

1 **Characterisation of joined surface modified SiC_f/SiC composites**

2
3
4
5
6 Valentina Casalegno^a, Fabrizio Valenza^b, Cristina Balagna^a, **Richard Sedlák^c**,
7
8 **Vladimír Girman^d**, Milena Salvo^a, **De la Pierre des Ambrois Stefano^a**, Monica Ferraris^a
9

10
11
12
13 ^a Department of Applied Science and Technology (DISAT) Politecnico di Torino,
14
15 Torino, Italy.

16
17
18 ^b National Research Council – Institute of Condensed Matter Chemistry and
19
20 Technologies for Energy (CNR-ICMATE), Genoa (Italy)

21
22
23 ^c Institute of Materials Research, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia

24
25 ^d Faculty of Science, Institute of Physics, Pavol Jozef Šafárik University in Košice,
26
27 Košice, Slovakia

28
29
30
31
32
33 **Corresponding author: Valentina Casalegno**

34 **valentina.casalegno@polito.it**

35
36 Department of Applied Science and Technology (DISAT)- Politecnico di Torino

37
38 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24-10129-Torino-ITALY

39
40 Ph: +390110904706

41
42 Fax: +390110904699

43
44
45
46
47 **Abstract**

48
49
50 This work has focused on *surface engineering* coupled with brazing to join SiC_f/SiC
51
52 composites and to improve the joint strength. The surface engineering was carried out
53
54 through the Selective Thermal Removal (STR) of SiC fibres from a SiC_f/SiC composite
55
56 to obtain “brush-like” surfaces; the modified composites were then joined by means of
57
58 an AgCuTi braze alloy. In order to investigate whether a “brush-like” interface could
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 enhance the mechanical strength of the joint by increasing mechanical interlocking with
2
3 the brazing alloy at the micron scale, the joints were tested with and without surface
4
5 engineering by means of single lap offset under compression.
6
7

8 The treatment to form a roughened surface suitable for mechanical keying of the braze
9
10 metal led to an improved ductility of the joint; the fracture surfaces demonstrated that
11
12 the proposed method is promising, even though the treatment damages locally the
13
14 composite.
15
16
17
18
19

20 **Keywords:** ceramic matrix composites; joining; surface engineering; brazing.
21
22
23
24

25 **1. Introduction**

26
27 Ceramic Matrix Composites (CMCs) are generally composed of straight or woven
28
29 ceramic fibres embedded in a ceramic matrix with a weak bond between them, a process
30
31 that leads to an improved fracture toughness of the composites compared to the matrix
32
33 or to fibre materials. Because of their superior thermomechanical properties, CMCs
34
35 based on a SiC matrix reinforced with silicon carbide fibres (SiC_f/SiC) are materials of
36
37 great interest for many applications, ranging from the aerospace [1,2] to the nuclear
38
39 field [3-5], which require extremely high temperature stability and damage tolerance.
40
41
42
43
44

45 SiC_f/SiC are currently being used as thermo-structural materials in different
46
47 components, such as aircraft brakes, body flaps, leading edges, heat exchanger
48
49 components, gas turbines for power plants, thermal protection systems for space
50
51 vehicles and the inner walls of plasma chambers of nuclear fusion reactors [6].
52
53

54 However, in many cases, their use depends to a great extent on their ability to be joined
55
56 and integrated, since the manufacturing of these materials as large components or
57
58 complex geometries is difficult and expensive. The study and development of reliable
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 joining methods to assemble CMC as large components in complex structures is a
2
3 critical issue for a wider use of these materials.
4

5
6 The surface engineering of CMC has attracted a great deal of attention from the
7
8 scientific community as it represents a smart and still unexplored technology that can be
9
10 used to improve the mechanical performances of CMC joints. The design of interfaces
11
12 coupled with suitable joining materials and joining technologies are key parameters in
13
14 manufacturing, especially for ceramic matrix composite-based components. In a
15
16 previous work [7], we proposed a technique based on the Selective Thermal Removal
17
18 (STR) of SiC fibres from SiC_f/SiC composites. This process leads to a micro-sized
19
20 “brush-like” structure that is able to enhance the specific surface of SiC_f/SiC. It was
21
22 demonstrated that the specific surface of SiC_f/SiC significantly increased (~ 90%) when
23
24 fibres were removed parallel and perpendicular to the composite surface.
25
26
27

