAS 2015 Conference Tartu (Estonia) 21-23 Settembre 2015).

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2981248 since: 2023-09-22T13:52:35Z

Publisher:

Department of Landscape Architecture, Estonian University of Life Sciences Tartu, Estonia

Published

DOI:

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

ECLAS CONFERENCE TARTU 2015. LANDSCAPES IN FLUX. 20.-23.09

BOOK OF PROCEEDINGS

Edited by Gloria Niin & Himansu Sekhar Mishra

Landscapes in FLUX

**PEER REVIEWED PROCEEDINGS
ECLAS 2015 CONFERENCE
LANDSCAPES IN FLUX**

**21 to 23 September
Department of Landscape Architecture
Estonian University of Life Sciences
Tartu Estonia**

COPYRIGHT

Every scientific paper published in these Conference Proceedings was peer reviewed.

All explanations, data, results, etc. contained in this book have been made by authors to their best knowledge and were true and accurate at the time of publication. However, some errors could not be excluded, so neither the publisher, the editors, nor the authors can accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors and omissions that may be made.

© All rights reserved. No part of these proceedings may be reproduced by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher.

Graphic Design: Tuumik Studio OÜ

© Copyright©2015 by the authors

ISBN 978-9949-536-97-9

Edited by the Department of Landscape Architecture,
Estonian University of Life Sciences
Tartu, Estonia
Tartu 2015

ORGANIZED BY

Department of Landscape Architecture,
Estonian University of Life Sciences
On behalf of
European Council of Landscape Architecture Schools (ECLAS)

ORGANISERS



SPONSORS



ORGANISING COMMITTEE

Simon Bell, chair
Friedrich Kuhlmann, programme
Toomas Muru, organisation and finance
Peeter Vassiljev, conference management software
Gloria Niin, outdoor event, website, proceedings and support
Himansu Mishra, conference proceedings

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

The Scientific Committee for the ECLAS2015 conference is drawn from members of the Eastern Baltic Network of Landscape Architectural Schools and represents people who are familiar with the issues and tendencies relevant for the location and theme of the conference.

Simon Bell, Estonian University of Life sciences, Estonia
Per Berg, SLU Uppsala, Sweden
Maria Ignatieva, SLU Uppsala, Sweden
Natalja Nitavska, Latvia University of Agriculture, Latvia
Kristine Vugule, Latvia University of Agriculture, Latvia
Vaclovas Boguzas, Alexander Stulginsko University, Lithuania
Gintaras Stauskis, Vilnius Gediminas University, Lithuania
Outi Tahvonen, Häme University of Applied Sciences, Finland

REVIEWERS

Simon Bell
Sandra Costa
Marlies Brinkhuijsen
Friedrich Kuhlmann
Sabine Bouche-Pillon
Natalija Nitavska
Kristine Vugule
Daiga Zigmunde
Robert Holden
Sophia Meeres
Doris Damyanovic
Adri van den Brink
Gabriela Maksymiuk
Renata Giedych
Agata Cieszewska
Paulo Farinha-Marques
Diedrich Bruns
Rudi van Etteger
Richard Stiles
Outi Tahvonen
Jeroen de Vries
Karsten Jorgensen
Ignatieva Maria
Ingrid Sarlöv Herlin
Sarah Milliken
Frederico Meireles
Karen Foley
Gintaras Stauskis
Tim Delshammar
Una Ile
Ellen Fetzer
Benz Kotzen
Eva Silveirinha de Oliveira
Kristin Faurest
Maria Beatrice Andreucci
Harlind Libbrecht
Tal Alon-Mozes
Marc Treib
Madara Markova

KEYNOTE LECTURES 11**FULL PAPERS & PECHA KUCHA PAPERS 16****SEEKING IMPROVEMENTS TO THE LEARNING EXPERIENCE 17**

Landscape Studio Teaching for Conditions of Uncertainty 17

landscape model making: An archetypal design medium reevaluated in a digital age 26

