

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

### 3D printing of cellulose nanocrystals-loaded hydrogels through rapid fixation by photopolymerization

Journal:	<i>Langmuir</i>
Manuscript ID	la-2021-00553j
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Feb-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Kam, Doron; Robert H Smith Institute of Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Casali Institute of Applied Chemistry Braner, Ariel; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Casali Institute of Applied Chemistry; Future scientist center, Alpha program Abouzglo, Avi; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Casali Institute of Applied Chemistry Larush, Liraz; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Casali Institute of Applied Chemistry Chiappone, Annalisa; Politecnico di Torino, DISAT Shoseyov, Oded; Robert H Smith Institute of Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture Magdassi, Shlomo; Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Casali Institute of Applied Chemistry

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# 3D printing of cellulose nanocrystals-loaded hydrogels through rapid fixation by photopolymerization

*Doron Kam<sup>1,2</sup>, Ariel Braner<sup>1,3</sup>, Avi Abouzglo<sup>1</sup>, Liraz Larush<sup>1</sup>, Annalisa Chiapponed<sup>4</sup>, Oded  
Shoseyov<sup>2\*</sup>, Shlomo Magdassi<sup>1\*</sup>*

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Plant Sciences and Genetics in Agriculture, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Rehovot,  
Israel

<sup>3</sup>Alpha program, Future scientist center, Jerusalem, Israel

<sup>4</sup>Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy.

1  
2  
3 ABSTRACT  
4  
5  
6

7 New ink compositions for direct ink writing (DIW) printing of hydrogels, combining the superior  
8 rheological properties of cellulose nanocrystals (CNC) and a novel water-compatible  
9 photoinitiator, are presented. Rapid fixation was achieved by photopolymerization induced  
10 immediately after printing of each layer by 365 nm light for 5 s, which overcame the common  
11 height limitation in DIW printing of hydrogels, and enabled the fabrication of objects with a high-  
12 aspect ratio. CNC imparted a unique rheological behavior, which manifested by orders of  
13 magnitude difference in viscosity between low and high shear rates, and in rapid high-shear  
14 recovery, without compromising ink printability. Compared to the literature, the presented printing  
15 compositions enable the use of low photoinitiator concentrations under the shortest curing time  
16 and are also curable by 405nm, which is favorable for maintaining viability in bio-inks.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

31 Keywords: 3D printing; nanocellulose; hydrogel; water-compatible photoinitiator  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## INTRODUCTION

3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing, has become an important fabrication technology during the past decade, and is already implemented in a variety of fields, such as automotives<sup>1</sup>, aerospace<sup>2</sup>, dentistry<sup>3</sup>, soft robotics<sup>4</sup>, and even pharmaceuticals.<sup>5</sup> In particular, 3D bioprinting, which addresses current challenges in medicine, such as bone scaffold and even printed heart mimics composed of hydrogels, is an emerging and continuously evolving field.<sup>6-8</sup> The most common 3D bioprinting technology for printing hydrogel is based on extrusion, also known as direct ink writing (DIW), which forms objects by extruding successive layers of materials, layer after layer, along a predesigned pathway. Other hydrogel-based printing techniques such as vat photopolymerization,<sup>9</sup> and material jetting systems,<sup>10</sup> yield high resolution far beyond that needed for biological purposes. In addition, when using these techniques, the cell composition of the tissue/organ and the bioinks are currently a major obstacle due to clogging of nozzles by the cells or the lack of vat multi-material printing technology. Therefore, despite of the limited resolution of extrusion-based printing as compared to vat polymerization and material jetting, it is plausible that it will remain as the preferred technology for bioprinting clinically relevant constructs.<sup>11</sup>

3D printing through extrusion-based technologies requires (1) sufficient ink viscosity to maintain it in the extruder, (2) ink flow, achieved by applying pressure during the extrusion, (3) shape fidelity, achieved by retaining high viscosity upon contact with the printer platform, and (4) rapid fixation to prevent the collapse of the printed structure.

Shape fidelity in DIW is very challenging, due to conflicting ink specifications, which are governed by ink rheology properties that tackle gravity and surface energy. When the printed material does not undergo a rapid fixation process, the printed object can slump after exceeding a

1  
2  
3 certain height due to gravity, a height that is determined by the rheological ink properties. For  
4 example, for 45 wt% Boehmite suspension, slumping occurs already at a height of 5 mm.<sup>12</sup> To  
5  
6 obtain greater heights, the ink yield stress must be increased, however, this interferes with the  
7  
8 printability of the ink. Alternatively, high objects can be fabricated by inducing an immediate  
9  
10 increase in viscosity of the printed structure during the printing process via a photopolymerization  
11  
12 reaction, as performed in inkjet printing by the Polyjet technology.<sup>13</sup> Inkjet printing requires inks  
13  
14 with very low viscosities, which are not suitable for printing high-viscosity polymer solutions.  
15  
16  
17


18  
19 DIW combined with UV photopolymerization, has been used to print hydrogels composed of  
20  
21 gelatin methacryloyl.<sup>14,15</sup> The printing compositions contained the photoinitiator (PI) Irgacure  
22  
23 2959, which is water-soluble, but has very low absorption in the wavelengths which are relevant  
24  
25 to current 3D printers (365-405nm).<sup>16</sup> Thus, to achieve rapid and efficient photopolymerization,  
26  
27 there is a need for a water-soluble PI that absorbs well at the relevant wavelengths. Therefore, here  
28  
29 we utilize our recently reported water-compatible PI 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl-diphenylphosphine  
30  
31 oxide (TPO), which by itself is not soluble in water. TPO is suitable for photopolymerization at  
32  
33 405 nm, which is favorable for bioprinting and cell viability. Rapid fixation to obtain high-aspect  
34  
35 ratio objects can not be achieved by photopolymerization alone due to time-lapse between the  
36  
37 point of extrusion and the point at which the ink meets the light irradiation. Therefore, we used a  
38  
39 dual-fixation mechanism, based on both polymerization and rheology. The latter can be achieved  
40  
41 using cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) which is a biocompatible material that had shown to be  
42  
43 favorable for DIW.<sup>17,18</sup>  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

