

Complexity Versus Accuracy Tradeoffs in Nonlinear Fiber Propagation Models

Original

Complexity Versus Accuracy Tradeoffs in Nonlinear Fiber Propagation Models / Bosco, G.. - ELETTRONICO. - (2023), pp. 1-3. (2023 Optical Fiber Communications Conference and Exhibition (OFC) San Diego, CA, USA 5-9 March 2023) [10.23919/OFC49934.2023.10117197].

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2979575 since: 2023-06-26T09:43:04Z

Publisher:

IEEE

Published

DOI:10.23919/OFC49934.2023.10117197

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

IEEE postprint/Author's Accepted Manuscript

©2023 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collecting works, for resale or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

(Article begins on next page)

Complexity Versus Accuracy Tradeoffs in Nonlinear Fiber Propagation Models

Gabriella Bosco

Politecnico di Torino, Department of Electronics and Telecommunications, C.so Duca Degli Abruzzi 24, Torino, Italy
gabriella.bosco@polito.it

Abstract: Some of the most widespread analytical models for nonlinear propagation in fiber optic coherent systems are reviewed, highlighting the tradeoffs between accuracy and complexity in different transmission scenarios, including wide-band optical systems and short-reach links.

© 2022 The Author(s)

1. Introduction

The nonlinear interference (NLI) noise generated by the nonlinear interaction between different WDM channels is a major limiting factor to the capacity of long-haul coherent optical systems. As transmission power is increased, the nonlinear Kerr effect degrades the system performance, preventing operation at the transmission rates that would be achieved in a linear system [1]. This performance limitation motivated the development of several nonlinear compensation techniques, as well as of analytical models for signal propagation in an optical fiber. These models, which have become widespread in recent years, are useful tools for system designers in predicting the behaviour of optical networks without the need of resorting to time-consuming numerical Split-Step Fourier (SSF) simulations. In the following, the most used analytical models of nonlinear propagation in uncompensated coherent optical transmission systems are briefly reviewed, highlighting some of the main trade-offs between accuracy and complexity in different transmission scenarios, including wide-band optical systems and short reach links.

2. The GN models and their assumptions

Most of the models that predict the performance degradation in optical fiber communications due to Kerr nonlinearity solve the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) analytically using a first-order perturbation approach [2]. Among them, those based on the ‘GN-model’ approach [1] have become quite popular, thanks to a good balance between accuracy, complexity and ease of use. Their simplicity, with respect to other models, derives from the assumption that, in highly dispersive channels such as uncompensated long-haul coherent systems, each WDM signal can be treated as Gaussian noise.

A detailed investigation of GN-model errors and limitations was proposed and extensively discussed in [1]. Overall, the GN-model accuracy for uncompensated systems appears to be rather satisfactory and typically adequate for dealing with many practical scenarios. The simplest close-form expressions of the power spectral density (PSD) of the NLI can be obtained assuming an incoherent combination of the NLI components generated in each span during propagation, i.e., under the assumption that that NLI adds up in power at the end of the link. Assuming the propagation of equal channels with approximately rectangular spectra over equal fiber spans with lumped amplification, the PSD of the NLI at the center frequency can be evaluated as [1]:

$$G_{\text{NLI}}(f_c) = N_{\text{span}} \frac{16}{27} \frac{\gamma^2 L_{\text{eff}}^2 P_{\text{ch}}^3}{\pi |\beta_2| \alpha R_s^3} \operatorname{asinh} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2\alpha} |\beta_2| R_s^2 \left[N_{\text{ch}}^2 \right]^{\frac{R_s}{\Delta f}} \right)$$

where N_s is the number of spans, γ is the fiber nonlinearity coefficient, N_{ch} is the number of WDM channels, R_s is the symbol rate, Δf is the channel frequency spacing, α is the fiber loss coefficient and β_2 is fiber dispersion. L_{eff} is the effective length, defined as: $L_{\text{eff}} = [1 - \exp(-2\alpha L_s)] / (2\alpha)$, with L_s the span length. The accuracy of this incoherent GN model (IGN-model) in predicting system performance appears to be quite good for practical system configurations. Several applications of the GN-model heavily exploit its closed-form approximate solutions, among which link throughput analysis and optimization [3], derivation of approximate ‘design rules’ which provide a simple variational dependence of performance on system parameters [4], identification and limitations of non-linearity DSP-supported mitigation [5] and, derivation of an overall optimization and control strategy for new-generation optically routed network [6]. The breadth of these applications clearly demonstrates the extent of the impact of the availability of a simple and effective model of non-linear propagation.