Enhancing spatial perception ability by using landscape modelling approach 31

Large-scale landscape design: a teaching method to overcome group work dilemmas 35

Learning by filming: Architectural students and contemporary landscape 43

Learning to Land, Landing to Learn: On Fieldwork in Landscape Architecture Education 48

URBAN GARDENING 53

Between parks and agriculture: Ownership perception in urban gardening 53

Evaluation of spatial and functional embedding of urban agriculture projects in the urban fringe 58

The Edible Landscape within the Urban Area (ELWUA) of Beijing 66

Allotment gardens in Swiss cities: Contested spaces in changing urban landscapes 73

Environmental behaviour of urban allotment gardeners in Europe 78

Local food production for sustainable development of estates: A research through design project 83

RECENT APPROACHES TO GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING 89

Interaction of science and practice in green infrastructure planning in Finland – Collaboration methods for planners and researchers 89

From “Green Wedges” to “Green Infrastructure”: Back to the future? 94

Naturalistic design – limited sustainability or last chance action 101

Opportunities and Constraints for Implementation of Green Infrastructure Network – The Research on Mediterranean Historical Towns in Croatia 106

Biodiversity in Porto: A comparative study between two major public green spaces 110

The role of urban growth models and geographical information systems in landscape analysis studies 117

EXPERIENCING THE LANDSCAPE EMOTION AND WELLBEING 123

Landscape experience and the speed of walking 123

Kinesthetic Engagement in the Urban Landscape 128

Restorative value of pine forest compared with spruce forest:

an experiment using an immersive, simulated, Estonian winter landscape 133

Use of public parks in an Islamic country in transition: a case study of the Islamic Republic of Iran 139

Landscapes of blindness as perceived by people: Escaping from visual dogmas 145

Emotional wellbeing restoration of the visually impaired: The role of green space 151

TEACHING AND RESEARCH 156

Teaching summary regarding Colour aspects in Landscape study programs in the Nordic countries 156

Continuous Professional Development as a Tool for Strengthening Public Competences of Landscape Architects in the Baltic Sea Region 161

“Desert Gardens” vs “Gardens in Deserts” – Contrasting approaches to arid landscape design 168

Education through practice: landscape renewal/building 174

URBAN LANDSCAPE 177

Landscape Urbanism as “Re-Distribution of the Sensible” 177

Influence of academic function to the townscape of Gliwice 180

The Historic Urban Landscape of Namsan in Seoul 183

In search of new forms of urbanity; sustainability and liveability in urban post-industrial revitalisations 186

The garden of the Museum Soares dos Reis – novel uses for an old space. 193

CULTURAL LANDSCAPE 198

Water technical monuments of Banská Štiavnica – a vision for a cultural heritage UNESCO site 198

Ancient trees: storytellers of a metamorphosing landscape 201

Cultural landscape and infrastructure development: ways of coexistence 206

From Serralves Foundation to the River Ave Valley – a Prospective Landscape Itinerary 211

LANDSCAPE PLANNING 215

Outdoor environments for primary school teaching and learning: An exploratory study 215

The aesthetic perception of ski resorts in the Ukrainian Carpathian Mountains 219

Wilderness, camera, action: conservation, commercialisation and change in National Park landscapes 223

A Sense of Place: revised! 227

GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE 230

Herbarium 2.0 230

Ecology as a form of media in contemporary landscape architecture 235

Resort Alleys on the Baltic Sea Southern Coast in the 19th Century 240

The motivation of participants in a community garden in Hino City, Japan 248

A pathway for the creation of agriculture parks in Matosinhos, Portugal 251

Using a participatory approach to introduce zero-acreage farming to the City of Berlin 255

INCORPORATING CHANGING REALITIES INTO TEACHING 258

Landscape Planning: From theory to teaching 258

Landscape architecture; design as transformation of the existing 264

Transition Maker: Designing ‘Mixed Realities’ in Urban Landscapes 275

Contexts in evolution: Dwelling between identity and incompleteness 282

URBAN NATURE TREES AND WOODLAND 288

Ok, cities grow, but the trees too! Now what? 288

Does it really make a difference? Exploring changes in woodland’s use and perceptions 298

