

Practical numbers in Lucas sequences

Original

Practical numbers in Lucas sequences / Sanna, C.. - In: QUAESTIONES MATHEMATICAE. - ISSN 1607-3606. - STAMPA. - 42:7(2019), pp. 977-983. [10.2989/16073606.2018.1502697]

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/2789392 since: 2020-05-03T10:09:40Z

Publisher:

Taylor and Francis Ltd.

Published

DOI:10.2989/16073606.2018.1502697

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

PRACTICAL NUMBERS IN LUCAS SEQUENCES

CARLO SANNA

ABSTRACT. A *practical number* is a positive integer n such that all the positive integers $m \leq n$ can be written as a sum of distinct divisors of n . Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Lucas sequence satisfying $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, and $u_{n+2} = au_{n+1} + bu_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$, where a and b are fixed nonzero integers. Assume $a(b+1)$ even and $a^2 + 4b > 0$. Also, let \mathcal{A} be the set of all positive integers n such that $|u_n|$ is a practical number. Melfi proved that \mathcal{A} is infinite. We improve this result by showing that $\#\mathcal{A}(x) \gg x/\log x$ for all $x \geq 2$, where the implied constant depends on a and b . We also pose some open questions regarding \mathcal{A} .

1. INTRODUCTION

A *practical number* is a positive integer n such that all the positive integers $m \leq n$ can be written as a sum of distinct divisors of n . The term “practical” was coined by Srinivasan [7]. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of practical numbers. Estimates for the counting function $\#\mathcal{P}(x)$ were given by Hausman and Shapiro [1], Tenenbaum [10], Margenstern [2], Saias [5], and, finally, Weingartner [12], who proved that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\#\mathcal{P}(x) = \frac{Cx}{\log x} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log \log x}{\log x}\right)\right)$$

for all $x \geq 3$, settling a conjecture of Margenstern [2].

In analogy with well-known conjectures about prime numbers, Melfi [4] proved that every positive even integer is the sum of two practical numbers, and that there are infinitely many triples $(n, n+2, n+4)$ of practical numbers. Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a Lucas sequence, that is, a sequence of integers satisfying $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$, and $u_{n+2} = au_{n+1} + bu_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$, where a and b are two fixed nonzero integers. Also, let \mathcal{A} be the set of all positive integers n such that $|u_n|$ is a practical number. From now on, we assume $a^2 + 4b > 0$ and $a(b+1)$ even. We remark that, in the study of \mathcal{A} , assuming $a(b+1)$ even is not a loss of generality. Indeed, if $a(b+1)$ is odd then u_n is odd for all $n \geq 1$ and, since 1 is the only odd practical number, it follows that $\mathcal{A} = \{1\}$. Melfi [3, Theorem 10] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *The set \mathcal{A} is infinite. Precisely, $2^j \cdot 3 \in \mathcal{A}$ for all sufficiently large positive integers j , how large depending on a and b , and hence*

$$\#\mathcal{A}(x) \gg \log x,$$

for all sufficiently large $x > 1$.

In this paper, we improve Theorem 1.1 to the following:

Theorem 1.2. *For all $x \geq 2$, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{A}(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x},$$

where the implied constant depends on a and b .

We leave the following open questions to the interested readers:

(Q1) Does \mathcal{A} have zero natural density?

(Q2) Can a nontrivial upper bound for $\#\mathcal{A}(x)$ be proved?

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11B37, Secondary: 11B39, 11N25.

Key words and phrases. Fibonacci numbers; Lucas sequences; practical numbers.

(Q3) Are there infinitely many nonpractical n such that $|u_n|$ is practical?

(Q4) Are there infinitely many practical n such that $|u_n|$ is nonpractical?

(Q5) What about practical numbers in general integral linear recurrences over the integers?

