

The NUMEN project @ LNS: Status and perspectives

Original

The NUMEN project @ LNS: Status and perspectives / Cappuzzello, F.; Agodi, C.; Acosta, L.; Amador-Valenzuela, P.; Auerbach, N.; Barea, J.; Bellone, J. I.; Belmont, D.; Bijker, R.; Bonanno, D.; Borello-Lewin, T.; Boztosun, I.; Branchina, V.; Brasolin, S.; Brischetto, G.; Brunasso, O.; Burrello, S.; Calabrese, S.; Calabretta, L.; Calvo, D.; Capirossi, V.; Carbone, D.; Cavallaro, M.; Chen, R.; Ciraldo, I.; Chavez Lomeli, E. R.; Colonna, M.; D'Agostino, G.; Djapo, H.; De Geronimo, G.; Delaunay, F.; Deshmukh, N.; De Faria, P. N.; Espejel, R.; Ferraresi, C.; Ferreira, J. L.; Ferretti, J.; Finocchiaro, P.; Firat, S.; Fisichella, M.; Flores, A.; Foti, A.; Gallo, G.; Garcia-Tecocoatzi, H.; Gongora, B.; Hacisalihoglu, A.; Hajar, S.; Huerta, A.; Kotila, J.; Kucuk, Y.; Iazzi, F.; Lanzalone, G.; La Via, F.; Lay, J. A.; Lenske, H.; Linares, R.; Longhitano, F.; Lo Presti, D.; Lubian, J.; Ma, J.; Marin-Lambarri, D.; Martinez, S.; Mas, J.; Medina, N. H.; Mendes, D. R.; Mereu, P.; Morales, M.; Oliveira, J. R. B.; Ordonez, C.; Pakou, A.; Pandola, L.; Petrascu, H.; Pietralla, N.; Pinna, F.; Reito, S.; Reza, G.; Ries, P.; Rifuggiato, D.; Rodrigues, M. R. D.; Russo, A. D.; Russo, G.; Sandoval, S.; Santopinto, E.; Santos, R. B. B.; Sgourdos, O.; da Silveira, M. A. G.; Solakci, S. O.; Souliotis, G.; Soukeras, V.; Spatafora, A.; Torresi, D.; Tudisco, S.; Vsevolodovna, R. I. M.; Vargas, H.; Vega, G.; Wang, J. S.; Werner, V.; Yang, Y. Y.; Yildirin, A.; Zagatto, V. *Publish* 2019. (Symmetries and Order: Algebraic Methods in Many Body Systems: A symposium in celebration of Francesco Iachello Connecticut (USA) 5–6 October 2018) [10.1063/1.5124592].

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

The NUMEN project @ LNS: Status and perspectives

Cite as: AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 030003 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124592>
Published Online: 03 September 2019

F. Cappuzzello, C. Agodi, L. Acosta, P. Amador-Valenzuela, N. Auerbach, J. Barea, J. I. Bellone, D. Belmont, R. Bijker, D. Bonanno, T. Borello-Lewin, I. Boztosun, V. Branchina, S. Brasolin, G. Brischetto, O. Brunasso, S. Burrello, S. Calabrese, L. Calabretta, D. Calvo, V. Capirossi, D. Carbone, M. Cavallaro, R. Chen, I. Ciraldo, E. R. Chávez Lomelí, M. Colonna, G. D'Agostino, H. Djapo, G. De Geronimo, F. Delaunay, N. Deshmukh, P. N. De Faria, R. Espejel, C. Ferraresi, J. L. Ferreira, J. Ferretti, P. Finocchiaro, S. Firat, M. Fisichella, A. Flores, A. Foti, G. Gallo, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi, B. Góngora, A. Hacisalihoglu, S. Hazar, A. Huerta, J. Kotila, Y. Kucuk, F. Iazzi, G. Lanzalone, F. La Via, J. A. Lay, H. Lenske, R. Linares, F. Longhitano, D. Lo Presti, J. Lubian, J. Ma, D. Marín-Lámbarri, S. Martínez, J. Mas, N. H. Medina, D. R. Mendes, P. Mereu, M. Morales, J. R. B. Oliveira, C. Ordoñez, A. Pakou, L. Pandola, H. Petrascu, N. Pietralla, F. Pinna, S. Reito, G. Reza, P. Ries, D. Rifuggiato, M. R. D. Rodrigues, A. D. Russo, G. Russo, S. Sandoval, E. Santopinto, R. B. B. Santos, O. Sgouros, M. A. G. da Silveira, S. O. Solakci, G. Souliotis, V. Soukeras, A. Spatafora, D. Torresi, S. Tudisco, R. I. M. Vsevolodovna, H. Vargas, G. Vega, J. S. Wang, V. Werner, Y. Y. Yang, A. Yildirin, V. A. B. Zagatto, and for the NUMEN collaboration



