

Joining of SiC, alumina, and mullite by the Refractory Metal—Wrap pressureless process

Original

Joining of SiC, alumina, and mullite by the Refractory Metal—Wrap pressureless process / Gianchandani, Pardeep Kumar; Casalegno, Valentina; De la Pierre des Ambrois, Stefano; Salvo, Milena; De Aloysio, Giulia; Laghi, Luca; Ferraris, Monica. - In: INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF APPLIED CERAMIC TECHNOLOGY. - ISSN 1546-542X. - (2020). [10.1111/ijac.13477]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2791832 since: 2020-02-12T14:42:03Z

Publisher:

Wiley

Published

DOI:10.1111/ijac.13477

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

Joining of SiC, alumina and mullite by the Refractory Metal (RM) – Wrap pressure-less process

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Applied Ceramic Technology</i>
Manuscript ID	ACT-5573.R1
Manuscript Type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Gianchandani, Pardeep; Mehran University of Engineering and Technology CASALEGNO, VALENTINA; Politecnico di Torino, The Department of APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY De la Pierre des Ambrois, Stefano; Politecnico di Torino, The Department of APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY Salvo, Milena; Politecnico di Torino, The Department of APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY Aloysio, Giulia ; CERTIMAC Laghi, Luca ; CERTIMAC Ferraris, Monica; Politecnico di Torino, The Department of APPLIED SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Keywords:	joints/joining, ceramic matrix composites, thermal analysis, silicon carbide, alumina
Author-supplied Keyword: If there is one additional keyword you would like to include that was not on the list, please add it below::	Pressure-less joining

SCHOLARONE™
 Manuscripts

Joining of SiC, alumina and mullite by the Refractory Metal (RM) – Wrap pressure-less process

Pardeep Kumar Gianchandani(1), Valentina Casalegno (2), Stefano De la Pierre des Ambrois (2), Milena Salvo (2), Giulia De Aloysio (3), Luca Laghi(3), Monica Ferraris (2)

(1) Mehran University of Engineering and Technology Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan.

(2) Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Italy.

(3) CERTIMAC, Faenza (RA), Italy

Abstract

The aim of this work is to discuss the suitability of the joining process called “RM-Wrap” (RM= Refractory Metals, i.e. Mo, Nb, Ta, Zr) as a pressure-less and tailorable technique to join several different ceramics such as SiC, alumina and mullite ($3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 2\text{SiO}_2$). In the RM-Wrap joining technique the refractory metal foil is used as a wrap containing one or more silicon foils. It is performed at 1450 °C, under flowing argon, and the resulting joining materials are in-situ formed composites made of refractory metal disilicides (MoSi_2 , NbSi_2 , TaSi_2 or ZrSi_2) embedded in a silicon rich matrix; their coefficient of thermal expansion has been calculated and the Laser Flash Method was used to measure the thermal diffusivity of one of them (MoSi_2/Si) in 25-1000 °C range, then to calculate its thermal conductivity. All the obtained joints are uniform, continuous and crack free. Some preliminary oxidation tests were carried out on all joints at 1100 °C, 6 hours in air, giving unchanged morphology of the interface and the joining materials itself; the joint strength of RM-Wrap joined SiC was measured at room temperature using three different mechanical tests: (i) single lap (SL), (ii) single lap off-set (SLO) and (iii) torsion on hourglass shaped samples (THG) (on Mo-wrap joined SiC).

Keywords

RM-wrap, Pressure-less joining, SiC, alumina, mullite, shear tests, thermal properties

Introduction

SiC, alumina and mullite are stable materials for high temperature applications, with a unique combination of properties such as lightweight, high temperature stability and chemical inertness. More and more they are used in components for energy production, defense, space and many other high-performance applications

1
2
3 and have great potential to replace conventional materials such as metals and superalloys in many
4 applications.^{1,2}
5

6
7 However, the joining of ceramics to themselves or to other materials remains a critical issue. The
8 manufacturing of net-shape and ready to use ceramic components for advanced design solutions require
9 large and complex parts, which can be built by joining and integrating discrete units of similar or dissimilar
10 ceramics.³⁻⁵
11
12

13
14 Many joining techniques have been developed so far to join similar and dissimilar ceramics, among them:
15 Liquid Silicon Infiltration (LSI)⁶, Affordable Robust Ceramic Joining (ARCJoint TM)⁷, Nano-Infiltration and
16 Transient Eutectic Phase (NITE)^{8,9}, Spark Plasma Sintering (SPS)^{10,11}, Laser¹² or Microwave- Assisted Joining¹³,
17 Solid State Displacement Reactions (SSDR)¹⁴, Transient-Liquid-Phase Bonding (TLPB)¹⁵, brazing and glass-
18 ceramic based joints^{16,3} are prominent.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 The "RM-Wrap" (RM= Refractory Metals, i.e. Mo, Nb, Ta, Zr) is a novel brazing technique where the refractory
27 metal, shaped as a wrap, is used to contain one or more silicon foils. It is a pressure-less joining process
28 performed at 1450 °C, in an inert environment (argon flow).¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The wrap is necessary to prevent the too
29 fast spreading of molten silicon and its leaking outside the joined region during the joining process. The
30 joining materials are in-situ formed composites made of refractory metals disilicides (MoSi₂, NbSi₂, TaSi₂ or
31 ZrSi₂) embedded in a silicon-rich matrix. Disilicides have high melting temperature and good oxidation
32 resistance at elevated temperature, making them a suitable choice to join ceramics.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 The conventional manufacturing routes for disilicides are time consuming and prone to contamination and
44 oxidation.^{20,21} On the contrary, the RM-Wrap process is quick, free from undesired phases and has excellent
45 reproducibility and versatility¹⁸ the quantity of each metal can be modified and more than one refractory
46 metal can be used in the same wrap.
47
48
49
50