49 CNCs are nanometric rod particles, which originate from cellulose hydrolysis mainly by sulfuric  
50  
51 acid.<sup>19,20</sup> CNC integration in photopolymerizable hydrogels was mainly achieved by its chemical  
52  
53 or physical entrapment within a hydrated polymer network.<sup>21</sup> Chemical modification of CNC by  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 polymerizable groups, such as acrylates and methacrylates, may impair its water dispersibility and  
4 therefore, the water medium should be replaced by solvents.<sup>22,23</sup> poly(N,N-dimethacrylamide)  
5 (PDMA) hydrogels were reinforced by acrylated CNCs dispersed in water in the presence of 2,2'-  
6 diethoxyacetophenone (Irgacure 2959), the most common water-soluble PI at that time. However,  
7 the polymerization reaction required 50 min, which is a too long time for rapid fixation in DIW  
8 processes.<sup>24</sup>  
9

10  
11 A previous work on physical entrapment of CNCs demonstrated hydrogel fabrication in molds  
12 with inks containing CNC with various monomers. 2,2-diethoxyacetophenone was used as a  
13 photo-initiator, in a photo-polymerization process that was carried out for 1 hour.<sup>25</sup> Later, the same  
14 group used different PIs and UV polymerized the ink for 3 hours.<sup>26</sup> Zhang et al. used DMSO as  
15 the liquid medium for DIW, and Irgacure 2959, applied UV irradiation for 10 min.<sup>27</sup> Frost et al.  
16 used lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate (LAP) as a water-soluble PI for DIW  
17 printing and reported on polymerization within 60 s in UV post-curing chamber.<sup>28</sup> There are also  
18 some reports on the application of inks containing CNC in vat printing processes, in which the  
19 photopolymerization is faster than in DIW but occurs within a thinner layer of ink, in the micron-  
20 size range.<sup>29-31</sup>  
21  
22

23  
24 Figure 1 summarizes the literature data regarding the photopolymerization-based fabrication of  
25 3D hydrogel objects including CNC, emphasizing the long duration required to achieve  
26 photopolymerization of hydrogels and the high concentration of PI that is needed; due to the high  
27 water content in typical hydrogels, their reactivity is usually low, thus requiring light exposure  
28 times that are too long for practical purposes.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 1.** Summary of photocurable hydrogels. PI concentration based on (A) One-time illumination (mold or post-curing) (B) build time (various 3D printing technique). See supporting information Table S1-2.<sup>14,15,22–63</sup>

Here, we present new hydrogel compositions for DIW printing, which utilizes the unique pseudoplastic behavior of CNC, combined with rapid fixation of the printed objects by photopolymerization. The developed formulations composed of CNC and water-compatible PIs enable the unprecedented reduction of exposure times, down to approximately 5 s, which results in the most rapid buildup rate (Fig 1). By using the proposed ink compositions, hydrogels with high-aspect ratio, excellent shape fidelity, and superior mechanical properties can be achieved in a rapid printing process.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials.** Cellulose nanocrystal (CNC) dispersions were obtained from Celluforce Inc., Montreal, Canada (freeze-dry, LOT #2015-009). Acrylic acid (AA), isopropanol (IPA) and Brij 58 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Polyethyleneglycol diacrylate (PEGDA) (SR610) was obtained from SARTOMER. 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl-diphenylphosphine oxide (TPO) PI was obtained from IGM.

**Water-compatible PI.** A water-compatible powder composed of 5 wt% 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl-diphenylphosphine oxide (TPO) PI and 95 wt% Brij58 was prepared based on previous publications.<sup>37,54</sup> Upon addition 1 wt% to water or the hydrogel ink composition, it forms a clear solution.

**Ink preparation .** A 10 wt% dispersion of CNC in TDW was prepared by sonication (Vibra-Cell, Sonics & Materials) (750W, 15 min, pulses of 2 s on, 1 s pause) in an ice water bath to prevent overheating. The obtained dispersion was mixed with the other materials as indicated in **Table 1**, using a planetary mixer for 5 min, and was defoamed for 2 min (AR-100, THINKY Co. Ltd, Japan). The final ink compositions were kept in a 10 mL disposable syringe without exposure to light.

**Table 1.** Inks compositions

	0%CNC	1.2%CNC	2.4%CNC	3.6%CNC	5.8%CNC
10%CNC [g]	0	5	10	15	23.2
AA [g]	17.3	16.85	16.4	15.95	15.2
TDW [g]	23.2	18.2	13.2	8.2	0
PEGDA [g]	0.9	0.88	0.86	0.83	0.8
TPO-Brij [g]	0.9	0.88	0.86	0.83	0.8

**Rheology.** Rheology measurements were performed at room temperature (20 °C) using a HaakeRheostress 6000 Rheometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) coupled with an RS6000 temperature controller (lower plate - TMP 350, upper plate - P35TiL, gap - 0.5 mm). All experiments started at a constant low shear rate ( $\dot{\gamma} = 0.01 \frac{1}{s}$ ,  $t=100s$ ,  $T=20$  °C) to reach the set temperature and to achieve a defined shear history before the actual experiment. Then, for the viscosity-shear rate curves, the shear rate was ramped up from  $\dot{\gamma} = 0.01 \frac{1}{s}$  to  $\dot{\gamma} = 100 \frac{1}{s}$  at  $t=100$

