

In-vitro Characterization of a Hernia Mesh Featuring a Nanostructured Coating

Original

In-vitro Characterization of a Hernia Mesh Featuring a Nanostructured Coating / Giuntoli, G., Muzio, G., Actis, C., Ganora, A., Calzone, S., Bruno, M., Ciardelli, G., Carmagnola, I., TONDA TURO, C.. - In: FRONTIERS IN BIOENGINEERING AND BIOTECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 2296-4185. - 8:(2021), p. 589223. [10.3389/fbioe.2020.589223]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2958468 since: 2022-03-15T11:42:59Z

Publisher:

Frontiers Media S.A.

Published

DOI:10.3389/fbioe.2020.589223

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)



In-vitro Characterization of a Hernia Mesh Featuring a Nanostructured Coating

Giulia Giuntoli^{1,2}, Giuliana Muzio³, Chiara Actis³, Alessandro Ganora⁴, Stefano Calzone⁴, Matteo Bruno⁴, Gianluca Ciardelli^{1,2,5}, Irene Carmagnola^{1,2*}† and Chiara Tonda-Turo^{1,2*}†

¹ Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy, ² POLITO BIOMedLAB, Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy, ³ Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy, ⁴ Dipromed Medical Devices S.r.l., Turin, Italy, ⁵ Department for Materials and Devices of the National Research Council, Institute for the Chemical and Physical Processes (CNR-IPCF UOS), Pisa, Italy

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Luca Cristofolini,
University of Bologna, Italy

Reviewed by:

Diego Mantovani,
Laval University, Canada
Ahmed El-Fiqi,
Dankook University, South Korea

*Correspondence:

Irene Carmagnola
irene.carmagnola@polito.it
Chiara Tonda-Turo
chiara.tondaturo@polito.it

†These authors have contributed
equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Biomaterials,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Bioengineering and
Biotechnology

Received: 30 July 2020

Accepted: 16 December 2020

Published: 20 January 2021

Citation:

Giuntoli G, Muzio G, Actis C,
Ganora A, Calzone S, Bruno M,
Ciardelli G, Carmagnola I and
Tonda-Turo C (2021) *In-vitro*
Characterization of a Hernia Mesh
Featuring a Nanostructured Coating.
Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol. 8:589223.
doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2020.589223

Abdominal hernia repair is a frequently performed surgical procedure worldwide. Currently, the use of polypropylene (PP) surgical meshes for the repair of abdominal hernias constitutes the primary surgical approach, being widely accepted as superior to primary suture repair. Surgical meshes act as a reinforcement for the weakened or damaged tissues and support tissue restoration. However, implanted meshes could suffer from poor integration with the surrounding tissues. In this context, the present study describes the preliminary evaluation of a PCL-Gel-based nanofibrous coating as an element to develop a multicomponent hernia mesh device (meshPCL-Gel) that could overcome this limitation thanks to the presence of a nanostructured biomimetic substrate for enhanced cell attachment and new tissue formation. Through the electrospinning technique, a commercial PP hernia mesh was coated with a nanofibrous membrane from a polycaprolactone (PCL) and gelatin (Gel) blend (PCL-Gel). Resulting PCL-Gel nanofibers were homogeneous and defect-free, with an average diameter of $0.15 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{m}$. The presence of Gel decreased PCL hydrophobicity, so that membranes average water contact angle dropped from $138.9 \pm 1.1^\circ$ (PCL) to $99.9 \pm 21.6^\circ$, while it slightly influenced mechanical properties, which remained comparable to those of PCL ($E = 15.7 \pm 2.7 \text{ MPa}$, $\sigma_R = 7.7 \pm 0.6 \text{ MPa}$, $\epsilon_R = 118.8 \pm 13.2\%$). Hydrolytic and enzymatic degradation was conducted on PCL-Gel up to 28 days, with maximum weight losses around 20 and 40%, respectively. The meshPCL-Gel device was obtained with few simple steps, with no influences on the original mechanical properties of the bare mesh, and good stability under physiological conditions. The biocompatibility of meshPCL-Gel was assessed by culturing BJ human fibroblasts on the device, up to 7 days. After 24 h, cells adhered to the nanofibrous substrate, and after 72 h their metabolic activity was about 70% with respect to control cells. The absence of detectable lactate dehydrogenase in the culture medium indicated that no necrosis induction occurred. Hence, the developed nanostructured coating provided the meshPCL-Gel device with chemical and topographical cues similar to the native extracellular matrix ones, that could be exploited for enhancing the biological response and, consequently, mesh integration, in abdominal wall hernia repair.

Keywords: polypropylene mesh, abdominal hernia repair, multicomponent device, nanostructured coating, nanofibers

INTRODUCTION

Abdominal hernia repair is one of the most common surgical procedure worldwide (Eurostat, 2016). A hernia is defined as a protrusion of a tissue or organ from the cavity where it is normally contained, and it can be either congenital or developed over time. Generally, this type of hernia occurs at an area of weakness in the abdominal wall, and often develops at the site of previous surgical incisions (incisional hernia) (Le Huu Nho et al., 2012).

In hernia repair, the use of prosthetic meshes is now largely accepted as gold standard of the surgical strategy. Indeed, the mesh guarantees proper reinforcement of the weakened area and promotes tissue restoration, while diminishing the risks of recurrence when compared to other techniques, such as suture repair (Burger et al., 2004; Kokotovic et al., 2016).

However, there are still several post-operative complications affecting a large number of patients, such as general discomfort, movement restriction, chronic pain (Fränneby et al., 2006), infections (Hawn et al., 2011), fibrosis, adhesions (Dinsmore et al., 2000), or erosion, which can require a revision surgery or even the complete removal of the prosthesis (Kokotovic et al., 2016), mainly in the long-term (Burger et al., 2004).

Mesh design is a crucial point to define the properties and surgical outcomes of the implant, thus, it should fulfill a number of requirements (Rastegarpour et al., 2016). The choice of mesh materials and manufacturing methods will influence mesh biocompatibility, mechanical properties (Est et al., 2017), porosity (Klinge et al., 2002), and degradation rates (Jangö et al., 2017). Meshes could be woven or knitted, with different yarn patterns and filament size, number, and density. Due to the high number of variables involved, there are a multitude of available commercial products in the market (Brown and Finch, 2010; Coda et al., 2012; Rastegarpour et al., 2016; Deeken and Lake, 2017; Sanbhal et al., 2018). It is now widely accepted that knitted monofilament meshes have reduced inflammation when compared to multifilament meshes, and that light-weight highly porous meshes, with pores larger than 75 μm , have more flexibility, enhanced tissue ingrowth, and reduced scar formation with respect to heavy-weight multifilament meshes (Klosterhalfen et al., 2005). Mechanical properties are another crucial parameter for the success of the implant and should closely match physiological values to restore the abdominal wall functions (Taylor, 2018). An excessively rigid mesh could cause tissues damage, whereas a mesh that is too soft lacks strength for tissue reinforcement, leading to hernia recurrence (Simón-Allué et al., 2018).

Since its first use in the late 50's, the gold standard material of hernia meshes is polypropylene (PP) (Usher et al., 1958). Permanent synthetic PP meshes have many desirable advantages since PP is biocompatible, hydrophobic, physically inert, non-immunogenic, and non-carcinogenic. However, its use is not completely free from adverse reactions. Literature reports that PP meshes often adhere with the underlying contacting viscera (Dinsmore et al., 2000), or that they cause a chronic state of inflammation which hampers tissue integration (Klinge

et al., 2002; Brown et al., 2015), although performing better in terms of host response when compared to other materials (Michelle et al., 2017).

Therefore, composite meshes have been developed as more performant solutions (Deeken et al., 2011; Sanbhal et al., 2018) with the aim to reduce post-operative complications by preventing visceral adhesion, fibrosis and bacterial infections, while promoting healthy tissue integration thanks to increased biocompatibility and better tissue ingrowth. For instance, meshes coated with degradable (Takaoka et al., 2009; Faulk et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2014) and non-degradable (Poppas et al., 2016) hydrogels resulted in mitigated inflammatory and foreign body reaction (FBR) responses. Similarly, antibacterial coatings, which act locally at the site of the implant, were shown to reduce or prevent the risk of mesh-related infections both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Harth et al., 2010), avoiding the need of systemic drug administration (Aydinuraz, 2017). On the other hand, antiadhesive barrier layers effectively reduced the incidence of adhesion between the viscera and the implanted mesh, one of the main cause of patient discomfort (Dinsmore et al., 2000).

Electrospinning (ES) is a versatile and simple technique for obtaining non-woven nanofibrous membranes with high surface-to-volume ratio, porosity, pore interconnectivity, and other easy-tailorable properties (Greiner and Wendorff, 2007). Electrospun membranes are widely employed as scaffolds in tissue engineering applications since their ECM-like three-dimensional architecture is able to support cellular adhesion, spreading and functions, while the intrinsic porosity and pore interconnectivity facilitates angiogenesis, ultimately promoting tissue homeostasis and repair (Agarwal et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013). Nonetheless, few literature studies adopted ES as coating method to increase mesh biocompatibility (Plencner et al., 2015; East et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019), although some nanofiber-based meshes were developed (Ebersole et al., 2012; Chakroff et al., 2015; Jangö et al., 2017).