[View Online](#)



[Export Citation](#)

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[New experimental campaign of NUMEN project](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 030001 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124590>

[Fascinating puzzle called double beta decay](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 020009 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124581>

[Threshold effects in heavy quarkonium spectroscopy](#)

AIP Conference Proceedings **2150**, 030006 (2019); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5124595>

Lock-in Amplifiers
... and more, from DC to 600 MHz



The NUMEN Project @ LNS: Status and Perspectives

F. Cappuzzello^{1,2,a)}, C. Agodi¹, L. Acosta³, P. Amador-Valenzuela⁴, N. Auerbach⁵, J. Barea⁶, J. I. Bellone^{1,2}, D. Belmont⁷, R. Bijker⁷, D. Bonanno⁸, T. Borello-Lewin⁹, I. Boztosun¹⁰, V. Branchina⁸, S. Brasolin¹¹, G. Brischetto^{1,2}, O. Brunasso¹¹, S. Burrello^{1,12}, S. Calabrese^{1,2}, L. Calabretta¹, D. Calvo¹¹, V. Capirossi^{11,13}, D. Carbone¹, M. Cavallaro¹, R. Chen¹⁴, I. Cirraldo^{1,2}, E.R. Chávez Lomelí³, M. Colonna¹, G. D'Agostino^{1,2}, H. Djapo¹⁰, G. De Geronimo¹⁵, F. Delaunay^{11,13,16}, N. Deshmukh¹⁷, P.N. de Faria¹⁸, R. Espejel³, C. Ferraresi^{11,19}, J.L. Ferreira¹⁸, J. Ferretti^{20,21}, P. Finocchiaro¹, S. Firat¹⁰, M. Fisichella¹¹, A. Flores³, A. Foti⁸, G. Gallo^{1,2}, H. Garcia-Tecocoatzi^{20,22}, B. Góngora³, A. Hacisalihoglu²³, S. Hazar¹⁰, A. Huerta³, J. Kotila²⁴, Y. Kucuk¹⁰, F. Iazzi^{11,13}, G. Lanzalone^{1,25}, F. La Via²⁶, J.A. Lay¹², H. Lenske²⁷, R. Linares¹⁸, F. Longhitano⁸, D. Lo Presti^{2,8}, J. Lubian¹⁸, J. Ma¹⁴, D. Marín-Lámbarri³, S. Martínez³, J. Mas³, N.H. Medina⁹, D. R. Mendes¹⁸, P. Mereu¹¹, M. Morales²⁸, J.R.B. Oliveira⁹, C. Ordoñez³, A. Pakou²⁹, L. Pandola¹, H. Petrascu³⁰, N. Pietralla³¹, F. Pinna^{11,13}, S. Reito⁸, G. Reza³, P. Ries³¹, D. Rifuggiato¹, M.R.D. Rodrigues⁹, A. D. Russo¹, G. Russo^{2,8}, S. Sandoval³, E. Santopinto²⁰, R.B.B. Santos³², O. Sgouros¹, M.A.G. da Silveira³², S.O. Solakci¹⁰, G. Souliotis³³, V. Soukeras¹, A. Spatafora^{1,2}, D. Torresi¹, S. Tudisco¹, R.I.M. Vsevolodovna^{20,22}, H. Vargas³, G. Vega³, J.S. Wang¹⁴, V. Werner³¹, Y.Y. Yang¹⁴, A. Yildirin¹⁰, V.A.B. Zagatto¹⁸ for the NUMEN collaboration