1  
2  
3 s. For thixotropic measurements, the shear was ramped up from  $\dot{\gamma}=0.01$  1/s to  $\dot{\gamma}=100$  1/s, then  
4  
5 held at a maximum shear rate ( $\dot{\gamma} = 100 \frac{1}{s}$ ) for 30 s, and then ramped down to  $0.1 \frac{1}{s}$ . For shear  
6  
7 recovery measurements, a constant shear rate of  $\dot{\gamma} = 0.1 \frac{1}{s}$  was applied for 100s, followed by a  
8  
9 high shear rate of  $\dot{\gamma} = 100 \frac{1}{s}$  for 30 s, and then a low shear rate  $\dot{\gamma} = 0.1 \frac{1}{s}$  for another 100s. An  
10  
11 oscillation amplitude sweep was performed at frequencies 1 Hz and 10 Hz, over a stress range of  
12  
13  $1 Pa \leq \tau \leq 100 Pa$ . An oscillation frequency sweep was performed at 10 Pa Hz, over a frequency  
14  
15 range of  $0.01 Hz \leq f \leq 100 Hz$ .  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 **Photorheology.** Photorheological measurements were performed at 25°C using an Anton PAAR  
22  
23 Modular Compact Rheometer (Physica MCR 302) in parallel-plate mode, using a quartz bottom  
24  
25 plate. A Hamamatsu LC8 lamp with a UV-light source ( $25 \text{ mWcm}^{-2}$ ) was placed under the bottom  
26  
27 plate. Experiments were performed in flow mode, by setting the gap between the two glass plates  
28  
29 to 0.3 mm. A constant shear rate of  $\dot{\gamma}=0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  was imposed and the UV irradiation was started after  
30  
31 60 s to allow the system to stabilize. Viscosity change was monitored as a function of irradiation  
32  
33 time.  
34  
35  
36

37 **Mechanical testing.** Hydrogel objects for the evaluation of mechanical properties were  
38  
39 fabricated in molds by exposing to 395 nm light (32V, 8A, Integration technology LTD, Oxon,  
40  
41 UK) for 15 s. Unconfined mechanical tests of cylindrical objects were performed on fully swollen  
42  
43 samples (over 72 h), using an Instron universal testing machine (Model 3345, Instron Corp.,  
44  
45 Norwood, MA, USA) equipped with a 500 N load cell and operated at 5 mm/min. Five replicate  
46  
47 specimens were tested under ambient conditions. Young's modulus was calculated up to 15%  
48  
49 strain, by linear least-squares regression (Scipy.stats.linregress).  
50  
51  
52

53 **3D printing.** Hydrogels were 3D-printed using a Hyrel3D 30M printer (Hyrel International,  
54  
55 Inc., Norcross, GA, USA) equipped with an SDS-10 extruder mounted with 365 nm LED. A 10  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 mL disposable syringe with a 16-gauge conical tip (1.29 mm) was used throughout the printing  
4  
5 process unless indicated otherwise. G-code files were prepared via 3D Slic3r software (Ver. 1.2.9,  
6  
7 slic3r.org). The printing rate was set to 5 mm/s, the layer height was set to 0.8 mm, and the light  
8  
9 irradiation (365 nm or 405 nm) was applied for 5s for each printed layer.  
10  
11

12 **Statistical analysis.** All errors indicate 95% confidence interval (CI) and had been calculated  
13  
14 by:  
15

$$16 \quad CI = \frac{f(t) \cdot \sigma}{\sqrt{N}},$$


17  
18 where  $N$  is the sample size,  $f(t)$  is the value of the Student's t-distribution for a specific  
19  
20 probability (0.95) and  $N - 1$  degrees of freedom, and  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation.  
21  
22  
23

## 24 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

25  
26 Our printing approach is based on combining the pseudoplastic behavior of the ink with rapid  
27  
28 fixation of the printed layer. The CNC, which controls the rheological properties of the tested ink,  
29  
30 enables obtaining a yield stress value that is sufficient for temporary fixation of a layer printed by  
31  
32 extrusion. After each layer is formed, it is exposed to UV light, to initiate photopolymerization,  
33  
34 which rapidly fixates the permanent shape of the layer. To achieve this, water-based UV-curable  
35  
36 inks for DIW, composed of the monomers AA and PEGDA, and TPO as the PI, were prepared. As  
37  
38 TPO is insoluble in water, we used our developed water-compatible TPO composition, with Brij-  
39  
40 58, a nonionic surfactant.<sup>37</sup> Since the viscosity of this photocurable ink is not suitable for DIW  
41  
42 printing, we supplemented it with dispersed CNC to tailor the rheological properties.  
43  
44  
45  
46

47  
48 Five concentrations of CNC nanoparticles were prepared while maintaining the same  
49  
50 concentration of solids in the ink (**Table 1**). Inks without CNC failed to print objects, as shown as  
51  
52 a puddle, in Figure 2A. Additionally, objects printed with CNC-containing ink, but only exposed  
53  
54 to UV irradiation after the objects were printed, failed to maintain their weight and shape beyond  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60


1  
2  
3 a very small number of layers as seen in structure slumping (Figure 2B). The combination of CNC  
4 and UV curing during printing enabled the printing of objects with multiple highly stable layers  
5  
6 (Figure 2C).  
7  
8  
9



35 **Figure 2.** DIW-printed objects (A) with 0% CNC and UV-cured during printing (B)  
36 with 2.4% CNC and UV-cured after printing; the object is composed of 14 printed  
37 layers. (C) A cylindrical object printed with 2.4% CNC and UV-cured during printing.  
38  
39 The object contains 32 printed layers.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 **Rheology.** Rheology measurements were performed to examine ink behavior during the  
46 different steps of the printing process. First, flow curve experiments were performed, to determine  
47 how viscosity varies with shear rates. Sufficiently high viscosity at a low shear rate is crucial to  
48 avoid ink drippage from the syringe during non-printing steps, whereas when stress is applied by  
49 the syringe piston, viscosity must decrease rapidly to enable extrusion. As shown in **Figure 3**,  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

shear-thinning occurred only in samples that contained CNC. In addition, the viscosity increased as CNC concentrations increased, while shear-thinning behavior was preserved.




**Figure 3.** Viscosity as a function of shear rate for different CNC wt% hydrogel inks

before exposure to UV light, plotted on a double logarithmic scale.

In addition to shear-thinning properties, inks used in extrusion-based 3D printers must be thixotropic, which enables them to return to their more viscous state, both in the syringe while not printing, and in the printed object, after being extruded. Thixotropy was evaluated by measuring viscosity at three stages: (1) when ramping up shear rate from  $\dot{\gamma} = 0.1 \frac{1}{s}$  to  $\dot{\gamma} = 100 \frac{1}{s}$ , which causes a breakdown of ink structure, seen by the decrease in viscosity, (2) when holding a maximum shear rate for 30 s, and (3) when ramping down the shear rate to  $\dot{\gamma} = 0.1 \frac{1}{s}$  while the viscosity recovers by reforming the initial network within the ink. Figure 4A presents the

thixotropy behavior of CNC-containing inks. The thixotropy index, calculated from the area of the hysteresis loop from structure breakdown to recovery, represents the energy consumption of this process (**Figure 4B**). All inks containing CNC exhibited thixotropic behavior.




