

Categorical Multifactor Design to Evaluate Different Operative Parameters During the Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Polluted Soil in Early Experimental Stages

Original

Categorical Multifactor Design to Evaluate Different Operative Parameters During the Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Polluted Soil in Early Experimental Stages / CASTRO RODRIGUEZ, DAVID JAVIER; Gutiérrez Benítez, Omar; Casals Perez, Enmanuel; Demichela, Micaela; Godio, Alberto; Chiampo, Fulvia. - ELETTRONICO. - 1:(2022), pp. 322-324. (GRICU 2022: Centralità dell'Ingegneria Chimica in un Mondo che cambia Ischia, Italia 3-6 /07/2022) [10.3303/BOA2201].

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2970065 since: 2022-07-14T09:20:46Z

Publisher:

AIDIC-Associazione Italiana di Ingegneria Chimica

Published

DOI:10.3303/BOA2201

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



BOOK OF ABSTRACTS

*Congresso Nazionale GRICU2022,
ISCHIA, 3-6 Luglio, 2022*

Guest Editor: Maurizio Masi

ISBN: 978-88-95608-04-4



9 788895 608044

DOI: 10.3303/BOA2201

Pubblicato da:



Associazione Italiana di Ingegneria Chimica
Via Giuseppe Colombo, 81/A
20133 Milano, Italy
Phone: +39-02-70608276;
e-mail: gricu2022@aidic.it

<https://www.aidic.it/gricu2022/>

Disclaimer

While any effort is made by the publisher and editorial board to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement appears in this volume, they wish to make clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles herein are the sole responsibility of the contributor concerned. Accordingly, the publisher, the copy, the editorial board and editors and their respective employees, officers and agents accept no responsibility or liability whatsoever for the consequences of any inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statement.

The Publisher

In order to make this volume available as economically and as rapidly as possible the typescript has been reproduced in its original form. This method unfortunately has its typographical limitations but it is hoped that they in no way distract the reader.

Indice

Bnvenuti a GRICU2022	i
Paper Index	iii
Author Index	xix
PAPERS	1



Benvenuto a GRICU 2022

A nome del Gruppo Italiano di Ingegneria Chimica dell'Università, GRICU, vi porgiamo un cordiale benvenuto al Convegno Nazionale:

GRICU 2022: Centralità dell'Ingegneria Chimica in un Mondo che cambia

in svolgimento dal 3 al 6 Luglio 2022 nell'isola di ISCHIA.

Siamo lieti di aprire con voi GRICU2022 e di condividere con voi l'atmosfera unica di questo evento, che offre oltre ad un programma molto interessante anche l'opportunità di scambiare idee con colleghi di tutti i settori e condividere la presentazione delle ultime innovazioni chiave all'interno dell'ingegneria chimica.

L'evento è organizzato da **AIDIC**, Associazione Italiana di Ingegneria Chimica.

L'organizzazione di questo Convegno Internazionale è stata possibile grazie all'aiuto e alla cura di molti colleghi: ringraziamo il contributo degli Autori dei manoscritti e dei Membri dei Comitati.

A nome dei Comitati del Convegno vi auguriamo giornate stimolanti e produttive, nonché un affascinante soggiorno nell'isola di ISCHIA.

A nome degli Organizzatori

Maurizio Masi
Presidente GRICU

Organizzato da



Associazione Italiana di Ingegneria Chimica
Via Giuseppe Colombo, 81/A
20133 Milano, Italy
Phone: +39-02-70608276;
e-mail: gricu2022@aidic.it