Development of urban forest in urban and suburban areas of Switzerland – SUBURBFOR 303

Towards a green Mexico City 306

EXPERIENCES OF LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE EDUCATION 313

The rise, decline and fall of landscape architecture education in the United Kingdom: A history 313

How was your work experience? Students’ perspectives of the ‘year in practice’ 319

Towards 2025: Developing a Sino-European approach to Teaching Sustainable Landscape Urbanism 324

Landscape architecture and agronomy: an interdisciplinary teaching experimentation 330

Finding and Mapping a Teaching Arboretum in Alexandria, Virginia 337

Uneven relations: making, remaking and unmaking public space in London 344

URBAN SPACE TRANSFORMATION 344

Temporary public space strategies in large-scale urban transformation projects 350

Small Urban Public Open Spaces (SUPOS) in China and Europe: theory, assessment, planning and design 355

Shanghai Parks’ Transformation in the Context of Modernism – A Local Cultural Perspective 363

Changes to urban landscape approaches: Case study of an industrial town 372

Civic and spatial transformation of two main urban squares in Ljubljana, Slovenia 378

MEMORY, EVOLUTION AND CONSERVATIONS IN CULTURAL LANDSCAPE 384

The changing and the permanent aspects of landscape 384

Observations along the River Raritan 390

The Stage of Memory: Symbolic Landscapes of Sejongno 399

Landscape and memory in post-soviet Estonia – the story of Raadi Airfield 405

The Transylvanian castle gardens inventory: 2004-2014 410

Topographical design and artificial excavation in the modern landscape: Chandigarh, Brasilia, Moerenuma 414

ART AS/AND LANDSCAPE 420

Representing the dynamic nature of landscape 420

Art as/and Research 425

Making Propositions: Richard Long and Robert Irwin 431

THE LANDSCAPE AS A FUSION OF TIMES: Qualitative Method in the Art of Marcelo Moscheta 435

Art as Environmental Inquiry: Collaborative and Technologically Driven Approaches 440

LANDSCAPE RESEARCH: DEVELOPMENTS IN APPLICATIONS 445

The changing role of designers in environmental design; from facilitating participation to evidence-based design 445

Exploring Neighbourhood Preferences using Conjoint Analysis 453

Next challenges in research on cultural landscapes: The Spanish experience 458

The layers of park use: Visitor surveys of the Városliget in Budapest since the 90's 464

“New directions“ in landscape architecture research: Learning about peoples' sense of direction– The case of the “Bergpark Kassel Wilhelmshöhe“ in Germany 470

Communication of virtual environments in landscape architecture research landscape visualisation for public participation 476

WE CHANGE THE LANDSCAPE AND THE LANDSCAPE CHANGES US 480

Landscape Assessment in Germany – the Role of the European Landscape Convention 480

PATH-MAKING: persistent elements of landscapes in flux 487

Recollecting Landscapes and Beyond. Rephotography as a Tool to Discuss Landscape Change 492

Landscape identities in flux: the case of Ekeberg Sculpture Park in Oslo 499

Improving children's outdoor learning by design: a case study from Bangladesh 503

Welcome to our place: how sustainable tourism development can contribute to landscape identity 509

The importance of the landscape factor in maintaining the identity of relocated communities: the case of Aldeia da Luz 516

URBAN PLACES FOR CHANGING PEOPLE AND NATURE 523

Evolving Urban Landscape Typologies 523

Place-keeping partnerships in practice: Open space management in a state of flux 530

Outdoor recreation patterns and social integration of migrants in Antalya and Berlin 535

Immigrants in Lisbon - a cross-cultural comparison of the use of public spaces 540

Water Mutations – Habitat Transitions 544

Experimenting landscape scale biophilic design: Case of Konyaalti, Antalya, Turkey 550