**Figure 4.** Thixotropy measurement (A) Thixotropy viscosity hysteresis loop (B) Thixotropy index. Light-shaded markers represent actual measurement, dark-shaded markers represent average, and error bars indicate 95% CI.

Shear recovery experiments were performed to evaluate the rheology time dependence of the inks after extrusion. To correlate these measurements with the actual printing shear rate, the maximum shear rate (MSR) was evaluated. MSR of the printer setup was found to be between 75 1/s and 300 1/s, depending on the calculation, which takes into account the rheology dependence on CNC concentration (see supporting information section S2).

In this experiment (Figure 5), a constant low shear rate ( $\dot{\gamma}=0.1$  1/s,  $T=20$  °C) was applied for 100 s, followed by a constant high shear rate ( $\dot{\gamma}=100$  1/s  $T=20$  °C) for 30 s, simulating the shear rates which are applied from the syringe piston. Then, the shear rate was decreased again ( $\dot{\gamma}=0.1$  1/s,  $T=20$  °C) and the recovery of the viscosity was measured and compared to the initial viscosity. For all inks tested, a mean 5 s were required to recover 50% of the viscosity, after applying a shear



rate of  $100 \text{ 1/s}$ . Moreover, it was noted that the higher the CNC concentration, the longer it took to reach a steady viscosity. The highest recovery (70.36%) was obtained with the ink containing 2.4% CNC, and was reached within 15 s.



**Figure 5.** Shear recovery measurements at  $100 \text{ 1/s}$  shear rate. % recovery achieved is indicated shown to the right of each curve.



**Mechanical properties of objects in mold.** The mechanical properties of hydrogel objects fabricated, in molds, from inks containing various CNCs concentrations, were evaluated by unconfined compression tests (raw data is presented in Figure S2). As shown in **Figure 6B**, the Young's modulus increased with increasing CNC concentrations, with the best precision obtained for the 2.4% ink. The same trend was observed for the stress-at-break evaluations, which increased three-fold for ink ranging from 0% to 2.4% CNC wt%, without impacting precision (**Figure 6D**).

At higher CNC concentrations, the stress at break continued to increase, but the precision began to decrease. Interestingly, CNC concentration did not affect strain-to-break (**Figure 6C**).



(A)

(B)



(C)


(D)

**Figure 6.** Unconfined compression test of hydrogels integrating various concentrations of CNC. (A) Image of hydrogel during the test. (B) Young's modulus. (C) Strain to break. (D) Stress to break. Light-shaded markers represent actual measurements, dark-shaded markers represent averages, and error bars indicate 95% CI.

**Photorheology.** Beyond yield stress, hydrogel inks change their structure from a "solid-like" to a "liquid-like" state (**Error! Reference source not found.**-4). While printing, the weight of the upper layers exert stress, which can cause the printed object to collapse, thereby limiting the height


1  
2  
3 of printed objects. For example, ink with 2.4% CNC, deforms at 50 Pa and, therefore, the maximal  
4  
5 achievable height would be 0.5 cm, assuming  $\rho = 1000 \frac{kg}{m^3}$ .<sup>12</sup> In practice, the height will be even  
6  
7 lower due to the impact of capillary forces. To overcome the height limitation, it is necessary to  
8  
9 polymerize the hydrogel before the printing process reaches its yield-stress-dictated height  
10  
11 limitation. Photorheology experiments provide a good tool to detect the transition from a “liquid-  
12  
13 like” to a “solid-like” state via photopolymerization. Therefore, UV photopolymerization was  
14  
15 induced to rapidly introduce covalent and permanent crosslinks in the hydrogel, which increases  
16  
17 ink rheology temporary fixation yield stress and can withstand the addition of more printed layers.  
18  
19

20  
21  
22 Based on the observed rheological and mechanical properties, the ink containing 2.4% CNC was  
23  
24 selected for photorheological assessment. The photorheology measurements showed that this ink  
25  
26 achieves sufficient viscosity that enabled structure fixation within several seconds, and required  
27  
28 approximately 20 s of irradiation to obtain a fully polymerized hydrogel (**Figure 7**). It should be  
29  
30 noted that the same final viscosity was observed for CNC-free ink, its initial viscosity was too low  
31  
32 for printing by DIW.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Figure 7.** Photorheology characterization of water-soluble PI photopolymerization kinetics for 0 and 2.4 % CNC inks. Vertical dashed line indicate the time point at which the light was turned on.


**3D Printing.** To demonstrate the importance of rapid UV curing, 2.4% CNC ink was UV-irradiated during printing or after the entire object was printed (Figure S5-6). Figure 8A shows printed cylinders subjected to UV irradiation (5 s) after each layer was printed. A gradual increase in height with a good fixation of the cylinder was achieved, with no seeing limitation to the final object height. In contrast, when UV irradiation was only applied after the entire cylinder was printed, the lower part (from layer #4 and on) of the cylinder expanded, indicating the collapse of the object (Figure 8B). When reaching layer #14, the supposed-to-be cylinder object slumped and the printing had to be terminated.



**Figure 8.** 2.4% CNC DIW-printed cylindrical objects photographed at two-layer intervals during the printing process, with rapid UV curing performed (A) after deposition of each layer or (B) after the entire object was printed. The printed layers are indicated at the bottom of each image.

The cylinders presented in Figure 8 were printed with a 1.29 mm nozzle diameter, to achieve large heights in a short time. The same inks can be printed using much smaller nozzle diameters, which provide enhanced resolution. Figure S8 shows a series of lines printed using the same ink, but through a series of nozzles of decreasing diameters.

These experiments were performed using 365 nm UV light, which might be problematic for biomedical applications, due to the negative effect of short-wavelength radiation on cell viability. To test the curing effectiveness of visible light, these same printing experiments were repeated with 405 nm irradiation. As shown in Figure S7 and Figure 9A, the same degree of fixation was achieved, without compromising the printing speed or resolution.