One main advantage is that nanofibers can be obtained from many natural and synthetic polymers, copolymers, blends or composites (Greiner and Wendorff, 2007). Some of the most employed polymers include gelatin (Gel) (Tonda-Turo et al., 2013; Aldana and Abraham, 2017), a water-soluble protein derived from the partial denaturation of collagen, extremely biocompatible, with low antigenicity, and many integrin-binding sites, and polycaprolactone (PCL) (Azimi et al., 2014), a synthetic hydrophobic polyester, with a semi-crystalline structure, and slow degradation rate. PCL biocompatibility has been extensively studied, and many PCL-based medical devices have the US Food and Drug Administration's approval to be used in humans. Some of these include absorbable sutures (e.g., MonocrylTM and MaxonTM), root canal filling materials (ResilonTM), patches for tendon (Artlemon[®]), sheaths for peripheral nerve regeneration (Neurolac[®]), 3D printed bone implants (OsteoporeTM), or drug delivery systems (Capronor[®]).

Many research groups have already developed nanofibrous membranes based on PCL and Gel blends, allowing to obtain biomimetic membranes with suitable physico-chemical and mechanical properties, and improved biological behavior.

Usually highly toxic solvents, such as hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) (Kuppan et al., 2013) and trifluoroethanol (Alvarez-Perez et al., 2010), were used to fabricate PCL/Gel mats, but researchers recently aimed to find alternative and less toxic solutions. Nanofibers were electrospun from a solution of PCL, dissolved in chloroform/methanol, and Gel, dissolved in acetic acid (AA)/water (Gautam et al., 2013). In another work (Binulal et al., 2014), composite PCL and Gel nanofibers were also obtained from a diluted AA/ethyl acetate mixture. Alternatively, AA and formic acid (FA) have been proposed as very promising aqueous-based solvents for both polymers. Denis et al. compared composite PCL and Gel nanofibers electrospun using either HFIP or acetic and formic acids (9:1 ratio) solvent mixture, concluding that, in the latter case, nanofibers with similar characteristics could be obtained (Denis et al., 2015). Similarly, Gil-Castel and co-workers used the same solvent system with a different ratio (1:1 ratio), investigating the effects of different dissolution times on nanofibers physico-chemical properties (Gil-Castel et al., 2018).

The aim of this work is to preliminary assess the potential of combining a nanostructured electrospun membrane based on PCL/Gel blend and a commercial PP mesh in order to develop a novel multicomponent hernia mesh device (meshPCL-Gel). Compared to other strategies that mainly relies on the modification of mesh filaments surface, electrospun membranes deposited on the mesh have the advantage of operating at different scale lengths: (i) at the nanoscale, to resemble the complex three-dimensional structure and composition of the native ECM microenvironment; (ii) at the micro- and macroscale, to cover the whole mesh surface, including the empty pore spaces, where cells lack of a substrate for adhesion.

Therefore, upon implantation, the nanostructured coating could further promote and accelerate the integration of the mesh at the abdominal site. As a preliminary validation, the physico-chemical and mechanical properties of the PCL-Gel nanofibrous membrane were evaluated and compared with those of singular PCL and Gel membranes. Then, the *in vitro* response of human fibroblasts to either PCL-Gel membranes or the meshPCL-Gel device was assessed. The obtained results evidenced the good cell viability and non-toxicity of the multicomponent meshPCL-Gel device and its potentiality as novel solution for abdominal wall hernia repair.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Evolution Blue PP mesh (EV3PB) samples were kindly provided by Dipro Medical Devices S.r.l. Polycaprolactone (PCL, Mn 70,000–90,000 g/mol), gelatin type A from porcine skin (Gel), formic acid (FA, ACS reagent $\geq 96\%$), acetic acid (AA, $\geq 99.7\%$), (3-Glycidyloxypropyl)trimethoxysilane (GPTMS, $\geq 98\%$), and phosphate buffer saline (PBS) tablets were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Milan). Chloroform (CHL, RPE, stabilized with ethanol), and ethanol (EtOH) were purchased from Carlo Erba Reagents. Collagenase type I (>125 units/mg) was purchased from Life Technologies Italia. All solvents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

TABLE 1 | Electrospinning process parameters.

	Voltage (kV)	Flow rate (mL/h)	Distance (cm)
PCL	20	1.5	20
Gel	28	1.2	13
PCL-Gel	21	0.5	13

Nanofibrous Membranes Preparation

Solutions Preparation

PCL solution was prepared by dissolving 12% wt/v PCL in CHL/FA (70/30 v/v). First, PCL was dissolved in CHL, then FA was added, and the solution was stirred for additional 30 min. For Gel solution, Gel was dissolved in AA/milliQ water (20/80 v/v) at 20% wt/v until complete dissolution, then 92 $\mu\text{L/g}$ of GPTMS were added and the solution was stirred for additional 40 min before the electrospinning process. The PCL and Gel blend (PCL-Gel) solution was prepared in an AA/FA (1:1 v/v) solvent system, with 15% wt/v total solid concentration (80:20 PCL:Gel wt/wt). Briefly, PCL and Gel were dissolved together in the solvent system for 24 h, then 3.68% v/v of GPTMS was added, and the solution was stirred for additional 40 min before the electrospinning process. All the solutions were prepared at room temperature.

Electrospinning Process

Nanofibrous membranes were prepared using a Linari Engineering S.r.l electrospinning equipment. Solutions were loaded in 5 mL luer-lock glass syringes with a 21-gauge metallic needle, and electrospun at room temperature onto a plane collector. **Table 1** summarizes the process parameters used to obtain, PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel nanofibers.

Preparation of the Multicomponent Hernia Mesh (meshPCL-Gel)

EV3PB meshes were first precoated by dipping them in a 1% wt/v PCL solution in CHL for 10 min. After the complete solvent evaporation at room temperature, precoated meshes were attached to the collector with a conductive tape for the deposition of PCL-Gel nanofibers. **Supplementary Figure 1** reports the scheme of the fabrication steps.

Characterization

Morphological Analysis

Morphological evaluations of PCL, Gel, PCL-Gel, and meshPCL-Gel were conducted by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, LEO – 1430, Zeiss), or field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, ZEISS Supra 40). Before analysis, samples were coated with a thin gold layer for SEM evaluations, or a thin chromium layer for FESEM evaluations. The average nanofibers diameters were calculated from SEM images ($n > 100$ measurements per sample type) *via* ImageJ software.

Attenuated Total Reflectance Fourier Transform Infrared (ATR-FTIR) Spectroscopy

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was performed on PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel, employing a Spectrum 100 instrument (Perkin Elmer) equipped with a diamond crystal. Spectra were obtained at room temperature in the 4,000 and 600 cm^{-1} wavenumber range, at a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and averaged over 32 scans. Spectra were analyzed by Spectrum software.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Thermal analyses (Mettler Toledo) of PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel were performed through differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) on samples (5–10 mg) packed in aluminum pans. Three scans were performed between T_{amb} and 200°C at a heating rate of 10°C min^{-1} with 5 min isotherm at each target temperature, under nitrogen atmosphere.

Water Contact Angle (WCA)

Membranes wettability was assessed with Krüss Drop Shape Analyzer. The static WCA was obtained at $t = 0$ via the sessile droplet method and analyzed through Krüss Drop Shape Analysis software. A 2 μL drop of milliQ water was deposited on the surface, then the initial WCA was calculated with an ellipse fitting method ($n = 6$ per membrane type).

Hydrolytic Degradation Test

Hydrolytic degradation tests on PCL-Gel and meshPCL-Gel samples were carried out in PBS at 37°C for 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days; PBS solution was replaced every 3 days. After each time step, samples were removed from PBS, rinsed twice with milliQ water, and freeze-dried for 24 h (Scanvac CoolSafe). The weights of PCL-Gel ($n = 4$ per time step, 5–12 mg), and meshPCL-Gel ($n > 3$ per time step, $2 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$) samples were recorded before (W_0) and after each time step (W_f). The percentage of remaining weight $W_{R\%}$ was calculated using the equation:

$$W_{R\%} = (W_f/W_0) \cdot 100 \quad (1)$$

Morphological features of degraded PCL-Gel and meshPCL-Gel samples were analyzed through SEM or FESEM analysis at different magnifications.

Enzymatic Degradation Test

Enzymatic degradation tests on PCL-Gel and meshPCL-Gel samples were carried out at 37°C for 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days. Samples were immersed in 3 mL of PBS containing 0.2 mg/mL of collagenase (Eskandarinia et al., 2020). Enzymatic solutions were replaced every 3 days. After each time step, weight loss and morphology of degraded PCL-Gel ($n = 4$ per time step, $2 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$) and meshPCL-Gel ($n > 3$ per time step, $2 \times 1 \text{ cm}^2$) samples were evaluated following the protocols described in paragraph Hydrolytic Degradation Test.

Uniaxial Tensile Test

Mechanical properties of the three types of nanofibrous membranes, along with those of EV3PB and meshPCL-Gel were obtained through a tensile test (MTS QTest/10) machine. Dog-bone shaped samples (5 mm width, 13 cm gauge length)

were tested at 2 mm/min until rupture using a 10N load cell. Thickness was measured at the center of each sample with a micrometer. From the stress-strain curves, the Young's modulus (E), ultimate tensile stress (σ_R), and strain at rupture (ε_R) were calculated ($n \geq 3$).

