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Abstract

In this paper, the static and free vibration analysis of doubly-curved laminated shells is performed by radial basis functions collocation. The Reissner-Mixed Variational Theorem (RMVT) via a Unified Formulation by Carrera is applied in order to obtain the equations of motion and the natural boundary conditions. The present theory accounts for through-the-thickness deformation, and directly computes displacements and transverse stresses in each interface of the laminate.

1 Introduction

Examples of multilayered shell structures used in modern industrial applications are laminated constructions, or sandwich panels.

Exhaustive overviews on classical and refined models for the analysis of multilayered structures have been reported in many published review articles. These include the papers by Grigolyuk and Kulikov [1], Kapania and Raciti [2], Kapania [3], Noor et al. [4–6], Correia et al. [8], Neves et al. [9] and Soldatos and Timarci [7]. Among the refined theories a convenient distinction can be made between models in which the number of the unknown variables is independent or dependent on the number of the constitutive layers of the shell. Following Reddy [10], we assign the name ESLM (Equivalent Single Layer Models) to the first grouping while LWM (Layer Wise Model) is used to denote the
Early [11–14] and more recent [15–20] LWMs have shown the superiority of layer-wise approaches over ESL approaches to predict accurately static and dynamic response of thick and very thick structures. On the other hand, LWMs are computationally expensive and the use of ESLMs is preferred in most practical applications.

In the most general cases, the finite element method is used for the analysis of shell structures and some reviews on finite element shell formulations can be found in the work by Dennis and Palazotto [21], Merk [22], and Di and Ramm [23]. In this paper, however, we use collocation with radial basis functions, with the so-called unsymmetrical Kansa method [24]. The use of radial basis function for the analysis of structures and materials has been previously studied by numerous authors [25–39]. The authors have recently applied the RBF collocation to the static deformations of composite beams and plates [40–42].

In this paper, we propose to use the Unified Formulation (UF) by Carrera [43] to derive the equations of motion and boundary conditions to analyze laminated shells, according to a layerwise-based shear deformation theory that accounts for through-the-thickness deformations. The UF is a compact formulation that permits to analyze the bi-dimensional structures irrespective of the shear deformation theory being considered and it has been applied in several finite element analysis, either using the Principle of Virtual Displacements, or by using the Reissner’s Mixed Variational Theorem (RMVT) [44–47] (which is adopted in this paper). The Unified Formulation (here referred as CUF-Carrera’s Unified Formulation) may consider both equivalent single layer theories (ESL), or layerwise theories (LW), using the Principle of Virtual Displacements (PVD). However, a more interesting (at a higher computational cost) approach is to use the layerwise formulation with the Reissner’s Variational Mixed Theorem (RMVT). The RMVT considers two independent fields for displacement and transverse stress variables. As a result, a priori interlaminar continuous transverse shear and normal stress fields can be achieved, which is quite important for sandwich-like structures. Details on the RMVT can be found in Carrera [48,50].

The analysis of laminated shells with RMVT has been implemented successfully with finite elements, but never with collocation with radial basis functions. Therefore, this paper serves to fill the gap of knowledge in this research area.

2 Unified Formulation for the Layerwise theory

In this section, it is shown how the Carrera’s Unified formulation can be used to obtain the fundamental nuclei, which allows the derivation of the
equations of motion and boundary conditions, in strong form for the present RBF collocation.

In the case of Layer Wise (LW) models, each layer \( k \) of the given multilayered structure is separately considered. According to the CUF, the three displacement components \( u, v \) and \( w \), along the curvilinear coordinates \( \alpha, \beta \) and \( z \), and their virtual variations can be modelled as:

\[
(u^k, v^k, w^k) = F^k_{\tau} (u^k_{\tau}, v^k_{\tau}, w^k_{\tau}) \quad (\delta u^k, \delta v^k, \delta w^k) = F^k_s (\delta u^k_s, \delta v^k_s, \delta w^k_s) \tag{1}
\]

where \( F_{\tau} \) and \( F_s \) are the so-called thickness functions. \( \tau \) and \( s \) are summation indexes and \( k \) indicates the layer of the multilayered structure. In the present layer-wise formulation, we choose:

\[
F^k_{\tau} = F^k_s = [F_b^k \ F_t^k] = \left[ \frac{1 - 2/h_k \left( z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right)}{2} \quad \frac{1 + 2/h_k \left( z - \frac{1}{2} (z_k + z_{k+1}) \right)}{2} \right]
\]

Therefore, \( \tau = s = b, t \) and the polynomial order of the expansion is \( N = 1 \). Note that \( z_k, z_{k+1} \) correspond to the bottom and top \( z \)-coordinates for each layer \( k \).