51
52 Metal disilicides are characterized by a wide range of interesting properties, a few of them are highlighted
53 here. Mo-disilicides (MoSi₂, Mo₅Si₃ and Mo₃Si)²² are characterized by melting points above 2000 °C and
54 excellent oxidation and corrosion resistance at elevated temperature due to development of a thin protective
55 coating of SiO₂.²³ The Nb-Si phase diagram is similar to the Si-Mo one, where three (Nb₅Si₃, Nb₃Si and NbSi₂)
56 compounds can be formed²⁴: NbSi₂ exhibits higher shear strength, hardness and oxidation resistance.²⁵ The
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Ta-Si phase diagram shows the formation of four intermetallics: TaSi₂, Ta₅Si₃, Ta₂Si, and Ta₃Si. Among them,
4
5 TaSi₂ has the best oxidation resistance due to the formation of a protective SiO₂ layer on its surface. ²⁶ The
6
7 Zr-Si phase diagram shows the formation of several phases and intermetallics (ZrSi₂, Zr₅Si₄, Zr₃Si₂, Zr₂Si, Zr₃Si)
8
9 and an eutectic at 1370°C. ²⁷
10
11

12
13 An original joining technique called “RM-Wrap” was developed in our previous studies ¹⁷⁻¹⁹ as an alternative
14
15 to obtain refractory-metals disilicide-based joints. The RM-Wrap technology has been effectively used to join
16
17 C/SiC, SiC/SiC and SiC foam sandwich structures; in the present work this joining technique was successfully
18
19 used to join different materials, namely SiC, alumina and mullite. To assess the reliability of the RM-Wrap
20
21 process, joined SiC samples have been mechanically tested at room temperature using three different
22
23 mechanical tests (single lap, SL, single lap off-set, SLO, and torsion on hourglass shaped samples, THG);
24
25 moreover, oxidation tests on SiC joints were carried out at 1100 °C, 6 hours in air, as a preliminary test to
26
27 investigate the suitability of these joints in working conditions. Furthermore, one of the RM-wrap joining
28
29 material (MoSi₂/Si) has been characterised by means of the laser flash method: the thermal diffusivity has
30
31 been measured to calculate the thermal conductivity and specific heat of the joining material in 25-1000 °C
32
33 range.
34
35
36
37

38 Experimental

39
40 Materials to be joined, i.e. SiC (density 3.1 g/cm³, supplied by Bettini S.p.A, Italy; 98,5% purity), Al₂O₃ (density
41
42 3.8 g/cm³, 99,5% purity, supplied by Accuratus, USA) and mullite (density 2.8 g/cm³, supplied by Accuratus,
43
44 USA) were cut to 10 mm x 10 mm x (3 to 5) mm, polished to 800 micron by grit paper, sonicated in ethanol,
45
46 dried in air, then joined with the RM-Wrap technique.
47
48

49
50 The “RM-Wrap” technique consists in wrapping one Si foil (525±25 µm thick Virginia Semiconductor Electron-
51
52 MEC s.r.l. Italy, 99.95% Si) in a refractory metal (Mo, Nb, Ta or Zr) (25.4 µm thick, Alfa Aesar Germany, 99.95%)
53
54 foil folded as a wrap.
55
56

57
58 The optimized heating conditions were found to be 1450 °C, heating rate of 1000 °C/h, dwell of 5 minutes,
59
60 natural cooling to room temperature under flowing argon (Carbolite, Gero, Germany), as reported in ² for

1
2
3 Mo-Wrap. The joint thickness ranges withing 200-250 μm , measured *ex-post* joining. Compositions ranging
4
5 between about 30-70 wt % Mo, Nb, Ta or Zr (balance to 100% with Si) have been tested to optimize each
6
7 joining process.
8

9
10 Preliminary oxidation tests on joints **obtained using Mo, Nb and Ta wrap** were performed at 1100°C, 6 hours
11
12 in air (with 10 °C/min heating rate and cooling in air), then their polished cross-sections were analysed.

13
14 Each joint morphology was analysed by FESEM, (QUANTA INSPECT 200, Zeiss SUPRATM 40™) equipped with
15
16 Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS, EDAX PV 9900™).
17

18
19 The coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) for the RM-wrap joining materials was calculated by the rule of
20
21 mixture, according to their composition. **The wrap joining material is composed by silicon and metal**
22
23 **disilicides; the used compositions range between 30-70wt% for refractory metals (balance to 100% with Si).**
24
25 **According to the joining material composition, the relative phase diagram and the density of the different**
26
27 **phases, we calculated the fraction volume of the 2 phases (metal disilicide and silicon).**
28
29

30
31 One **MoSi₂/Si** pellet of about 11.6 mm diameter and 2.6 mm thickness was prepared by grinding and sintering
32
33 the same Si and Mo used to obtain the Mo-wrap joints, in the same quantity and with the same thermal
34
35 treatment used to obtain the joints; the pellet density (3.24 g/cm³) was measured by Archimede's method.
36
37