28
29 In [7], the effect of the STR process on the composite surface was discussed from the
30
31 morphological point of view and wetting tests, using a AgCuTi brazing alloy, on as-
32
33 received and modified SiC_f/SiC were performed. In the present work, starting from
34
35 those promising results, experimental parameters have been transferred from wetting
36
37 test to joining process and adhesion issues are presented. Surface modified SiC_f/SiC
38
39 joined samples have been manufactured and their microstructure studied. In order to
40
41 assess the effectiveness of the STR process, mechanical tests (single-lap offset under
42
43 compression) have been conducted on STR modified SiC_f/SiC joined by brazing and the
44
45 results have been compared with those of reference SiC_f/SiC joints (obtained with as-
46
47 received SiC_f/SiC). Furthermore, the mechanical strength of SiC_f/SiC after STR has
48
49 been measured to verify the detrimental effects of the surface engineering process on
50
51 the SiC-based composite itself.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2. Materials and methods

Keraman® SiC_f/SiC samples supplied by MT Aerospace (Germany) were used for the experimental work. The composites were manufactured at MT Aerospace, Germany, using the standard gradient Chemical Vapour Infiltration (CVI) process and supplied as rectangular shaped samples; they contained Tyranno ® S grade 1.6 K (Ube Industries, Tokyo, Japan) fibres coated with a thin C layer. SiC_f/SiC has a thermal expansion coefficient of $4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$.

All the SiC_f/SiC showed pores between the fabric layers and some cracks on the surface.

Before brazing, the SiC_f/SiC were cut into 5 mm × 5 mm × 2 mm slabs and were then processed at 1450 °C for 2 hours under flowing Ar in a tubular oven (according to the STR process described in [7]). The brazing alloy used to join the CMC was a commercial AgCuTi alloy (CB4, Degussa, Germany) in the form of 100 µm thick foils. This alloy consists of eutectic Ag-Cu activated with 3 wt. % of Ti (nominal composition: Ag: 57.7, Cu: 36.8, Ti: 5.5 at.%) and belongs to a family of braze media that are commonly used for mid-temperature joining processes; its melting range is 780-805 °C and it has an optimal brazing temperature in the 850-950 °C range. The braze foil was sandwiched between composite blocks and this assembly was introduced into an alumina tubular furnace set at 850 °C, held at this temperature for 30 min and then cooled down at a rate of 5 °C · min⁻¹. During the process, a vacuum lower than 5 · 10⁻⁴ Pa was maintained by means of a turbomolecular pump; no external load was necessary as adhesion was assured by the capillary forces of the liquid which pulled the surfaces together.

The SiC_f/SiC and joined samples were characterised before and after the STR process using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM- ZEISS Supra 40) with

1 an Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS- SW9100 EDAX) detector and scanning
2
3 electron microscopy (SEM, model: LEO 1450 VP) with an electron microprobe (EDS)
4
5 (Oxford Instruments, 7353 model with Oxford-INCA software v. 4.07, type of detector:
6
7 Si(Li)).
8
9

10 Investigation and structural analysis of the interfacial phases were carried out using
11
12 Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) JEOL 2100F UHR operated at 200kV. Image
13
14 characterization was done in scanning/transmission mode employing bright field
15
16 detector, in order to utilize mass-thickness contrast for enhancing the distinction of
17
18 areas with different atomic number and to avoid undesirable effects of dynamical
19
20 diffraction. In this mode, EDS analyses were performed by means of detector with
21
22 crystal size 80mm² (Oxford Instruments, Xmax80, INCA software). Phase identification
23
24 was confirmed by Selected Area Electron Diffraction (SAED) technique. For processing
25
26 of obtained diffraction patterns licensed softwares were used (Gatan Digital Micrograph
27
28 and CMPR database). Calibration of digital camera in reciprocal space was set on
29
30 MoO₃ monocrystal standard.
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 Thin foils for purposes of TEM observations were prepared using standard preparation
38
39 methods including cutting, grinding, polishing, dimpling and final step of the thinning
40
41 procedure was done by ion milling (PIPS Model 691 Gatan) operated at 4.5 kV with
42
43 ion-beam angles of 4° and 3°.
44
45
46