Biological Evaluation

In vitro Studies

For the biological validation, meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel samples were placed in multiwells (12 wells, 2.1 cm diameter). meshPCL-Gel samples were hand-cut in circles of 2.1 cm to fit wells dimensions, whereas PCL-Gel nanofibers were electrospun onto circular cover glasses (2 cm diameter). Before the evaluations, all samples were sterilized for 1 h under UV in a sterile vertical laminar air flow cabinet and maintained in a sterile way until use.

Viability Assay

A test of direct cytotoxicity following ISO 10993-5:2018 was carried out using human fibroblast cell line BJ (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were routinely cultured in high-glucose DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 1% antibiotic/anti-mycotic solution and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, v/v). For the evaluation, cells were seeded on sterilized samples at the concentration 10,000/ cm^2 and maintained in an atmosphere of 5% CO_2 and 95% air at 37°C. Cells grown on multiwells in absence of any sample were used as control. Each type of samples and controls were tested in triplicate.

At the different experimental times (24 h, 72 h, 7 days), cell growth was determined by MTT assay directly on attached cells. Briefly, cells grown on meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel and control cells were added with 30 μL of 4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl-,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, 5 mg/mL) in PBS solution, and incubated for 3 h at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO_2 in air. After, the culture medium was removed and 150 μL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added to each well. After 20 min of incubation at 37°C, the absorbance was measured at 595 nm using a spectrophotometer DU-800 (Beckman Coulter). The absorbance of each sample was expressed as absolute absorbance values or as percentage of the absorbance of the control cells at the corresponding experimental time.

For morphological observations by FESEM analysis, 48 h after cell seeding, meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel samples were removed from the multiwell, washed twice with PBS solution and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, for 30 min at 4°C. Samples were then dehydrated with slow water replacement by a series of graded ethanol (EtOH) solutions (immersion in 30–50–70–80–90–95% v/v of EtOH, 15 min each) with final dehydration in absolute EtOH (100% v/v, 15 min) before critical-point drying.

Cytotoxicity Assay

The possible cytotoxic effect due to the growth of cells on different types of samples was investigated by evaluating the release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in the culture medium. The analysis was carried out at three experimental times: 24, 48, and 72 h after cell seeding. The LDH activity was determined




FIGURE 1 | SEM micrographs and average fiber diameters of PCL (**A,D**), Gel (**B,E**), and PCL-Gel (**C,F**) nanofibers at different magnifications (**A–C**: 2kx; **D–F**: 5kx). Scale bars equal to 10 μm .

evaluating at 340 nm the consumption of NADH using a spectrophotometer DU-800 (Beckman Coulter). The NADH consumption directly correlates with the activity of the enzyme that was expressed as nmoles of NADH consumed/min/mL of culture medium. Each type of samples and controls were tested in triplicate. On the same culture medium, the number of detached cells was evaluated using a Burker chamber.

Statistical Analyses

Experimental data are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. Differences between group means were assessed by using Prism GraphPad software (v8.2.0). Data were analyzed by unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test or one-way Anova analysis of variance with a 95% confidence interval, followed by Bonferroni *post-hoc* test corrected for multiple comparisons (significances set at $\alpha = 0.05$).

RESULTS

Nanofibrous Membranes Characterization Scanning Electron Microscopy

Figure 1 reports the SEM images of PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel electrospun membranes at two different magnifications. Bead-free and highly porous nanofibrous membranes were effectively obtained by applying the electrospinning parameters reported in **Table 1** at room temperature. PCL nanofibers had the highest average diameter ($0.54 \pm 0.10 \mu\text{m}$), while Gel displayed nanofibers with a average diameter of $0.35 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}$. Lastly, PCL-Gel blend mats showed ultrathin and homogeneous smooth nanofibers with an average diameter of $0.15 \pm 0.04 \mu\text{m}$.



ATR-FTIR

Infrared spectroscopy was performed to evaluate chemical composition of the electrospun mats. **Figure 2** shows the spectra

of the three membranes. In the PCL spectrum, typical adsorption bands could be detected at $2,943 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (asymmetric CH_2 stretching), $2,865 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric CH_2 stretching), $1,723 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($\text{C}=\text{O}$ vibrations), $1,293 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (CO and CC stretching), $1,250 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (asymmetric C-O-C stretching), and $1,185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (symmetric C-O-C stretching) (Gautam et al., 2013). In the Gel spectrum, typical absorption bands were found at $3,420 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (N-H stretching), $1,692 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (amide I, $\text{C}=\text{O}$ vibrations), $1,531$ and $1,234 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (amide II and amide III, originating from C-N stretching and N-H in-plane bending), while the absorption bands at $1,104$, $1,064$, and 950 cm^{-1} referred to the Si-O-Si bonds and Si-OH stretching associated to the successful crosslinking between Gel and GPTMS (Tonda-Turo et al., 2013; Gnavi et al., 2015). PCL-Gel membranes showed the characteristic absorption bands of either PCL, Gel, and GPTMS, such as the sharp peak of the PCL carbonyl group at $1,726 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and the peaks attributable to Gel-GPTMS crosslinking, at $1,025$ and 905 cm^{-1} . However, the PCL-Gel spectrum shows a slight shift of the amide I and amide II absorption bands compared to those of Gel spectrum, respectively at $1,652$ and $1,542 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

DSC

DSC analysis was employed to study the thermal behavior of PCL-Gel nanofibers compared to PCL and Gel ones. **Figure 3A** shows the DSC thermograms of the first heating cycle. For PCL nanofibers, the endothermic peak relative to the polymer melting temperature (T_m) was found at around 65.67°C , while GPTMS-crosslinked Gel nanofibers showed a broad endothermic peak between 40 and 150°C , with a peak temperature of 90.83°C , which was attributed to multiple overlapping thermal events, such as Gel denaturation and water evaporation (Zhang et al., 2006; Tonda-Turo et al., 2011; Vilches et al., 2019). In the PCL-Gel curve, the PCL-melting peak was shifted to a lower value, around 61.17°C , while a second small peak relative to the Gel



phase was present around 80°C. Looking at thermograms of the second heating cycle (**Figure 3B**), the peaks related to the Gel-phase disappeared, due to either Gel denaturation and water evaporation, while the sharp peaks of the PCL-phase were still present. No significant differences are found between PCL and PCL-Gel curves.


Membranes Wettability

Static water contact angle was performed to assess the wettability of the electrospun membranes. As shown in **Figure 4**, PCL membranes displayed a strong hydrophobic behavior ($138.9 \pm$

1.1°) whereas Gel membranes had a water contact angle $80.4 \pm 2.8^\circ$; PCL-Gel nanofibres were less hydrophobic ($99.9 \pm 21.6^\circ$) than the PCL ones, due to the presence of the amino and carboxyl functional groups (Ren et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the wide range of values obtained for PCL-Gel (from 69 to 124°) suggested that there were regions with different wettability on the sample surface.

Tensile Properties

Mechanical properties of PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel membranes were obtained from uniaxial tensile tests until rupture. From the



stress-strain curves (**Figure 5A**), the average Young's modulus (E), ultimate tensile stress (σ_R), and strain at rupture (ϵ_R) were calculated, and results are summarized in **Table 2**. As evident in the histograms reported in **Figure 5B**, Gel membranes showed the highest stiffness (45.5 ± 9.7 MPa) but the lowest ultimate tensile stress (2.6 ± 0.3 MPa) and elongation ($19.1 \pm 4.1\%$), being the most rigid and fragile membranes. On the contrary, the PCL ones were the most ductile, with the lowest stiffness (12.7 ± 0.8 MPa) and the highest ultimate tensile stress (8.5 ± 1.2 MPa) and elongation ($131.8 \pm 12.8\%$). Overall, PCL-Gel membranes properties were not significantly different from those of the PCL ones, however the presence of Gel seemed to slightly influence the mechanical behavior of blend membranes with an increase in stiffness (15.7 ± 2.7 MPa) and a decrease in strength and elongation (7.7 ± 0.6 MPa and $118.8 \pm 13.2\%$, respectively).

PCL-Gel Hydrolytic and Enzymatic Degradation

The degradation behavior of PCL-Gel membranes after incubation either in PBS or collagenase solutions up to 28 days was evaluated through SEM/FESEM analysis and the quantification of loss of weight. After 28 days of hydrolytic degradation, there were no significant changes in nanofibers morphology (**Figure 6A**). In the first 7 days, nanofibers swelled, and the average diameter increased until reached a maximum of $0.20 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{m}$ at day 7; then it decreased again and remained almost steady between the 14th and 28th day (**Figure 6C**). Similarly, samples weight loss increased during the first days, reaching a plateau between the 14th and 28th day, with maximum weight loss at the end of the test estimated around 20% (**Figure 6D**). With regards to enzymatic degradation, PCL-Gel nanofibers exhibited greater weight loss than those in PBS solution. After 1 day, weight loss was about 16% and steadily increased up to 40% after 28 days (**Figure 6D**). However, nanofibers did not swell, fuse, or completely degrade upon collagenase exposure. The average diameters were overall lower than those measured during hydrolytic degradation and reached a minimum of 0.13 ± 0.3 nm at day 7 (**Figure 6C**). Although there were no visible signs of degradation in the overall appearance of PCL-Gel nanofibers both after 14 or 28 days, FESEM images at high magnification revealed that in the latter case nanofibers had undergone non-homogeneous degradation, which may be attributed to the selective degradation of the Gel




TABLE 2 | Average Young's modulus (E), ultimate tensile stress (σ_R), and strain at rupture (ϵ_R), calculated from n samples.