38
39 The Laser Flash Method (LFA 467 HT ® Hyper Flash NETZSCH9) was used in order to evaluate the thermal
40
41 properties of the MoSi₂/Si pellet between 25-1000 °C with 200 °C temperature steps at a heating rate of 4
42
43 °C·min⁻¹, under flowing Ar, mass flow 50 ml·min⁻¹. A specific SiC ring was designed, in order to minimize the
44
45 backlash between the sample and the sample holder (12.7 mm diameter). This technique allows the direct
46
47 determination of the thermal diffusivity and, through the Software Proteus®, the indirect determination of
48
49 the specific heat and of the thermal conductivity, when the sample's density is known. The specific heat of
50
51 the sample was determined by comparing its temperature increase with the reference material one (Poco
52
53 Graphite Serial Nr. 21517) used to calculate the absorbed energy.^{28,29} The thermal diffusivity is determined
54
55 by a mathematical analysis of the measured temperature increase/time function, as explained by Parker et
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 al. ³⁰ After measuring the thermal diffusivity (α), it is possible to evaluate the thermal conductivity (λ) as
4
5 shown in Eq. 1.

$$\alpha(T)\rho(T)c_p(T) = \lambda(T) \quad (1)$$

6
7
8
9
10 Where c_p is the specific heat and ρ is the bulk density of the sample.

11
12
13 The joints were tested by single lap (SL) shear test in compression, according to ³¹ on five samples. The
14 commercial Scotch-Weld DP490 epoxy adhesive was used to attach the specimens to the aluminium fixtures
15 and cured for 45 min at 90 °C. The joined specimen size was 10 mm x 10 mm x (3 to 5) mm. Furthermore, the
16 single lap off-set (SLO) (adapted from ASTM-D905-08 ³²) was also used to measure the joint strength of RM-
17 Wrap joined SiC with specimen size of 5 mm x 10 mm x 5 mm (3 mm offset); with this configuration, no
18 adhesive was necessary. The mechanical tests were performed at room temperature with a compression
19 machine (SINTEC D/10) with a cross-head speed of 0.5 mm/min. Mo-Wrap joined SiC samples were also
20 tested by torsion: SiC hourglass shaped samples with a circular joined area of 4 mm or 5 mm in diameter
21 (referred to as THG-4 and THG-5, respectively) were joined one by one or machined (by Morise Ltd. Japan)
22 to obtain THG-4 and THG-5 hourglasses from a joined 35 mm x 8 mm x 4 mm specimen. A custom-made
23 torsional testing machine developed at Politecnico di Torino (Italy) was used to test the SiC joints in torsion.

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

~~The X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis was carried out on the fracture surfaces of each joined sample after mechanical test (X'Pert Phillips diffractometer, with Cu K α radiation, and the XRD spectra were analyzed by using X'Pert High Score Plus software).~~

Results and discussion

Following the success of RM-Wrap in joining SiC-based composites and foams¹⁷⁻¹⁹, its scope has been extended to another refractory metal (Zr) and to other substrates (silicon carbide, alumina and mullite).

1
2
3 The use of silicon has the dual function of providing the liquid phase necessary to wet the faying surfaces and
4 to form the disilicides by reacting with the refractory metal. In all the cases, the joining materials were in-situ
5 formed composites made of disilicides homogenously dispersed in a Si rich matrix. The presence of free (un-
6 reacted) Mo, Nb, Ta or Zr must be avoided because of their poor oxidation resistance. Likewise, the presence
7 of free silicon may be not desirable for some applications, but a certain amount of silicon was necessary to
8 achieve a good wettability and crack-free continuous joints. In this work, the amount of free, unreacted
9 silicon was minimized by gradually reducing its amount inside each wrap, but always trying to avoid the
10 presence of unreacted refractory metal. The best compromise was obtained with 65-68 wt.% Si + 32-35 wt.%
11 Mo, 67-69 wt.% Si + 31-33 wt.% Nb, 50-51 wt.% Si + 49-50 wt.% Ta and 71wt.% Si + 29 wt.% Zr (Si-Zr eutectic
12 composition), respectively. A higher amount of the refractory metal resulted in an insufficient amount of
13 silicon matrix, thus leading to discontinuous joints.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 The RM-Wrap SiC-SiC joint microstructures are shown in Figure 1 (Mo-Wrap), Figure 2 (Nb-Wrap) and Figure
29 3 (Ta-Wrap): the round shaped disilicides particles are uniformly embedded in the Si rich matrix, which shows
30 an excellent wettability on Mo at temperature above its melting point³⁴ and can easily infiltrate and hinder
31 the formation of pores between MoSi₂ particles. Likewise, all the joining material/SiC interfaces are
32 continuous, and no cracks are visible within the joint area, thus indicating that the CTE mismatch between
33 RM silicides/Si and SiC did not affect the integrity of the joint. The joints showed an excellent wettability on
34 SiC surfaces and continuous and robust interfaces (Figure 1-3).

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45 As expected, the Zr-wrap joining material (Figure 4) is characterized by a typical eutectic microstructure with
46 needle-shaped ZrSi₂ and Si phases, evidenced in the Si-Zr phase diagram in Figure 5. Recently, Naikade M. et
47 al.³⁵ has shown that Si_{0.92}Zr_{0.08} eutectic alloy has good wettability on SiC and slower spreading in
48 comparison with the instantaneous spreading of the pure molten silicon. The same results were obtained
49 with the Zr-wrap, with all the joining material well confined in the joined region.