47 Complementary phases analysis was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD, X'Pert Pro
48
49 MRD, Panalytical, Cu K_α radiation, with the aid of the X-Pert High Score software) and
50
51 identified with JCPDS files.
52
53

54 The shear strength of the joined samples (at least 4 as-received SiC_f/SiC joints and STR
55
56 SiC_f/SiC joints) was evaluated using the single lap offset (SLO) test under compression
57
58 at room temperature, according to a method adapted from ASTM D905-08 (universal
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 testing machine SINTEC D/10) [8]; the crosshead speed was 0.5 mm/min. The
2
3 maximum force was recorded and the shear strength was calculated by dividing the
4
5 maximum force by the joining area.
6
7

8 The size of the single lap off-set shear tests for brazed samples was 10 mm × 3 mm × 4
9
10 mm with a joined area of 30 mm².
11

12 The SiC_f/SiC samples were subjected to 3-point bending tests (v= 0.5 mm/min; span=
13
14 40 mm), according to ASTM standard C1341-13 [9], at room temperature before and
15
16 after the STR treatment. The composite size was 3 mm × 4 mm × 45 mm. The fracture
17
18 surfaces of the specimens were investigated after mechanical characterization by means
19
20 of SEM/FESEM.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 **3. Results and discussion**

31 **3.1 Surface modification and joining**

32
33 The STR process was carried out on the SiC_f/SiC samples at 1450°C for 2 hours to
34
35 obtain “brush-like” surfaces [7]. The appearance of the modified surface is shown in
36
37 Figure 1; the SiC fibres were partially and homogeneously removed from the composite
38
39 surface, and pores and detached areas formed at the fibre/matrix interface. The presence
40
41 of silica microwires is evident on the fibre surface (Figure 1 d) and inset e)), both on the
42
43 fibre cross-sectional area (as shown in the micrographs) and on the external part of the
44
45 fibres parallel to the treated surface.
46
47
48
49
50

51 When exposed to temperatures above 1000°C, the fibres used as reinforcement in the
52
53 SiC_f/SiC are subjected to a thermal degradation, as discussed in [7]. The thermal
54
55 degradation occurs both at the end of the fibres and on the body of the fibres, at least on
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 the part of the body exposed to STR atmosphere. In that sense, STR also acts on fibres
2 parallel to the joining surface and on the overall mechanical properties of the composite.
3
4 The modified SiC_f/SiC surfaces have been joined using a AgCuTi brazing alloy; the
5
6 joining process ,carried out in the present work, is based on the results of the wetting
7
8 tests [7]. The proof of concept of the STR modification surface technique to implement
9
10 a joining process has been carried out through the morphological investigation of the
11
12 cross-section of the joints; Figures 2 a and b show an example of an SiC_f/SiC - AgCuTi
13
14 - SiC_f/SiC joint.
15
16
17
18
19

20 Both types of composites (as-received (Figure 3) and STR treated (Figure 4) SiC_f/SiC)
21
22 showed the presence of sound joints with the brazing alloy, which was well distributed
23
24 along the whole joint and had infiltrated into the pores and cracks, as can clearly be
25
26 observed in the microstructures in Figures 3 and 4. The microstructures of the joint in
27
28 Figure 3 a-c show that a sub-micrometric Ti-rich layer has formed at the interface and it
29
30 is visible in the higher magnification figure (inset c). The wetting tests performed by the
31
32 authors [7] showed that the braze perfectly spread on the SiC_f/SiC surfaces, filling all
33
34 the cavities and gaps introduced by STR. The present paper reports on the experimental
35
36 activity carried out to verify the beneficial effect of STR on joints manufacturing. The
37
38 thermal treatment etched the fibers not only at their top but also on their side, which in
39
40 turn leads to a micro-sized “brush-like” structure on both the facing surfaces of the
41
42 joints.
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 An SEM cross section of an STR SiC_f/SiC joint is shown in Figure 4 for comparison
50
51 purposes: the brazing alloy has infiltrated the composite surface along the macro-
52
53 porosities, in the same way as for the as-received SiC_f/SiC , but in this case the brazing
54
55 alloy has penetrated for $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ and infiltrated the gaps obtained by the partial removal
56
57 of fibres, thus a “brush-like” joint has formed with interlocked joining materials (Figure
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 4 b, c). ~~The interfacial composition (see Table 1) was similar for the two types of~~
2
3 ~~joints.~~
4