<https://www.aidic.it/gricu2022/>

AUTHOR INDEX

Baimenov Alzhan	63	Biagioni Valentina	102, 128
Abate Teresa	71	Bifulco Aurelio	264
Adrover Alessandra	89, 102, 128	Birloaga Ionela	263
Agostini Enrico	122	Boaretti Carlo	195, 235
Aiello Giuseppe	346, 361, 388, 577, 585, 632	Bobba Serena	396
Aisylu Zainutdinova	283	Boccardo Gianluca	67, 116, 122, 602
Alberini Federico	297	Bodoardo Silvia	594, 602
Albertazzi Jody	104	Boi Cristiana	650
Alexander Schaum	320	Bolla Maria	408
Alfano Sara	156	Bolzonella David	279, 306
Alfieri Vittorio	164	Bonafede Francesco	577, 585
Amabile Claudia	71	Bonetti Simone	651
Amaducci Fabiola	515	Bonvicini Sarah	513
Amanat Neda	215	Borella Lisa	273
Amato Paola	264	Borella Matteo	39
Ambrosetti Matteo	571, 573	Borhany Hojjat	357
Anania Francesco	534	Boscherini Mattia	186, 528
Andreozzi Roberto	464	Bottinelli Carlo	269
Angelini Emma	148	Bova Lorenzo	341
Annesini Maria Cristina	644	Bovina Sara	259
Antognoli Matteo	83, 112	Bozzano Giulia	304
Arato Elisabetta	562	Bozzoli Leonardo	583
Arduino Mara	565	Bracconi Mauro	478, 523, 573
Aronne Antonio	264	Brachi Paola	283
Atiq Omar	182	Bragatto Paolo	500
Avolio Francesco	259	Bravi Marco	10
Aydin Bora	538	Brondi Cosimo	414
Bacci Di Capaci Riccardo	422	Brucato Alberto	345
Baldino Lucia	3	Brunazzi Elisabetta	41, 83, 112, 422
Baldissonne Gabriele	482	Bruno Maria Giuseppina	388
Balsamo Marco	63, 211, 468	Buccolini Marco	215
Balzarotti Riccardo	573	Bufalini Chiara	331
Banetta Luca	602	Buffo Antonio	116, 380
Bano Gabriele	351, 369	Busca Guido	39, 521
Baratti Roberto	458	Busini Valentina	104
Barba Anna Angela	622	Caccavo Diego	622
Barbati Berardino	215	Cafaro Davide	478, 523
Barbera Elena	34, 203, 273, 312, 664	Caiazzo Fabrizia	164
Barberi Gianmarco	371	Calabrese Marcella	464
Barbucci Antonio	406	Campanile Lelio	45
Bareschino Piero	299	Campardelli Roberta	331, 365, 406, 408, 410
Barni Anita	269	Campi Fabrizio	390
Barolo Massimiliano	351, 353, 371, 480	Campisi Giovanni	618
Barozzi Marco	269, 488, 494	Canu Paolo	8, 567
Battista Federico	279	Capelli Laura	223, 651
Bax Carmen	223, 651	Capocelli Mauro	1
Bejtka Katarzyna	569	Cappelletti Martina	650
Bellagamba Marco	215	Caputo Giuseppe	345
Bellini Silvia	322	Carbone Sonia	577, 585
Benedetti Antonio	371	Carotenuto Claudia	134, 150, 640
Bensaid Samir	18, 209, 548, 558, 565, 598	Casa Marcello	283
Beretta Alessandra	571	Casaburi Oriana	414
Bertei Antonio	87, 588	Casals Pérez Enmanuel	294
Bertino Alice	440, 441	Casazza Alessandro Alberto	37, 39, 277, 335
Bertuccio Alberto	34, 203, 327	Caserta Sergio	377
Bezzo Fabrizio	351, 353, 371, 480, 504	Cassarò Calogero	61