(A)

(B)

**Figure 9.** DIW hydrogel structures printed with rapid photopolymerization. (A) A honeycomb-shaped object cured with 405nm irradiation. (B) A chess pawn cured with 365nm light.

## CONCLUSIONS

Acrylic acid-based hydrogels reinforced with CNC were 3D printed and photopolymerized by using a water-compatible PI. The CNC imparted a unique rheological behavior, which manifested by orders of magnitude different behaviors at low versus high shear rates, and fast and high shear recovery, without compromising ink printability. Rapid photopolymerization performed immediately after printing each layer overcame the common height limit of DIW printing of hydrogels, and enabled the fabrication of objects with a high-aspect ratio. The presented approach enabled the shortest irradiation time under extremely low concentrations of PI, including possible curing by 405 nm light, which is important for maintaining viability in bio-inks.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information.

1  
2  
3 The following files are available free of charge.

4  
5 brief description (file type, i.e., PDF)

6  
7  
8 AUTHOR INFORMATION

9  
10  
11 **Corresponding Author**

12  
13 \*Oded Shoseyov: [shoseyov@agri.huji.ac.il](mailto:shoseyov@agri.huji.ac.il)

14  
15  
16 \*Shlomo Magdassi: [magdassi@mail.huji.ac.il](mailto:magdassi@mail.huji.ac.il)

17  
18  
19 **Author Contributions**

20  
21  
22 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval  
23  
24 to the final version of the manuscript.

25  
26  
27 **Funding Sources**

28  
29  
30 We thank the Ministry of Science Technology and Space in Israel for financial support (3-  
31  
32 15638).

33  
34  
35  
36 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

37  
38  
39 The authors would like to thank Prof. Morton M. Denn for fruitful discussions on rheology.

40  
41  
42 **ABBREVIATIONS**

43  
44 DIW, direct ink writing; CNC, cellulose nanocrystals; PI, photoinitiator; PDMA, poly(N,N-  
45  
46 dimethacrylamide); LAP, lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphinate; AA, Acrylic acid;  
47  
48 IPA, isopropanol; TPO, 2,4,6-trimethylbenzoyl-diphenylphosphine oxide; CI, confidence  
49  
50 interval; PEGDA, Polyethyleneglycol diacrylate.

51  
52  
53  
54 **REFERENCES**

- 1  
2  
3 (1) Martin, J. H.; Yahata, B. D.; Hundley, J. M.; Mayer, J. A.; Schaedler, T. A.; Pollock, T. M.  
4  
5 3D Printing of High-Strength Aluminium Alloys. *Nature* **2017**, *549* (7672), 365–369.  
6  
7 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23894>.  
8  
9
- 10  
11 (2) Tepylo, N.; Huang, X.; Patnaik, P. C. Laser-Based Additive Manufacturing Technologies  
12  
13 for Aerospace Applications. *Adv. Eng. Mater.* **2019**, *21* (11), 1–35.  
14  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.201900617>.  
16  
17
- 18  
19 (3) Dawood, A.; Marti, B. M.; Sauret-Jackson, V.; Darwood, A. 3D Printing in Dentistry. *Br.*  
20  
21 *Dent. J.* **2015**, *219* (11), 521–529. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2015.914>.  
22  
23
- 24  
25 (4) Sachyani Keneth, E.; Kamyshny, A.; Totaro, M.; Beccai, L.; Magdassi, S. 3D Printing  
26  
27 Materials for Soft Robotics. *Adv. Mater.* **2020**, *2003387*, 1–17.  
28  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202003387>.  
30  
31
- 32  
33 (5) Mathew, E.; Pitzanti, G.; Larrañeta, E.; Lamprou, D. A. Three-Dimensional Printing of  
34  
35 Pharmaceuticals and Drug Delivery Devices. *Pharmaceutics* **2020**, *12* (3), 1–9.  
36  
37 <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics12030266>.  
38  
39
- 40  
41 (6) Noor, N.; Shapira, A.; Edri, R.; Gal, I.; Wertheim, L.; Dvir, T. 3D Printing of Personalized  
42  
43 Thick and Perfusable Cardiac Patches and Hearts. *Adv. Sci.* **2019**, *6* (11).  
44  
45 <https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201900344>.  
46  
47
- 48  
49 (7) Jammalamadaka, U.; Tappa, K. Recent Advances in Biomaterials for 3D Printing and  
50  
51 Tissue Engineering. *J. Funct. Biomater.* **2018**, *9* (1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb9010022>.  
52  
53
- 54  
55 (8) Dong, Y.; Wang, S.; Ke, Y.; Ding, L.; Zeng, X.; Magdassi, S.; Long, Y. 4D Printed  
56  
57 Hydrogels: Fabrication, Materials, and Applications. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* **2020**, *5* (6), 1–  
58  
59