In a similar way, the transverse shear/normal stresses \( \sigma_n = (\sigma_{\alpha z}, \sigma_{\beta z}, \sigma_{zz}) \) can also be modelled as

\[
\sigma_n^k = F_b \sigma_{nt}^k + F_t \sigma_{nb}^k = F_{\tau} \sigma_{\tau}^k \tag{2}
\]

The same expansion is used for the virtual variation of transverse stresses by considering the index \( s \). We then obtain all terms of the equations of motion by integrating through the thickness direction.

\[2.1 \quad \text{Doubly-curved shells}\]

Shells are bi-dimensional structures in which one dimension (in general the thickness in \( z \) direction) is negligible with respect to the other two in-plane dimensions. The geometry and the reference system of a doubly-curved shell are indicated in the Figures 1 and 2. Considering this geometry, the square of an infinitesimal linear segment in the layer \( ds_k \), the associated infinitesimal
area $d\Omega_k$ and volume $dV_k$ are given by:

$$ds_k^2 = H_{\alpha}^{k2} d\alpha^2 + H_{\beta}^{k2} d\beta^2 + H_z^{k2} dz^2,$$

$$d\Omega_k = H_{\alpha}^k H_{\beta}^k d\alpha d\beta,$$

$$dV_k = H_{\alpha}^k H_{\beta}^k H_z^k d\alpha d\beta dz,$$

where the metric coefficients are:

$$H_{\alpha}^k = A^k(1 + z/R_{\alpha}^k), \quad H_{\beta}^k = B^k(1 + z/R_{\beta}^k), \quad H_z^k = 1.$$  \hfill (4)

$R_{\alpha}^k$ and $R_{\beta}^k$ are the principal radii of curvature along the orthogonal curvilinear coordinates $\alpha$ and $\beta$, respectively. While, $A^k$ and $B^k$ are the Lamé parameters, $\Omega_k$ is the domain of the shell surface and $\Gamma_k$ is the boundary of $\Omega_k$. For more details about the description of the geometry in doubly-curved shells, the readers can refer to the work by Leissa [51]. In this work, the attention has been restricted to shells with constant radii of curvature (in particular, spherical shells) for which $A^k = B^k = 1$.

### 3 Strains and stresses

Strains and stresses are separated into in-plane and normal components, denoted respectively by the subscripts $p$ and $n$.

In doubly-curved shells with $A^k = B^k = 1$, the mechanical strains in the $k$th layer can be related to the displacement field $u^k = \{u^k, v^k, w^k\}$ via the geometrical relations presented in [52], that are:

$$\epsilon_{pG}^k = [\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^k, \epsilon_{\beta\beta}^k, \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^k]^T = (D_p^k + A_p^k) u^k, \quad \epsilon_{nG}^k = [\epsilon_{\alpha z}^k, \epsilon_{\beta z}^k, \epsilon_{z z}^k]^T = (D_n^{k\Omega} + D_{nz}^{k} - A_n^k) u^k$$  \hfill (5)
The explicit form of the introduced arrays is:

\[ D^k_p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} H_k^p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} H_k^p & 0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_k^p & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} H_k^p & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^k_{n\Omega} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{H_k^p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D^k_{nz} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{H_k^p} \frac{1}{H_k^n} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{H_k^p} \frac{1}{H_k^n} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

(6)

\[ A^k_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{H_k^p} \frac{1}{H_k^n} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^k_n = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{H_k^p} \frac{1}{H_k^n} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \]

(7)

where \( \partial \) indicates the partial derivation.

The stresses are expressed by means of the constitutive relations. For a classical model, they state:

\[ \sigma^k_p = C_{pp}^{k} \varepsilon^k_p + C_{pn}^{k} \varepsilon^k_n \]
\[ \sigma^k_n = C_{np}^{k} \varepsilon^k_p + C_{nn}^{k} \varepsilon^k_n \]

(8)

where the material matrices are:

\[ C_{pp}^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{16} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & C_{26} \\ C_{16} & C_{26} & C_{66} \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_{pn}^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{36} \end{bmatrix} \]

(9)

\[ C_{np}^{k} = C_{np}^{kT}, \quad C_{nn}^{k} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{44} & C_{45} & 0 \\ C_{45} & C_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix} \]

In the case of mixed models, the displacements \( \mathbf{u} \) and the transverse shear/normal stresses \( \sigma_n \) are both a priori variables. From the second equation of (8), one obtains:

\[ \varepsilon^k_n = -(C_{nn}^{k})^{-1} C_{np}^{k} \varepsilon^k_p + (C_{nn}^{k})^{-1} \sigma^k_n \]

(10)

After substitution into the first equation of (8), the constitutive equations are rewritten as follows:

\[ \sigma^k_p = \tilde{C}_{pp}^k(z) \varepsilon^k_p + \tilde{C}_{pn}^k(z) \sigma^k_n \]
\[ \varepsilon^k_n = \tilde{C}_{np}^k(z) \varepsilon^k_p + \tilde{C}_{nn}^k(z) \sigma^k_n \]

(11)
where the new coefficients are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{C}_{pp}^k &= C_{pp}^k - C_{pn}^k C_{nn}^{k-1} C_{np}^k \\
\tilde{C}_{np}^k &= -C_{nn}^{k-1} C_{np}^k \\
\tilde{C}_{pn}^k &= C_{pn}^k C_{nn}^{k-1} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

For further details about the explicit expression of the material constants \(C_{ij}\), one can refer to [19] and [20].