Casson Moreno Valeria	466, 583	Di Bonito Luigi Piero	45
Castaldello Christopher	353	Di Carlo Andrea	538
Castellino Micaela	569	Di Fraia Arturo	209
Castiglione Franca	174	Di Franco Francesco	638
Castro Rodriguez David Javier	294	Di Giuliano Andrea	442
Celi Caterina	316	Di Lauro Francesca	211
Cenci Francesca	369	Di Luzio Matteo	337
Cerbelli Stefano	89, 102, 128, 644	Di Marco Fabiano	651
Chiampo Fulvia	294	Di Nardo Alessandra	476
Chianese Simeone	71	Di Natale Francesco	45, 150, 640
Chiaramonti David	209	Di Plama Livia	41
Chiodoni Angelica	569	Di Salvo Javier Luque	75
Christodoulou Charalampos	369	Di Vincenzo Serena	361, 388, 632
Ciato Dario	8	Diaz-Fernandez Paloma	371
Cicci Agnese	10	Ding Zhao-Bin	478, 525
Cicero Giancarlo	612	Disnan Marco	34
Cimetta Elisa	79, 341, 392	Doghieri Ferruccio	528
Cipolletta Mariasole	466	Donadini Riccardo	235
Cipollina Andrea	61, 257, 590, 616, 618, 620	Drago Emanuela	406
Cipollina Chiara	361, 388, 632	Ebrahim Atakoochi Sina	37
Cocca Mariacristina	264	Erto Alessandro	468
Colucci Cante Rosa	429	Esposito Francesco	567
Commodo Mario	640	Fabbricatore Annalaura	164
Contaldo Gabriele	525	Fabiano Bruno	658
Converti Attilio	277, 335	Facchino Marco	1
Copelli Sabrina	269, 488, 494	Facco Pierantonio	353, 369, 371, 480
Coppens Marc-Olivier	523	Falasconi Maria Beatrice	440, 441
Coppola Antonio	20, 550	Fanari Fabio	349
Coppola Tommaso	241	Farzaneh Arefi	22
Corrao Elena	558	Fermeglia Maurizio	34, 203
Cosenza Alessandro	590, 618	Ferrari Giovanna	283
	266, 466, 486, 513, 515, 519	Ferrari Pier Francesco	331, 365, 427
Cozzani Valerio	583	Ferraro Maria	632
Cristiu Daniel	504	Ferraro Rosalia	377
Culcasi Andrea	61, 590, 616, 620	Ferretti Jacopo	291
Curcio Stefano	75, 384	Ferri Matteo	478
D'amore Federico	504	Filingeri Antonia	616
Dahmen Nicolaus	213	Finka Gary	371
Davice Mainardi	219	Fino Debora	316, 322, 548
De Angelis Maria Grazia	130, 182, 650	Fiorentino Astrid Sofia	632
De Caprariis Benedetta	534	Fioretti Ismaele	368
De Falco Gianluigi	150, 640	Firpo Giuseppe	408
De Falco Marcello	1	Fissore Davide	396, 482
De Filippis Paolo	534	Florit Federico	104, 488, 494
De Luca Giorgio	75	Foli Giacomo	158
De Marco Iolanda	355	Fortunati Alessia	612
De Micco Maurizio	24	Fraganza Michela	283
De Negri Atanasio Giulia	365, 410, 427	Franceschi Massimiliano	55
De Piano Raffaella	622	Francesco Petrosino	75
Del Re Giovanni	268	Franchi Federico Sascha	573
Dellacà Raffaele	651	Frascardi Dario	198, 253, 259
Dell'Armi Edoardo	339, 592	Frison Nicola	306
Dell'Olivo Alessio	357	Frungieri Graziano	380, 602
Demichela Micaela	294, 482	Fruzza Filippo	509
Deorsola Fabio Alessandro	548, 565	Gaeta Massimiliano	669
Derudi Marco	390	Galeazzi Andrea	49, 53
Desogus Francesco	349	Galia Alessandro	213, 636
Di Benedetto Almerinda	464, 476	Galletti Camilla	548