However, it has been shown that the signal-Gaussianity approximation used in the GN-model derivation can be inaccurate, especially in the initial part of a multi-span link [7]. In fact, the dispersed signal is only first-order

Gaussian, whereas multiple samples of the signal do not have a jointly Gaussian distribution [8]. The fact that the GN model neglects this aspect leads to several limitations, among which the inability to resolve the format-dependent NLI generation. Removing the Gaussian assumption thus requires taking into account not only the 2nd moment of the launched signal, but also higher order moments. In this way, the models of nonlinear propagation become more complex in terms of computational effort, but the accuracy is increased. In particular, a detailed modelling of all the NLI components is obtained, including short-correlated quasi-circular noise and long-correlated nonlinear phase noise (NLPN) and polarization noise [7,8]. The enhanced-GN model (or EGN model [7]) is a complete and accurate model in the frequency domain, but computationally very complex and thus not adequate for real-time applications, where closed-form formulas are typically employed [6,9] at the expenses of a reduction of the estimation accuracy.

3. Application scenarios

3.1 High-symbol rate transmission with shaped constellations

Lately, strong industry innovative trends have developed towards a quick-paced uptake of Gaussian-shaped constellations and a swift increase in symbol rates, with rates up to 128 Gbaud and beyond foreseen in the short-medium term. The EGN model appears to be extremely reliable, across all the explored parameter space, as shown in [10, 11]. It handles all formats, QAM and Gaussian constellations, spacing values and symbol rates from 8 to 512 Gbaud, within a very small error bracket vs. simulations. Interestingly for real-time applications, simpler models of the GN type appear to increase substantially their accuracy as the symbol rate goes up. The effect is more evident at longer reaches, where accumulated dispersion is larger, and the signal is more spread-out. Moreover, for Gaussian-shaped constellations, the EGN model remarkably coincides with the much computationally simpler GN model [11].

3.2 Wide-band optical systems

Models based on the conventional nonlinear Schrödinger equation, which assumes an instantaneous nonlinear response, cannot be applied to transmission bandwidths beyond the C-band, where the delayed part of the nonlinear response (due to the Raman effect) becomes significant and cannot be neglected [12]. The conventional GN-model has been thus recently extended to account for inter-channel stimulated Raman scattering (ISRS) by either introducing effective attenuation coefficients [13,14] or by deriving a GN model subject to a generic signal power profile [14–17]. The ‘ISRS GN models’ enable an accurate modeling of nonlinear propagation in wideband optical systems that occupy the entire C+L band or beyond. In order to reduce the computational complexity of these models and make them real-time, Closed-Form Model (CFM) approximations have been proposed [18–21] capable of assessing whole links in fractions of a second. The CFMs were originally derived from a closed-form incoherent GN model approximation proposed in [1], which was then extended to take into account the frequency-dependence of both loss and dispersion, and the impact of ISRS [21–23]. Furthermore, the link function of the ISRS GN model can be combined with the formalism derived in [7], to account for arbitrary (non-Gaussian) modulation formats [24–26]. The CFMs were also augmented with various correction terms to improve their accuracy and bring them to EGN-model level [21,24,27], also using machine-learning techniques [21,23,28]. Recently, two examples of application of ISRS GN-model closed-form formulas to the maximization of the information throughput [29] and to the quality of transmission (QoT) estimation [30] have been reported.

3.3 Short-reach optical systems

Most closed-form equations derived for the GN-model do not account for short span lengths and extremely low-losses, due to the approximations made to derive them, which are based on the assumption that $e^{-2\alpha L_s} \ll 1$. The closed-form formulas proposed in [31,32] remove this assumption and are thus able to account for extremely low span losses, as well. In [33], a closed-form expression capable of accurately estimating the NLI in the presence of ISRS for any fiber span length and for fibers with extremely low-losses (~ 0.02 dB/km) is derived. The proposed closed-form expression accounts for all modulation formats, wavelength-dependent attenuation and dispersion. When compared to the ISRS GN model in its integral form, the estimation error of the non-linear SNR is always lower than 1 dB, even for very short span lengths and extremely low values of fiber loss.