5 The interfacial composition (see Table 1) was similar for the two types of joints.
6

7
8 In order to improve the reliability of data from SEM-EDS, the thin Ti-rich layer was
9 analysed by TEM to further identify the interfacial products. Figure 5 shows high-
10 magnification images of the interface and SAED patterns identification of the resulting
11 phases: going from SiC_f/SiC towards the brazing alloy, a ~400nm thick layer formed
12 mostly of TiC nanograins, and a 250 nm thick Ti₅Si₃ layer were detected.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 In the case of an untreated SiC_f/SiC surface (Figure 3), the Ti-rich layer is evident along
21 the whole joint interface and it follows the surface profile (see Figure 3 c). In the case of
22 an STR SiC_f/SiC joint (Figure 4), the Ti-rich layer follows the profile of the fibres that
23 had partially been removed by means of STR (Figure 4 b,c) and the gap between the
24 fibre and the matrix formed by the thermal selective process. The reaction formed Ti
25 rich layer was continuous and homogenous, which led to braze wettability on the
26 surface. This layer followed the profile of the selective etched fibres without obstructing
27 the cavities, but allowed the molten braze to penetrate. In this way, continuous
28 infiltration coupled with good wettability were achieved. The formation of this
29 transition layer at the interface is considered a key factor for ceramic/braze joint
30 strength. In the case of the SiC_f/SiC samples, this transition layer was developed on a
31 larger surface, thus a larger contact area was made available for the adhesion between
32 the brazing alloy and the SiC_f/SiC and a gradual CTE (coefficient of thermal expansion)
33 change took place from the brazing alloy to the composite along the CMC porosities.
34
35 The CTEs of the interlayer phases were 18.5 and 8.82 x 10⁻⁶ /°C for the AgCuTi and
36 Ti₃SiC₂, respectively, while the CTE of ~~Ti₅Si₃C_x was assumed equal to that of Ti₅Si₃,~~
37 ~~as the Ti₅Si₃C_x phase is a solid solution that contains only a small percentage of C.~~
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Ti₅Si₃ exhibits large CTE anisotropy: 6.11 (basal plane) or 16.62 (c-plane) [10]. In
2
3 addition, thermal mismatch may be accommodated for by the relatively good plasticity
4
5 of the Ag-Cu braze material [11]. This morphology of the interface in the STR samples
6
7 promotes bonding, provided the interfacial roughness of the treated surface does not
8
9 hamper the spread of brazing and physical contact.
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 **3.2 Mechanical characterization**

17
18 The SiC_f/SiC samples were subjected to 3-point bending tests before and after the STR
19
20 treatment in order to assess the possible detrimental effect of the process (1450°C, 2
21
22 hours, Ar atmosphere) on the mechanical properties of the composite. The as-received
23
24 SiC_f/SiC average flexural strength was 576±32 MPa, while the SiC_f/SiC after STR
25
26 showed an average flexural strength of 387±67 MPa.
27
28
29

30 Figure 6 shows the representative flexural strength load –displacement curves of the
31
32 SiC_f/SiC at room temperature, before and after the STR treatment. The STR caused a
33
34 decrease in the composites flexural strength of about 30%, due to the fibre and
35
36 fibre/matrix interface degradation [7]. The load-displacement curves exhibit the typical
37
38 "plastic-like" behaviour that occurs in CMCs because of the toughening mechanisms of
39
40 crack bridging, deflection and slippage at the interface between the fibres and matrix;
41
42 this trend was observed in both of the tested SiC_f/SiC types, that is, as-received and STR
43
44 treated. The lower mechanical strength of the SiC_f/SiC after STR may be ascribed to the
45
46 partial degradation of the thin carbon layer at the fibre/matrix interface and of the SiC
47
48 fibres; it has been reported that the residual tensile strength of Tyranno S fibres, after a
49
50 heat treatment at 1450°C for 1 hour in Ar, is only 20% of the original value [12].
51
52
53
54
55
56
57 Despite this significant decrease in mechanical strength of the fibres [13, 14], the
58
59 composite retained about 70% of its original strength after STR. The present
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 experimental activity is still underway to confine the STR process to the composite
2 surface, in order to avoid or significantly reduce the decrease in mechanical strength of
3 the whole composite.
4
5
6