Galletti Chiara	83, 112, 219, 422, 509	La Carrubba Vincenzo	388
Gallo Francesco	16	La Scala Silvia	345
Gallo Marianna	429	Lacroce Elisa	176, 434
Gallucci Katia	442	Lagnoni Marco	588
Ganci Fabrizio	577, 585	Lamberti Elena	608
Garbarino Gabriella	37, 39, 521, 560	Lamberti Gaetano	622
Garella Isidoro	429	Lamioni Rachele	219, 509
Gaudio Maria Teresa	384	Lancia Amedeo	179, 468
Gentile Francesca	168	Landi Elena	253, 528
Georgakis Christos	353	Landi Gianluca	476
Geremia Margherita	351	Lauriello Nunzia	116
Ghezzi Jacopo	269	Laurini Erik	418
Giacinti Baschetti Marco	65, 130, 182, 460	Lazidis Aris	160
Giaconia Alberto	440, 441	Leonzio Grazia	667
Giampaoli Ottavia	337	Leopardi Luca	269
Giglio Emanuele	558	Li Junyang	410
Giona Massimiliano	132	Lima Serena	345
Giorgi Zoe	437	Liparoti Sara	164, 168, 192
Giudici Clarissa	478	Lisal Martin	116
Giuffrè Maria Rita	632	Lisci Silvia	325
Giuntini Lorenzo	219	Lo Conte Silvia	644
Godio Alberto	294	Lopresti Francesco	388
Gorrasi Giuliana	608	Lorenzetti Alessandra	195, 235
Gottardo Marco	357	Lorini Laura	156
Gozzano Valeria	148	Lu Xuekun	588
Gragnaniello Diego	24	Luca Linari	219
Grassini Sabrina	148	Lucantonio Stefania	442
Griffo Raffaella	150	Lucato Veronica	312
Groppi Gianpiero	571, 573	Luciani Giuseppina	476
Grosso Massimiliano	325, 349	Ludek Mika	22
Guida Vincenzo	24	Lupi Roberto	55
Gutiérrez Benítez Omar	294	Maestri Matteo	478, 523, 525
Guzmán Hilmar	569, 598, 626, 630	Maggetti Carla	198, 253
Haroun Yacine	122	Maggiotto Federico	341
Haugen Håvard J.	437	Mahgereteh Haroun	266
Hernández Simelys	569, 598, 612, 626, 630	Majone Mauro	156, 291, 339, 592
Hornung Ursel	213	Malsegna Barbara	442
Iacono Mauro	45	Maluta Francesco	297
Iaiani Matteo	486	Mancusi Erasmo	299
Iannone Elisabetta	429	Mandis Marta	458
Iannucci Leonardo	148	Manenti Flavio	16, 49, 53, 304, 575, 658
Iaquaniello Gaetano	534	Marathe Shashidhara	160
Iervolino Giuseppina	5, 579	Maraviglia Maurizio	55
Iliev Boyan	612	Marcato Agnese	67, 602
Imparato Claudio	264	Marchelli Filippo	562
Inglezakis Vassilis	63	Marchetti Angela	337
Inguanta Rosalinda	346, 361, 388, 577, 585, 632	Marchisio Daniele	67, 116, 122, 594, 602
Innocenzi Valentina	249	Maria Rizzo Andrea	209
Inturri Giuseppe	585	Marotta Raffaele	264, 464
Invernizzi Marzio	91	Marra Francesco	414
Ippolito Nicolò Maria	202, 268	Marson Domenico	418
Jiayi Yin	195	Martinelli Andrea	156
Jolival Claude	22	Martucci Alessandro	195
Karatza Despina	179	Martynov Sergey	266
Khaskhoussi Amani	500	Marty-Terrade Stephanie	160
Khazin-Goldberg Inna	327	Maschio Giuseppe	506, 658
Kim Tae Keun	368	Masi Maurizio	55, 176
Klitou Panayiotis	373	Massa Fiorella	550