5. Conclusions

The field of the investigation of NLI modeling has been extremely active over the last decade. The obtained results and developed practical tools have been extensively used by the community, both in transmission and in optical networking sectors. Several close-form analytical and semi-analytical formulas are currently available for different application scenarios, often offering a favorable accuracy versus relative simplicity trade-off.

6. References

- [1] P. Poggiolini, G. Bosco, A. Carena, V. Curri, Y. Jiang and F. Forghieri, "The GN-Model of Fiber Non-Linear Propagation and its Applications," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 694–721, Feb.15, 2014
- [2] A. Bononi, R. Dar, M. Secondini, P. Serena, P. Poggiolini, "Fiber Nonlinearity and Optical System Performance," in *Springer Handbook of Optical Networks*, Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [3] G. Bosco, P. Poggiolini, A. Carena, V. Curri and F. Forghieri, "Analytical results on channel capacity in uncompensated optical links with coherent detection," *Optics Express*, vol. 19, no. 26, pp. B438–B449, 2011.
- [4] V. Curri, A. Carena, G. Bosco, P. Poggiolini, A. Nespola, F. Forghieri, "Design rules for reach maximization in uncompensated Nyquist-WDM links," *Proc. of ECOC 2013*, paper Th.1.D.1, London, Sep. 2013.
- [5] G. Bosco, A. Carena, P. Poggiolini, V. Curri, F. Forghieri, "Non-linearity Compensation Limits in Optical Systems with Coherent Receivers," *Proc. of 5 2012*, Colorado Springs (USA), paper SpW3B.6, Jun. 2012.
- [6] P. Poggiolini, G. Bosco, A. Carena, R. Cigliutti, V. Curri, F. Forghieri, R. Pastorelli, S. Piciaccia, "The 6N Strategy for Low-Complexity Control Plane Implementation in New-Generation Flexible Networks," *Proc. of OFC 2013*, Anaheim (USA), Mar. 2013.
- [7] A. Carena et al., "EGN model of non-linear fiber propagation," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 22, no. 13, pp. 16335–16362, 2014.
- [8] R. Dar et al., "Properties of nonlinear noise in long dispersion-uncompensated fiber links," *Opt. Exp.*, vol. 21, no. 22, pp. 25685–25699, 2013.
- [9] R. Pastorelli, G. Bosco, S. Piciaccia, and F. Forghieri, "Network planning strategies for next-generation flexible optical networks," *J. Opt. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. A511–A525, Mar. 2015.
- [10] P. Poggiolini et al., "Non-Linearity Modeling at Ultra-High Symbol Rates," *Proc. of OFC 2018*, San Diego (USA), Mar. 2018.
- [11] P. Poggiolini et al., "Non-Linearity Modeling for Gaussian-Constellation Systems at Ultra-High Symbol Rates," *Proc. of ECOC 2018*, Rome, Sep. 2018.
- [12] D. Semrau, E. Sillekens, R. I. Killey and P. Bayvel, "Modelling the Delayed Nonlinear Fiber Response in Coherent Optical Communications," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1937–1952, 1 Apr. 2021.
- [13] D. Semrau, R. Killey, and P. Bayvel, "Achievable rate degradation of ultra-wideband coherent fiber communication systems due to stimulated Raman scattering," *Opt. Express*, vol. 25, no. 12, pp. 13 024–13 034, Jun. 2017.
- [14] M. Cantono, J. L. Auge, and V. Curri, "Modelling the impact of SRS on NLI generation in commercial equipment: An experimental investigation," in *Proc. OFC 2018*, Paper M1D.2.
- [15] I. Roberts et al., "Channel power optimization of WDM systems following Gaussian noise nonlinearity model in presence of stimulated Raman scattering," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 35, no. 23, pp. 5237–5249, Dec. 2017.
- [16] D. Semrau, R. I. Killey, P. Bayvel, "The Gaussian Noise Model in the Presence of Inter-Channel Stimulated Raman Scattering," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 36, no. 14, pp. 3046–3055, July 2018.