4 Governing equations by RMVT

In the case of doubly-curved shell geometry, the Reissner’s Mixed Variational Theorem takes into account the metric coefficients \(H_\alpha\) and \(H_\beta\), given in equation (4):

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \int \int \left\{ \delta \epsilon_{pG}^k T \sigma_{pC}^k + \delta \epsilon_{nG}^k T \sigma_{nM}^k + \delta \sigma_{nM}^k T (\epsilon_{nG}^k - \epsilon_{nC}^k) \right\} H_\alpha H_\beta d\Omega_k dz = \sum_{k=1}^{N_l} \delta L_e^k
\]

(13)

where \(N_l\) is the number of layers, \(A_k\) is the integration domain along the thickness and \(L_e^k\) is the work done by the external loads. The meaning of the subscripts is: \(M =\) modelled a-priori, \(G =\) derived from geometrical relations and \(C =\) obtained via the constitutive equations. Substituting the geometrical relations for the shell (5), the constitutive equations (11) and the CUF for both the displacement components (1) and the transverse stresses (2), and then performing the integration by parts, the governing equations in the case of RMVT are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta u_s^{kT} : K_{uu}^{krs} u_r^k + K_{u\sigma}^{krs} \sigma_n^k &= P_{ur}^k \\
\delta \sigma_{ns}^{kT} : K_{\sigma u}^{krs} u_r^k + K_{\sigma \sigma}^{krs} \sigma_n^k &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

(14)

with the following boundary conditions:

\[
\Pi_u^{krs} u_r^k + \Pi_{\sigma}^{krs} \sigma_n^k = \Pi_u^{krs} \bar{u}_r^k + \Pi_{\sigma}^{krs} \bar{\sigma}_n^k
\]

(15)

The arrays introduced (the so-called fundamental nuclei) are described in detail in the following section.
4.1 Fundamental nuclei

The following integrals are introduced to perform the explicit form of fundamental nuclei:

\[
\begin{align*}
(J_{\tau s}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}) &= \int_{A_k} F_s F_\tau (1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, H_\alpha, H_\beta) \, dz \\
(J_{\tau s}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}) &= \int_{A_k} \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z} F_\tau (1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, H_\alpha, H_\beta) \, dz \\
(J_{\tau s}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}) &= \int_{A_k} \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z} F_\tau (1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, H_\alpha, H_\beta) \, dz \\
(J_{\tau s}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}, J_{\alpha}^{k}, J_{\beta}^{k}) &= \int_{A_k} \frac{\partial F_s}{\partial z} F_\tau (1, H_\alpha, H_\beta, H_\alpha, H_\beta) \, dz \\
\end{align*}
\]

The expression of fundamental nuclei for the left-hand side is expressed as:

\[
K^{krs} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{uu}^{krs} & K_{u\sigma}^{krs} \\ K_{\sigma u}^{krs} & K_{\sigma\sigma}^{krs} \end{bmatrix}
\]

where

\[
K_{uu}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ -D_p + A_p \right]^T \tilde{C}_p \left[ D_p + A_p \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]

\[
K_{u\sigma}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ -D_p + A_p \right]^T \tilde{C}_p + \left[ -D_n \Omega + D_{nz} - A_n \right]^T \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]

\[
K_{\sigma u}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ D_n \Omega + D_{nz} - A_n \right] - \tilde{C}_n \left[ D_p + A_p \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]

\[
K_{\sigma\sigma}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ -\tilde{C}_n \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]

and the nuclei for the boundary conditions are:

\[
\Pi_{u}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ I_p^T \tilde{C}_p \left[ D_p + A_p \right] \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]

\[
\Pi_{\sigma}^{krs} = \int_{A_k} \left[ I_p^T \tilde{C}_p + I_n^T \right] F_s F_\tau H_\alpha H_\beta \, dz ,
\]
Using the notation given in equations (16), the nuclei components $K_{uu}^{k\tau s}$ in explicit form are given as:

$$
K_{uu}^{k\tau s} = 

\begin{bmatrix}
K_{uu11}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu12}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu13}^{k\tau s} \\
K_{uu21}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu22}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu23}^{k\tau s} \\
K_{uu31}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu32}^{k\tau s} & K_{uu33}^{k\tau s}
\end{bmatrix}

(24)

where

$$
K_{uu11}^{k\tau s} = - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{11}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_k^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + \\
\partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_k^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(25)

$$

$$
K_{uu12}^{k\tau s} = - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{12}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{26}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \\
\partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{12}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_k^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(26)

$$

$$
K_{uu13}^{k\tau s} = \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{26}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \\
\frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{11}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{12}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(27)

$$

$$
K_{uu21}^{k\tau s} = - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{12}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{26}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \\
\partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{12}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_k^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(28)

$$

$$
K_{uu22}^{k\tau s} = - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{22}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{26}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \\
\partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{22}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\alpha^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\alpha^s C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \partial_\beta^\tau \partial_\beta^s C_k^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(29)

$$

$$
K_{uu23}^{k\tau s} = \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{16}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{26}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} - \\
\frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{16}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{26}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\alpha} \partial_\alpha^\tau C_{13}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\beta/\alpha}^{k\tau s} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} \partial_\beta^\tau C_{23}^{k\tau s} C_{36}^{k\tau s} j_{\alpha/\beta}^{k\tau s}

(30)
\[ K^{k\tau s}_{u\alpha 1} = \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} - \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \alpha/\beta + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \beta/\alpha \]

(31)

\[ K^{k\tau s}_{u\alpha 2} = \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} - \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \alpha/\beta + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \beta/\alpha \]

(32)

\[ K^{k\tau s}_{u\alpha 3} = \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} - \frac{1}{R^k_\alpha} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \alpha/\beta + \frac{1}{R^k_\beta} \partial^\mu C^{k}_\mu C^{k}_{\tau s} \beta/\alpha \]