Massei Ambra	396	Palermo Vincenzo	158
Massi Pavan Alessandro	34, 203	Palma Vincenzo	5, 579
Massobrio Giovanna	174, 176	Palombo Domenico	331, 365, 427
Mauri Roberto	83, 87, 112	Pannocchia Gabriele	422
Mauro Sabrina	468	Pantani Roberto	164, 168, 192
Mazzara Francesca	346	Papa Alessandro Antonio	538
Mazzelli Alessio	10	Papa Elettra	253
Mazzeo Leone	140	Papa Giovanni	41
Mazzi Martina	390	Para Maria	594
Mazziotti Di Celso Giuseppe	179	Parisi Arianna	150, 640
Medri Valentina	253	Passadoro Marco	202
Meloni Eugenio	579	Patella Bernardo	346, 361, 388, 577, 585, 632
Merlo Luca	460	Pavan Paolo	357
Merlonghi Lorenzo	130	Pedretti Alessandro	22
Metilli Lorenzo	160, 373	Pegurri Alessandro	472
Micale Daniele	478, 523	Pellegrino Alessandra	590
Micale Giorgio	61, 257, 590, 616, 618, 620	Pelucchi Matteo	478
Micchelli Alfredo	337	Pepe Francesco	299
Miccio Francesco	528	Perale Giuseppe	437
Miccio Michele	283		277, 331, 365, 406, 408, 410,
Micheli Sara	79, 392	Perego Patrizia	427
Micoli Luca	241	Pesante Giovanna	306
Milazzo Maria Francesca	500	Petrangeli Papini Marco	215, 339, 592
Miliotti Edoardo	209	Pettinato Margherita	408, 666
Minale Mario	134, 150	Piemonte Vincenzo	140, 440, 441
Minelli Matteo	65, 158, 528, 650	Pietro Saccomano	219
Minutolo Patrizia	640	Pinelli Davide	198, 253, 259
Mio Andrea	34, 203	Pinelli Filippo	404
Mirizadeh Shabnam	335	Pio Gianmaria	506, 663
Misuri Alessio	515	Piperopoulos Elpida	500
Mocellin Paolo	504, 506, 658	Pipitone Giuseppe	18, 209
Modesti Michele	195, 235	Pirola Carlo	22
Moliner Cristina	562	Pirone Raffaele	18, 209, 558
Molitierno Simona	134	Piso Giuseppe Cristian	299
Montagnaro Fabio	20, 63, 211	Piunti Caterina	79, 392
Montante Giuseppina	297	Pizzetti Fabio	174
Morbidelli Massimo	368	Pizzi Diego	460
Moreaud Maxime	122	Poggi Giovanni	24
Moretta Federico	304	Porta Alessandro Antonio	390
Morgante Carmelo	257	Portarapillo Maria	476
Moukri Nadia	361	Pralits Jan Oscar	427
Murmura Maria Anna	644	Prestigiacomo Claudia	213
Muscetta Marica	264	Pricl Sabrina	418
Musmarra Dino	71, 179	Prifti Kristiano	49, 53
Nanto Filippo	8	Prisciandaro Marina	179, 249
Natrella Gianluca	1	Procopio Giuseppe	132
Negri Francesco	16	Proietto Federica	636
Nigro Alessandro	429	Proverbio Edoardo	500
Nigro Roberto	429	Prudenza Stefano	223
Nobili Andrea	478	Pupillo Davide	638
Noè Camilla	148	Querio Andrea	594
Nova Anna	575	Raffelt Klaus	213
Novelli Luca	651	Ragini Alessandro	259
O'Riordan Alan	346, 361, 388	Raimondi Federico	651
Ovrebø Oystein	437	Rainone Fabrizio	468
Pacchi Giacomo	55	Rasera Michele	357
Pace Elisabetta	361, 388, 632	Ratti Christian	651
Paglianti Alessandro	297, 650	Re Fiorentin Michele	612

