



ISLFD 2019 – 9<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on  
Lyophilization of Pharmaceuticals  
Ghent, Belgium, 2-6 September 2019

# ISLFD 2019 – 9<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Lyophilization of Pharmaceuticals

September 2-6, 2019

Ghent, Belgium

Presented by the International Society of  
Lyophilization/Freeze-Drying



Sponsored by:



**Process Systems Enterprise (PSE)**

# Organizing Committee

## ISLFD Board of Officers

Kevin Tebrinke, President (USA) - Scientific Protein Laboratories LLC (USA)

Ivette Novoa, Vice-President (Cuba) - National Center of Bioproducts (CU)

Dr. Idania Caballero, Secretary (Cuba) - CIM (CU)

Dr. Zhongping Huang, Treasurer (USA) - West Chester University of Pennsylvania (USA)

## ISLFD Board of Directors

Ana Bacaonau, Technical "Gh. Asachi" University of Iasi (RO)

Jos A.W.M Corver, RheaVita (NL)

Enric Jo, Reig Jofre (ES)

Paul Matejtschuk, The National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (UK)

Susan Ríos, Ghent University (BE)

Jorge Sassone, Proquimo Improvement (BR)

## ISLFD 2019 Conference - Organizing Committee

Jos A.W.M. Corver, RheaVita (NL) [Chair]

Thomas De Beer, Ghent University (BE) [Venue]

Roberto Pisano, Politecnico di Torino (IT) [Technical Program]

Jorge Sassone, Proquimo Improvement (BR) [Sponsors & Table tops]

Zhongping Huang, West Chester University of Pennsylvania (USA) [Treasurer]

## ISLFD 2019 Conference - Scientific Committee

Roberto Pisano, Politecnico di Torino (IT) [Chair]

Antonello Barresi, Politecnico di Torino (IT)

Paul Matejtschuk, The National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (UK)

Ivette Novoa, National Center of Bioproducts (CU)

Susan Rios, Ghent University (BE)

freeze-drying of unit doses). Thomas De Beer is also director of Ghent University's Center of Excellence in Sustainable Pharmaceutical Engineering (CESPE) which is founded in 2016. In 2018, Thomas De Beer became co-founder and CTO of the Ghent University spin-off company RheaVita which provides a continuous freeze-drying technology for the pharmaceutical market.

---



#### KYUYA NAKAGAWA

Kyuya Nakagawa is an Associate Professor at the Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyoto University. He received his PhD from the Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyoto University in 2003. Now he specializes in the areas of food drying, freezing, freeze-drying, and microencapsulation. He has published over 100 scientific articles, and these articles have ever been cited more than 1500 times. He is interested in the development of sophisticated-practical processing technologies that allows to design desirable qualities in bio-based products to give various functionalities. He is now motivated to apply QbD approach for food freeze-drying, where numbers of qualities must be realized in a cost-effective processing. He recognizes that physicochemical phenomena during freezing and drying are of great tool to design functional products.

---



#### ANTONELLO BARRESI

Antonello Barresi is currently full professor of Transport phenomena at Politecnico di Torino, in charge of the course of Process development and design. Currently Italian national delegate in the WP on Drying of the European Federation of Chemical Engineering. His main research interests in drying include: drying and freeze drying of pharmaceuticals and enzymes, modelling and optimization of freeze-drying processes, control of industrial freeze-dryers. Most recent research is focused on process transfer, scale-up and cycle development, and new approaches for process development and quality control in freeze-drying of pharmaceutical and food products. Author of more than 250 papers (of which