- [17] M Cantono, D. Piloni, A. Ferrari, C. Catanese, J. Thouras, J.-L. Augé, V. Curri, "On the interplay of nonlinear interference generation with stimulated Raman scattering for QoT estimation," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 36, no. 15, pp. 3131–3141, Aug. 2018.
- [18] P. Poggiolini, "Generalized GN-model closed-form formula," Sep. 2018, arXiv:1810.06545v2.
- [19] D. Semrau, R. I. Killey and P. Bayvel, "A Closed-Form Approximation of the Gaussian Noise Model in the Presence of Inter-Channel Stimulated Raman Scattering," *J. of Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 1924–1936, May 2019.
- [20] D. Semrau, R. I. Killey, and P. Bayvel, "Overview and comparison of nonlinear interference modelling approaches in ultra-wideband optical transmission systems," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Transparent Opt. Netw.*, 2019, pp. 1–4.
- [21] M. Ranjbar Zefreh, F. Forghieri, S. Piciaccia and P. Poggiolini, "Accurate Closed-Form Real-Time EGN Model Formula Leveraging Machine-Learning Over 8500 Thoroughly Randomized Full C-Band Systems," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 38, no. 18, pp. 4987–4999, Sep. 2020.
- [22] D. Semrau, G. Saavedra, D. Lavery, R. I. Killey and P. Bayvel, "A Closed-Form Expression to Evaluate Nonlinear Interference in Raman-Amplified Links," *J. of Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 35, no. 19, pp. 4316–4328, Oct. 2017.
- [23] M. Ranjbar Zefreh, P. Poggiolini, "A Real-Time Closed-Form Model for Nonlinearity Modeling in Ultra-Wide-Band Optical Fiber Links Accounting for Inter-channel Stimulated Raman Scattering and Co-Propagating Raman Amplification", arXiv:2006.03088, Jun.2020.
- [24] D. Semrau, E. Sillekens, R. I. Killey, and P. Bayvel, "A modulation format correction formula for the Gaussian noise model in the presence of interchannel stimulated Raman scattering," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 37, no. 19, pp. 5122–5131, Oct. 2019.
- [25] C. Lasagni, P. Serena, and A. Bononi, "A Raman-aware enhanced GN-model to estimate the modulation format dependence of the SNR tilt in C+L band," *Proc. of ECOC 2019*, Dublin, Ireland, Sep. 2019.
- [26] P. Serena, C. Lasagni, S. Musetti, and A. Bononi, "On numerical simulations of ultra-wideband long-haul optical communication systems," *J. Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 1019–1031, Sep. 2020.
- [27] C. Lasagni, P. Serena, A. Bononi, J.-C. Antona, "Generalized Raman Scattering Model and Its Application to Closed-Form GN Model Expressions Beyond the C+L Band," *Proc. of ECOC 2022*, Basel, Switzerland, Sep. 2022.
- [28] M. Ranjbar Zefreh, F. Forghieri, S. Piciaccia and P. Poggiolini, "A Closed-Form Nonlinearity Model for Forward-Raman-Amplified WDM Optical Links," *Proc. of OFC 2021*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Mar. 2021.
- [29] N. Shevchenko, S. Nallaperuma, and S. Savory, "Maximizing the information throughput of ultra-wideband fiber-optic communication systems," *Optics Express*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 19320–19331, May 2022.
- [30] A. D'Amico, B. Correia, E. London, E. Virgillito, G. Borraccini, A. Napoli, V. Curri, "Scalable and Disaggregated GGN Approximation Applied to a C+L+S Optical Network," *J. of Lightw. Technol.*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 3499–3511, June 2022.
- [31] P. Poggiolini, M. Ranjbar-Zefreh, "A GN-model closed-form formula supporting ultra-low fiber loss and short fiber spans," arXiv:2111.04584 [eess.SP], Nov. 2021.
- [32] W. Klaus, P.J. Winzer, "Hollow-core fiber capacities with receiver noise limitations," *Proc. of ECOC 2022*, Basel, Switzerland, Sep. 2022.
- [33] H. Buglia, E. Sillekens, A. Vasylichenkova, R.I. Killey, P. Bayvel, L. Galdino, "An Extended Version of the ISRS GN model in Closed-Form Accounting for Short Span Lengths and Low Losses," *Proc. of ECOC 2022*, Basel, Switzerland, Sep. 2022.