	E (MPa)	σ_R (MPa)	ϵ_R (%)	n	
PCL	12.7 ± 0.8	8.5 ± 1.2	131.8 ± 12.8	7	
Gel	45.5 ± 9.7	2.6 ± 0.3	19.1 ± 4.1	3	
PCL-Gel	15.7 ± 2.7	7.7 ± 0.6	118.8 ± 13.2	4	
	E_A (MPa)	E_B (MPa)			
EV3PB	1.2 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 1	1.2 ± 0.7	41.4 ± 16.4	6
meshPCL-Gel	1.3 ± 0.4	3.0 ± 0.2	1.0 ± 0.7	41.4 ± 19.1	6

phase within single nanofibers (Figure 6B). However, comparing the FTIR spectra of PCL-Gel samples before and after either hydrolytic or enzymatic degradation the absorption bands relative to Gel are still present even after 28 days, as indicated by the arrows in Figure 6E.

meshPCL-Gel Device Characterizations

The meshPCL-Gel device was obtained by electrospinning PCL-Gel nanofibers onto PCL-precoated EV3PB mesh. A thin non-homogeneous layer of PCL was deposited on PP filaments (Figures 7A,B) to increase the adhesion between the mesh and the nanofibrous coating. PCL-Gel nanofibers adhered to the PCL-precoated mesh and formed a continuous layer on top of the mesh, with an average thickness of $15 \pm 10 \mu\text{m}$ (Figures 7C,D). The time needed for covering a single piece of PCL-precoated PP mesh depended on mesh size. For instance, PCL-Gel nanofibers were electrospun on $7 \times 2 \text{ cm}^2$ mesh pieces for around 12 min. Qualitative tests on PCL-Gel membranes adhesiveness are presented as Supplementary Figure 2 and Supplementary Video 1.

Tensile Properties

Mechanical properties of the meshPCL-Gel device were evaluated and compare to those of the bare EV3PB mesh through uniaxial tensile test until rupture. EV3PB stress-strain curve (Figure 8A) displays bilinearity, having two different mechanical regimens: an initial low stiffness region, followed by a high stiffness region (Afonso et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2009). Therefore, two Young's moduli, namely E_A and E_B , were calculated. For all specimens, the E_A region fell between 0 and 10% of deformation, whereas the E_B region fell between 15 and 30% of deformation. Maximum tensile stress (σ_R) and maximum strain (ϵ_R) were considered those at the first relevant point of rupture of meshes (as indicated by the arrow in Figure 8A). EV3PB stiffnesses, E_A and E_B , were $1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ MPa}$ and $3.1 \pm 1 \text{ MPa}$, respectively; maximum stress and elongation at the first point of rupture were $1.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ MPa}$ and $41.4 \pm 16.4\%$, respectively; meshPCL-Gel stiffnesses, E_A and E_B , were $1.3 \pm 0.4 \text{ MPa}$ and $3.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ MPa}$, respectively; maximum stress and elongation at the first point of rupture were $1.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ MPa}$ and $41.4 \pm 19.1\%$, respectively. Statistical analysis (Student's t -test) revealed that the presence of PCL-Gel membrane did not influence the behavior of EV3PB under

tension, with values comparable to the EV3PB's ones (Figure 8B and Table 2).

Hydrolytic and Enzymatic Degradation

Degradation of PCL-Gel membranes on the meshPCL-Gel device was carried out either in PBS or collagenase solutions, up to 28 days. The satisfactory appearance of the meshPCL-Gel device after 14 and 28 days was observed by FESEM, which also revealed the persistence of PCL-Gel nanofibers connecting with PP filaments (Figures 9A,B). The major contribution of meshPCL-Gel weight was given by EV3PB, which does not degrade under the condition used. Although weight losses are related solely to PCL-Gel degradation, these are referred to the initial weight of the entire device. Weight losses in both solutions ranged from 0.2 to 10% (Figure 9C). For samples in PBS solution, the percentage of remaining weight varied between a minimum of 89.9% and a maximum of 99.8%, being 99.4% after 28 days; similarly, it varied between a minimum of 89.3% and a maximum of 99.7%, being 97.3% after 28 days for samples in collagenase solution.

Biological Validation

The ability of human fibroblasts in colonizing both meshPCL-Gel device and PCL-Gel membranes was evidenced by optical (Supplementary Figure 3) and electron (Figure 10) microscopy. The latter also demonstrated that no morphological changes indicating cell suffering occur in cells grown on meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel, and that cells are able to proliferate, as evidenced by the mitotic event in Figure 10A.

MTT measures the mitochondrial metabolic activity, therefore is an indicator of cell viability. Results from MTT test demonstrated that the number of cells grown on meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel increased during the experimental times, as evidenced by the increase of absolute absorbance values, even if the proliferation is lower in comparison with control cells until 72 h (Figure 11). At both experimental times, the reduction of growth was of about 80 and 70% for PCL-Gel and meshPCL-Gel, respectively. Preliminary not reported results at 7 days after cell seeding seem to indicate that cells seeded on meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel restart to grow.

Then, the LDH release in the culture medium was evaluated as an indicator of necrotic death. The LDH values obtained from cells grown onto all the substrates tested were not higher than those of the controls at each time step (Figure 12), this indicating no induction of cytotoxicity. Cell counting performed with a Burkner chambers evidenced that no detached cells were present in the culture medium of all samples (data not reported).

DISCUSSION

For patients suffering from hernia conditions, implantation of synthetic meshes is the gold standard in repair surgery. Nonetheless, at 5 years from the first intervention, around the 5% of patients requires a second surgery for hernia recurrence or even mesh removal (Kokotovic et al., 2016). The complications that lead to such need are caused by multiple factors, either patient behavior (Burger et al., 2004), surgeons expertise (Lange et al., 2016), or prosthesis characteristics (Kayaoglu et al.,






FIGURE 6 | Characterizations of PCL-Gel membranes degraded in PBS or collagenase solutions at 37°C. **(A)** SEM images of PCL-Gel after 14 or 28 days of hydrolytic degradation at 5kx magnification; **(B)** FESEM images of PCL-Gel after 14 or 28 days of enzymatic degradation at different magnifications (left 5kx; right 50kx). Scale bars equal to 10 μm for 5kx and 1 μm for 50kx. **(C)** Variations in nanofibers diameter and **(D)** membranes weight loss; **(E)** comparison of FTIR spectra of PCL-Gel membranes at (i) day 0, and day 28 of (ii) hydrolytic and (iii) enzymatic degradation. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation ($n > 3$). Asterisks denote statistically significant differences compared to $t = 0$ (* $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.0001$, and **** $p < 0.00001$), as determined by analysis of variance followed by a *post-hoc* Bonferroni test.



2005). Among them, the occurrence of a prolonged state of inflammation seems to be correlated with a higher recurrence incidence due to an extensive FBR. The inflammatory reaction of tissues to the implanted mesh strongly impacts the healing process. Mesh-induced FBR hinders tissue remodeling, hence mesh integration, leading instead to excessive fibrosis, wound contraction, fistulas, adhesion, and general post-operative pain (Klinge et al., 2002; Junge et al., 2012; Klinge and Klosterhalfen, 2012; Deeken and Lake, 2017).

The present study aimed at assessing the possibility to develop a novel multicomponent hernia mesh device by coating commercial PP meshes with a nanostructured electrospun PCL-Gel membrane. This bioactive membrane could help the process of mesh integration and tissue remodeling by providing a more cell-friendly environment which would mitigate the chronic FBR.

First, PCL and Gel defect-free nanofibrous membranes were successfully electrospun at room temperature (Figures 1A,B,D,E). The protocol for PCL allowed to obtain nanofibers with an average diameter of $0.54 \pm 0.10 \mu\text{m}$. Notably, Gel nanofibers with average diameter of $0.35 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}$ were obtained at room temperature thanks to the addition of a small percentage of AA in the solvent system, which made the electrospinning of nanofibers feasible by hindering Gel gelation process (Erencia et al., 2015). GPTMS was also introduced as crosslinker for Gel to prevent its rapid dissolution in aqueous environments. Next, a new protocol for the production of PCL-Gel nanofibres was developed by dissolving PCL and Gel in an AA/FA solvent system and adding GPTMS as crosslinker for Gel. After 24 h, as required for polymers dissolution, no phase separation was observed, and the solution appeared transparent. Recently, FA/AA have been successfully used as an alternative and less toxic solvent system for PCL/Gel blends in place to the commonly used fluorinated alcohols (Denis et al.,






FIGURE 9 | Characterizations of meshPCL-Gel degraded in PBS or collagenase solutions at 37°C. FESEM images after 14 or 28 days of (A) hydrolytic or (B) enzymatic degradation. (C) meshPCL-Gel weight loss. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation ($n > 3$). Scale bars equal to 200 μm ; Asterisks denote statistically significant differences compared to $t = 0$ (* $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.0001$, and **** $p < 0.00001$), as determined by analysis of variance followed by a *post-hoc* Bonferroni test.