Regalbuto Gaia	361	Speranza Vito	192
Reniers Genserik	519	Sponchioni Mattia	368
Reverchon Ernesto	3	Stagni Alessandro	472
Riani Paola	37, 39, 521	Storione Alba	528
Ricci Eleonora Ricci	182	Storm Malte	160
Ricci Federica	519	Strozzi Fernanda	658
Risplendi Francesca	612	Sudip Chakraborty	75, 384
Robbiani Stefano	651	Tabanelli Tommaso	198
Rocca Federico	304	Tacconi Alessandra	538
Roldán Daniela	569, 626	Tagliaferri Francesca	91
Romagnoli José A.	458	Tamburini Alessandro	61, 257, 590, 616, 618, 620
Romano Aldo	414	Tamburini Federica	513
Romano Pietro	263	Tito Edoardo	209
Roso Martina	195	Tognotti Leonardo	41, 219, 509
Rossi Filippo	174, 176, 404, 434, 437	Tomasi Masoni Sara	83, 112
Rota Renato	104	Tomba Emanuele	351
Rubio Maria Jose	612	Tommasi Tonia	322
Ruoppolo Giovanna	476	Torino Claudia	346, 388
Russi Maria	418	Torretta Vincenzo	494
Russo Danilo	464, 476, 662	Tranchida Giada	638
Russo Michele	346, 632	Trentin Giulia	327
Russo Nunzio	548, 569, 598, 612, 626	Trinca Antonio	30
Russo Roberta	241	Trivellin Nicola	273
Salatino Piero	20, 211	Tronci Stefania	320, 325, 458
Salmi Omar	55	Tronconi Enrico	571, 573
Salomone Fabio	558	Tugnoli Alessandro	486
Salomone Rita	192	Turchetti Luca	644
Salvatori Gaia	337	Uglietti Riccardo	523
Salzano Ernesto	506	Vaccari Marco	41
Sangermano Marco	148	Vaiano Vincenzo	5
Santamaria Monica	638	Valentini Marco	10
Santini Marco	156	Valentino Francesco	156, 357
Sapone Vittoria	10	Vanni Marco	380
Sartori Francesco	480	Vanoli Valeria	174
Savorani Francesco	316	Vappiani Johanna	371
Savuto Elisa	538	Varaschin Elisa	392
Scala Fabrizio	20, 550	Vargas Clavijo Johanna	134
Scarcella Giannicola	259	Vasquez Giuliano Lorenzo	380
Scargiali Francesca	345	Vassallo Fabrizio	257
Schembri Luca	345	Vegliò Francesco	202, 263
Schubert Thomas J.S.	612	Venditti Claudia	89, 102
Scialdone Onofrio	213, 636	Verdoliva Luisa	24
Scionti Giuseppe	500	Vianello Chiara	506, 658
Sciubba Fabio	337	Vilardi Giorgio	30, 668
Scotton Martina Silvia	488, 494	Vilasi Antonio	346, 388
Seminara Barbara	638	Vilé Gianvito	665
Serse Francesco	478	Villano Marianna	156, 291, 337
Sévin Daniel C.	371	Villone Massimiliano Maria	24
Sforza Eleonora	273, 312, 327	Violante Francesco Saverio	650
Shah Nilay	667	Virruso Giovanni	620
Shiea Mohsen	594	Viscusi Gianluca	608
Signorini Virginia	65, 460	Vitiello Giuseppe	264
Simone Elena	160, 373	Volpe Valentina	168
Sirignano Mariano	150, 640	Vueva Yuliya	369
Sironi Selena	91	Wilhelm Manfred	349
Smejkal Petr	22	Wu Kaiqiao	523
Solimene Roberto	211	Yang Ming	519
Spennati Elena	37, 521	Zaffora Andrea	638

Zaio Francesca	571	Zoli Maddalena	569
Zammillo Federica	626, 630	Zomer Simeone	369
Zannin Emanuela	651	Zonfrilli Fabio	24
Zanobetti Francesco	266	Zoppi Giulia	18, 209
Zeppilli Marco	291, 339, 592	Zorin Boris	327
Zheng Lei	571	Zuecco Federico	480
Zimmermann Joscha	213	Zueva Svetlana Borisovna	202
Zinfullino Nunzio	396	Zuliani Anna	306

Categorical Multifactor Design to Evaluate Different Operative Parameters During the Bioremediation of Hydrocarbon-Polluted Soil in Early Experimental Stages.

David Javier Castro Rodriguez^{1*}, Omar Gutiérrez Benítez², Enmanuel Casals Perez², Micaela Demichela¹, Alberto Godio¹, Fulvia Chiampo¹.

¹ Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.

² Centro de Estudios Ambientales de Cienfuegos (CEAC), Post mail 5, 59350, Ciudad Nuclear, Cuba

* David Castro E-Mail: david.castro@polito.it

1. Introduction

Pollution by hydrocarbons is associated with different industrial activities which may harm the environment and human health Shahryar [1]. It is generally accepted that this kind of pollution has an anthropogenic origin, often due to accidental spills from production units and transport pipelines, leakages from storage facilities and underground tanks, mining, human activities in the production, transportation, and improper or illegal behaviors in waste treatment and disposal. These contaminants can be accumulated in soil due to their low degradation rates, affecting the physical, physiological, and biochemical properties of this valuable resource [2]. The bioremediation of soils polluted with hydrocarbons demonstrated to be a simple and cheap technique, even if it needs a long time [3]. The current paper shows the application of statistical analysis, based on two factors involved in the biological process at several levels. We focus on the Design of Experiments to determine the number and kind of experimental runs, whereas the use of the categorical factors has not been widely exploited up to now. This method is especially useful to analyze factors with levels constituted by categories and define the interaction effects. Categories or labels built from the combination of interest predetermined variables should be useful especially in the early stages of bioremediation projects, where the effects of multiple ideas are tested at the same time. Particularly, we focused on the statistical analysis of 1) experimental runs carried out at laboratory scale (test M, in microcosm), on soil polluted with diesel oil, and 2) bench scale runs (test B, in biopile), on refinery oil sludge mixed with industrial or agricultural biodegradable wastes. Finally, the main purpose was to identify the factor's significance in both the tests and their potential interactions against the different response variables, by applying ANOVA.