7
8 The single lap offset (SLO) test under compression was chosen as the mechanical test
9 for joined SiC_f/SiC in order to understand whether the STR process was useful to
10 SiC_f/SiC to obtain statistically relevant results, and it can be useful to compare different
11 joining materials and joining processes [15]. The measured shear strength of the joints
12 produced with the as-received SiC_f/SiC showed a value of 21.3 ± 6.5 MPa, while the
13 STR treated and then joined SiC_f/SiC resulted in a value of 16.5 ± 4.5 MPa, which
14 roughly corresponds to a 30% decrease, as already observed for the SiC_f/SiC flexural
15 strength before and after the same heat treatment used for the STR process.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 The decrease in mechanical strength of the whole SiC_f/SiC after STR does not allow any
28 improvement, if any, to be measured in the lap-shear strength of these joints.
29
30
31

32 XRD analysis (Figure 7) was carried out on the joint fracture surfaces to detect the
33 interfacial phases and to confirm the EDS and TEM analysis. The pattern shows several
34 phases in good agreement with data obtained by TEM analysis: it put in evidence the
35 formation of Ti₅Si₃ and TiC (presumably at the interface between the brazing alloy and
36 the composite surface) and Ag and Cu mostly due the brazing alloy infiltration. The
37 SiC/SiC composite substrate is detectable by SiC peaks.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 There is no difference in detected phases on the fracture surface after the mechanical
48 tests on the SiC_f/SiC joined samples without STR (Figure 7) and with STR.
49
50
51

52 However, the The fracture surface analysis (Figure 8) revealed a promising
53 morphology; as can be observed in Figures 8 a) and b), the as-received SiC_f/SiC joint
54 underwent delamination of the composite close to the joined region, with a few spots
55 showing macro-infiltration of the brazing alloy (already shown in the cross-section in
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Figure 3 a) and a flat fracture surface. On the other hand, the infiltration of the brazing
2 alloy all around the fibres in the STR joined SiC_f/SiC (Figure 8 d) caused a non-flat
3 fracture surface and extensive pull-out of the fibres (Figure 8 c), in agreement with the
4 cross section shown in Figures 4b and c. All this seems to indicate an effective role of
5 the STR in obtaining a brush-like structure, even though this has not yet been supported
6 by a measured increase in the mechanical strength of the joint. A schematic of the
7 fracture path in SiC_f/SiC joints is shown in Figure 9.

8 The different failure mechanisms in the SiC_f/SiC joints, with and without the STR
9 treatment, is also evident in Figure 10. The typical load/displacement curves of the
10 SiC_f/SiC joints without STR, as tested by means of SLO, show brittle behaviour (Figure
11 10, full line). On the other hand, the SiC_f/SiC processed with STR and then joined
12 exhibit a load/displacement curve (Figure 10, dotted line) with non-brittle, segmented
13 behaviour that is typical of a composite material; this can be explained by considering
14 the increased toughness of the joint, due to the formation of a composite joining
15 material at the interface between the infiltrated brazing alloys and the STR SiC_f/SiC.
16 Other activities are currently underway in order to modify the STR process so as to
17 avoid the degradation of all the SiC_f/SiC mechanical properties, that is, to confine the
18 thermal removal process at the composite surface.

19 Moreover, the stress condition in the braze as in the ceramic composite is clearly
20 dominated by the residual stresses. Stress level in the braze can be mitigated by plastic
21 relaxation strain. Contraction of the brazing alloy layer is hindered by the metal
22 cramped with the ceramic in the structured surface layer.