FIGURE 10 | FESEM micrographs of BJ human fibroblasts grown on (A) PCL-Gel and (B,C) meshPCL-Gel substrates (PCL-Gel membrane side), after 48 h of culture. Scale bars equal to 100 μm (A,B) and 2 μm (C).





FIGURE 11 | Growth of BJ human fibroblasts seeded onto the substrates tested. Values are expressed as (A) absolute absorbance at 595 nm, and (B) as percentage of control values. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation ($n = 3$). Asterisks denote statistically significant differences compared to $t = 0$ (* $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.001$, and **** $p < 0.00001$), as determined by analysis of variance followed by a *post-hoc* Bonferroni test.



2015; Gil-Castell et al., 2018). This hydrolytic system has been shown to promote the formation of hydrogen bonds between PCL and Gel thanks to the partial hydrolysis of both polymers, overcoming the poor miscibility typical of natural-synthetic polymers blends (Feng et al., 2012; Ren et al., 2017). As a matter of fact, in PCL-Gel FTIR spectra (Figure 2), the small shifts of the original absorption bands could be explained by the formation of hydrogen bonds (Chakrapani et al., 2012; Gil-Castell et al., 2020) or by the generation of intermolecular electrostatic interactions between the ester groups of PCL and the amine groups of Gel (Gautam et al., 2013). Similarly, with regards to thermal analysis (Figure 3), the small shift of PCL melting temperature in PCL-Gel DSC thermograms to a lower temperature could be related to the hydrolytic degradation affecting PCL polymer chains during solution preparation (Gil-Castell et al., 2017), but it could also reveal the entanglements between PCL and Gel polymer chains (Gil-Castell et al., 2018).

Ultrathin and smooth PCL-Gel nanofibers with an average diameter of 150 ± 40 nm were obtained by electrospinning (Figures 1C,F). Such small diameter was obtained thanks to presence of Gel, known to increase solutions conductivity—one

of the parameters influencing nanofibers diameter (Son et al., 2004)—by introducing many ionizable groups (i.e., amino and carboxyl); this effect was further accentuated by the strong polar nature of FA (Van der Schueren et al., 2011; Denis et al., 2015). Nanofibers diameter is known to determine cell behavior and lower values have been shown to better replicate the architecture of the native ECM components (Hodgkinson et al., 2014).

Despite the electrospinning process appeared very stable and nanofibers displayed a uniform external morphology, the presence of some non-homogeneity within the core was hypothesized by others, for instance from bright field images of nanofibers presenting either bright and dark areas (Zhang et al., 2005; Denis et al., 2015). This hypothesis could be confirmed by the results obtained from contact angle measurement, which showed that PCL-Gel membranes had a wide range of wettability values (from 69 to 124°) that could derive from the presence of such regions having slightly variable composition. Nonetheless, the overall behavior of the PCL-Gel changed from highly hydrophobic to moderately hydrophilic thanks to Gel functional groups, and reached values more friendly to living cells (van Wachem et al., 1985; Binulal et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2017). With regards to PCL-Gel mechanical properties (Figure 5), tensile tests results showed that their average stiffness, and maximum stress and elongation were around 16 MPa, 8 MPa, and 119%, respectively. Thus, the overall behavior of PCL-Gel was comparable to that of PCL, due to its higher content within the blend (80:20 PCL:Gel wt/wt). However, the presence of Gel improved PCL-Gel stiffness (+19%), may be due to the presence of physical interactions and entanglement between PCL and Gel chains, also in accordance with DSC analysis, and only modestly diminished membranes strength and lengthening ability ($< -10\%$ in both cases).

PCL-Gel hydrolytic or enzymatic degradation was tested over a period of 28 days. Membrane resistance in physiological conditions is a crucial parameter for cellular infiltration and, consequently, integration with the host tissue. Since PCL has a much slower degradation rate than Gel, which fast dissolves in aqueous environments without further crosslinking, the mass loss could be expected to be entirely ascribed to Gel, even supposing the GPTMS crosslinking would prevent this phenomenon. The gradual degradation of Gel in PCL-Gel membranes is considered beneficial in creating additional space for cells infiltration. Results from hydrolytic degradation showed that over the whole period nanofibers maintained their structural integrity almost unaltered (Figure 6A). Weight loss, greater during the first half of the test because of faster surface erosion, was only 20% of the initial weight at the end of the test. Literature reports controversial results on the degradation behavior of PCL-Gel-based membranes by hydrolysis. For instance, Kuppan et al. found that PCL-Gel membranes prepared in HFIP lost around the 70% of their initial weight after only 10 days (Kuppan et al., 2013), whereas Dulnik et al. account for a weight loss after 90 days $< 10\%$ for samples prepared with HFIP, and 15% when prepared in AA/FA (Dulnik et al., 2016).

Enzymatic degradation of PCL-Gel was also evaluated as a more physiological scenario. Enzymes of the matrix

metalloproteinases (MMPs) family are commonly present during wound healing and contribute to tissue remodeling (Nwomeh et al., 1998). Among MMPs, collagenases are responsible for breaking down the peptide bonds of collagen, however, they are also used to evaluate the enzymatic degradation of Gel (Kishan et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2018; Eskandarinia et al., 2020). During enzymatic degradation, PCL-Gel weight losses were greater than those induced by hydrolysis due to the selectivity of the collagenase enzyme. Differently from PBS, weight loss did not reach a plateau but steadily increased during the whole period, reaching a maximum of 40% after 28 days. Other research groups report faster rates for PCL/Gel electrospun scaffolds degraded in collagenase solutions, with weight losses around 70–80% after just 14 days (Chen et al., 2019; Eskandarinia et al., 2020). This discrepancy of results can be explained with the crosslinking strategies that have or have not been adopted. A general observation is that weight losses by collagenase exposure are greater than the actual percentage of Gel within the scaffolds. In our case, the value is twice the initial percentage of Gel within the PCL-Gel blend. These can be attributed to the emergence of defects along nanofibers due to Gel degradation, as confirmed by FESEM (**Figure 6B**). Defects accelerate and aggravate degradation by creating discontinuities that eventually lead to nanofibers fracture. However, in both degradation experiments, FTIR spectra revealed that Gel absorption bands were still visible on the 28th day, as a consequence of both the blending with PCL and the crosslinking with GPTMS.

Thereupon, these results evidence that the PCL-Gel nanofibrous membrane presents high stability, appropriate hydrophilicity (Gungor-Ozkerim et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2017), bioactivity, and superior mechanical properties when compared to PCL and Gel membranes alone. Accordingly, PCL-Gel were further used as nanostructured coating of EV3PB commercial PP hernia mesh.

The multicomponent meshPCL-Gel device is obtained with few and simple steps by covering EV3PB with the PCL-Gel nanostructured coating, which had an average thickness of few microns. The membrane successfully covered the empty areas of mesh pores and adhered to the underlying mesh, even in wet conditions and upon gentle rubbing or bending.

Simple uniaxial tensile tests were conducted on both EV3PB and meshPCL-Gel to assess whether the presence of the PCL-Gel membrane could influence EV3PB behavior. Two different mechanical regimens were found and two Young's moduli were calculated (Afonso et al., 2008; Jones et al., 2009). Similar non-linear complex behaviors are also observed in soft tissues (Fung and Skalak, 1982). Our results showed that PCL-Gel membranes did not have any influence on EV3PB's mechanical performances, so that the device maintains the original values of stiffnesses, stresses, and strains at rupture.

Many studies indicate that appropriate integration of the mesh implant is achieved between 2 and 3 months (Klinge et al., 2002; Boulanger et al., 2006; Morch et al., 2017). After this period, a new cellularized system consisting of implanted mesh, scar tissue, and neo-tissue with stabilized mechanical properties is obtained (Morch et al., 2017). Yet, Plencner et al. were able to shorten the time required for mesh integration down to 6 weeks and improve

the wound healing process thanks to a PCL-nanofiber coating of the mesh (Plencner et al., 2014).

Compared to the strategy proposed by Plencner et al., we develop a nanostructured coating combining PCL with a natural polymer, namely Gel. The presence of Gel increases the scaffold biomimicry, which would eventually result in enhanced cellular response and mesh integration (Denis et al., 2015). Indeed, although PCL is a biocompatible polymer, its hydrophobic nature and lack of bioactivity often result in poor tissue integration (Ghasemi-Mobarakeh et al., 2010; Xiang et al., 2018). Oppositely, Gel provides many cell binding sites (e.g., RGD sequence) easily recognized by integrins which to support the adhesion, spreading, proliferation and differentiation of many cell types, such as fibroblasts (Zhang et al., 2005; Gautam et al., 2013; Basar et al., 2017), epithelial cells (Kuppan et al., 2013), hMSCs (Binulal et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2017), preosteoblasts (Ren et al., 2017), Schwann cells (Gnavi et al., 2015), or myoblasts (Kim et al., 2010). Xiang et al. demonstrated that electrospun PCL scaffolds triggered a severe inflammatory reaction after 2 weeks implantation in rats, whereas the addition of Gel decreased the number of inflammatory cells recruited around the implant, and also promoted the infiltration of host cells (Xiang et al., 2018). Similarly, Gil-Castell et al. proved not only that PCL-Gel nanofibrous membranes did not elicit or sustain undesired inflammatory reactions in rats, but also that they could reduce the scar tissue area after myocardial infarction (Gil-Castell et al., 2020).