¹*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Laboratori Nazionali del Sud, Catania, Italy*

²*Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia "Ettore Majorana", Università di Catania, Catania, Italy*

³*Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*

⁴*Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Nucleares, México*

⁵*School of Physics and Astronomy Tel Aviv University, Israel*

⁶*Universidad de Concepcion, Chile*

⁷*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México*

⁸*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*

⁹*Instituto de Física, Universidade de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil*

¹⁰*Department of Physics, Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey*

¹¹*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Torino, Torino, Italy*

¹²*Departamento de FAMN, Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain*

¹³*DISAT, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy*

¹⁴*Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China*

¹⁵*Stony Brook University, USA*

¹⁶*LPC Caen, Normandie Université ENSICAEN, UNICAEN, CNRS/IN2P3, Caen, France*

¹⁷*Nuclear Physics Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, India*

¹⁸*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, Brazil*

- ¹⁹*DIMEAS, Politecnico di Torino, Torino, Italy*
²⁰*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy*
²¹*Department of Physics, Yale University, USA*
²²*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Genova, Italy*
²³*Institute of Natural Sciences, Karadeniz Teknik University, Turkey*
²⁴*University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland*
²⁵*Università degli Studi di Enna "Kore", Enna, Italy*
²⁶*CNR-IMM, Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy*
²⁷*Department of Physics, University of Giessen, Giessen, Germany*
²⁸*Instituto de Pesquisas Energeticas e Nucleares IPEN/CNEN, Brazil*
²⁹*Department of Physics and HINP, The University of Ioannina, Ioannina, Greece*
³⁰*IFIN-HH, Romania*
³¹*Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany*
³²*Centro Universitario FEI Sao Bernardo do Campo, Brazil*
³³*Department of Chemistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece*

^{a)}Corresponding author: cappuzzello@lns.infn.it

Abstract. The NUMEN project aims at accessing experimentally driven information on Nuclear Matrix Elements (NME) involved in the half-life of the neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$), by high-accuracy measurements of the cross sections of Heavy Ion (HI) induced Double Charge Exchange (DCE) reactions. Particular interest is given to the ($^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne}$) and ($^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O}$) reactions as tools for $\beta^+\beta^+$ and $\beta^-\beta^-$ decays, respectively. First evidence about the possibility to get quantitative information about NME from experiments is found for both kind of reactions. In the experiments, performed at INFN - Laboratory Nazionali del Sud (LNS) in Catania, the beams are accelerated by the Superconducting Cyclotron (CS) and the reaction products are detected by the MAGNEX magnetic spectrometer. The measured cross sections are challengingly low, limiting the present exploration to few selected isotopes of interest in the context of typically low-yield experimental runs. A major upgrade of the LNS facility is foreseen in order to significantly increase the experimental yield, thus making feasible a systematic study of all the cases of interest. Frontier technologies are going to be developed, to this purpose, for the accelerator and the detection systems. In parallel, advanced theoretical models are developed aiming at extracting the nuclear structure information from the measured cross sections.

1. INTRODUCTION

The neutrinoless double beta decay ($0\nu\beta\beta$) of atomic nuclei is a still unobserved but possible natural phenomenon which is attracting a deep interest in the physics community. The main reason is that besides establishing the Majorana nature of neutrinos, $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay has the potential to shed light on the absolute neutrino mass and hierarchy. In addition, this phenomenology could provide precious information to build more effective interpretations of key problems as the unification of the fundamental forces and the matter-antimatter balance in the Universe.