Testing a participatory approach in the revitalization of Santa Luzia neighbourhood, Porto, Portugal 560

POSTER SESSION 568

HERBARIUM 2.0

AUTHORS

Gianni Lobosco

PhD Candidate, Architecture Department –
University of Ferrara, Italy
(gianni.lobosco@unife.it)

KEYWORDS

Abandon, Infrastructure, Taxonomy, Botanic, Device

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, both public administrations and private institutions account neglected areas, brown-fields and abandoned buildings as a factor of major urban degradation, often looking for temporary solutions and low-budget ideas as an answer to very problematic issues. But in many cases, these re-generation actions are long-term processes, requiring big investments and granting no certain outcomes. Nevertheless, such abandoned areas –colonized by a sort of “second-hand nature”– are often fundamental oasis of biodiversity; if intended as a network, they represent a concealed green infrastructure spread out across the whole urban landscape and it is as such they should be conceived and designed. Herbarium 2.0 aims at inspiring new strategies in order to trigger effective changes in such contexts, starting from a tool that introduces an alternative taxonomy for plants and green-devices. Herbarium 2.0 is a collection of sample species, catalogued according to specific features and pragmatic implications. The strategy adopted by many plants to spontaneously grow and develop in particular environmental conditions is firstly analysed in order to identify their best use and to address specific tasks. Plants are capable of drastically decrease the quantity of metals in the atmosphere, capturing CO₂ and other greenhouse gases and fixing them through photosynthesis. Some of them bring nourishment to depleted soils, cleaning contaminated lands and accumulating earth in ruined and rocky areas, growing and spreading across asphalt and concrete. Under this perspective, it is not important whether these species are autochthonous or allochthonous, overriding or invasive, but rather the extent to which they respond to environmental needs and to the landscape project's targets. Herbarium 2.0 aims at being a compendium of tools and actions capable of strengthening latent or underway processes dealing with temporary and evolving landscapes within the urban environment. In this framework, vegetation becomes the main

element of broader transformations opposing the widespread idea by which “nature” must be kept under control, and disorder is equivalent to decay.

HERBARIUM 2.0

In its original form, “herbarium” is an analytical collection, or a figurative compendium, of preserved plant specimens aimed at studying their botanical features and healing properties. It represents an essential tool for studying plants taxonomy, their geographical distribution and the analysis of their morphological changes over time.

During the Middle Ages, the herbarium had its spatial counterpart in the Benedictine monastic garden (the “hortus conclusus” meaning literally “enclosed garden”): originally being a place for learning, working and meditating, with no ornamental characterization.

The herbarium was conceived to virtually reflect the structure of an idealized garden so much that was also called as “hortus siccus” (i.e. dry garden). The analogical relationship between the herbarium – as an investigative tool – and the garden – as a space of empirical verification – has constantly been renewed over time up to the great examples of the botanical European gardens during the XVII and XVIII centuries. Somehow, between the herbarium and the garden, it has always been a kind of correspondence capable of “producing” new landscapes. Such correspondence starts to change with the advent of the industrial city, ceasing to evolve beyond the already encoded models. Some recent examples, as the “Eden project” in Cornwall, still goes towards this direction, emphasizing nevertheless that sort of historical detachment from the urban context typical of botanic gardens.

The proposal of a “Herbarium 2.0” aims at inspiring new strategies in order to trigger effective changes in the field, starting from original interpretations of landscape




Figure 1: Collection of herbaria and their “homologous” gardens.

in the contemporary city as the concept of “Third landscape” by Clément (2004). The frayed nature that characterizes the post-industrial city – in its infrastructural interstices, neglected areas, brown-fields and abandoned buildings (David, 2000) – represents an opportunity to revive the relationship “herbarium/garden” under a

different perspective. Such urban spaces colonized by a sort of “second-hand nature”, as defined by the artist Lois Weinberger (Zanfi, 2009) are often fundamental oasis of biodiversity: regulated by precise growth and propagation criteria, associated with specific boundary conditions, they eventually correspond to different

biotopes of the urban ecological habitat. If intended as a network, they might represent a concealed “green infrastructure” spread out across the whole urban landscape, a “widespread garden” with its own logic of development, an experimental laboratory that matches an updated plant species taxonomy: the “Herbarium 2.0”.