(33)

\[ K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 11} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 12} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 13} \\ K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 21} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 22} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 23} \\ K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 31} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 32} & K^{k\tau s}_{u\sigma 33} \end{bmatrix} = \]

(34)

\[ K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u} = \begin{bmatrix} K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 11} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 12} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 13} \\ K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 21} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 22} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 23} \\ K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 31} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 32} & K^{k\tau s}_{\sigma u 33} \end{bmatrix} = \]

(35)
The natural boundary conditions can be applied by computing firstly the matrix

$$K_{krs}^{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\sigma_{11}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{12}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{13}}^{krs} \\ K_{\sigma_{21}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{22}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{23}}^{krs} \\ K_{\sigma_{31}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{32}}^{krs} & K_{\sigma_{33}}^{krs} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{k_{45}}^{k} & J_{k_{13}}^{krs} \\ -C_{k_{45}}^{k} & -C_{k_{45}}^{k} J_{k_{13}}^{krs} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi^{krs}_{u11} & \Pi^{krs}_{u12} & \Pi^{krs}_{u13} \\ \Pi^{krs}_{u21} & \Pi^{krs}_{u22} & \Pi^{krs}_{u23} \\ \Pi^{krs}_{u31} & \Pi^{krs}_{u32} & \Pi^{krs}_{u33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u11} = n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{11}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u12} = n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{12}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u13} = \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u21} = n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{12}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u22} = n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{12}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u23} = \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u31} = n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{12}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u32} = n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{12}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\alpha \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - n_\beta \partial_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$

$$\Pi^{krs}_{u33} = \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{16}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} + \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{26}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\alpha} n_\beta C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}} - \frac{1}{R_\beta} n_\alpha C_{33}^{k} J_{k^{rs}}$$
\[ \Pi_{uu}^{k} = n_{\beta} \partial_{\beta}^{s} C_{22}^{k} J^{k} - n_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{s} C_{26}^{k} J^{k} + n_{\beta} \partial_{\alpha}^{s} C_{26}^{k} J^{k} - n_{\beta} \partial_{\beta}^{s} C_{23}^{k} J^{k} - n_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{s} C_{36}^{k} J^{k} + n_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}^{s} C_{66}^{k} J^{k} \]  

(42)

\[ \Pi_{uu}^{k} = \frac{1}{R_{k}} n_{\beta} C_{12}^{k} J^{k} + \frac{1}{R_{k}} n_{\alpha} C_{22}^{k} J^{k} - \frac{1}{R_{k}} n_{\beta} C_{13}^{k} C_{23}^{k} J^{k} - \frac{1}{R_{k}} n_{\alpha} C_{26}^{k} C_{33}^{k} J^{k} - \frac{1}{R_{k}} n_{\alpha} C_{36}^{k} C_{33}^{k} J^{k} \]  

(43)

In a similar way, we can impose boundary conditions in terms of the transverse stresses as

\[ \Pi_{\sigma_{11}}^{k} = 0; \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{12}}^{k} = 0; \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{13}}^{k} = n_{\alpha} C_{13}^{k} J^{k} + n_{\beta} C_{36}^{k} J^{k} \]  

(44)

\[ \Pi_{\sigma_{21}}^{k} = 0; \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{22}}^{k} = 0; \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{23}}^{k} = n_{\beta} C_{23}^{k} J^{k} + n_{\alpha} C_{36}^{k} J^{k} \]  

(45)

\[ \Pi_{\sigma_{31}}^{k} = n_{\alpha} J^{k}, \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{32}}^{k} = n_{\beta} J^{k}, \quad \Pi_{\sigma_{33}}^{k} = 0 \]  

(46)

The dynamic problem is expressed as:

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int \int \frac{1}{\Omega} \{ \delta \epsilon_{pG}^{k} T \sigma_{pC}^{k} + \delta \epsilon_{nG}^{k} T \sigma_{nM}^{k} + \delta \sigma_{nM}^{k} T (\epsilon_{nG}^{k} - \epsilon_{nC}^{k}) \} H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} d\Omega_{k} dz = \]  

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \int \int \rho^{k} \delta u^{kT} \dot{u}^{k} H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} d\Omega_{k} dz + \sum_{k=1}^{N_{l}} \delta I_{e}^{k} \]  

(48)

where \( \rho^{k} \) is the mass density of the \( k \)-th layer and double dots denote acceleration.

By substituting the geometrical relations, the constitutive equations and the
Unified Formulation, we obtain the following governing equations:

\[ \delta u^T_k : K_{uu}^{krs} u^k_r = M^{krs} u^k_r + P^k_{ur} \quad (49) \]

In the case of free vibrations, the fundamental nucleus of the external work is \( P^k_{ur} = 0 \) and one has:

\[ \delta u^T_s : K_{uu}^{krs} u^k_r = M^{krs} u^k_r \quad (50) \]

where \( K_{uu}^{krs} = K_{uu}^{krs} - K_{u\sigma}^{krs}[K_{\sigma\sigma}^{krs}]^{-1} K_{\sigma u}^{krs} \) and it is obtained after a static condensation procedure. \( M^{krs} \) is the fundamental nucleus for the inertial term. The explicit form of that is:

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{11}^{krs} &= \rho^k F_r F_s; \\
M_{12}^{krs} &= 0; \\
M_{13}^{krs} &= 0 \\
M_{21}^{krs} &= 0; \\
M_{22}^{krs} &= \rho^k F_r F_s; \\
M_{23}^{krs} &= 0 \\
M_{31}^{krs} &= 0; \\
M_{32}^{krs} &= 0; \\
M_{33}^{krs} &= \rho^k F_r F_s
\end{align*}
\quad (51)-(53) \]

At this point, we would like to note that the same radial basis functions are used for the interpolation of all the unknowns, displacements and stresses alike.