23 The ceramic opposes the reduction of the distance of the filled holes, thus leading to
24 compression stresses in the composites. On the other hand, the thermal shrinkage of the
25 solder in the holes leads to high tension stresses. As a consequence, the brush-like

1 surface can increase the residual stresses at the interface between the metal and the
2
3 composite.
4

5
6 The tuning of the structuring depth is a key factor in order to have a balance between an
7
8 improved mechanical interlocking and a reduction of detrimental stress peaks at the
9
10 interface.
11

12 13 14 15 **4. Conclusions** 16

17
18 The proposed surface engineering treatment (Selective Thermal Removal, STR) has
19
20 been successfully used to obtain “brush-like” SiC_f/SiC surfaces.
21

22
23 The main advantages of this process are (i) modification of the surface, due to a one-
24
25 step pre-treatment, which increases the surface area and allows the joining material to
26
27 be mechanically anchored, and (ii) a completely dry and environmental friendly
28
29 process, in which no hazardous chemicals are used and which, coupled with a high
30
31 reproducibility of the process, makes this process attractive for implementation in
32
33 automatic and production machinery.
34
35

36
37 The brush-like SiC_f/SiC interface was well infiltrated by the Ag-based brazing alloy,
38
39 and this led to a composite-like fracture surface and a “plastic-like” load/displacement
40
41 curve after a single lap offset test under compression of the joints.
42
43

44
45 At this stage of the research, the STR process seems to be effective in increasing the
46
47 joint toughness, but the treatment still needs to be modified in order to avoid
48
49 degradation of the whole SiC_f/SiC . The future experimental activities will focus on a
50
51 localised and less harsh thermal process, in order to find a good compromise between
52
53 surface engineering and composite integrity.
54
55
56
57
58

59 **Acknowledgments** 60 61 62 63 64 65

1 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's
2
3 Seventh Framework Programme FP7 2007-2013 under grant agreement 609188, within
4
5 the European ADMACOM (Advanced manufacturing routes for metal/composite
6
7 components for aerospace, www.admacomproject.eu) project.
8
9

10 **The authors wish to thank Dr. Peter Tatarko (Institute of Inorganic Chemistry**
11
12 **Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Slovakia) for his support and helpful**
13
14 **discussion.**
15
16
17

18 The authors are also thankful to Joerg Weise and Simon Fecht (Fraunhofer Institute for
19
20 Manufacturing Technology and Advanced Materials IFAM-Bremen, Germany) for
21
22 their support in the discussion of the results.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **References**

- 38
39
40 [1]Rizzo, S., Grasso, S., Salvo, M., Casalegno, V., Reece, M.J., Ferraris, M., Joining of
41
42 C/SiC composites by spark plasma sintering. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 34 (2014) 903–913.
43
44 DOI:10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2013.10.028
45
46
47 [2]Jiménez, C., Mergia, K., Lagos, M., Yialouris, P., Agote, I., Liedtke, V., Messoloras,
48
49 S., Panayiotatos, Y., Padovano, E., Badini, C., Wilhelmi, C., Barcena, J., Joining of
50
51 ceramic matrix composites to high temperature ceramics for thermal protection systems.
52
53 *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.* 36 (2016)443–449. DOI:10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2015.09.038
54
55
56
57 [3]Kato, Y., Snead, L.L., Chenga, T., Shih, C., Lewis, W.D., Koyanagi, T., Hinoki, T.,
58
59 Henager, Jr C.H., Ferraris, M., Radiation-tolerant joining technologies for silicon
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 carbide ceramics and composites. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 448 (2014) 497–511.

2
3 DOI:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2013.10.002

4
5 [4]Ferraris, M., Salvo, M., Casalegno, V., Ciampichetti, A., Smeacetto, F., Zucchetti,
6 M. Joining machined SiC/SiC composites for thermonuclear fusion reactors. *J. Nucl.*
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Mater. 375 (2008) 410–415. DOI:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2008.02.020

[5]Colombo, P., Riccardi, B., Donato, A., G. Scarinci, G., Joining of SiC/SiCf ceramic
matrix composites for fusion reactor blanket applications. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 278 (2000)
27–135. DOI:10.1016/S0022-3115(99)00268-8

[6]Katoh, Y., Snead, L.L., Henager, C.H. Jr, Nozawa, T., Hinoki, T., Ivekovic, A.,
Novak, S., Gonzalez de Vicente, S.M., Current status and recent research achievements
in SiC/SiC composites. *J. Nucl. Mater.* 455 (2014) 387–397.