To achieve this goal, a shift in the perspective is necessary in order to carry out the transition from a static (i.e. 1.0) to a more dynamic (i.e. 2.0) model. “Herbarium 2.0” aims at being a compendium of tools and actions capable of strengthening latent or underway processes dealing with temporary and evolving landscapes within the urban environment. In this regard, two main objectives should be pursued simultaneously: defining the new taxonomy criteria and developing the new herbarium spatial homologue.

TAXONOMY CRITERIA

Reaching this first objective involves to catalogue plant species according to specific features and pragmatic implications that their proliferation induces on the surrounding environment, rather than considering their own intrinsic properties. The strategy adopted by many plants to spontaneously grow and develop in particular environmental conditions can be analysed in order to identify their best use and to address specific tasks. Some plants are capable of drastically decrease the quantity of metals in the atmosphere, capturing CO_2 and other greenhouse gases and fixing them through photosynthesis. Some of them bring nourishment to depleted soils, cleaning contaminated lands and accumulating earth in ruined and rocky areas, growing and spreading across asphalt and concrete.

Starting from the extensive scientific literature on this matter it is possible to collect a great amount of data on plants skills, but the main challenge is how to organize them so that can be used within design actions. In the framework of this brief dissertation, it




Figure 2: “Vectors”: different steps in colonizing process by pioneer flora and its anatomy.

is only possible to suggest some elements hypothetically composing a first hierarchy level of this taxonomy. In parallel with chemical reactions components, the following main categories have been identified:

- “Reagents”: as plants or plant specimens that directly affect changes within the physical and/or chemical characteristics of the environment in which they are introduced. This category may include high-performative plants as the so called “hyperaccumulators” (Sarma, 2011) or more common species whose

morphological features affect, for example, soil temperature (through the plant habit, foliage density, etc.).

- “Vectors”: as the ones whose skill consist in colonizing new habitats, beginning a chain of ecological succession that ultimately leads to the arrival of more complex species. The pioneer flora is an example: these highly specialized plants develop anatomical and physiological responses to harsh contexts and conditions (due to salinity, dryness, etc.). Thanks to the small exposure surface and their disproportionate root system, they are able to escape the pruning and reach the water at great depths increasing effectively the soil fertility, starting new naturalization processes.
- “Catalysts”: as for chemical, their task is to affect the rate of a reaction saving the overall dispersion of energy. In this sense mutualistic relationships can be highlighted between plant roots and fungi, for example in mycorrhizal associations (Ollerton, 2006). More simply, the herbaceous plants act as catalysts for the fertilization maintaining soil moisture and spinning the growth of more complex organism in specific contexts.
- “Indicators”: as vegetal species whose function is to revel the qualitative status of en environment or an ongoing process. These bioindicators can tell us about the cumulative effects of different pollutants in the ecosystem and about how long a problem may have been present. A good example of that are lichens, mosses and specific parts of trees as their bark, rings or leafs. Generally, indicators don’t affect considerably the ecosystem but provide important clues about its health giving feedback on its development direction.

These proposed categories, far from being exhaustive, represent a first input to build the Herbarium 2.0 aiming to set an alternative taxonomy under a performance-based classification.