A critical aspect is that the $0\nu\beta\beta$ associated Nuclear Matrix Elements (NME) must be known with good accuracy, despite the intrinsic many-body nature of the involved states of the parent and daughter nuclei makes this task particularly hard. An updated comparison of the results of NME calculations, obtained within various nuclear structure frameworks [1-4], indicates that significant differences are indeed found, which makes the present situation not satisfactory. In addition, some assumption common to different competing approaches could cause overall systematic uncertainties.

The NUMEN project [5,6] proposes to use HI-DCE reactions as tools to access quantitative information, relevant for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay NME. These reactions are characterized by the transfer of two charge units, leaving the mass number unchanged, and can proceed either by a sequential multi-nucleon-transfer mechanism or by meson-exchange. Despite $0\nu\beta\beta$ decays and HI-DCE reactions are mediated by different interactions, they present a number of similarities. Among those, the key aspects are that initial and final nuclear states are the same and the transition operators in both cases present a superposition of short-range isospin (τ), spin-isospin ($\sigma\tau$) and rank-two tensor components with a sizeable available momentum (100 MeV/c or so). Experimentally, the main tools for this project are the high resolution Superconducting Cyclotron beams and the MAGNEX spectrometer. The latter is a large acceptance magnetic system able to provide high resolution in energy, mass and angle [7] and an accurate control of the detection efficiency. The implementation of trajectory reconstruction technique is the key feature of MAGNEX, which guarantees the above

mentioned performance and its relevance in the research for heavy-ion physics [8-10], also taking advantage of its coupling to the EDEN neutron detector array [11,12].

2. THE PHASES OF THE NUMEN PROJECT

NUMEN is conceived in a long-range time perspective, in the view of a comprehensive study of many candidate systems for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay. Moreover, the project promotes a renewal of the INFN-LNS research infrastructure fostering a specific R&D activity on detectors, materials and instrumentation. NUMEN is divided in four phases, each one delimited by a starting point and defined by the fulfilment of an intermediate goal, which is necessary for the development of the successive phase.

Phase 1: The Pilot Experiment

In 2013, the $^{40}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O},^{18}\text{Ne})^{40}\text{Ar}$ DCE reaction was successfully measured at the INFN-LNS laboratory together with the competing processes: $^{40}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O},^{18}\text{F})^{40}\text{K}$ Single Charge Exchange (SCE), $^{40}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O},^{20}\text{Ne})^{38}\text{Ar}$ two-proton (2p) transfer and $^{40}\text{Ca}(^{18}\text{O},^{16}\text{O})^{42}\text{Ca}$ two-neutron (2n) transfer [13]. This work showed for the first time high resolution and statistically significant DCE experimental cross sections in a wide range of transferred momenta around zero degree scattering angle. The measured cross-section angular distribution shows a regular oscillating pattern, remarkably described by an $l = 0$ Bessel function, indicating that a simple mechanism is dominant in the DCE reaction. This is confirmed by the observed suppression of the multi-nucleon transfer routes. DCE matrix elements were extracted under a schematic approach based on the hypothesis of a two-step charge exchange process. Despite the approximations used in our model, which determine an overall uncertainty of $\sim 50\%$, the obtained results are compatible with the values extracted from literature, suggesting that the main physics content has been kept.

Phase 2: From the Pilot Experiment Toward the "Hot" Cases

The results of Phase 1 give encouraging indications that in principle suitable information from DCE reactions can be extracted. The availability of the MAGNEX spectrometer for high resolution measurements of very suppressed reaction channels was essential for such a pioneering measurement. However, with the present set-up, it is difficult to suitably extend this research to the "hot" cases, where $\beta\beta$ -decay studies are nowadays concentrated. We consider that:

1. In the studied reaction, the Q-value was particularly favorable ($Q = -2.9$ MeV), while in the DCE reactions involving isotopes of interest for $0\nu\beta\beta$ the Q-values are more negative. This is expected to produce a sensible reduction of the cross-section in these cases, especially at very forward angles.