- 1  
2  
3 19. <https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.202000034>.  
4  
5  
6 (9) Ge, Q.; Chen, Z.; Cheng, J.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H. 3D Printing of Highly Stretchable  
7 Hydrogel with Diverse UV Curable Polymers. **2021**, 1–11.  
8  
9  
10  
11 (10) Cui, X.; Breitenkamp, K.; Finn, M. G.; Lotz, M.; D’Lima, D. D. Direct Human Cartilage  
12 Repair Using Three-Dimensional Bioprinting Technology. *Tissue Eng. - Part A* **2012**, *18*  
13 (11–12), 1304–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ten.tea.2011.0543>.  
14  
15  
16  
17 (11) Fonseca, A. C.; Melchels, F. P. W.; Ferreira, M. J. S.; Moxon, S. R.; Potjewyd, G.;  
18 Dargaville, T. R.; Kimber, S. J.; Domingos, M. Emulating Human Tissues and Organs: A  
19 Bioprinting Perspective Toward Personalized Medicine. *Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *120* (19),  
20 11128–11174. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00342>.  
21  
22  
23  
24 (12) M’Barki, A.; Bocquet, L.; Stevenson, A. Linking Rheology and Printability for Dense and  
25 Strong Ceramics by Direct Ink Writing. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7* (1), 1–10.  
26  
27 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-06115-0>.  
28  
29  
30  
31 (13) Napadensky, E. Inkjet 3D Printing. In *The Chemistry of Inkjet Inks*; Magdassi, S., Ed.; 2009;  
32 pp 255–268. [https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812818225\\_0013](https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812818225_0013).  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37 (14) Mora-Boza, A.; Włodarczyk-Biegun, M. K.; Del Campo, A.; Vázquez-Lasa, B.; Román, J.  
38 S. Glycerylphosphate as an Ionic Crosslinker for 3D Printing of Multi-Layered Scaffolds with  
39 Improved Shape Fidelity and Biological Features. *Biomater. Sci.* **2020**, *8* (1), 506–516.  
40  
41 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9bm01271k>.  
42  
43  
44  
45 (15) Zhuang, P.; Ng, W. L.; An, J.; Chua, C. K.; Tan, L. P. Layer-by-Layer Ultraviolet Assisted  
46 Extrusion-Based (UAE) Bioprinting of Hydrogel Constructs with High Aspect Ratio for  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Soft Tissue Engineering Applications. *PLoS One* **2019**, *14* (6), 1–21.  
4  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216776>.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (16) Pawar, A. A.; Saada, G.; Cooperstein, I.; Larush, L.; Jackman, J. A.; Tabaei, S. R.; Cho, N.-  
10 J. J.; Magdassi, S. High-Performance 3D Printing of Hydrogels by Water-Dispersible  
11 Photoinitiator Nanoparticles. *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2* (4), 1–8.  
12  
13 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501381>.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (17) Kam, D.; Layani, M.; BarkaiMinerbi, S.; Orbaum, D.; Abrahami BenHarush, S.; Shoseyov,  
19 O.; Magdassi, S. Additive Manufacturing of 3D Structures Composed of Wood Materials.  
20  
21 *Adv. Mater. Technol.* **2019**, *1900158* (9), 1900158.  
22  
23 <https://doi.org/10.1002/admt.201900158>.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 (18) Kam, D.; Chasnitsky, M.; Nowogrodski, C.; Braslavsky, I.; Abitbol, T.; Magdassi, S.;  
29 Shoseyov, O. Direct Cryo Writing of Aerogels Via 3D Printing of Aligned Cellulose  
30 Nanocrystals Inspired by the Plant Cell Wall. *Colloids and Interfaces* **2019**, *3* (2), 46.  
31  
32 <https://doi.org/10.3390/colloids3020046>.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 (19) Beck-Candanedo, S.; Roman, M.; Gray, D. G. Effect of Reaction Conditions on the  
39 Properties and Behavior of Wood Cellulose Nanocrystal Suspensions. *Biomacromolecules*  
40  
41 **2005**, *6* (2), 1048–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bm049300p>.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (20) Abitbol, T.; Kam, D.; Levi-Kalisman, Y.; Gray, D. G.; Shoseyov, O. Surface Charge  
47 Influence on the Phase Separation and Viscosity of Cellulose Nanocrystals. *Langmuir* **2018**,  
48  
49 *34* (13), 3925–3933. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b04127>.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 (21) De France, K. J.; Hoare, T.; Cranston, E. D. Review of Hydrogels and Aerogels Containing  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Nanocellulose. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, 29 (11), 4609–4631.  
4  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b00531>.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (22) Wang, J.; Siqueira, G.; Müller, G.; Rentsch, D.; Huch, A.; Tingaut, P.; Levalois-  
10 Grützmacher, J.; Grützmacher, H. Synthesis of New Bis(Acyl)Phosphane Oxide  
11 Photoinitiators for the Surface Functionalization of Cellulose Nanocrystals. *Chem.*  
12 *Commun.* **2016**, 52 (13), 2823–2826. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cc09760f>.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (23) Siqueira, G.; Kokkinis, D.; Libanori, R.; Hausmann, M. K.; Gladman, A. S.; Neels, A.;  
19 Tingaut, P.; Zimmermann, T.; Lewis, J. A.; Studart, A. R. Cellulose Nanocrystal Inks for  
20 3D Printing of Textured Cellular Architectures. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2017**, 27 (12), 1604619.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201604619>.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 (24) Yang, J.; Han, C. R.; Xu, F.; Sun, R. C. Simple Approach to Reinforce Hydrogels with  
29 Cellulose Nanocrystals. *Nanoscale* **2014**, 6 (11), 5934–5943.  
30 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c4nr01214c>.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 (25) Kelly, J. A.; Shukaliak, A. M.; Cheung, C. C. Y.; Shopsowitz, K. E.; Hamad, W. Y.;  
37 MacLachlan, M. J. Responsive Photonic Hydrogels Based on Nanocrystalline Cellulose.  
38 *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2013**, 52 (34), 8912–8916.  
39 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201302687>.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (26) Wang, P. X.; Hamad, W. Y.; MacLachlan, M. J. Structure and Transformation of Tactoids  
47 in Cellulose Nanocrystal Suspensions. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, 7.  
48 <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11515>.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 (27) Zhang, Z.; Liu, R.; Zepeda, H.; Zeng, L.; Qiu, J.; Wang, S. 3D Printing Super Strong  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Hydrogel for Artificial Meniscus. *ACS Appl. Polym. Mater.* **2019**, *1* (8), 2023–2032.  