Notation. For any set of positive integers \mathcal{S} , we put $\mathcal{S}(x) := \mathcal{S} \cap [1, x]$ for all $x \geq 1$, and $\#\mathcal{S}(x)$ denotes the counting function of \mathcal{S} . We employ the Landau–Bachmann “Big Oh” notation O , as well as the associated Vinogradov symbols \ll and \gg , with their usual meanings. Any dependence of the implied constants is explicitly stated. As usual, we write $\mu(n)$, $\varphi(n)$, $\sigma(n)$, and $\omega(n)$, for the Möbius function, the Euler’s totient function, the sum of divisors, and the number of prime factors of a positive integer n , respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON LUCAS SEQUENCES

In this section we collect some basic facts about Lucas sequences. Let α and β be the two roots of the characteristic polynomial $X^2 - aX - b$. Since $a^2 + 4b > 0$ and $b \neq 0$, we have that α and β are real, nonzero, and distinct. It is well known that the *generalized Binet’s formula*

$$(1) \quad u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

holds for all integers $n \geq 0$. Define

$$\Phi_n := \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n,k)=1}} \left(\alpha - e^{2\pi i k/n} \beta \right),$$

for each positive integer n . It can be proved that $\Phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all integers $n > 1$ (see, e.g., [9, p. 428]). Furthermore, we have

$$(2) \quad u_n = \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d>1}} \Phi_d$$

and, by the Möbius inversion formula,

$$(3) \quad \Phi_n = \prod_{d|n} u_{n/d}^{\mu(d)}$$

for all integers $n > 1$. Changing the sign of a changes the signs of α, β and turns u_n into $(-1)^{n+1}u_n$, which is not a problem, since for the study of \mathcal{A} we are interested only in $|u_n|$. Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume $a > 0$ and $\alpha > |\beta|$, which in turn implies that $u_n, \Phi_n > 0$ for all integers $n > 0$. We conclude this section with an easy lemma regarding the growth of u_n and Φ_n .

Lemma 2.1. *For all integers $n > 0$, we have*

$$(i) \quad u_n \geq u_{n-1};$$

$$(ii) \quad u_n = \alpha^{n+O(1)};$$

$$(iii) \quad \Phi_n = \alpha^{\varphi(n)+O(1)};$$

where the implied constants depend on a and b .

Proof. If $b > 0$, then (i) is clear from the recursion for u_n . Hence, suppose $b < 0$, so that $\beta > 0$. After a bit of manipulations, (i) is equivalent to $\alpha^{n-1}(\alpha - 1) \geq \beta^{n-1}(\beta - 1)$, which in turn follows easily since $\alpha > \beta > 0$. Claim (ii) is a consequence of (1). Setting $\gamma := \beta/\alpha$, by (1) and (3), we get

$$\Phi_n = \alpha^{\varphi(n)} \prod_{d|n} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma^{n/d}}{\alpha - \beta} \right)^{\mu(d)} = \alpha^{\varphi(n)} \prod_{d|n} \left(1 - \gamma^{n/d} \right)^{\mu(d)},$$

for all integers $n > 1$, where we used the well-known formulas $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \frac{n}{d} = \varphi(n)$ and $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 0$. Therefore, since $|\gamma| < 1$, we have

$$|\log(\Phi_n/\alpha^{\varphi(n)})| \leq \sum_{d|n} |\log(1 - \gamma^d)| \ll \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} |\gamma|^d \ll 1,$$

and also (iii) is proved. □

3. PRELIMINARIES ON PRACTICAL NUMBERS AND CLOSE RELATIVES

The following lemma on practical numbers will be fundamental later.

Lemma 3.1. *If n is a practical number and $m \leq 2n$ is a positive integer, then mn is a practical number.*

Proof. See [4, Lemma 1]. □

Close relatives of practical numbers are φ -practical numbers. A φ -practical number is a positive integer n such that all the positive integers $m \leq n$ can be written as $m = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \varphi(d)$, where \mathcal{D} is a subset of the divisors of n . This notion was introduced by Thompson [11] while studying the degrees of the divisors of the polynomial $X^n - 1$. Indeed, φ -practical numbers are exactly the positive integers n such that $X^n - 1$ has a divisor of every degree up to n .

We need a couple of results regarding φ -practical numbers.