In the meshPCL-Gel multicomponent device, the PCL-Gel nanofibrous coating successfully supported the colonization and proliferation of human fibroblasts. The 3D architecture and porosity of the PCL-Gel membrane offered many anchoring points for cell attachment (Sgarminato et al., 2019; Yin et al., 2019) even in the empty pore spaces of the mesh, whose too wide dimensions (**Figure 7C**) do not favor fast tissue formation. Despite being lower than the control one, metabolic activity of cells seeded onto the meshPCL-Gel device increased throughout the experiment. Particularly, the upswing in metabolic activity from day 3 to day 7 suggests that the reduction of cell number in the first culture days was due to a mild and transient cytostatic effect, rather than to a cytotoxic one. This hypothesis has been further confirmed by the observation that the release of LDH in the culture medium is not increased in case of cells grown on both meshPCL-Gel and PCL-Gel in comparison with control cells. The LDH release is considered a marker of necrotic cell death and, therefore, of cytotoxicity. Indeed, it is released by necrotic cells showing plasma membrane alterations. The fact that in the culture medium of cells grown on either sample the enzymatic activity was significantly lower than that observed in the control cell medium confirms that no cytotoxic effect occurred. This statement has been further confirmed by the observation that no detached cells were present in the culture medium of all samples, as evidenced by counting cells in the Burkner chamber. These results are consistent with those reported by Klinge et al. in their *in vivo* study, where the number of fibroblasts at the wound site started to increase from day 3 (Klinge et al., 2002). However, after 21 days cells were able to colonize only a small percentage (~20%) of the mesh area, compared to the control group (~60%).

Further tests are required to investigate the colonization ability of fibroblasts onto the meshPCL-Gel device for longer culturing times, also evaluating their collagen deposition capabilities. When implanted *in vivo*, the nanostructured coating of the meshPCL-Gel device is also expected to improve the host response by presenting a more cell-friendly environment able to modulate inflammation by reducing the FBR (Junge et al., 2012; Wolf et al., 2014). Hence, a characterization of the inflammatory reaction could be conducted both *in vitro in vivo* to evaluate macrophages activity and pro- or anti-inflammatory cytokines release.

Thus, PCL-Gel nanofibrous membrane represents a valuable strategy for the development of a multicomponent hernia mesh device in which it would act as nanostructured coating able to ameliorate cellular response (Eskandarinia et al., 2020), both at the nanoscale and microscale (Denis et al., 2015; Sgarminato et al., 2019), mitigating the immune response, shortening mesh integration times, and ameliorating the wound healing process.

CONCLUSIONS

Surgical meshes employed in abdominal hernia repair often suffer from poor integration with the surrounding tissues, causing patients a state of discomfort and pain. The aim of this study was to develop a novel multicomponent hernia mesh device able to stimulate physiological tissue remodeling during wound healing, thus increasing mesh integration. The multicomponent hernia mesh device was successfully obtained by coating a commercial PP hernia mesh with a nanostructured membrane electrospun from a PCL-Gel blend. First, PCL, Gel, and PCL-Gel nanofibrous membranes were produced at room temperature and characterized through morphological, thermal, physico-chemical, and mechanical analyses. PCL-Gel nanofibers showed a homogeneous and ultrathin morphology, with adequate wettability and appropriate resistance to hydrolytic and enzymatic degradation. Secondly, the biocompatibility of the multicomponent hernia mesh device was assessed with human fibroblast. The nanostructured coating did not induce any cytotoxic effect, rather it was able to support an appropriate cellular response, demonstrating its potentiality as a novel

solution for favoring a more prompt and adequate integration of the mesh at the implant site after abdominal wall hernia repair surgeries.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IC, CT-T, AG, SC, and MB contributed to the design and implementation of the research. IC, GG, and CT-T carried out the experiments and analyzed the data concerning the multicomponent device. GM and CA carried out the experiments and analyzed the data concerning the biological evaluations. GG and IC wrote the manuscript with the contribution of GM and CA. GC, IC, and CT-T supervised the project and revised the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by Multi-WIRES project (ERA NET INCOMERA Innovation and Commercialization in the NMP Thematic 2nd Transnational Call 2016).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are grateful to Dipro Medical Devices S.r.l for kindly providing PP meshes and for the support to scientific work. Moreover, the authors are grateful to Susanna Sartori for SEM analysis, and to Salvatore Guastella and Mauro Raimondo for FESEM analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fbioe.2020.589223/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Afonso, J. S., Martins, P. A. L. S., Girao, M. J. B. C., Natal Jorge, R. M., Ferreira, A. J. M., Mascarenhas, T., et al. (2008). Mechanical properties of polypropylene mesh used in pelvic floor repair. *Int. Urogynecol. J.* 19, 375–380. doi: 10.1007/s00192-007-0446-1
- Agarwal, S., Wendorff, J. H., and Greiner, A. (2008). Use of electrospinning technique for biomedical applications. *Polymer* 49, 5603–5621. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2008.09.014
- Aldana, A. A., and Abraham, G. A. (2017). Current advances in electrospun gelatin-based scaffolds for tissue engineering applications. *Int. J. Pharm.* 523, 441–453. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2016.09.044
- Alvarez-Perez, M. A., Guarino, V., Cirillo, V., and Ambrosio, L. (2010). Influence of gelatin cues in PCL electrospun membranes on nerve outgrowth. *Biomacromolecules* 11, 2238–2246. doi: 10.1021/bm100221h
- Aydinuraz, K. (2017). Innovative modifications for preventing mesh infections. *J. Microb. Biochem. Technol.* 9, 527–534. doi: 10.4172/1948-5948.1000338
- Azimi, B., Nourpanah, P., Rabiee, M., and Arbab, S. (2014). Poly (ϵ -caprolactone) fiber: an overview. *J. Eng. Fibers Fabrics* 9, 74–90. doi: 10.1177/155892501400900309
- Basar, A. O., Castro, S., Torres-Giner, S., Lagaron, J. M., and Turkoglu Sasmazel, H. (2017). Novel poly(ϵ -caprolactone)/gelatin wound dressings prepared by emulsion electrospinning with controlled release capacity of Ketoprofen anti-inflammatory drug. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 81, 459–468. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.08.025
- Binulal, N. S., Natarajan, A., Menon, D., Bhaskaran, V. K., Mony, U., and Nair, S. V. (2014). PCL-gelatin composite nanofibers electrospun using diluted acetic acid-ethyl acetate solvent system for stem cell-based bone tissue engineering. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 25, 325–340. doi: 10.1080/09205063.2013.859872
- Boulanger, L., Boukerrou, M., Lambaudie, E., Defossez, A., and Cosson, M. (2006). Tissue integration and tolerance to meshes used in gynecologic surgery:

- an experimental study. *Eur. J. Obstetr. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 125, 103–108. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2005.07.029
- Brown, B. N., Mani, D., Nolfi, A. L., Liang, R., Abramowitch, S. D., and Moalli, P. A. (2015). Characterization of the host inflammatory response following implantation of prolapse mesh in rhesus macaque. *Am. J. Obstetr. Gynecol.* 213, 668.e1–668.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.08.002
- Brown, C. N., and Finch, J. G. (2010). Which mesh for hernia repair? *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* 92, 272–278. doi: 10.1308/003588410X12664192076296
- Burger, J. W. A., Luijendijk, R. W., Hop, W. C. J., Halm, J. A., Verdaasdonk, E. G. G., Jeekel, J., et al. (2004). Long-term follow-up of a randomized controlled trial of suture versus mesh repair of incisional hernia. *Ann. Surg.* 240, 578–585. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000141193.08524.e7
- Chakrapani, V. Y., Gnanamani, A., Giridev, V. R., Madhusootheran, M., and Sekaran, G. (2012). Electrospinning of type I collagen and PCL nanofibers using acetic acid. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 125, 3221–3227. doi: 10.1002/app.36504
- Chakroff, J., Kayuha, D., Henderson, M., and Johnson, J. (2015). Development and characterization of novel electrospun meshes for hernia repair. *SOJ Mater. Sci. Eng. 2*, 1–9. doi: 10.15226/sojmse.2015.00114
- Chen, D., Zhu, T., Fu, W., and Zhang, H. (2019). Electrospun polycaprolactone/collagen nanofibers cross-linked with 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide/N-hydroxysuccinimide and genipin facilitate endothelial cell regeneration and may be a promising candidate for vascular scaffolds. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 14, 2127–2144. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S192699
- Coda, A., Lamberti, R., and Martorana, S. (2012). Classification of prosthetics used in hernia repair based on weight and biomaterial. *Hernia* 16, 9–20. doi: 10.1007/s10029-011-0868-z
- Deeken, C. R., Abdo, M. S., Frisella, M. M., and Matthews, B. D. (2011). Physicochemical evaluation of absorbable and nonabsorbable barrier composite meshes for laparoscopic ventral hernia repair. *Surg. Endosc.* 25, 1541–1552. doi: 10.1007/s00464-010-1432-0
- Deeken, C. R., and Lake, S. P. (2017). Mechanical properties of the abdominal wall and biomaterials utilized for hernia repair. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 74, 411–427. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbm.2017.05.008
- Denis, P., Dulnik, J., and Sajkiewicz, P. (2015). Electrospinning and structure of bicomponent polycaprolactone/gelatin nanofibers obtained using alternative solvent system. *Int. J. Polym. Mater. Polym. Biomater.* 64, 354–364. doi: 10.1080/00914037.2014.945208
- Dinsmore, R. C., Calton, W. C., Harvey, S. B., and Blaney, M. W. (2000). Prevention of adhesions to polypropylene mesh in a traumatized bowel model. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 191, 131–136. doi: 10.1016/S1072-7515(00)00337-9
- Dulnik, J., Denis, P., Sajkiewicz, P., Kołbuk, D., and Choińska, E. (2016). Biodegradation of bicomponent PCL/gelatin and PCL/collagen nanofibers electrospun from alternative solvent system. *Polym. Degrad. Stab.* 130, 10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.polymdegradstab.2016.05.022
- East, B., Plencner, M., Kralovic, M., Rampichova, M., Sovkova, V., Vocetkova, K., et al. (2018). A polypropylene mesh modified with poly-ε-caprolactone nanofibers in hernia repair: large animal experiment. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 13, 3129–3143. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S159480
- Ebersole, G. C., Buettmann, E. G., MacEwan, M. R., Tang, M. E., Frisella, M. M., Matthews, B. D., et al. (2012). Development of novel electrospun absorbable polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffolds for hernia repair applications. *Surg. Endosc.* 26, 2717–2728. doi: 10.1007/s00464-012-2258-8
- Erencia, M., Cano, F., Tornero, J. A., Fernandes, M. M., Tzanov, T., Macanás, J., et al. (2015). Electrospinning of gelatin fibers using solutions with low acetic acid concentration: effect of solvent composition on both diameter of electrospun fibers and cytotoxicity. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 132, 1–11. doi: 10.1002/app.42115
- Eskandarinia, A., Kefayat, A., Agheb, M., Rafienia, M., Amini Baghbadorani, M., Navid, S., et al. (2020). A novel bilayer wound dressing composed of a dense polyurethane/propolis membrane and a biodegradable polycaprolactone/gelatin nanofibrous scaffold. *Sci. Rep.* 10:3063. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-59931-2
- Est, S., Roen, M., Chi, T., Simien, A., Castile, R. M., Thompson, D. M., et al. (2017). Multi-directional mechanical analysis of synthetic scaffolds for hernia repair. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 71, 43–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbm.2017.02.009
- Eurostat (2016). *Surgical operations and procedures statistics - Statistics Explained*. Eurostat. Available online at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Surgical_operations_and_procedures_statistics#Number_of_surgical_operations_and_procedures (accessed November 20, 2018).
- Faulk, D. M., Londono, R., Wolf, M. T., Ranallo, C. A., Carruthers, C. A., Wildemann, J. D., et al. (2014). ECM hydrogel coating mitigates the chronic inflammatory response to polypropylene mesh. *Biomaterials* 35, 8585–8595. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.06.057
- Feng, B., Tu, H., Yuan, H., Peng, H., and Zhang, Y. (2012). Acetic-acid-mediated miscibility toward electrospinning homogeneous composite nanofibers of GT/PCL. *Biomacromolecules* 13, 3917–3925. doi: 10.1021/bm3009389
- Fränneby, U., Sandblom, G., Nordin, P., Nyrén, O., and Gunnarsson, U. (2006). Risk factors for long-term pain after hernia surgery. *Ann. Surg.* 244, 212–219. doi: 10.1097/01.sla.0000218081.53940.01
- Fung, Y. C., and Skalak, R. (1982). Biomechanics. Mechanical properties of living tissues. *J. Appl. Mech.* 49, 464–465. doi: 10.1115/1.3162171
- Gautam, S., Dinda, A. K., and Mishra, N. C. (2013). Fabrication and characterization of PCL/gelatin composite nanofibrous scaffold for tissue engineering applications by electrospinning method. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 33, 1228–1235. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2012.12.015
- Ghasemi-Mobarakeh, L., Prabhakaran, M. P., Morshed, M., Nasr-Esfahani, M. H., and Ramakrishna, S. (2010). Bio-functionalized PCL nanofibrous scaffolds for nerve tissue engineering. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 30, 1129–1136. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2010.06.004
- Gil-Castell, O., Badia, J. D., Ontoria-Oviedo, I., Castellano, D., Sepúlveda, P., and Ribes-Greus, A. (2020). Polycaprolactone/gelatin-based scaffolds with tailored performance: *in vitro* and *in vivo* validation. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 107:110296. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2019.110296
- Gil-Castell, O., Badia, J. D., and Ribes-Greus, A. (2018). Tailored electrospun nanofibrous polycaprolactone/gelatin scaffolds into an acid hydrolytic solvent system. *Eur. Polym. J.* 101, 273–281. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2018.02.030
- Gil-Castell, O., Badia, J. D., Strömberg, E., Karlsson, S., and Ribes-Greus, A. (2017). Effect of the dissolution time into an acid hydrolytic solvent to tailor electrospun nanofibrous polycaprolactone scaffolds. *Eur. Polym. J.* 87, 174–187. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2016.12.005
- Gnavi, S., Fornasari, B. E., Tonda-Turo, C., Laurano, R., Zanetti, M., Ciardelli, G., et al. (2015). The effect of electrospun gelatin fibers alignment on schwann cell and axon behavior and organization in the perspective of artificial nerve design. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 12925–12942. doi: 10.3390/ijms160612925
- Greiner, A., and Wendorff, J. H. (2007). Electrospinning: a fascinating method for the preparation of ultrathin fibers. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 46, 5670–5703. doi: 10.1002/anie.200604646
- Gungor-Ozkerim, P. S., Balkan, T., Kose, G. T., Sezai Sarac, A., and Kok, F. N. (2014). Incorporation of growth factor loaded microspheres into polymeric electrospun nanofibers for tissue engineering applications. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part A* 102, 1897–1908. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.34857
- Harth, K. C., Rosen, M. J., Thatiparti, T. R., Jacobs, M. R., Halaweish, I., Bajaksouzian, S., et al. (2010). Antibiotic-releasing mesh coating to reduce prosthetic sepsis: an *in vivo* study. *J. Surg. Res.* 163, 337–343. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2010.03.065
- Hawn, M. T., Gray, S. H., Snyder, C. W., Graham, L. A., Finan, K. R., and Vick, C. C. (2011). Predictors of mesh explantation after incisional hernia repair. *Am. J. Surg.* 202, 28–33. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2010.10.011
- Hodgkinson, T., Yuan, X. F., and Bayat, A. (2014). Electrospun silk fibroin fiber diameter influences *in vitro* dermal fibroblast behavior and promotes healing of *ex vivo* wound models. *J. Tissue Eng.* 5:2041731414551661. doi: 10.1177/2041731414551661
- Jangö, H., Gräs, S., Christensen, L., and Lose, G. (2017). Examinations of a new long-term degradable electrospun polycaprolactone scaffold in three rat abdominal wall models. *J. Biomater. Appl.* 31, 1077–1086. doi: 10.1177/0885328216687664
- Jiang, Y.-C., Jiang, L., Huang, A., Wang, X.-F., Li, Q., and Turng, L.-S. (2017). Electrospun polycaprolactone/gelatin composites with enhanced cell–matrix interactions as blood vessel endothelial layer scaffolds. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 71, 901–908. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2016.10.083
- Jones, K. A., Feola, A., Meyn, L., Abramowitch, S. D., and Moalli, P. A. (2009). Tensile properties of commonly used prolapse meshes. *Int. Urogynecol. J.* 20, 847–853. doi: 10.1007/s00192-008-0781-x
- Junge, K., Binnebösel, M., Von Trotha, K. T., Rosch, R., Klinge, U., Neumann, U. P., et al. (2012). Mesh biocompatibility: effects of cellular