5 The radial basis function method

5.1 The static problem

Radial basis functions (RBF) approximations are mesh-free numerical schemes that can exploit accurate representations of the boundary, are easy to implement and can be spectrally accurate. In this section the formulation of a global unsymmetrical collocation RBF-based method to compute elliptic operators is presented.

Consider a linear elliptic partial differential operator \( L \) and a bounded region \( \Omega \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with some boundary \( \partial \Omega \). In the static problems we seek the computation of displacements \( (u) \) from the global system of equations

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} u &= f \text{ in } \Omega \\
\mathcal{L}_B u &= g \text{ on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
\quad (54)-(55) \]
where $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L}_B$ are linear operators in the domain and on the boundary, respectively. The right-hand side of (54) and (55) represent the external forces applied on the plate or shell and the boundary conditions applied along the perimeter of the plate or shell, respectively. The PDE problem defined in (54) and (55) will be replaced by a finite problem, defined by an algebraic system of equations, after the radial basis expansions.

5.2 The eigenproblem

The eigenproblem looks for eigenvalues ($\lambda$) and eigenvectors ($\mathbf{u}$) that satisfy

\[ \mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} + \lambda \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \tag{56} \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_B \mathbf{u} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{57} \]

As in the static problem, the eigenproblem defined in (56) and (57) is replaced by a finite-dimensional eigenvalue problem, based on RBF approximations.

5.3 Radial basis functions approximations

The radial basis function ($\phi$) approximation of a function ($\mathbf{u}$) is given by

\[ \tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \phi(\|\mathbf{x} - y_i\|_2), \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{58} \]

where $y_i, i = 1, ..., N$ is a finite set of distinct points (centers) in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that, from this point on, we use $x, y, z$ to avoid confusion with other symbols. Actually, one has to consider that we mean $\alpha, \beta, z$ and all the variables expressed in the curvilinear reference system.

The most common RBFs are

- **Cubic**: $\phi(r) = r^3$
- **Thin plate splines**: $\phi(r) = r^2 \log(r)$
- **Wendland functions**: $\phi(r) = (1 - r)^p + p(r)$
- **Gaussian**: $\phi(r) = e^{-(cr)^2}$
- **Multiquadrics**: $\phi(r) = \sqrt{c^2 + r^2}$
- **Inverse Multiquadrics**: $\phi(r) = (c^2 + r^2)^{-1/2}$
where the Euclidian distance \( r \) is real and non-negative and \( c \) is a positive shape parameter.

Hardy [53] introduced multiquadrics in the analysis of scattered geographical data. In the 1990’s Kansa [24] used multiquadrics for the solution of partial differential equations. Considering \( N \) distinct interpolations, and knowing \( u(x_j), j = 1, 2, ..., N \), we find \( \alpha_i \) by the solution of a \( N \times N \) linear system

\[
A \alpha = u
\]  \hspace{1cm} (59)

where \( A = [\phi (\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N \times N} \), \( \alpha = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_N]^T \) and \( u = [u(x_1), u(x_2), ..., u(x_N)]^T \).

5.4 Solution of the static problem

The solution of a static problem by radial basis functions considers \( N_I \) nodes in the domain and \( N_B \) nodes on the boundary, with a total number of nodes \( N = N_I + N_B \). We denote the sampling points by \( x_i \in \Omega, i = 1, ..., N_I \) and \( x_i \in \partial \Omega, i = N_I + 1, ..., N \). At the points in the domain we solve the following system of equations

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_I \phi (\|x - y_i\|_2) = f(x_j), j = 1, 2, ..., N_I
\]  \hspace{1cm} (60)

or

\[
\mathcal{L}_I ^T \alpha = F
\]  \hspace{1cm} (61)

where

\[
\mathcal{L}_I ^T = [\mathcal{L}_I \phi (\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N_I \times N}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (62)

At the points on the boundary, we impose boundary conditions as

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_B \phi (\|x - y_i\|_2) = g(x_j), j = N_I + 1, ..., N
\]  \hspace{1cm} (63)

or

\[
B \alpha = G
\]  \hspace{1cm} (64)

where

\[
B = \mathcal{L}_B \phi [\|x_{N_I+1} - y_j\|_2]_{N_B \times N}
\]

Therefore, we can write a finite-dimensional static problem as

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{L}_I ^T \\
B
\end{bmatrix} \alpha =
\begin{bmatrix}
F \\
G
\end{bmatrix}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (65)
By inverting the system (65), we obtain the vector $\alpha$. We then obtain the solution $u$ using the interpolation equation (58).