Lemma 3.2. *Let n be a φ -practical number and p be a prime number not dividing n . Then pn is φ -practical if and only if $p \leq n + 2$. Moreover, $p^j n$ is φ -practical if and only if $p \leq n + 1$, for every integer $j \geq 2$.*

Proof. See [11, Lemma 4.1]. □

Lemma 3.3. *If n is an even φ -practical number, and if d_1, \dots, d_s are all the divisors of n ordered so that $\varphi(d_1) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(d_s)$, then*

$$(4) \quad \varphi(d_{j+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \varphi(d_i),$$

for all positive integers $j < s$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that n is φ -practical if and only if

$$(5) \quad \varphi(d_{j+1}) \leq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^j \varphi(d_i),$$

for all positive integers $j < s$ (see [11, p. 1041]). Hence, we have only to prove that n even ensures that in (5) the equality cannot happen. If $j = 1$ then (4) is obvious since $\{d_1, d_2\} = \{1, 2\}$, so we can assume $1 < j < s$. At this point $\varphi(d_{j+1})$ is even, while

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^j \varphi(d_i)$$

is odd, because $\varphi(m)$ is even for all integers $m > 2$. Thus, in (5) the equality is not satisfied. □

Let θ be a real-valued arithmetic function, and define \mathcal{B}_θ as the set containing $n = 1$ and all those $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$, where $p_1 < \dots < p_k$ are prime numbers and a_1, \dots, a_k are positive integers, which satisfy

$$p_j \leq \theta \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} p_i^{a_i} \right),$$

for $j = 1, \dots, k$, where the empty product is equal to 1. If $\theta(n) := \sigma(n) + 1$, then \mathcal{B}_θ is the set of practical numbers. This is a characterization given by Stewart [8] and Sierpiński [6].

Weingartner proved a general and strong estimate for $\#\mathcal{B}_\theta(x)$. The following is a simplified version adapted just for our purposes.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose $\theta(1) \geq 2$ and $n \leq \theta(n) \leq An$ for all positive integers n , where $A \geq 1$ is a constant. Then, we have*

$$\#\mathcal{B}_\theta(x) \sim \frac{c_\theta x}{\log x},$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$, where $c_\theta > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. See [12, Theorems 1.2 and 5.1]. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The key tool of the proof is the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that n is a sufficiently large positive integer, how large depending on a and b . Let p be a prime number and write $n = p^v m$ for some nonnegative integer v and some positive integer m not divisible by p . If m is an even φ -practical number, $n \in \mathcal{A}$, and $p < m$, then $p^k n \in \mathcal{A}$ for all positive integers k .*

Proof. Clearly, it is enough to prove the claim for $k = 1$. Let $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 2, \dots, d_s$ be all the divisors of m , ordered to that $\varphi(d_1) \leq \dots \leq \varphi(d_s)$. Furthermore, define

$$N_j := u_n \prod_{i=1}^j \Phi_{p^{v+1}d_i},$$

for $j = 1, \dots, s$. We shall prove that each N_j is practical. This implies the thesis, since $N_s = u_{pn}$ by (2).

We proceed by induction on j . First, by (2) and Lemma 2.1(i), we have

$$\Phi_{p^{v+1}d_1} = \Phi_{p^{v+1}} \leq u_{p^{v+1}} \leq u_{p^v m} = u_n,$$

since $p < m$. Hence, applying Lemma 3.1 and the fact that u_n is practical, we get that $N_1 = u_n \Phi_{p^{v+1}d_1}$ is practical.

Now assuming that N_j is practical we shall prove that N_{j+1} is practical. Again, since $N_{j+1} = \Phi_{p^{v+1}d_{j+1}} N_j$, thanks to Lemma 3.1 it is enough to show that the inequality

$$(6) \quad \Phi_{p^{v+1}d_{j+1}} \leq u_n \prod_{i=1}^j \Phi_{p^{v+1}d_i}$$

holds. In turn, by Lemma 2.1(ii) and (iii), we have that (6) is implied by

$$(7) \quad n + \varphi(p^{v+1}) \left[-\varphi(d_{j+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^j \varphi(d_i) \right] \geq C(j+1),$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant depending only on a and b .