2. The isotopes of interest are heavier than ^{40}Ca , consequently the nucleus-nucleus potential in the initial and final state (ISI and FSI) are more absorptive with consequent further reduction of the cross section for direct reactions as DCE.

3. The DCE cross section is expected to be smaller at higher bombarding energies (at least in the energy range explored by NUMEN, i.e. 10 to 70 MeV/u) since both τ and $\sigma\tau$ components of the nucleon-nucleon effective potential show this trend. This aspect is particularly relevant considering that direct DCE cross section is sensitive to the 4th power of such potential strengths.

4. The $(^{18}\text{O},^{18}\text{Ne})$ reaction, investigated in the pilot experiment, could be particularly advantageous, due to the large value of both the $B[\text{GT}; ^{18}\text{O}_{\text{gs}}(0^+) \rightarrow ^{18}\text{F}_{\text{gs}}(1^+)]$ and $B[\text{GT}; ^{18}\text{F}_{\text{gs}}(1^+) \rightarrow ^{18}\text{Ne}_{\text{gs}}(0^+)]$ Gamow-Teller strengths and to their concentration in the $^{18}\text{F}(1^+)$ ground state. However, this reaction is of $\beta^+\beta^+$ kind, while most of the research on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay is on the $\beta^-\beta^-$ side. None of the reactions of $\beta^-\beta^-$ kind looks like as favorable as the $(^{18}\text{O},^{18}\text{Ne})$. For example, the $(^{18}\text{Ne},^{18}\text{O})$ requires a radioactive beam, which cannot be available with enough intensity. NUMEN proposes the $(^{20}\text{Ne},^{20}\text{O})$ reaction, which has smaller B(GT), so a reduction of the yield could be foreseen for these reactions.

5. In some cases, e.g. ^{136}Xe or ^{130}Xe , gas or implanted target is necessary, which are normally much thinner than solid state films obtained by evaporation or rolling techniques, with a consequent reduction of the collected yield.

6. The achieved energy resolution (typically about half MeV) is not always enough to separate the ground from the excited states in the final nucleus. In these cases, the coincident detection of γ -rays from the de-excitation of the populated states is necessary, but at the price of reducing the yield.

All of these considerations suggest that the DCE experiments should be performed with in a very efficient way. In particular, for a systematic study of the many "hot" cases of $\beta\beta$ -decays, an upgraded set-up, able to work with a two or three orders of magnitude higher current than the present, is necessary. As a consequence, the present limit of beam power (~ 100 W) for the CS accelerator and acceptable rate for the MAGNEX focal plane detector (few kHz) must be sensibly revised. This goal can be achieved by a substantial change in the technologies implemented in the beam extraction, in the detection of the ejectiles and in the target cooling. For the accelerator the change of the beam extraction technology from electrostatic detector to a stripper foil is a promising choice [14]. For the MAGNEX spectrometer the main foreseen upgrades are:

- i. The substitution of the present focal plane detector (FPD) gas tracker, based on multiplication wire technology with a tracking device based on a micro patterned gas detector [15,16];
- ii. The substitution of the wall of silicon pad stopping detectors with telescopes of SiC-CsI detectors [17,18] or similar [19];
- iii. The introduction of an array of scintillators for measuring the coincident γ -rays [20];
- iv. The development of suitable front-end and read-out electronics, capable to guarantee a fast read-out of the detector signals, still preserving a high signal to noise ratio and opposing enough hardness to radiation [21,22];
- v. The implementation of a suitable architecture for data acquisition, storage and handling, including accurate detector response simulations;
- vi. The enhancement of the maximum accepted magnetic rigidity, preserving the geometry and uniformity of the magnetic field [23-26] in order to maintain the high-precision of the present trajectory reconstruction;
- vii. The installation of a beam dump to stop the high power beams, keeping the generated radioactivity under control.

In addition, we are developing the technology for suitable nuclear targets to be used in the experiments. Here the challenge is to produce and cool isotopically enriched thin and uniform films able to resist to the high power (tens of W) dissipated by the interaction of the intense beams with the target material [27-29].