SPATIAL HOMOLOGUE

As already mentioned, Herbarium 2.0 requires a physical testing field, a spatial homologue. This potential garden has been identified within all the existing neglected urban spaces that should be connected in order to form a single network, a “widespread urban garden”. Before analysing some possible strategies that may be carried out to create such spaces, it is useful to clarify through an example what a testing field is intended to be within this dissertation. The installation “Revival Field: Projection & Procedure”, developed from 1990 by artist Mel Chin with scientist, Dr Rufus Chaney, tested some plants (i. e. hyperaccumulators, able to draw heavy metals) in detoxifying a soil. The contaminated earth was enclosed with a chain-link fence and divided by paths that formed an X; the project’s boundaries were marked by a square. Chin conceived these overlays as a target, a metaphorical reference to the work’s pinpoint cleanup. The divisions were also functional, separating different varieties of plants from each other. This project was all about the conceptual realization of scientific processes brought forward through art, about the possibility of integrating landscape design, green remediation and ecological consciousness.



Figure 3: Mel Chin, “Revival field. Projection and procedures from toxic soil to revived area”. Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1990. A bird’s eye view.




Figure 4: Joseph Beuys, “7000 Oaks – City Forestation Instead of City Administration “. Documenta VII, Kassel, Germany, 1982. A photo-story.

The diverse topics and purposes of this project should evenly address the strategy behind the development of the Herbarium 2.0 spatial homologue. In this perspective, 3 main procedures can be mentioned whose final goal is to connect wide-spread areas in a single networked garden:

- “physical connections” involving propagation means and conductors such as, for instance, “green corridors” and all the good practices facilitating seeds transportation (by water, wind, animals) throughout the city (Galí-Izard, 2006). Moreover, encouraging all those environments subjected to time variations (periodic, erratic and sequential habitats);
- “data connections” represent –in the forthcoming future– a powerful tool to unify green areas in a single ICT infrastructure and make them operate as “wired objects”. Some experiences have shown the potential of RFDI (Radio Frequency Identification Devices) in trees protection and management and interesting applications may come from that in order to implement a diffused biomonitoring system for the urban setting (Luvisi & Lorenzini, 2014);
- “community-based connections” lie in the latent desire of citizens to interact with public spaces leading and participating to urban transformations. Starting from artistic projects like the Beuys’s “7000 Oaks” for Documenta VII (Kassel, 1982) until more recent experiences promoted by municipalities, collective approaches are building awareness of a latent “green infrastructure” spanning the city.

Herbarium 2.0 obviously leaves some open issues concerning, for instance, the debate around “ecology restoration” and the utilization of certain plant species. Anyway under this proposition’s perspective, it is not fundamental whether these species are autochthonous or allochthonous, overriding or invasive, but rather the extent to which they respond to environmental needs and to the landscape project’s targets. The introductory essay to the book “Imperfect Health” (Borasi & Zardini, 2012) points out all the contradictions rising from the belief that “well-being lies in nature”. By demonstrating that, historically, the conception of “nature” itself changes continuously, the authors underline how our feelings and perception of nature shape our attitudes and means of intervening in it. In this perspective, Herbarium 2.0 aims at being an interpretative tool of this constant changes, assuming both vegetation features and relapses as markers of possible outlooks in urban transformations.

REFERENCES

- Borasi, G. & Zardini, M., 2012. *Imperfect Health. The Medicalization of Architecture*. Zurich: Lars Müller Publishers.
- Clément, G., 2004. *Manifeste pour le Tiers paysage*. Paris: Éditions Sujet/Objet.
- David, C., 2000. *Abandoned Gardens*. Wien: Drift.
- Galí-Izard, T., 2006. *Los mismos paisajes*. Barcelona: Gustavo Gill.
- Luvisi, A. & Lorenzini, G., 2014. RFID-plants in the smart city: Applications and outlook for urban green management. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*. 13 (4), 630-637.
- Ollerton, J., 2006. “Biological Barter”: Interactions of Specialization Compared across Different Mutualisms. In: Waser, N.M. & Ollerton, J. (eds). *Plant-Pollinator Interactions: From Specialization to Generalization*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sarma, H., 2011. Metal hyperaccumulation in plants: A Review focusing on phytoremediation technology. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 4 (2), 118–138.
- Zanfi, C., 2009. *Lois Weinberger: The Mobile Garden*. Bologna: Damiani.