- inflammation and tissue remodelling. *Langenbecks Arch. Surg.* 397, 255–270. doi: 10.1007/s00423-011-0780-0
- Kayaoglu, H. A., Ozkan, N., Hazinedaroglu, S. M., Ersoy, O. F., Erkek, A. B., and Koseoglu, R. D. (2005). Comparison of adhesive properties of five different prosthetic materials used in hernioplasty. *J. Investig. Surg.* 18, 89–95. doi: 10.1080/08941930590926357
- Kim, M. S., Jun, I., Shin, Y. M., Jang, W., Kim, S. I., and Shin, H. (2010). The development of genipin-crosslinked poly(caprolactone) (PCL)/gelatin nanofibers for tissue engineering applications. *Macromol. Biosci.* 10, 91–100. doi: 10.1002/mabi.200900168
- Kishan, A. P., Nezarati, R. M., Radzicki, C. M., Renfro, A. L., Robinson, J. L., Whitely, M. E., et al. (2015). *In situ* crosslinking of electrospun gelatin for improved fiber morphology retention and tunable degradation. *J. Mater. Chem. B* 3, 7930–7938. doi: 10.1039/C5TB00937E
- Klinge, U., and Klosterhalfen, B. (2012). Modified classification of surgical meshes for hernia repair based on the analyses of 1,000 explanted meshes. *Hernia* 16, 251–258. doi: 10.1007/s10029-012-0913-6
- Klinge, U., Klosterhalfen, B., Birkenhauer, V., Junge, K., Conze, J., and Schumpelick, V. (2002). Impact of polymer pore size on the interface scar formation in a rat model. *J. Surg. Res.* 103, 208–214. doi: 10.1006/jsre.2002.6358
- Klosterhalfen, B., Junge, K., and Klinge, U. (2005). The lightweight and large porous mesh concept for hernia repair. *Expert Rev. Med. Devices* 2, 103–117. doi: 10.1586/17434440.2.1.103
- Kokotovic, D., Bisgaard, T., and Helgstrand, F. (2016). Long-term recurrence and complications associated with elective incisional hernia repair. *JAMA* 316, 1575–1582. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.15217
- Kuppan, P., Sethuraman, S., and Krishnan, U. M. (2013). PCL and PCL-gelatin nanofibers as esophageal tissue scaffolds: optimization, characterization and cell-matrix interactions. *J. Biomed. Nanotechnol.* 9, 1540–1555. doi: 10.1166/jbn.2013.1653
- Lange, J., Meyer, V. M., Voropai, D. A., Keus, F., Wijmsmuller, A. R., Ploeg, R. J., et al. (2016). The role of surgical expertise with regard to chronic postoperative inguinal pain (CPIP) after Lichtenstein correction of inguinal hernia. *T. Hernia* 20, 349–356. doi: 10.1007/s10029-016-1483-9
- Le Huu Nho, R., Mege, D., Ouaiissi, M., Sielezneff, I., and Sastre, B. (2012). Incidence and prevention of ventral incisional hernia. *J. Visc. Surg.* 149, e3–e14. doi: 10.1016/j.jvisurg.2012.05.004
- Liu, P., Chen, N., Jiang, J., and Wen, X. (2019). New surgical meshes with patterned nanofiber mats. *RSC Adv.* 9, 17679–17690. doi: 10.1039/C9RA01917K
- Michelle, K., Macdougall, K., Olabisi, O., and McGuire, N. (2017). *In vivo* response to polypropylene following implantation in animal models: a review of biocompatibility. *Int. Urogynecol. J.* 28, 171–180. doi: 10.1007/s00192-016-3029-1
- Morch, A., Poussele, B., Doucède, G., Witz, J. F., Lesaffre, F., Lecomte-Grosbras, P., et al. (2017). Experimental study of the mechanical behavior of an explanted mesh: the influence of healing. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 65, 190–199. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2016.07.033
- Nwomeh, B. C., Liang, H. X., Diegelmann, R. F., Cohen, I. K., and Yager, D. R. (1998). Dynamics of the matrix metalloproteinases MMP-1 and MMP-8 in acute open human dermal wounds. *Wound Repair Regenerat.* 6, 127–134. doi: 10.1046/j.1524-475X.1998.6.0206.x
- Plencner, M., East, B., Tonar, Z., Otáhal, M., Prosecká, E., Rampichová, M., et al. (2014). Abdominal closure reinforcement by using polypropylene mesh functionalized with poly-ε-caprolactone nanofibers and growth factors for prevention of incisional hernia formation. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 9, 3263–3277. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S63095
- Plencner, M., Prosecká, E., Rampichová, M., East, B., Buzgo, M., Vysloulžilová, L., et al. (2015). Significant improvement of biocompatibility of polypropylene mesh for incisional hernia repair by using poly-ε-caprolactone nanofibers functionalized with thrombocyte-rich solution. *Int. J. Nanomed.* 10, 2635–2646. doi: 10.2147/IJN.S77816
- Poppas, D. P., Sung, J. J., Magro, C. M., Chen, J., Toyohara, J. P., Ramshaw, B. J., et al. (2016). Hydrogel coated mesh decreases tissue reaction resulting from polypropylene mesh implant: implication in hernia repair. *Hernia* 20, 623–632. doi: 10.1007/s10029-016-1481-y
- Rastegarpour, A., Cheung, M., Vardhan, M., Ibrahim, M. M., Butler, C. E., Facs, M. D., et al. (2016). Surgical mesh for ventral incisional hernia repairs: understanding mesh design. *Plastic Surg.* 24, 41–50. doi: 10.1177/229255031602400110
- Ren, K., Wang, Y., Sun, T., Yue, W., and Zhang, H. (2017). Electrospun PCL/gelatin composite nanofiber structures for effective guided bone regeneration membranes. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 78, 324–332. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.04.084
- Sanbhal, N., Miao, L., Xu, R., Khatri, A., and Wang, L. (2018). Physical structure and mechanical properties of knitted hernia mesh materials: a review. *J. Ind. Textiles* 48, 333–360. doi: 10.1177/1528083717690613
- Sgarminato, V., Tonda-Turo, C., and Ciardelli, G. (2019). Reviewing recently developed technologies to direct cell activity through the control of pore size: from the macro- to the nanoscale. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 108, 1176–1185. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.34467
- Simón-Allué, R., Ortillés, A., and Calvo, B. (2018). Mechanical behavior of surgical meshes for abdominal wall repair: *in vivo* versus biaxial characterization. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 82, 102–111. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2018.03.011
- Son, W. K., Youk, J. H., Lee, T. S., and Park, W. H. (2004). The effects of solution properties and polyelectrolyte on electrospinning of ultrafine poly(ethylene oxide) fibers. *Polymer* 45, 2959–2966. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2004.03.006
- Takaoka, R., Hikasa, Y., and Tabata, Y. (2009). Vascularization around poly(tetrafluoroethylene) mesh with coating of gelatin hydrogel incorporating basic fibroblast growth factor. *J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed.* 20, 1483–1494. doi: 10.1163/092056009X12457419038465
- Taylor, D. (2018). The failure of polypropylene surgical mesh *in vivo*. *J. Mech. Behav. Biomed. Mater.* 88, 370–376. doi: 10.1016/j.jmbbm.2018.08.041
- Tonda-Turo, C., Cipriani, E., Gnani, S., Chiono, V., Mattu, C., Gentile, P., et al. (2013). Crosslinked gelatin nanofibres: preparation, characterisation and *in vitro* studies using glial-like cells. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 33, 2723–2735. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2013.02.039
- Tonda-Turo, C., Gentile, P., Saracino, S., Chiono, V., Nandagiri, V. K., Muzio, G., et al. (2011). Comparative analysis of gelatin scaffolds crosslinked by genipin and silane coupling agent. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 49, 700–706. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2011.07.002
- Usher, F. C., Ochsner, J., and Tuttle, L. L. (1958). Use of marlex mesh in the repair of incisional hernias. *Am. Surg.* 24, 969–974.
- Van der Schueren, L., de Schoenmaker, B., Kalaoglu, Ö. I., and de Clerck, K. (2011). An alternative solvent system for the steady state electrospinning of polycaprolactone. *Eur. Polym. J.* 47, 1256–1263. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2011.02.025
- van Wachem, P. B., Beugeling, T., Feijen, J., Bantjes, A., Detmers, J. P., and van Aken, W. G. (1985). Interaction of cultured human endothelial cells with polymeric surfaces of different wettabilities. *Biomaterials* 6, 403–408. doi: 10.1016/0142-9612(85)90101-2
- Vilches, J. L., de Souza Filho, M. D. S. M., de Freitas Rosa, M., Sanches, A. O., and Malmonge, J. A. (2019). Fabrication of fish gelatin microfibrillar mats by solution blow spinning. *Mater. Res.* 22, 1–5. doi: 10.1590/1980-5373-mr-2019-0158
- Wang, X., Ding, B., and Li, B. (2013). Biomimetic electrospun nanofibrous structures for tissue engineering. *Mater. Today* 16, 229–241. doi: 10.1016/j.mattod.2013.06.005
- Wolf, M. T., Dearth, C. L., Ranallo, C. A., LoPresti, S. T., Carey, L. E., Daly, K. A., et al. (2014). Macrophage polarization in response to ECM coated polypropylene mesh. *Biomaterials* 35, 6838–6849. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.04.115
- Xiang, P., Wang, S. S., He, M., Han, Y. H., Zhou, Z. H., Chen, D. L., et al. (2018). The *in vitro* and *in vivo* biocompatibility evaluation of electrospun recombinant spider silk protein/PCL/gelatin for small caliber vascular tissue engineering scaffolds. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 163, 19–28. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2017.12.020
- Yang, G., Xiao, Z., Long, H., Ma, K., Zhang, J., Ren, X., et al. (2018). Assessment of the characteristics and biocompatibility of gelatin sponge

- scaffolds prepared by various crosslinking methods. *Sci. Rep.* 8:1616. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-20006-y
- Yin, C., Rozet, S., Okamoto, R., Kondo, M., Tamada, Y., Tanaka, T., et al. (2019). Physical properties and *in vitro* biocompatible evaluation of silicone-modified polyurethane nanofibers and films. *Nanomaterials* 9:367. doi: 10.3390/nano9030367
- Zhang, Y., Ouyang, H., Chwee, T. L., Ramakrishna, S., and Huang, Z. M. (2005). Electrospinning of gelatin fibers and gelatin/PCL composite fibrous scaffolds. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res.* 72, 156–165. doi: 10.1002/jbm.b.30128
- Zhang, Y. Z., Venugopal, J., Huang, Z. M., Lim, C. T., and Ramakrishna, S. (2006). Crosslinking of the electrospun gelatin nanofibers. *Polymer* 47, 2911–2917. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2006.02.046

Conflict of Interest: AG, SC, and MB were employed by Dipro Medical Devices S.r.l.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Giuntoli, Muzio, Actis, Ganora, Calzone, Bruno, Ciardelli, Carmagnola and Tonda-Turo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.