5.5 Solution of the eigenproblem

We consider $N_I$ nodes in the interior of the domain and $N_B$ nodes on the boundary, with $N = N_I + N_B$. We denote interpolation points by $x_i \in \Omega$, $i = 1, ..., N_I$ and $x_i \in \partial \Omega$, $i = N_I + 1, ..., N$. At the points in the domain, we define the eigenproblem as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \mathcal{L}(\|x - y_i\|_2) = \lambda \tilde{u}(x_j), j = 1, 2, ..., N_I \tag{66}$$

or

$$\mathcal{L}^I \alpha = \lambda \tilde{u}^I \tag{67}$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}^I = [\mathcal{L}(\|x - y_i\|_2)]_{N_I \times N} \tag{68}$$

At the points on the boundary, we enforce the boundary conditions as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \mathcal{L}_B(\|x - y_i\|_2) = 0, j = N_I + 1, ..., N \tag{69}$$

or

$$B \alpha = 0 \tag{70}$$

Equations (67) and (70) can now be solved as a generalized eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{L}^I \\ B \end{bmatrix} \alpha = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} A^I \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha \tag{71}$$

where

$$A^I = \phi[(\|x_{N_I} - y_j\|_2)]_{N_I \times N}$$

5.6 Discretization of the equations of motion and boundary conditions

The radial basis collocation method follows a simple implementation procedure. Taking equation (13), we compute
\[ \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} L' \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F \\ B \\ G \end{bmatrix} \]  

(72)

This \( \alpha \) vector is then used to obtain solution \( \tilde{u} \), by using (7). If derivatives of \( \tilde{u} \) are needed, such derivatives are computed as

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x}
\]

(73)

\[
\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}}{\partial x^2} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \frac{\partial^2 \phi_j}{\partial x^2}, \text{ etc}
\]

(74)

In the present collocation approach, we need to impose essential and natural boundary conditions. Consider, for example, the condition \( w = 0 \), on a simply supported or clamped edge. We enforce the conditions by interpolating as

\[
w = 0 \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j^w \phi_j = 0
\]

(75)

Other boundary conditions are interpolated in a similar way.

5.7 Free vibrations problems

For free vibration problems we set the external force to zero, and assume harmonic solution in terms of displacements \( u_1, u_2, \cdots, v_1, v_2, \cdots \), as

\[
u_1 = U_1(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad u_2 = U_2(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad u_3 = U_3(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad u_4 = U_4(w, y)e^{i\omega t}
\]

(76)

\[
v_1 = V_1(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad v_2 = V_2(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad v_3 = V_3(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad v_4 = V_4(w, y)e^{i\omega t}
\]

(77)

\[
w_1 = W_1(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad w_2 = W_2(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad w_3 = W_3(w, y)e^{i\omega t}; \quad w_4 = W_4(w, y)e^{i\omega t}
\]

(78)

where \( \omega \) is the frequency of natural vibration. Substituting the harmonic expansion into equations (71) in terms of the displacements and transverse...
stresses, we may obtain the natural frequencies and vibration modes for the plate or shell problem, by solving the eigenproblem

$$[L - \omega^2 G]X = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (79)$$

where $L$ collects all stiffness terms and $G$ collects all terms related to the inertial terms. In (79) $X$ are the modes of vibration associated with the natural frequencies defined as $\omega$.

6 Computation of stresses

Taking into account the large number of degrees of freedom per node, the solution of the static problem is obtained after a static condensation procedure as follows. Consider the global system of equations (after imposing boundary conditions):

$$\begin{bmatrix}
K_{uu} & K_{u\sigma} \\
K_{\sigma u} & K_{\sigma\sigma}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
\sigma
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
f \\
0
\end{bmatrix}$$  \hspace{1cm} (80)$$

The problem is reduced to

$$K_{uu}^* u = f$$  \hspace{1cm} (81)$$

where $K_{uu}^* = K_{uu} - K_{u\sigma} [K_{\sigma\sigma}]^{-1} K_{\sigma u}$. After computation of the solution, transverse stresses are readily computed at each interface by

$$\sigma = [K_{\sigma\sigma}]^{-1} (-K_{\sigma u} u)$$  \hspace{1cm} (82)$$

7 Numerical examples

All numerical examples consider a Chebyshev grid and a Wendland function, defined as

$$\phi(r) = (1 - cr)^8 \left(32(c r)^3 + 25(c r)^2 + 8cr + 1\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (83)$$

where the shape parameter ($c$) was obtained by an optimization procedure, as detailed in Ferreira and Fasshauer [54].
A laminated composite spherical shell is here considered, of side $a$ and thickness $h$, composed of equal thickness layers oriented at $[0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]$ and $[0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]$. The shell is subjected to a sinusoidal vertical pressure of the form

$$p_z = P \sin \left( \frac{\pi x}{a} \right) \sin \left( \frac{\pi y}{a} \right)$$

with the origin of the coordinate system located at the lower left corner on the midplane and $P$ the maximum load (at center of shell).

The orthotropic material properties for each layer are given by

$$E_1 = 25.0E_2 \quad G_{12} = G_{13} = 0.5E_2 \quad G_{23} = 0.2E_2 \quad \nu_{12} = 0.25$$

The transverse displacements are presented in normalized form as $w = \frac{10^3 w(a/2, a/2, 0) h^3 E_2}{Pa^4}$.

The shell is simply-supported on all edges.