---

## List of Abstracts for Invited Lectures

1	<b>Past, present, and future in lyophilization</b>	1
	Steven Nail, Baxter BioPharma Solutions	
2	<b>Solid-state hydrogen deuterium exchange (ssHDX-MS) in the development of lyophilized protein formulations</b>	2
	Elizabeth Topp, Purdue University	
3	<b>Understanding the freeze-concentrate in lyophilization of Mabs</b>	3
	Wolfgang Friess, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München	
4	<b>Freeze-drying of protein pharmaceuticals: use of information on component mixing for formulation and process development</b>	5
	Ken-ichi Izutsu, National Institute of Health Sciences	
5	<b>Preservation of biological activity during freeze drying – challenges and technological advances</b>	7
	Paul Matejtschuk, The National Institute for Biological Standards and Control	
6	<b>Freeze-drying: not just for injectables</b>	8
	Victoria Kett, Queen's University of Belfast	
7	<b>Lyophilized diagnostic reagent for cancer detection</b>	9
	Jorge Sassone, Proquimo Improvement	
8	<b>Physical events during cryopreservation: consequences on cells' post-thaw performance and on cryobiological protocols optimisation</b>	10
	Fernanda Fonseca, AgroParisTech INRA	
9	<b>Challenges in continuous lyophilization</b>	12
	Jos Corver, RheaVita	
10	<b>From batch to continuous: the lyophilization of suspended vials for pharmaceuticals in unit doses</b>	14
	Roberto Pisano, Politecnico di Torino	
11	<b>Dynamic spray freeze-drying of pharmaceuticals: model validation and product characterization</b>	16
	Israel Borges Sebastiao, Pfizer	
12	<b>Accelerating freeze-drying through continuous aseptic spray freeze-drying</b>	18
	Arnab Ganguly, IMA Life	
13	<b>A SMART technology for the continuous manufacturing of lyophilized orally disintegrating tablets</b>	19
	Thomas De Beer, Ghent University	
14	<b>Atmospheric freeze-drying of food products: practical modeling and quality assessment</b>	20
	Kyuya Nakagawa, Kyoto University	
15	<b>Model-based tools for cycle development and scale-up</b>	22
	Antonello Barresi, Politecnico di Torino	
16	<b>IoT PAT for Lyophilization</b>	24
	Andrew Strongrich, Purdue University	
17	<b>Freeze drying from organic co-solvent systems: thermal analysis and process design</b>	26
	Henning Gieseler, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg	

18	<b>Integrated use of mechanistic models, targeted experiments and modern analytical tools for development and troubleshooting of lyophilisation cycles: packing of vials approach</b>	28
	Pavol Rajniak, Slovak Technical University	
19	<b>Electrical Impedance methods for developing a lyophilization cycle</b>	30
	Geoff Smith, De Montfort University	
20	<b>Active Freeze Drying – A new technology for contained and aseptic lyophilization</b>	32
	Bert Dekens, Hosokawa	
21	<b>Specificity of vaccine freeze-drying</b>	33
	Yves Mayeresse, GlaxoSmithKline	
22	<b>Nano potent particles API freeze drying using organic solvent</b>	34
	Yossi Shapira, Teva	
23	<b>Lyophilization in bulk of anaerobic bacteria, specificity of the process</b>	36
	Sophie Declomesnil, 4DPharma plc	
24	<b>Freeze dryer recipe process transfer: challenge in heat transfer coefficient between freeze dryers and way-out</b>	37
	Salvatore Carmisciano, Novartis	
26	<b>Quantification of the physical robustness of lyophilized biotherapeutics</b>	38
	Kevin Ward, Biopharma	
27	<b>Design requirements for shelf temperature control and testing</b>	40
	Maik Guttzeit, Bayer Pharmaceuticals	
28	<b>Surface-driven denaturation of proteins during freeze-drying: An insight into the role of surfactants</b>	41
	Andrea Arsiccio, Politecnico di Torino	
29	<b>Evaluation of cracking and shrinkage of freeze-dried cakes when using a continuous freeze-drying method</b>	43
	Gust Nuytten, Ghent University	
30	<b>Effect of Vacuum Induced Surface Freezing on the physical and chemical characteristics of freeze-dried strawberry pulp</b>	45
	Catalina Alvarez Campuzano, Universidad Nacional de Colombia	
31	<b>Application of a novel impedance-based freeze-drying microscope for the product formulation development</b>	47
	Anand Vadesa, De Montfort University	
32	<b>Formulation strategies and modelling approaches to successfully develop lyophilised high concentration protein formulations</b>	49
	Valeria Gervasi, University College Cork	