50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The interaction of silicon carbide with refractory metals results in the formation of carbide(s) and silicide
compounds, if they are more thermodynamically stable than SiC; reactions are extensive at temperature
above 1000°C, as reported in³⁶ and the kinetics for solid state diffusion is normally fast enough at T above

1
2
3 1200°C.³⁷ In case of Nb/SiC interface, it has been reported that the typical reaction layer sequence is
4 SiC/Nb_xSi_yC/NbC_z/NbO/Nb³⁸; in fact, not only silicon and carbon can be detected on the SiC surface, but also
5 a thin layer of SiO₂. These reaction layers (carbides and silicides) have been found also by other authors at
6 the Ta/SiC, Mo/SiC, Zr/SiC interfaces.^{39,40} Nevertheless, the data reported in literature refer to temperature
7 comparable with that used in our joining process (1450°C), but the solid-state diffusive reaction at SiC/RM
8 interface involved dwelling time from few hours to hundreds of hours.^{40,41} Moreover, the absence of applied
9 pressure during the “refractory metal (RM) – wrap” joining process does not foster the diffusive process at
10 the interface. Furthermore, the presence of Si at the interface can hinder the formation of carbides; for
11 instance, in case of Mo, it has been reported⁴² that Si diffuses towards Mo faster than C, thus leading to
12 silicides formation.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 In conclusion, the formation of a distinct layer of RM-carbide layer (i.e. MC_x or MSi_yC_z) was not observed up
27 to now in our joined samples, but it cannot be ruled out that, immediately adjacent to SiC, a nano-layer of
28 carbide exists.
29
30
31
32

33 In order to evaluate their oxidation resistance and thermal stability, SiC joined by Mo-, Nb- and Ta-Wraps
34 were tested at 1100 °C for 6 hours in air; this thermal treatment simulates possible operating conditions for
35 SiC-based components in aerospace⁴³ and the creep of the silicon matrix advises against their use at higher
36 temperatures. The FESEM analysis of the cross-sections of the joints after the oxidation tests is shown in
37 Figure 1(d) (Mo-Wrap), Figure 2(c) (Nb-Wrap) and Figure 3 (c) (Ta-Wrap).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 All the joints were apparently not affected by this preliminary heat treatment, since no cracks, debonding or
46 formation of new phases (i.e. SiO₂⁴⁴) were observed. Actually, it was reported that MoSi₂ forms gaseous
47 oxidation products and silica at 1100 °C, but none of them have been detected in this work. It is possible that
48 a negligible silica layer was polished away during sample preparation. It must be underlined that the oxidation
49 of MoSi₂ is a very complex process. In the temperature range of 375° to 600°C, MoO₃ whiskers and SiO₂
50 clusters will form, causing the disintegration of bulk MoSi₂ (pesteing). At higher temperatures, MoO₃ is
51 volatile.^{45,46} However, none of these reactions were observed during Mo-wrap joining.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Mo-Wrap was successful and for the first time used to join oxide-based ceramics: alumina-to-alumina (Figure
4 6 a) and mullite to SiC joints (Figure 6 b).

5
6
7
8 The coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) for the RM-wrap joining materials (MoSi_2/Si , NbSi_2/Si , TaSi_2/Si ,
9 and ZrSi_2/Si), calculated by the rule of mixture according to their composition⁴⁷ and the RM-wrap
10 compositions (as volume ratio between RM silicides and silicon) are summarized in Table 1, also showing
11 those of the joined materials for comparison purposes: except Ta-wrap, all the other wraps range at about
12 $3.9 - 4.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$, making them suitable joining materials for SiC-carbide based materials. Ta-wrap, with a
13 CTE of about $6.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$ may offer interesting opportunities for alumina-to-mullite or alumina-to-SiC joints,
14 given its intermediate value: research is ongoing on this respect.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Despite of the CTE mismatch with alumina, no cracks in the joining material or debonding at the joining
material/alumina and joining material/mullite interfaces were observed (Figure 6) . There might be several
possible uses of this joining technology for alumina-based components and these mullite-to-SiC joints could
be used to obtain thermal barrier coatings in various high temperature applications.

In order to calculate the thermal diffusivity of the sample, the Cape-Lehman model was used⁴⁸, which
consider a two-dimensional heat flow and the heat loss to evaluate the radiation effect above $500 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$.
Moreover, the convection from the test sample to the ambient gas flow was taken into account. The thermal
diffusivity of the MoSi_2/Si pellet ranges between $38 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ at room temperature and $8 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$ at 1000°C , as
shown in Figure 7 (a). The decrease *versus* temperature increase is most likely due to phonon scattering
enhancement at higher temperatures. The standard deviation of the thermal diffusivity values for each
temperature point is in the order of magnitude of $0.1 \text{ mm}^2/\text{s}$.

The specific heat of the MoSi_2/Si pellet was measured by using a reference sample (i.e. Poco Graphite Serial
Nr. 21517): it ranges between 0.63 J/gK at room temperature and 0.89 J/gK at 1000°C as shown in Figure 7
(b). Finally, the thermal conductivity of the MoSi_2/Si pellet was calculated and varies between 77 W/mK at
RT and 24 W/mK at $1000 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, Figure 7 (c). The thermal conductivity values of the MoSi_2/Si pellet is higher

1
2
3 than those available in the literature for the MoSi_2 ^{49,50}, most likely due to the contribution of the silicon
4
5 matrix.

6
7
8 The mechanical strength of the RM-Wrap SiC joints was measured at room temperature using three different
9
10 tests: (i) single lap (SL), (ii) single lap off-set (SLO) and (iii) torsion on hourglass shaped samples (THG). It is
11
12 worth mentioning that all the SiC joints did not break during the single lap tests (SL, SLO): the specimen were
13
14 detached from the aluminium fixtures during the SL test, or SiC crushed during SLO tests. As a consequence,
15
16 it was not possible to measure their joint strength by means of these lap tests.