In table 1, an assessment of the present model is presented for the plate case ($R \to \infty$). We compare the deflections obtained with the RBF method with the LW analytical solution given in [45] and the results obtained with two different shell finite elements: MITC4 and MITC9. These elements are based on CUF and they are described in detail in [56] and [57], respectively. Various thickness ratios and laminations are considered. In all the cases, the table shows that the present method is in good agreement with the FEM solution.

In table 2 we compare the static deflections for the present shell model with results of Reddy shell formulation using first-order and third-order shear-deformation theories [55] and the LW analytical solution given in [45]. We consider nodal grids with $13 \times 13$, $17 \times 17$, and $21 \times 21$ points. We consider various values of $R/a$ and two values of $a/h$ (10 and 100). Results are in good agreement for various $a/h$ ratios with the higher-order results of Reddy and the LW analytical solution.

In figure 3 it is illustrated the evolution of the transverse shear stresses $\tau_{xz}$, for $a/h = 10$, laminate $[0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]$. As can be seen, the formulation does not produce zero top and bottom shear stresses, for two reasons. First, the formulation is not based on $C^1$ definition of transverse displacement, and second the load is not applied at the middle surface, but at the top surface. As can also be seen, because of the mixed formulation, and consideration of transverse stress variables at each interface, the transverse stresses are continuous at the laminate interfaces.
Table 1
Non-dimensional central deflection, $\bar{w} = \frac{w \cdot 10^3 E_2 h^3}{P_0 a^4}$ for different cross-ply laminated plates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$a/h = 10$</th>
<th>$a/h = 100$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0°/90°/0°]</td>
<td>LW [45]</td>
<td>7.4095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>7.3985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>7.3980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>7.3979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC4 (13 × 13)</td>
<td>7.2955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC4 (17 × 17)</td>
<td>7.3427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC4 (21 × 21)</td>
<td>7.3657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC9 (5 × 5)</td>
<td>7.4067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC9 (9 × 9)</td>
<td>7.4092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MITC9 (13 × 13)</td>
<td>7.4095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| [0°/90°/90°/0°] | LW [45] | 7.3148 | 4.3420 |
| | present (13 × 13) | 7.3551 | 4.3058 |
| | present (17 × 17) | 7.3547 | 4.3056 |
| | present (21 × 21) | 7.3545 | 4.3054 |
| | MITC4 (13 × 13) | 7.2011 | 4.2593 |
| | MITC4 (17 × 17) | 7.2482 | 4.2935 |
| | MITC4 (21 × 21) | 7.2711 | 4.3102 |
| | MITC9 (5 × 5) | 7.3120 | 4.3396 |
| | MITC9 (9 × 9) | 7.3145 | 4.3418 |
| | MITC9 (13 × 13) | 7.3147 | 4.3420 |

In figure 4 it is illustrated the evolution of the normal stresses $\sigma_{xx}$, for $a/h = 10$, laminate $[0°/90°/0°]$. In both figures, a Chebyshev $17 \times 17$ grid was considered.
Fig. 3. Evolution of the transverse shear stresses $\tau_{xz}$, for $a/h = 10$, laminate $[0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]$.

Fig. 4. Evolution of the normal stresses $\sigma_{xx}$, for $a/h = 10$, laminate $[0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]$.

7.2 Free vibration of spherical and cylindrical laminated shells

We consider nodal grids with $13 \times 13$, $17 \times 17$, and $21 \times 21$ points. In tables 3 and 4 we compare the nondimensionalized natural frequencies from the present layerwise theory for various cross-ply spherical shells, with analytical solutions
by Reddy and Liu [55] who considered both the first-order (FSDT) and the third-order (HSDT) theories. The first-order theory overpredicts the fundamental natural frequencies of symmetric thick shells and symmetric shallow thin shells. The present radial basis function method is compared with analytical results by Reddy [55] and shows excellent agreement.

Table 5 contains nondimensionalized natural frequencies obtained using the present layerwise theory for cross-ply cylindrical shells with lamination schemes [0/90/0], [0/90/90/0]. Present results are compared with analytical solutions by Reddy and Liu [55] who considered both the first-order (FSDT) and the third-order (HSDT) theories. The present radial basis function method is compared with analytical results by Reddy [55] and shows excellent agreement.