2. Methods

Two categorical multifactor arrays were designed, randomized, and performed. In both cases, the effects of two factors on the interest response variables concentration were assessed. For each study case, the experimental runs are described, namely: i) Microcosms test (test M), based on a small amount of polluted soil (200 g); ii) Bench test (test B), based on a larger polluted mass (around 38 kg).

For both tests, a 6-levels categorical factor called "Treatment" was defined. In test M, the treatments M_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, 6$), corresponding to a combination of values water content (WC%) and carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N). These combinations are labeled: M_1 (8 WC%-120 C/N); M_2 (8 WC%-180 C/N); M_3 (12 WC%-120 C/N); M_4 (12 WC%-180 C/N); M_5 (15 WC%-120 C/N); M_6 (15 WC%-180 C/N). On the other hand, the categorical factor levels in test B, B_i , correspond to the different treatments of the biopiles technique. Each run had a supplement of a specific organic waste as an additive bulking agent in the mixtures of soil and hydrocarbons. The levels were labeled as follows: B_1 (sugarcane bagasse); B_2 (sugarcane filter cake); B_3 (sawdust); B_4 (coffee pulp); B_5 (beef manure); B_6 (Thalassia testudinum residues). Likewise, in both the tests (M and B), the other factor was the "Time", defined at 5 levels T_{mi} ($i=0, 15, 70, 112, 131$). and T_{bj} ($j=0, 60, 90, 150, 240$) respectively. Each level corresponded to the time when the residual TPH concentration was monitored after the run started. This second factor was chosen under the hypothesis that the different treatments could have interactions during the bioremediation.

The Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon (TPH) concentration was the response variable in both tests. In the M test the TPH was measured in sample extracts achieved from each microcosm. The extraction was done by the EPA method 3546 (moisture 15-30% b.w.), based on microwave heating, as described in a previous paper [2]. Then, each extract was analyzed twice by the EPA method 8015 to measure the TPH concentration. On the other hand, the TPH in the B test was measured with samples collected from each biopile. The TPH

concentration was determined by adapting the EPA Method 1664 to the biopile system. The analysis was based on the TPH extraction from solid by an organic solvent and evaluation of the TPH mass by gravimetry. This method results reliable because the solid sample was over 5 g and the TPH concentration over 0.3% by weight.

Both experiments has combined all the levels of the factors (6x5). For M test, since two replicas were carried out, a total of 60 runs were available, achieving 30 degrees of freedom (Df). The statistical parameters of the DOE were considered statistically consistent with the strengths in the analytical determination method of the TPH concentration. On the other hand, for the B test a total of 120 runs due to 4 replicas of the experimental array. This ensured 90 degrees of freedom, which were considered statistically robust, compensating for the weakness of the gravimetric determination method if compared to the gas chromatographic one. All the analyses were performed using a confidence level of 95% employing the professional software STATGRAPHICS Centurion v. 16.1.18. Moreover, the assumptions for the model were corroborated. Table 1 offers the synthesized information for both tests.

Table 1. Operative conditions for the studied tests.