17
18
19
20 As previously reported⁵¹, lap shear tests (SL and SLO) are useful for comparative purposes, i.e. when the
21
22 joining strength of a similar set of samples is to be compared. Moreover, the results in case of brittle materials
23
24 are strongly related to the size and the shape of joined samples and fixtures. Both SL and SLO has been found
25
26 inappropriate for measuring the RM-Wrap joint strength of SiC-based samples: even though the specimens
27
28 mostly failed in the fixtures rather than in the joining seam, this behaviour might be due to a high stress
29
30 concentration close to the joined region, rather than a high mechanical strength of the joint. Due to this
31
32 uncertainty, SL and SLO tests were replaced by torsion test.

33
34
35
36 The torsion test can properly measure the shear strength of brittle joined materials, but the shear strength
37
38 can only be calculated when the failure starts and propagates inside the joining material. If this is not the
39
40 case, then either the test method is not correctly done, or the substrate and joint strength are similar. A
41
42 custom-made torsional testing machine built at Politecnico di Torino in 2006 was used to test the different
43
44 joints in torsion: details and comparison with other tests can be found in³³.

45
46
47
48 In this work, SiC hourglasses of two different sizes (4 and 5 mm in diameter) were joined by Mo-Wrap, and
49
50 named as THG-4 and THG-5 respectively. The fractured surfaces after torsion test are shown in Figure 8 (b,c):
51
52 the fracture initiates and propagates inside the SiC substrates, as evidenced in particular in Figure 8(c): the
53
54 hourglass is partially crushed after torsion and some SiC parts are missing, leaving an irregular shape (see
55
56 "SiC surface" with red arrows in Figure 8(c)). This can be due to a certain misalignment of hourglasses joined
57
58 one by one by Mo-wrap, thus giving to mixed mode loads together with torsion one. To obtain a reliable
59
60

1
2
3 shear value with torsion, the joints must be perfectly aligned: a few micrometres lateral shift in sample
4
5 position may result in mixed shear along with bending load, thus unreliable results ranging between 21-32
6
7 MPa.
8

9
10 ~~In order to understand if this fracture behaviour under torsion was due to a sample misalignment during the~~
11 ~~joining process or to the similar mechanical strength of both~~, some joined SiC tiles (Figure 9 a) were prepared,
12
13 machined to obtain hourglasses (Figure 9 b) and then tested in torsion. In this case the fracture propagated
14
15 inside the joined area only (Figure 9 c, d), probably initiated by a macroscopic defect induced in the joined
16
17 region by machining; ~~the machining of a brittle joining material such as a RM-disilicide reinforced silicon~~
18
19 ~~matrix composite, may induce significant defects (cracks, disilicides detachment from the silicon matrix, etc..)~~
20
21 ~~on the external region of the joint, thus affecting the mechanical strength of the joints.~~
22
23
24
25

26 However, the obtained values of 14 ± 5 MPa, with a partially cohesive/adhesive fracture (Figure 9 c, d) is at
27
28 the moment the only pure shear strength result available for these joints at room temperature. Further tests
29
30 are ongoing to completely characterize the mechanical strengths of them by other mechanical tests and at
31
32 higher temperature.
33
34

35
36 ~~The X-ray diffraction analysis on fracture surfaces after mechanical tests, confirmed the formation of RM~~
37
38 ~~disilicides and silicon as the only phases, as already reported in¹ for RM-Wrap joined C/SiC.~~
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 Conclusions

46
47 A pressure-less tailorable joining technique named "RM-Wrap" (Refractory metals = RM, i.e. Mo, Nb, Ta, Zr)
48
49 was successfully used to join SiC, alumina and mullite. Morphological analyses showed continuous, crack free
50
51 and well bonded interfaces with a joining microstructure consisting of *in-situ* formed composites made of a
52
53 silicon rich matrix with disilicides of molybdenum (MoSi_2 in case of Mo-Wrap), niobium (NbSi_2 in case of Nb-
54
55 Wrap), tantalum (TaSi_2 in case of Ta-Wrap) and zirconium (ZrSi_2 in case of Zr-wrap). Thermal and oxidation
56
57 stability of SiC joints were carried out at 1100 °C for 6 hours. FESEM analysis before and after this thermal
58
59 ageing showed unaffected joint interfaces and microstructure. CTE have been calculated for all RM-wraps
60

1
2
3 and thermal diffusivity, thermal conductivity and specific heat have been measured or calculated for
4
5 MoSi₂/Si.
6
7

8 SiC joints were mechanically tested in three different modes SL in compression, SLO in compression and
9
10 torsion on hourglass shaped samples: a shear strength of 14 ± 5 MPa was measured at room temperature by
11
12 torsion on Mo-wrap joined SiC hourglass samples, only when obtained by machining from a joined tile.
13
14

15 For the first time, refractory metal disilicides based materials have been exploited in joining of SiC, alumina
16
17 and mullite. It can be concluded that RM-Wrap joining offers many attractive advantages and its scope can
18
19 be further extended: a summary of all RM-wrap joints obtained until now is shown in Figure 10.
20
21
22

23 Acknowledgements

24
25
26 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research
27
28 and innovation programme under grant agreement No 721045- Project "NEXTOWER" advanced materials
29
30 solutions for next-generation high efficiency concentrated solar power (CSP) tower systems.
31
32
33