On the one hand, since m is an even φ -practical number, by Lemma 3.3 we have that the term of (7) in square brackets is nonnegative. On the other hand, for sufficiently large n , we have

$$n \geq C(\log n / \log 2 + 1) \geq C(\omega(n) + 1) \geq C(j+1).$$

Therefore, (7) holds and the proof is complete. □

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.2. Pick a sufficiently large positive integer h , depending on a and b , such that the claim of Lemma 4.1 holds for all integers $n \geq 2^h \cdot 3$. Moreover, by Theorem 1.1, we can assume that $2^j \cdot 3 \in \mathcal{A}$ for all integers $j \geq h$. Put $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}_\theta \setminus \{1\}$, where $\theta(n) := \max\{2, n\}$. Note that, as a consequence of Lemma 3.2, all the elements of \mathcal{B} are even φ -practical numbers. We shall prove that for all $n \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $2^h \cdot 3n \in \mathcal{A}$. In this way, thanks to Theorem 3.4, we get

$$\#\mathcal{A}(x) \geq \#\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{x}{2^h \cdot 3}\right) \gg \frac{x}{\log x},$$

for all sufficiently large x . Hence, since $1 \in \mathcal{A}$, Theorem 1.2 follows.

We proceed by induction on the number of prime factors of $n \in \mathcal{B}$. If $n \in \mathcal{B}$ has exactly one prime factor, then it follows easily that $n = 2^j$ for some positive integer j . Hence, we have $2^h \cdot 3n = 2^{h+j} \cdot 3 \in \mathcal{A}$, as claimed.

Now, assuming that the claim is true for all $n \in \mathcal{B}$ with exactly $k \geq 1$ prime factors, we shall prove it for all $n \in \mathcal{B}$ having $k+1$ prime factors. Write $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}}$, where $p_1 < \cdots < p_{k+1}$ are prime numbers and a_1, \dots, a_{k+1} are positive integers. Put also $m := p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_k^{a_k}$. Since $n \in \mathcal{B}$, we have $m \in \mathcal{B}$ and $p_{k+1} < m$. On the one hand, by the induction hypothesis, $2^h \cdot 3m \in \mathcal{A}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $m \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $2^h m \in \mathcal{B}$ and $2^h \cdot 3m \in \mathcal{B}$.

First, suppose $p_{k+1} > 3$. Since $2^h \cdot 3m$ is an even φ -practical number, $2^h \cdot 3m \in \mathcal{A}$, and $p_{k+1} < 2^h \cdot 3m$, by Lemma 4.1 we get that $2^h \cdot 3n = 2^h \cdot 3mp_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{A}$, as claimed.

On the other hand, if $p_{k+1} = 3$ the situation is similar. Since $2^h m$ is an even φ -practical number, $2^h \cdot 3m \in \mathcal{A}$, and $p_{k+1} < 2^h m$, by Lemma 4.1 we get that $2^h \cdot 3n = 2^h \cdot 3mp_{k+1}^{a_{k+1}} \in \mathcal{A}$, as claimed. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. The authors thanks the anonymous referee for carefully reading the paper. The author is a member of the INdAM group GNSAGA.

REFERENCES

1. M. Hausman and H. N. Shapiro, *On practical numbers*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **37** (1984), no. 5, 705–713.
2. M. Margenstern, *Les nombres pratiques: théorie, observations et conjectures*, J. Number Theory **37** (1991), no. 1, 1–36.
3. G. Melfi, *A survey on practical numbers*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **53** (1995), no. 4, 347–359, Number theory, II (Rome, 1995).
4. G. Melfi, *On two conjectures about practical numbers*, J. Number Theory **56** (1996), no. 1, 205–210.
5. E. Saias, *Entiers à diviseurs denses. I*, J. Number Theory **62** (1997), no. 1, 163–191.
6. W. Sierpiński, *Sur une propriété des nombres naturels*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **39** (1955), 69–74.
7. A. K. Srinivasan, *Practical numbers*, Current Sci. **17** (1948), 179–180. MR 0027799
8. B. M. Stewart, *Sums of distinct divisors*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 779–785.
9. C. L. Stewart, *On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas, and Lehmer numbers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **35** (1977), no. 3, 425–447.
10. G. Tenenbaum, *Sur un problème de crible et ses applications*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **19** (1986), no. 1, 1–30.
11. L. Thompson, *Polynomials with divisors of every degree*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), no. 5, 1038–1053.
12. A. Weingartner, *Practical numbers and the distribution of divisors*, Q. J. Math. **66** (2015), no. 2, 743–758.

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TURIN, ITALY
E-mail address: carlo.sanna.dev@gmail.com