Operative conditions	Microcosms (Test M)	Biopiles (Test B)
Response variable	TPH	TPH
Factor 1-Treatments (6 categorical levels)	M1(8 WC%-120 C/N)	B1 (sugarcane bagasse)
	M2 (8 WC%-180 C/N)	B2 (sugarcane filter cake)
	M3 (12 WC%-120 C/N)	B3 (sawdust)
	M4 (12 WC%-180 C/N)	B4 (coffee pulp)
	M5 (15 WC%-120 C/N)	B5 (beef manure)
	M6 (15 WC%-180 C/N)	B6 (<i>Thalassia testudinum</i>)
Factor 2-Time (5 levels)	0	0
	15 days	60 days
	70 days	90 days
	112 days	150 days
	131 days	240 days
Mass	200 g	38 kg
Pollutant	Diesel oil	Oily Sludge
Water content (WC%)	8%	20%
	12%	
	15%	
Carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N)	60	10
	120	
	180	
	300	
Kind of soil	Sandy soil	Sandy soil
pH	6-8	6-8

3. Results and discussion

Since the categorical multifactor was used under the hypothesis that different interaction between factors could influence the removal of the hydrocarbons, it is of interest to know which combination of treatment and time would ensure better results for any process period. Figure 1 shows the interactions plots of both factors in each experiments.




Figure 1. Interaction plot for the factors. a) test M b) Test B.

The lines in Figure 1 on both the left (a) and the right one (b) represents the interaction effect between the significant factors obtained in the Analysis of Variance (P-value= 0.000 for test M; P-value= 0.0012 for test B) and indicates that during the process, some treatments alternated their order of degradation.

Specifically, in test M, the Treatment M1 (8 WC%-120 C/N) had the lowest TPH concentration at each level of time after the first 15 days. Likewise, after 71 days M3 (12 WC%-120 C/N) was ranked second with lower TPH concentration, suggesting not only the best degradation of the treatments with the less C/N ratio but also the influence of water content in the first period of the run. These findings are consistent with the previous ones modelled by Raffa et al. [2] using an RSM model, confirming the accurateness of the categorical multifactor design. After the third month, the TPH concentration decreasing was negligible for all treatments. This could represent a crucial issue to estimate the times for the in situ exploitation of the technology.

In test B, in all treatments, the TPH concentration decreased until 240 days, with removal percentages between 40% and 60%. The treatments order rank was B5, B6, B2, B1, B4, B3. Specifically, Treatment B5 (beef manure) was the one that reported the lowest TPH concentrations at 240 days, keeping this trend after 60 days. The means obtained for B5 presented marked statistical differences with the rest of the treatment. In contrast, B3 (sawdust) presented the worst TPH removal, keeping this trend after the 60 days. Despite the rank order, the TPH means of B6, B2 and B1 do not present significant differences among them for a 95% confidence level. Furthermore, the differences between the levels in the factor Time evidence the evolution in the degradation process.

4. Conclusions

The categorical multifactor array based on categorical factors assessed at different levels demonstrated its applicability to studies done in different operative conditions. Particularly, we focused on a laboratory experiment and bench-scale test to evaluate the reliability of the proposed statistical method in testing the performance of biostimulation processes of contaminated soil, but the application is not limited to this kind of experiment or scales.

The structured method implemented in this study enables the identification of the best candidate in microcosms polluted with diesel oil (combinations of water content and carbon to nitrogen ratio were assumed as treatments). Specifically, the treatment M1 (8 WC%-120 C/N) showed the best diesel oil removal. In the experimental runs on biopiles to bioremediate oily sludge supplementing different renewable organic wastes as treatments, the treatment B5 (biopile with beef manure as a bulking agent) showed the best hydrocarbons removal.

References

- [1] J. Shahryar. Butterworth-Heinemann (Eds.), Chapter 3: Environmental Impacts of the Petroleum Industry. in: Petroleum Waste Treatment and Pollution Control, Elsevier Inc: Oxford, UK, 2017, Volume 3 pp. 86–115.
- [2] C.M. Raffa, F. Chiampo, A. GodioVergnano, F. Bosco, B. Ruffino. Kinetics and Optimization by Response Surface Methodology of Aerobic Bioremediation. *Geoelectrical Parameter Monitoring., Appl. Sci.* 10, 405 (2020) 1–21.
- [3] O. Gutiérrez, D. Castro, O. Viera, E. Casals, D. Rabassa, Kinetic of hydrocarbon degradation by biopile at a bench-scale (In Spanish). *Tecnología Química*, 41, 2, (2021) 349-369.