During the NUMEN Phase 2, the R&D activity necessary for the above mentioned upgrades is being performed still guaranteeing the access to the present facility. In the meanwhile, experimental campaigns with integrated charge of tens of mC (about one order of magnitude larger than that collected in the pilot experiment) are being set. These require several weeks of data taking for each reaction, since thin targets (a few 10^{18} atoms/cm²) are mandatory in order to achieve enough energy and angular resolution in the measured energy spectra and differential cross section angular distributions. The attention is presently focused on a few favorable candidates for $\beta\beta$ -decay, with the goal to obtain conclusive results for them. In addition, during Phase 2 a deeper understanding of the main features which limit the experimental sensitivity, resolution and systematic errors is being pursued. In this framework, we study the ($^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne}$) reaction as a probe for the $\beta^+\beta^+$ transitions and the ($^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O}$), or alternatively ($^{12}\text{C}, ^{12}\text{Be}$), for the $\beta^-\beta^-$, with the aim to explore the DCE mechanism in both directions. Since NMEs are time invariant quantities, they are common to a DCE and to its inverse, so the contextual measurements of $\beta^+\beta^+$ and $\beta^-\beta^-$ like reactions represent a useful test bench of the procedure to extract NME from measured DCE cross section.

The choice of the target isotopes for NUMEN Phase 2 is a result of a compromise between the general interest manifested by the scientific community to specific isotopes and specific technical issues. In particular, the possibility to separate g.s. to g.s. transition in the DCE measured energy spectra and the availability of thin uniform targets of isotopically enriched material was considered. We started by selecting two systems, the ^{116}Cd - ^{116}Sn and ^{76}Ge - ^{76}Se pairs. For these nuclei the ground states are resolved from excited states by MAGNEX (being respectively 562 keV for ^{76}Ge , 559 keV for the ^{76}Se , 1.29 MeV for ^{116}Sn and 513 keV for ^{116}Cd) for both ($^{18}\text{O}, ^{18}\text{Ne}$) and ($^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O}$) reactions [30]. In addition, the production technologies of the thin targets are already available at INFN-LNS. We are also exploring the $^{130}\text{Te}(^{20}\text{Ne}, ^{20}\text{O})^{130}\text{Xe}$ reaction. For each system, the complete net of reactions involving the multi-step transfer processes, characterized by the same initial and final nuclei are studied under the same experimental conditions.

During the Phase 2 the data reduction strategy is being optimized and the relevant theoretical aspects deepened [31,32]. In particular, NUMEN is fostering the development of a specific theory program to allow an accurate extraction of nuclear structure information from the measured cross sections. Relying on the use of state-of-the-art approaches for the reaction modeling, the theory is focused on the development of microscopic models for DCE reactions, employing several approximation schemes (QRPA, shell model, IBM) for inputs connected to nuclear structure quantities. We are also investigating the possible link between the theoretical description of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay and DCE reactions.

The experimental activity of NUMEN Phase 2 and the analysis of the collected results is the main aspect of the NURE project [33] recently awarded by the European Research Council. The synergy between the two projects is an added value which significantly enhance the discovery potential already in NUMEN Phase 2.

Phase 3: The Facility Upgrade

Once all the building blocks for the upgrade of the whole facility will be ready at the INFN-LNS, the NUMEN Phase 3, consisting in the disassembling of the old set-up and reassembling a new will start. An estimate of about 18-24 months is evaluated, starting from the late 2020. During this period, the data analysis of the NUMEN Phase 2 experiments will continue. In addition, tests of the new detectors and selected experiments will be performed with Tandem beams at INFN-LNS as well as in other laboratories in order to provide possible pieces of still missing information.