8 Concluding remarks

In this paper a Reissner Mixed Variational Theorem was implemented for the first time for laminated orthotropic elastic shells through a RBF discretization of equations of motion and boundary conditions. The radial basis function method with a Wendland function was presented for the solution of shell bending and free vibration problems. Results for static deformations and natural frequencies were obtained and compared with other sources. This meshless approach demonstrated that it is very successful in the static deformations and free vibration analysis of laminated composite shells. Advantages of radial basis functions are absence of mesh, ease of discretization of boundary conditions and equations of equilibrium or motion and very easy coding. We show that the static displacements and stresses and the natural frequencies obtained from present method are in excellent agreement with analytical or reference solutions.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$a/h$</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$R/a$</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>10$^9$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[0°/90°/0°]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>7.0506</td>
<td>7.2603</td>
<td>7.3286</td>
<td>7.3496</td>
<td>7.3532</td>
<td>7.3551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>7.0505</td>
<td>7.2599</td>
<td>7.3281</td>
<td>7.3492</td>
<td>7.3528</td>
<td>7.3547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>7.0503</td>
<td>7.2598</td>
<td>7.3280</td>
<td>7.3490</td>
<td>7.3526</td>
<td>7.3545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>1.0251</td>
<td>2.3920</td>
<td>3.5881</td>
<td>4.1702</td>
<td>4.2716</td>
<td>4.3058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>1.0255</td>
<td>2.3925</td>
<td>3.5882</td>
<td>4.1721</td>
<td>4.2714</td>
<td>4.3056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>1.0255</td>
<td>2.3925</td>
<td>3.5882</td>
<td>4.1721</td>
<td>4.2714</td>
<td>4.3056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0°/90°/90°/0°]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>7.0088</td>
<td>7.2603</td>
<td>7.3286</td>
<td>7.3496</td>
<td>7.3532</td>
<td>7.3551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>7.0086</td>
<td>7.2599</td>
<td>7.3281</td>
<td>7.3492</td>
<td>7.3528</td>
<td>7.3547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>7.0085</td>
<td>7.2598</td>
<td>7.3280</td>
<td>7.3490</td>
<td>7.3526</td>
<td>7.3545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>1.0251</td>
<td>2.3920</td>
<td>3.5881</td>
<td>4.1722</td>
<td>4.2716</td>
<td>4.3058</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>1.0255</td>
<td>2.3925</td>
<td>3.5882</td>
<td>4.1721</td>
<td>4.2714</td>
<td>4.3056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>1.0255</td>
<td>2.3925</td>
<td>3.5882</td>
<td>4.1721</td>
<td>4.2714</td>
<td>4.3056</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Non-dimensional central deflection, $\bar{w} = w \frac{10^5 E_h k^3}{P_{0a^4}}$ variation with various number of grid points per unit length, $N$ for different $R/a$ ratios, for $R_1 = R_2$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>( R/a )</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>10^9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>present ((13 \times 13))</td>
<td>11.8127</td>
<td>11.6433</td>
<td>11.6003</td>
<td>11.5882</td>
<td>11.5865</td>
<td>11.5859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present ((17 \times 17))</td>
<td>11.8123</td>
<td>11.6431</td>
<td>11.6001</td>
<td>11.5881</td>
<td>11.5863</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present ((21 \times 21))</td>
<td>11.8123</td>
<td>11.6431</td>
<td>11.6001</td>
<td>11.5881</td>
<td>11.5863</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [55]</td>
<td>12.040</td>
<td>11.840</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>11.780</td>
<td>11.780</td>
<td>11.780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>present ((13 \times 13))</td>
<td>31.1204</td>
<td>20.4266</td>
<td>16.6892</td>
<td>15.4798</td>
<td>15.2992</td>
<td>15.2385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present ((17 \times 17))</td>
<td>31.1128</td>
<td>20.4235</td>
<td>16.6881</td>
<td>15.4794</td>
<td>15.2988</td>
<td>15.2382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present ((21 \times 21))</td>
<td>31.1117</td>
<td>20.4231</td>
<td>16.6879</td>
<td>15.4793</td>
<td>15.2988</td>
<td>15.2382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [55]</td>
<td>31.100</td>
<td>20.380</td>
<td>16.630</td>
<td>15.420</td>
<td>15.230</td>
<td>15.170</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Nondimensionalized fundamental frequencies of cross-ply laminated spherical shells, \( \bar{\omega} = \omega \frac{a^2}{\pi} \sqrt{\rho/E_2} \), laminate \([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]\)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R/a</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>[0/90/0] a/h = 100</th>
<th>[0/90/0] a/h = 10</th>
<th>[0/90/90/0] a/h = 100</th>
<th>[0/90/90/0] a/h = 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>20.3473</td>
<td>11.5614</td>
<td>20.3824</td>
<td>11.6067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>20.3389</td>
<td>11.5611</td>
<td>20.3760</td>
<td>11.6064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>20.3377</td>
<td>11.5611</td>
<td>20.3751</td>
<td>11.6064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>16.6662</td>
<td>11.5499</td>
<td>16.6778</td>
<td>11.5911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>16.6634</td>
<td>11.5497</td>
<td>16.6756</td>
<td>11.5909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>16.6630</td>
<td>11.5497</td>
<td>16.6753</td>
<td>11.5909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>15.2551</td>
<td>11.5462</td>
<td>15.2536</td>
<td>11.5860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>15.2518</td>
<td>11.5460</td>
<td>15.2532</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>15.2517</td>
<td>11.5460</td>
<td>15.2532</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSDT [55]</td>
<td>15.198</td>
<td>12.163</td>
<td>15.199</td>
<td>12.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [55]</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td>11.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plate</td>
<td>present (13 × 13)</td>
<td>15.2371</td>
<td>11.5461</td>
<td>15.2385</td>
<td>11.5859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (17 × 17)</td>
<td>15.2368</td>
<td>11.5460</td>
<td>15.2382</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>present (21 × 21)</td>
<td>15.2368</td>
<td>11.5460</td>
<td>15.2382</td>
<td>11.5858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HSDT [55]</td>
<td>15.170</td>
<td>11.790</td>
<td>15.170</td>
<td>11.780</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
Nondimensionalized fundamental frequencies of cross-ply cylindrical shells, $\omega = \frac{\omega_a^2}{\pi} \sqrt{\rho/E_2}$