34 References

- 35
36
37
38
39 [1] Boch P, Chartier T. Alumina, mullite and spinel, zirconia (Ch 6). In: Boch P, Niepce J-C, editors.
40
41 Ceramic Materials: Processes, Properties and Applications. ISTE Publication; 2007.
42
43 [2] Torrecillas R, Calderón J M, Moya J S, Reece M J, Davies C K L , Olgnon C, Fantozzi G. Suitability of
44
45 mullite for high temperature applications, J Eur Ceram Soc. 1999; 19: 2519-2527.
46
47 [3] Bansal N P, Lamon J. Ceramic Matrix Composites: Materials, Modeling and Technology. John Wiley
48
49 & Sons; 014.
50
51 [4] Ferraris M. Joining and Machining of CMCs. In: Beaumont P W R, Zweben C H, editors.
52
53 Comprehensive Composite Materials II. Elsevier, Oxford. 2018; 293-307.
54
55 [5] Singh M, Kondo N, Asthana R. Chapter 12 - Manufacturing of Ceramic Components using Robust
56
57 Integration Technologies, Green and Sustainable Manufacturing of Advanced Material. Elsevier,
58
59 Oxford. 2016; 295-308.
60

- 1
2
3 [6] Krenkel W, Henke T, Mason N. In-situ joined CMC components. Key Engineering Materials, Trans
4 Tech Publ. 1997; 313-320.
5
6
7 [7] Singh M. A reaction forming method for joining of silicon carbide-based ceramics. Scripta Mater.
8 1997; 37[8]: 1151-1154.
9
10 [8] Katoh Y, Kohyama A, Nozawa T, Sato M. SiC/SiC composites through transient eutectic-phase route
11 for fusion applications. J Nucl Mat. 2004; 329: 587-59.
12
13 [9] Shimoda K, Hinoki T, Kohyama A. Effect of additive content on transient liquid phase sintering in SiC
14 nanopowder infiltrated SiCf/SiC composites. Compos Sci Technol. 2011; 71(5): 609-615.
15
16 [10] Fan J, Chen L, Bai S, Shi X. Joining of Mo to CoSb₃ by spark plasma sintering by inserting a Ti
17 interlayer. Mater Lett. 2004; 58 (30) :3876-3878.
18
19 [11] Rizzo S, Grasso S, Salvo M, Casalegno V, Reece M J, Ferraris M. Joining of C/SiC composites by spark
20 plasma sintering technique. J Eur Cer Soc. 2014; 34 (4): 903-913.
21
22 [12] Lippmann W, Knorr J, Wolf R, Rasper R, Exner H, Reinecke A M, Nieher M, Schreiber R. Laser
23 joining of silicon carbide—a new technology for ultra-high temperature resistant joints. Nucl Eng
24 Des. 2004; 231 (29): 151-161.
25
26 [13] Aravindan S, Krishnamurthy R. Microwave Joining of Al₂O₃-ZrO₂ Composites. 1999. Paper
27 presented at: 23rd Annual Conference on Composites, Advanced Ceramics, Materials, and
28 Structures: B: Ceramic Engineering and Science Proceedings. Wiley Online Library. 1999; 71-78.
29
30 [14] Radhakrishnan R, Bhaduri S, Henager Jr C, Brimhall J. Synthesis of Ti₃SiC₂S/SiC and TiSi₂/SiC
31 composites using displacement reactions in the Ti-Si-C system. Scripta Mater. 1996; 34 (12).
32
33 [15] MacDonald W, Eagar T. Transient liquid phase bonding. Annu Rev Mater Res. 1992; 22 (1): 23-46.
34
35 [16] Ferraris M, Salvo M, Isola C, Appendino Montorsi M, Kohyama A. Glass-ceramic joining and coating
36 of SiC/SiC for fusion applications. J Nucl Mat. 1998; 258-263 (PART 2 B): 1546-1550.
37
38 [17] Gianchandani P K, Casalegno V, Salvo M, Ferraris M, Dlouhý I. Refractory Metal, RM – Wrap: a
39 tailorable, pressure-less joining technology. Ceram Int. 2019; 45(4): 4824-4834.
40
41 [18] Gianchandani P K, Casalegno V, Smeacetto F, Ferraris M. Pressure-less joining of C/SiC and SiC/SiC
42 by a MoSi₂/Si composite. Int J Appl Ceram Tec. 2017; 14 (3): 305-312.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [19] Gianchandani P K, Casalegno V, Salvo M, Bianchi G, Ortona A, Ferraris M. SiC foam sandwich
4 structures obtained by Mo-wrap joining. *Mater Lett.* 2018; 221: 240-243.
5
6
7 [20] Prasad N E, Wanhill R. *Aerospace Materials and Material Technologies.* Springer, Singapore; 2017.
8
9
10 [21] Wiltner B, Klöden T, Weißgärber T, Hutsch, Kieback B, Reaction temperatures within Mo–Si powder
11 mixtures and their influencing factors. *Int J Refract Met H.* 2013; 37: 73-81.
12
13
14 [22] Okamoto H, Mo-Si (Molybdenum-Silicon). *J Phase Equilib Diff.* 2011; 32 (2) : 176-176.
15
16
17 [23] Cherniack G B, Elliot A G. High-Temperature Behavior of MoSi₂ and Mo₅Si₃. *J Am Cer Soc.* 1964; 47
18 (3): 136-141.
19
20
21 [24] Schlesinger M E, Okamoto H, Gokhale A B, Abbaschian R. The Nb-Si (Niobium-Silicon) system. *J*
22 *Phase Equilib.* 1993; 14 (4): 502-509.
23
24
25 [25] Todai M, Hagihara K, Kishida K, Inui H, Nakano T. Microstructure and fracture toughness in boron
26 added NbSi₂(C40)/MoSi₂(C11b) duplex crystals *Scripta Mater.* 2016; 113 [Supplement C] 236-240.
27
28
29 [26] Yeh C L, Wang H J. A comparative study on combustion synthesis of Ta–Si compounds.
30 *Intermetallics.* 2007; 15 (10) : 1277-1284.
31
32
33
34 [27] Okamoto H. The Si-Zr (Silicon-Zirconium) system. *J Phase Equilib.* 1990; 11 (5): 513-519.
35
36
37 [28] ASTM E 1461-13, Standard test method for thermal diffusivity by the flash method, 2013.
38
39 [29] ASTM E 2585-09, Standard practice for thermal diffusivity by the laser flash, 2009.
40