4  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsapm.9b00304>.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (28) Frost, B.; Sutliff, B. P.; Thayer, P.; Bortner, M. J.; Foster, E. J. Gradient Poly(Ethylene  
10 Glycol) Diacrylate and Cellulose Nanocrystals Tissue Engineering Composite Scaffolds via  
11 Extrusion Bioprinting. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *7* (OCT), 1–14.  
12  
13 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2019.00280>.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (29) Li, V. C. F.; Kuang, X.; Mulyadi, A.; Hamel, C. M.; Deng, Y.; Qi, H. J. 3D Printed Cellulose  
19 Nanocrystal Composites through Digital Light Processing. *Cellulose* **2019**, *26* (6), 3973–  
20 3985. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10570-019-02353-9>.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (30) Palaganas, N. B.; Mangadlao, J. D.; De Leon, A. C. C.; Palaganas, J. O.; Pangilinan, K. D.;  
27 Lee, Y. J.; Advincula, R. C. 3D Printing of Photocurable Cellulose Nanocrystal Composite  
28 for Fabrication of Complex Architectures via Stereolithography. *ACS Appl. Mater.*  
29 *Interfaces* **2017**, *9* (39), 34314–34324. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b09223>.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 (31) Wang, J.; Chiappone, A.; Roppolo, I.; Shao, F.; Fantino, E.; Lorusso, M.; Rentsch, D.;  
37 Dietliker, K.; Pirri, C. F.; Grützmacher, H. All-in-One Cellulose Nanocrystals for 3D  
38 Printing of Nanocomposite Hydrogels. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57* (9), 2353–2356.  
39  
40 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201710951>.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (32) Ding, H.; Illsley, N. P.; Chang, R. C. 3D Bioprinted GelMA Based Models for the Study of  
47 Trophoblast Cell Invasion. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9* (1), 1–13. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55052-7)  
48 [55052-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55052-7).  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54 (33) Lai, C. W.; Yu, S. S. 3D Printable Strain Sensors from Deep Eutectic Solvents and Cellulose  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Nanocrystals. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (30), 34235–34244.  
4  
5 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c11152>.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (34) Wei, J.; Wang, J.; Su, S.; Wang, S.; Qiu, J.; Zhang, Z.; Christopher, G.; Ning, F.; Cong, W.  
10 3D Printing of an Extremely Tough Hydrogel. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5* (99), 81324–81329.  
11  
12 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ra16362e>.  
13  
14  
15  
16 (35) Huang, T. Q.; Qu, X.; Liu, J.; Chen, S. 3D Printing of Biomimetic Microstructures for  
17 Cancer Cell Migration. *Biomed. Microdevices* **2014**, *16* (1), 127–132.  
18  
19 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10544-013-9812-6>.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24 (36) Yeh, Y. C.; Highley, C. B.; Ouyang, L.; Burdick, J. A. 3D Printing of Photocurable  
25 Poly(Glycerol Sebacate) Elastomers. *Biofabrication* **2016**, *8* (4).  
26  
27 <https://doi.org/10.1088/1758-5090/8/4/045004>.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32 (37) Larush, L.; Kaner, I.; Fluksman, A.; Tamsut, A.; Pawar, A. A.; Lesnovski, P.; Benny, O.;  
33 Magdassi, S. 3D Printing of Responsive Hydrogels for Drug-Delivery Systems. *J. 3D Print.*  
34 *Med.* **2017**, *1* (4), 219–229. <https://doi.org/10.2217/3dp-2017-0009>.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39 (38) Ouyang, L.; Highley, C. B.; Rodell, C. B.; Sun, W.; Burdick, J. A. 3D Printing of Shear-  
40 Thinning Hyaluronic Acid Hydrogels with Secondary Cross-Linking. *ACS Biomater. Sci.*  
41 *Eng.* **2016**, *2* (10), 1743–1751. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00158>.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47 (39) Fantino, E.; Roppolo, I.; Zhang, D.; Xiao, J.; Chiappone, A.; Castellino, M.; Guo, Q.; Pirri,  
48 C. F.; Yang, J. 3D Printing/Interfacial Polymerization Coupling for the Fabrication of  
49 Conductive Hydrogel. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* **2018**, *303* (4), 1–8.  
50  
51 <https://doi.org/10.1002/mame.201700356>.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (40) Murphy, R. D.; Kimmins, S.; Hibbitts, A. J.; Heise, A. 3D-Extrusion Printing of Stable  
4 Constructs Composed of Photoresponsive Polypeptide Hydrogels. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10*  
5 (34), 4675–4682. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9py00796b>.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 (41) Smith, P. T.; Narupai, B.; Tsui, J. H.; Millik, S. C.; Shafranek, R. T.; Kim, D. H.; Nelson,  
12 A. Additive Manufacturing of Bovine Serum Albumin-Based Hydrogels and Bioplastics.  
13 *Biomacromolecules* **2020**, *21* (2), 484–492. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biomac.9b01236>.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (42) Kiyotake, E. A.; Douglas, A. W.; Thomas, E. E.; Nimmo, S. L.; Detamore, M. S.  
19 Development and Quantitative Characterization of the Precursor Rheology of Hyaluronic  
20 Acid Hydrogels for Bioprinting. *Acta Biomater.* **2019**, *95*, 176–187.  
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2019.01.041>.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28 (43) Heo, D. N.; Lee, S. J.; Timsina, R.; Qiu, X.; Castro, N. J.; Zhang, L. G. Development of 3D  
29 Printable Conductive Hydrogel with Crystallized PEDOT:PSS for Neural Tissue  
30 Engineering. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* **2019**, *99* (September 2018), 582–590.  
31 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.msec.2019.02.008>.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38 (44) Hong, H.; Seo, Y. B.; Kim, D. Y.; Lee, J. S.; Lee, Y. J.; Lee, H.; Ajiteru, O.; Sultan, M. T.;  
39 Lee, O. J.; Kim, S. H.; Park, C. H. Digital Light Processing 3D Printed Silk Fibroin  
40 Hydrogel for Cartilage Tissue Engineering. *Biomaterials* **2020**, *232* (November 2019),  
41 119679. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2019.119679>.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48 (45) Xue, D.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Mei, D. Digital Light Processing-Based 3D Printing of Cell-  
49 Seeding Hydrogel Scaffolds with Regionally Varied Stiffness. *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*  