## Model-based tools for cycle development and scale-up

Barresi A. A. <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Applied Science and Technology, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy

\*E-mail of the corresponding author: [antonello.pisano@polito.it](mailto:antonello.pisano@polito.it)

---

### Abstract

This contribution discusses how recently developed model-based tools for monitoring and closed-loop control of freeze-drying process can be useful employed for automatic cycle development. Alternatively, they can be used to obtain the parameters needed for off-line optimization and calculation of design space.

---

### Introduction

Tools developed for automatic control can be used for process optimization, process intensification and cycle transfer. In fact, they allow both the determination in-line of base cycles and of reliable heat and mass transfer parameters which can then be used for scale-up and cycle development using off-line approaches.

Different monitoring and control strategies will be considered and commented, showing examples of cycle development.

### Automatic cycle development and scale up

An apparatus equipped with an automatic closed-loop control, like LyoDriver, can be used to develop in a few steps (or even with a single run) a close-to-optimal cycle; only the maximum allowable product temperature must be specified, and in additions constrains on the maximum shelf temperature, and on the number and type of steps can be fixed. Examples will be shown of cycles developed for different formulations and for different freezing condition, with controlled and uncontrolled nucleation, to show that differences in the sequence of shelf temperature set points reflects the different thermal characteristics of the excipients and the different matrix structures.

If the industrial apparatus is also equipped with monitoring and control tools, these can also be employed to adapt and transfer the cycle for it, overcoming the well/known scale up issues. It would be sufficient to launch a cycle imposing the proper restrictions on the product temperature.

### Off-line cycle optimization and process transfer

The same tools can be adopted also to recover in-line the process parameters, to develop off-line optimization strategies or design spaces; safety margins can be handled in both cases, but in a different way. The design space can be obtained in-line also using a soft sensor, and generally speaking the design space approach can be used to handle the effect of the freezing protocol and of batch non-uniformity.

Alternatively, the same parameters obtained can be used to simulate the drying behavior using a mathematical model of the process; an example will be shown.

### Selection of optimal pressure

Most of the methods developed find the optimal shelf temperature sequence for a given pressure, which can be identified by an off-line optimization procedure. Some examples will show how the result depends on the control logic adopted (comparing feedback and model-based controllers).

If LyoDriver is used for cycle development, pressure should be manually adjusted by the user as soon

as there is evidence to suggest that sublimation is rate-controlled by mass transfer. However, this strategy requires that the pressure dependence of the heat transfer coefficient is known a priori, but unfortunately these data are not always available and are both equipment- and container-specific. In case the pressure dependence of the heat transfer coefficient is known, which means that the equipment-vial system has been fully characterized, the manipulation of both shelf temperature and pressure using a MPC (Model Predictive Control) algorithm gives the highest rate of sublimation and hence the shortest drying time.

### **Optimisation of secondary drying**

Coupling the measurement of the desorption rate, obtained by means of the PRT or other devices, with a mathematical model of the process, it is possible to obtain a soft-sensor that estimates in line the desorption constant and the residual amount of solvent in the product at the end of primary drying.

The parameter estimated can be used to obtain the design space also for secondary drying, but the soft sensor can also be integrated inside a control loop which determines the optimal heating strategy for the secondary drying step. In fact, the maximum allowed product temperature of the lyophilized product increases with a decrease in the residual moisture; if this relationship is known, the cycle can be optimized increasing progressively the shelf temperature as far as secondary drying goes on and the residual moisture decreases.