41 [30] Parker W, Jenkins R, Butler C, Abbott G. Flash method of determining thermal diffusivity, heat
42 capacity, and thermal conductivity. *J Appl Phys.* 1961; 32 (9): 1679-1684.
43
44
45 [31] Ferraris M, Ventrella A, Salvo M, Avalle M, Pavia F, Martin E. Comparison of shear strength tests on
46 AV119 epoxy-joined carbon/carbon composites. *Compos Part B-Eng.* 2010; 41 (2): 182-191.
47
48
49 [32] ASTM D905-D908, Standard Test Method for Strength Properties of Adhesive Bonds in Shear by
50 Compression Loading. 2013; ASTM Int., West Conshohocken, PA USA
51
52
53
54 [33] Ferraris M, Salvo M, Rizzo S, Casalegno V, Han S, Ventrella A, Hinoki T, Katoh Y. Torsional shear
55 strength of silicon carbide components pressurelessly joined by a glass-ceramic. *Int J Appl Ceram*
56 *Tec.* 2012; 9 (4): 786-794.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [34]Zhang Y, Ni W, Li Y. Effect of siliconizing temperature on microstructure and phase constitution of
4
5 Mo–MoSi₂ functionally graded materials. *Ceram Int.* 2018; 44[10]: 11166-11171.
6
7 [35]Naikade M, Fankhänel B, Weber L, Ortona A, Stelter M, Graule T. Studying the wettability of Si and
8
9 eutectic Si-Zr alloy on carbon and silicon carbide by sessile drop experiments. *J Eur Cer Soc.* 2019;
10
11 39 (4): 735-742.
12
13 [36] Chou T C, Joshi A. High temperature interfacial reactions of SiC with metals, *J Vac Sci Technol A.*
14
15 1991; 9: 1525.
16
17 [37] Cockeram, B.V.,The diffusion bonding of silicon carbide and boron carbide using refractory metals,
18
19 ASM Materials Solution 99: International Conference on Joining of Advanced and Specialty Metals
20
21 and Advances in Surface Engineering; Cincinnati, OH (United States); 1999, 31(1) , 42 (1).
22
23 [38] Yaney D L, Joshi A. Reaction between niobium and silicon carbide at 1373 K J. *Mater. Res.* 1990; 5
24
25 (10) .
26
27 [39] Burkyna A L, Strashinskaya L V, Evtushok T M. Investigation of the interaction of silicon carbide with
28
29 refractory metals and oxides *Fiziko-Khimicheskaya Mekhanika Materialov.* 1968; 4 (3) : 301-305.
30
31 [40] Bhanumurthy K, Schmid-Fetzer R. Interface reaction between silicon carbide and metals (Ni, Cr, Pd,
32
33 Zr) *Compos: Part A* . 2001; 32: 569–574.
34
35 [41] Joshi A, Hu SH, Wadsworth J. Interfacial reaction of refractory metals niobium and tantalum with
36
37 ceramics silicon carbide and alumina, *Mat. Res. Soc. Symp.* 1990; 170.
38
39 [42]Martinelli A, Drew R. Microstructural development during diffusion bonding of a silicon carbide to
40
41 molybdenum. *Mat Sci Eng A.* 1995; 191:239–247.
42
43 [43]Triantou K I, Mergia K, Perez B, Florez S, Stefan A, Ban C, Pelin G, Ionescu G, Zuber C, Fischer W
44
45 P P , BarcenaJ . Thermal shock performance of carbon-bonded carbon fiber composite and ceramic
46
47 matrix composite joints for thermal protection re-entry applications. *Compos Part B-Eng.* 2017; 111:
48
49 270-278.
50
51 [44] Zhu Y T, Stan M, Conzone S D, Butt D P. Thermal oxidation kinetics of MoSi₂-based powders. *J Am*
52
53 *Ceram Soc.* 1999; 82 (10): 2785-2790.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [45] Sheikh S, Bijaksana M K, Motallebzadeh A, Shafeie S, Lozinko A, Gan L, Tsao T K, Klement U,
4
5 Canadinc D, Murakami H, Guo S. Accelerated oxidation in ductile refractory high-entropy alloys,
6
7 Intermetallics. 2018; 97:58-66.
8
9
10 [46] Chou T C, Nieh T G. Mechanism of MoSi₂ pest during low temperature oxidation. J Mater Res. 2016;
11
12 8 (1) : 214-226.
13
14 [47] Bruck H A, Rabin B H. Evaluation of Rule-of-Mixtures Predictions of Thermal Expansion in Powder-
15
16 Processed Ni–Al₂O₃ Composites. J Am Ceram Soc. 1999; 82 (10): 2927-2930.
17
18 [48] Cape J, Lehman G. Temperature and finite pulse-time effects in the flash method for measuring
19
20 thermal diffusivity. J Appl Phys. 1963; 34 (7): 1909-1913.
21
22 [49] Bose S, Hecht R J. Thermal properties of MoSi₂ and SiC whisker-reinforced MoSi₂. J Mater Sci. 1992;
23
24 27 (10): 2749-2752.
25
26 [50] Mohamad, Ohishi Y, Muta H, Kurosaki K, Yamanaka S. Thermal and Mechanical Properties of α-
27
28 MoSi₂ as a High-Temperature Material. Phys Status Solidi (b), 2018. 255(4): 1700448.
29
30 [51] Ventrella A, Salvo M, Avalle M, Ferraris M. Comparison of shear strength tests on AV119 epoxy-
31
32 joined ceramics (2010) J Mat Sci 45 (16), pp. 4401-4405.
33
34 [52] Ferraris M, Salvo M, Rizzo S, Casalegno V, Han S, Ventrella A, Hinoki T, Katoh Y. Torsional shear
35
36 strength of silicon carbide components pressurelessly joined by a glass-ceramic. Int J Appl Ceram
37
38 Tec. 2012; 9 (4): 786-794.
39
40 [53] Engstrom I, Lönnberg T B. Thermal expansion studies of the group IV-VII transition-metal disilicides,
41
42 J Appl Phys. 1988; 63: 4476.
43
44 [54] http://www.bettinitextile.it/ENG/2_Materials_05.htm
45
46
47 [55] MatWeb Material Property data, 2019. <http://www.matweb.com/search/PropertySearch.aspx>.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