DOI:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2014.06.003

[7]Valenza, F., Casalegno, V., Gambaro, S., Muolo, M.L., Passerone, A., Salvo, M.,
Ferraris, M. Surface engineering of SiC/SiC composites by selective thermal removal.
Int. J. Appl. Ceram. Technol. 14 (2017) 287–294. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijac.12618>

[8]ASTM D905-08 Standard test method for strength properties of adhesive bonds in
shear by compression loading. 2013 ASTM Int., West Conshohocken, PA USA.

[9] ASTM 1341-00 Standard test method for flexural properties of continuous fiber-
reinforced advanced ceramic composites. 2013 ASTM Int., West Conshohocken, PA
USA.

[10]Tsuda, H., Mori, S., Halbig, M.C., Singh, M., Asthana, R., Microstructural
observation of interfaces in diffusion bonded silicon carbide ceramics by TEM. In:
*Advanced Processing and Manufacturing Technologies for Nanostructured and
Multifunctional Materials II*. Wiley, 2016. The American Ceramic Society. p.13-20.

- 1 [11] Asthana, R., Singh, M., Sobczak, N., Wetting behavior and interfacial
2
3 microstructure of Pd and Ag-based brazed alloys with C-C and SiC-SiC composites. J.
4
5 Mater. Sci. 45 (2010) 4276-4290. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-010-4647-5>
6
7
8 [12] http://www.ube-ind.co.jp/english/products/chemical/chemical_19.htm
9
10 [13] Shimoo, T., Takeuchi, H., Okamura, K., Thermal stability of polycarbosilane
11
12 derived silicon carbide fibers under reduced pressures. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 70 (2001)
13
14 566–570. DOI: 10.1111/j.1151-2916.2001.tb00699.x
15
16
17 [14] Shimoo, T., Morisada, Y., Okamura, K. Oxidation behavior of Si-M-C-O fibers
18
19 under wide range of oxygen partial pressures. J. Mater. Sci. 7 (2002) 4361– 4368. DOI:
20
21 10.1023/A:1020608704120
22
23
24 [15] Ferraris, M., Ventrella, A., Salvo, M., Avalle, M., Pavia, F., Martin, E. Comparison
25
26 of Shear Strength Tests on AV119 Epoxy-Joined Carbon/Carbon Composites. Compos.
27
28 Part B-Eng 41 (2010) 182-191. [DOI: 10.1016/j.compositesb.2009.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2009.10.008)
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Type of SiC _f /SiC composite	Region	Elemental composition (at.%)					Possible phase
		C	Si	Ti	Cu	Ag	
As-received surface (Fig. 3)	A	-	-	0.9	11.3	87.8	Ag(Cu)
	B	-	-	2.8	92.1	5.1	Cu(Ag)
	C (7 kV)	31.5	26.5	35.0	2.7	4.3	TiC _x + Ti ₅ Si ₃
STR surface (Fig. 4)	D	-	-	1.1	10.4	88.5	Ag(Cu)
	E	-	-	2.1	93.4	4.5	Cu(Ag)
	F (7 kV)	29.4	24.1	31.5	2.5	3.8	TiC _x + Ti ₅ Si ₃

Table 1: EDS chemical analysis (at.%) of different regions identified in the cross-sectioned samples; at least 5 spots were analysed for each phase.




Fig. 1. SEM-SE images of the surface of the as-received (a,c) and STR treated (b,d) SiC_f/SiC ; inset (e) shows the silica microwires formed on the SiC fibre surface.




Fig. 2. Macrographs of an SiC_f/SiC to SiC_f/SiC joint obtained by means of AgCuTi : the brazing seam is visible (a), as is the infiltration of the braze into the facing composite blocks (b); good adhesion and wetting of the filler alloy along the interface with infiltration of the alloy into the holes and gaps of the composites are visible.




Fig. 3. SEM magnification of the cross section of an SiC_f/SiC joint (as-received composite) showing: a) a panoramic view of the brazing seam showing infiltration into the composite porosities; b) adhesion of the brazing alloy at a microscale and c) higher magnification of the interface.