50 **2019**, *5* (9), 4825–4833. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.9b00696>.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (46) Grogan, S. P.; Chung, P. H.; Soman, P.; Chen, P.; Lotz, M. K.; Chen, S.; D’Lima, D. D.  
4  
5 Digital Micromirror Device Projection Printing System for Meniscus Tissue Engineering.  
6  
7 *Acta Biomater.* **2013**, *9* (7), 7218–7226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2013.03.020>.  
8  
9  
10  
11 (47) Highley, C. B.; Rodell, C. B.; Burdick, J. A. Direct 3D Printing of Shear-Thinning  
12  
13 Hydrogels into Self-Healing Hydrogels. *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27* (34), 5075–5079.  
14  
15 <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201501234>.  
16  
17  
18 (48) Melilli, G.; Carmagnola, I.; Tonda-Turo, C.; Pirri, F.; Ciardelli, G.; Sangermano, M.;  
19  
20 Hakkarainen, M.; Chiappone, A. DLP 3D Printing Meets Lignocellulosic Biopolymers:  
21  
22 Carboxymethyl Cellulose Inks for 3D Biocompatible Hydrogels. *Polymers (Basel)*. **2020**,  
23  
24 *12* (8), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3390/POLYM12081655>.  
25  
26  
27  
28 (49) Shen, Y.; Tang, H.; Huang, X.; Hang, R.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Yao, X. DLP Printing  
29  
30 Photocurable Chitosan to Build Bio-Constructs for Tissue Engineering. *Carbohydr. Polym.*  
31  
32 **2020**, *235* (October 2019), 115970. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2020.115970>.  
33  
34  
35  
36 (50) Basara, G.; Yue, X.; Zorlutuna, P. Dual Crosslinked Gelatin Methacryloyl Hydrogels For.  
37  
38 **2019**.  
39  
40  
41  
42 (51) Burke, G.; Devine, D. M.; Major, I. Effect of Stereolithography 3d Printing on the Properties  
43  
44 of Pegdma Hydrogels. *Polymers (Basel)*. **2020**, *12* (9), 1–13.  
45  
46 <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym12092015>.  
47  
48  
49 (52) Tytgat, L.; Van Damme, L.; Ortega Arevalo, M. del P.; Declercq, H.; Thienpont, H.;  
50  
51 Otteveare, H.; Blondeel, P.; Dubruel, P.; Van Vlierberghe, S. Extrusion-Based 3D Printing  
52  
53 of Photo-Crosslinkable Gelatin and  $\kappa$ -Carrageenan Hydrogel Blends for Adipose Tissue  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3       Regeneration. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2019**, *140*, 929–938.  
4  
5       <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.08.124>.  
6  
7  
8  
9 (53) Li, L.; Lu, C.; Wang, L.; Chen, M.; White, J.; Hao, X.; McLean, K. M.; Chen, H.; Hughes,  
10 T. C. Gelatin-Based Photocurable Hydrogels for Corneal Wound Repair. *ACS Appl. Mater.*  
11 *Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (16), 13283–13292. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b17054>.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 (54) Pawar, A. A.; Saada, G.; Cooperstein, I.; Larush, L.; Jackman, J. A.; Tabaei, S. R.; Cho, N.-  
17 J. J.; Magdassi, S. High-Performance 3D Printing of Hydrogels by Water-Dispersible  
18 Photoinitiator Nanoparticles. *Sci. Adv.* **2016**, *2* (4), 1–8.  
19  
20  
21       <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501381>.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (55) Hiller, A.; Borchers, K.; Tovar, G. E. M.; Southan, A. Impact of Intermediate UV Curing  
27 and Yield Stress of 3D Printed Poly(Ethylene Glycol) Diacrylate Hydrogels on Interlayer  
28 Connectivity and Maximum Build Height. *Addit. Manuf.* **2017**, *18*, 136–144.  
29  
30  
31       <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.10.008>.  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 (56) Jeon, O.; Lee, Y. Bin; Jeong, H.; Lee, S. J.; Wells, D.; Alsberg, E. Individual Cell-Only  
37 Bioink and Photocurable Supporting Medium for 3D Printing and Generation of Engineered  
38 Tissues with Complex Geometries. *Mater. Horizons* **2019**, *6* (8), 1625–1631.  
39  
40  
41       <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9mh00375d>.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (57) Xia, H.; Zhao, D.; Zhu, H.; Hua, Y.; Xiao, K.; Xu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chen, W.; Liu, Y.; Zhang,  
47 W.; Liu, W.; Tang, S.; Cao, Y.; Wang, X.; Chen, H. H.; Zhou, G. Lyophilized Scaffolds  
48 Fabricated from 3D-Printed Photocurable Natural Hydrogel for Cartilage Regeneration.  
49 *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *10* (37), 31704–31715.  
50  
51       <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b10926>.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 (58) Müller, L. A. E.; Zimmermann, T.; Nyström, G.; Burgert, I.; Siqueira, G. Mechanical  
4 Properties Tailoring of 3D Printed Photoresponsive Nanocellulose Composites. *Adv. Funct.*  
5 *Mater.* **2020**, *2002914*, 2002914. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.202002914>.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 (59) Johnston, T. G.; Fellin, C. R.; Carignano, A.; Nelson, A. Poly(Alkyl Glycidyl Ether)  
12 Hydrogels for Harnessing the Bioactivity of Engineered Microbes. *Faraday Discuss.* **2019**,  
13 *219*, 58–72. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9fd00019d>.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18 (60) Yin, M. J.; Yao, M.; Gao, S.; Zhang, A. P.; Tam, H. Y.; Wai, P. K. A. Rapid 3D Patterning  
19 of Poly(Acrylic Acid) Ionic Hydrogel for Miniature PH Sensors. *Adv. Mater.* **2016**, *28* (7),  
20 1394–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201504021>.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 (61) Wang, Y.; Li, Y.; Yu, X.; Long, Q.; Zhang, T. Synthesis of a Photocurable Acrylated  
27 Poly(Ethylene Glycol)-: Co -Poly(Xylitol Sebacate) Copolymers Hydrogel 3D Printing Ink  
28 for Tissue Engineering. *RSC Adv.* **2019**, *9* (32), 18394–18405.  
29 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra03637g>.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 (62) Wang, L. L.; Highley, C. B.; Yeh, Y. C.; Galarraga, J. H.; Uman, S.; Burdick, J. A. Three-  
37 Dimensional Extrusion Bioprinting of Single- and Double-Network Hydrogels Containing  
38 Dynamic Covalent Crosslinks. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. - Part A* **2018**, *106* (4), 865–875.  
39 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.36323>.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46 (63) Wilts, E. M.; Pekkanen, A. M.; White, B. T.; Meenakshisundaram, V.; Aduba, D. C.;  
47 Williams, C. B.; Long, T. E. Vat Photopolymerization of Charged Monomers: 3D Printing  
48 with Supramolecular Interactions. *Polym. Chem.* **2019**, *10* (12), 1442–1451.  
49 <https://doi.org/10.1039/c8py01792a>.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60