	CTE [$10^{-6} \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$]	% vol MSi_2/Si
MoSi₂/Si	3.9	33.8/66.2
NbSi₂/Si	3.9	29.4/70.6
TaSi₂/Si	6.3	61.2/38.8
ZrSi₂/Si	4.5	34.2/65.8
SiC	3.8 ⁵⁴	
Al₂O₃	5.5 ⁵⁵	
Mullite	5.0 ⁵⁵	
Silicon	2.5 ⁵⁵	
MoSi₂	6.8 ⁵³	
NbSi₂	7.3 ⁵³	
TaSi₂	8.7 ⁵³	
ZrSi₂	8.3 ⁵³	

Table and Figure captions:

Table 1 Coefficient of thermal expansion for the RM-wrap joining materials, calculated according to their composition, (those of the joined materials and silicides for comparison purposes); CTE values at room T, except for SiC (comprised between RT and 400°C)

Figure 1 SEM Cross-section of SiC joined by Mo-Wrap (a, b), higher magnification of the interface between MoSi₂/Si and SiC (c); after oxidation test: 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air (d) arrows show the interface between SiC and the *in situ* formed joining material.

Figure 2 SEM cross section of SiC joined by Nb-Wrap (a, b) and after oxidation test (c): 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air, particular of the interface between *in situ* formed NbSi₂/Si composite and SiC

Figure 3 SEM cross section of SiC joined by Ta-Wrap (a, b) and after oxidation test (c): 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air, particular of the interface between *in situ* formed NbSi₂/Si composite and SiC

Figure 4 SEM cross section of SiC joined by Zr-Wrap; the joining material shows a typical eutectic microstructure with needle-shaped Si-Zr phase within the Si matrix

Figure 5 Silicon-zirconium phase diagram with indicated the composition used for the Zr-wrap joint.

Figure 6 SEM cross-section of alumina (a) and of SiC joined to mullite by Mo-Wrap (b)


Figure 7 Thermal diffusivity (a) measured by Laser Flash Method and calculated specific heat (b) and thermal conductivity (c) versus temperature for a MoSi₂/Si pellet of about 11.6 mm diameter, 2.6 mm thickness

Figure 8 Torsion test on Mo-Wrap joined SiC hourglass (a), fracture surfaces after test at room temperature (b, c)


Figure 9 Mo-Wrap joined SiC tiles (35 mm x 5 mm x 4 mm) (a), machined to obtain hourglasses (b), fracture surfaces after test at room temperature (c, d)

Figure 10 Summary of Wrap technology options for joining of ceramics and CMCs; RM= Refractory Metals. In the case of CMC, *coated* or *uncoated* means with or without the CVD (Chemical Vapour Deposition) SiC coating usually deposited on these materials.


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




SEM Cross-section of SiC joined by Mo-Wrap (a,b), higher magnification of the interface between MoSi₂/Si and SiC (c); after oxidation test: 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air (d) arrows show the interface between SiC and the in situ formed joining material.




SEM cross section of SiC joined by Nb-Wrap (a, b) and after oxidation test (c): 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air, particular of the interface between in situ formed NbSi₂/Si composite and SiC




SEM cross section of SiC joined by Ta-Wrap (a, b) and after oxidation test (c) : 1100 °C, 6 hours, in air, particular of the interface between in situ formed TaSi₂/Si composite and SiC




SEM cross section of SiC joined by Zr-Wrap; the joining material shows a typical eutectic microstructure with needle-shaped Si-Zr phase within the Si matrix




Silicon-zirconium phase diagram with indicated the composition used for the Zr-wrap joint.




SEM cross-section of alumina (a) and of SiC joined to mullite by Mo-Wrap (b)




Thermal diffusivity (a) measured by Laser Flash Method and calculated specific heat (b) and thermal conductivity (c) versus temperature for a MoSi_2/Si pellet of about 11.6 mm diameter, 2.6 mm thickness



Torsion test on Mo-Wrap joined SiC hourglass (a), fracture surfaces after test at room temperature (b,c)



Mo-Wrap joined SiC tiles (35 mm x 5 mm x 4 mm) (a), machined to obtain hourglasses (b), fracture surfaces after test at room temperature (c, d)



Summary of Wrap technology options for joining of ceramics and CMCs; RM= Refractory Metals. In the case of CMC, coated or uncoated means with or without the CVD (Chemical Vapour Deposition) SiC coating usually deposited on these materials.

336x131mm (149 x 149 DPI)