Phase 4: The Experimental Campaign with Upgraded Facility

The NUMEN Phase 4 will consist of a series of experimental campaigns at high beam intensities (some particle- μA) and integrated charge of hundreds of mC up to C, for the experiments in which γ -rays coincidence measurements is required, spanning all the variety of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay candidate isotopes, like: ^{48}Ca , ^{76}Ge , ^{76}Se , ^{82}Se , ^{96}Zr , ^{100}Mo , ^{106}Cd , ^{110}Pd , ^{116}Cd , ^{110}Sn , ^{124}Sn , ^{128}Te , ^{130}Te , ^{136}Xe , ^{130}Xe , ^{148}Nd , ^{150}Nd , ^{154}Sm , ^{160}Gd , ^{198}Pt . Based on the know-how gained during the experimental activity of Phase 2, the Phase 4 will be devoted to determine the absolute DCE cross sections and their uncertainties.

Hopefully, the use of improved theoretical analyses will give access to the challenging NMEs $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay that is the most ambitious goal of NUMEN.

REFERENCES

1. J. D. Vergados et al., [Reports on Progress in Physics](#) **75**, 106301 (2012).
2. P. Vogel, [Jour. of Phys. G](#), **39**, 124002 (2012).
3. J. Barea, J. Kotila, F. Iachello, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](#) **109**, 042501 (2012).
4. S. Dell'Oro et al., [Advances in High Energy Physics](#) **2016**, 216259 (2016).
5. F. Cappuzzello et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](#) **54**, 72 (2018).
6. C. Agodi et al., [Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.](#) **265**, 28 (2015).
7. F. Cappuzzello et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](#) **52**, 167 (2015).
8. F. Cappuzzello et al., [Nature Communications](#) **6**, 6743 (2015).
9. D. Carbone et al., [Phys. Rev. C](#) **95**, 034603 (2017).
10. J. R. B. Oliveira et al., [J. Phys. G](#) **40**, 105101 (2013).
11. M. Cavallaro et al., [Phys. Rev. C](#) **93**, 064323 (2016).
12. M. Cavallaro et al., [Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A](#) **700**, 65 (2013).
13. F. Cappuzzello et al., [Eur. Phys. J. A](#) **51**, 145 (2015).
14. L. Calabretta et al., [Modern Physics Letters A](#) **32**, 17 (2017).
15. M. Cortesi et al., [Review of Scientific Instruments](#) **88**, 013303 (2017).
16. D. Lo Presti et al., [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](#) **18**, 012034 (2018).
17. S. Tudisco et al., [Sensors](#) **18**, 2289 (2018).
18. A. Muoio et al., [EPJ Web of Conferences](#) **117**, 10006 (2016).
19. D. Carbone et al., [Results in Physics](#) **6**, 863-865 (2016).
20. J. R. B. Oliveira et al., [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](#) **18**, 012040 (2018).
21. G. De Geronimo et al., [IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science](#) **60**, 2314-2321 (2013).
22. D. Bonanno et al., [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](#) **18**, 012006 (2018).
23. A. Lazzaro et al., [Nucl. Instr. and Methods A](#) **591**, 394 (2008).
24. A. Lazzaro et al., [Nucl. Instr. and Methods A](#) **585**, 136 (2008).
25. A. Lazzaro et al., [Nucl. Instr. and Methods A](#) **570**, 192 (2007).
26. A. Lazzaro et al., [Nucl. Instr. and Methods A](#) **602**, 494 (2009).
27. F. Pinna et al., [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](#) **1056**, 012046 (2018).
28. F. Iazzi et al., [WIT Transactions on Engineering Science](#) **116**, 206 (2017).

29. V. Capirossi et al. [Nucl. Instr. and Methods A](#) (2018), in press. doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2018.08.081
30. S. Calabrese et al., [Acta Phys. Pol. B](#) **49**, 275 (2018).
31. H. Lenske et al., [Phys. Rev. C](#) **98**, 044620 (2018).
32. E. Santopinto et al., [Phys. Rev. C](#) **98**, 061601(R) (2018).
33. J. Bellone et al., [J. Phys. Conf. Ser.](#) **1056**, 012004 (2018).
34. M. Cavallaro, [Proceedings of Science](#) **302**, 15 (2017).