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Abstract

Generation of PM-16QAM Nyquist-WDM signals in the digital domain is analyzed, by optimizing DSP and DAC parameters at different channel spacings. We show that ArcSin operation performed in DSP for compensating MZM non-linearity gives limited OSNR advantages and increases DSP complexity, but may give up to 3 dB gain in terms of launch power.

Introduction

Roadmap for the evolution of optical communications is clearly addressed towards the extensive use of multilevel modulation formats based on polarization multiplexing (PM). The breakthrough technology enabling such an evolution is the fast digital signal processing (DSP) in coherent receivers\(^1\). At the transmitter side, signal constellations are formed properly driving a couple (one for each polarization) of I/Q modulators made of integrated nested Mach-Zehnder modulators (MZM). In order to push the fiber capacity up to the theoretical limits\(^6\) the use of Nyquist-WDM with channel spacing \(\Delta f\) close to the symbol-rate \(R\) is a promising solution\(^7\). Approaching such a configuration requires a transmitter (Tx) setup that properly forms the pulse shape and channel spectrum in order to limit the crosstalk among adjacent channels.

For low-cardinality modulation formats with information coded on orthogonal phases and polarizations only, such as PM-BPSK and PM-QPSK, the MZMs are always driven at the maximum transmissivity in order to maximize the power at the output of the modulators, and spectral shaping can be efficiently performed using optical filtering at the transmitter (Tx).

For higher-cardinality mixed amplitude-phase modulation formats, as for PM-16QAM, that are more sensitive to inter-channels cross-talk and thus require a tighter spectral shaping, an efficient solution is the use of fast DSP and digital-to-analog converter (DAC) in the Tx setup\(^8\). Since the MZMs are driven with multi-level signals, the sinusoidal electro-optical trans-characteristics of MZMs can alter the shape of the modulation constellation, significantly degrading system performance. On the other hand, this effect can be compensated for implementing an ArcSin operation in the Tx DSP algorithm and properly tuning the MZM modulation-depth (MD).

In this work, we propose an accurate analysis of the digital PM-16QAM Tx characteristics, optimizing both DSP and DAC parameters.

Fig. 1: Layout of considered transmitter for PM-16QAM (a), block-diagram of the DSP unit (b), analyzed model for DAC (c), MZM trans-characteristics with MD definition (d) and back-to-back setup used for optimization analyses (e).

Transmitter setup for PM-16QAM

The considered Tx structure is pictorially described in Fig. 1a. After the 8 binary data sources, 4-PAM signals with squared pulses
and equally-spaced levels are generated. Then, the DSP is included with the purpose to apply the Nyquist filter (NyFil) that properly shapes the spectrum and the pre-emphasis filter (PEFil) compensating for the DAC sample-and-hold (S/H) and low-pass filtering (LPF). After the DSP, signals are analog-converted to obtain voltages driving the I/Q modulators. One of the modulated signals is polarization rotated and then a polarization-beam combiner generates the field $E_{in}(t)$ with power $P_{in}$ launched in the link. Fig. 1b shows details of the DSP. It is supposed to operate with resolution and rate much higher than the DAC ones to avoid DSP implementation limits. The DSP algorithms implement the NyFil, the ArcSin operation and the PEFil. The NyFil gives a square-root raised-cosine ($\text{rcos}$) shape to the spectrum of the input squared pulses ($\text{sinc}$ spectra), hence the NyFil transfer function is:

$$H_{\text{NyFil}}(f) = \sqrt{\cos(\beta f)} \cdot \text{sinc}^{-1}\left(\pi \frac{f}{f_c}\right)$$

where $\beta$ is the $\text{rcos}$ roll-off. We choose $\beta=0.05$ to limit the length of FIR filters in Rx equalizer. The other DSP filter is the PEFil compensating for the DAC S/H (the inverse of $\text{sinc}$) and for bandwidth limitation. Its shape is:

$$H_{\text{PEFil}}(f) = H_{\text{LPF}}^{-1}(f) \cdot \text{sinc}^{-1}\left(\pi \frac{f}{f_{\text{dac}}}ight)$$

where $H_{\text{LPF}}(f)$ is the electrical transfer function of the DAC and $f_{\text{dac}}$ is the DAC rate in samples per second (SpS). Between the two filters, the DSP may include an ArcSin operation to compensate for the MZM sinusoidal trans-characteristics (see Fig. 1d). In case the ArcSin is ON, the DSP must implement separately the two filters, while, with ArcSin OFF, the DSP complexity can be limited implementing a single filter $H_{\text{DAC}}(f) = H_{\text{NyFil}}(f) \cdot H_{\text{PEFil}}(f)$. Therefore, in evaluating possible benefits of using ArcSin, drawbacks regarding DSP complexity must be taken into account. DSP includes also gains $G_1$ and $G_2$ setting ArcSin input range and controlling the DSP output range $\Delta V_{\text{out,DSP}}$, respectively.

Fig. 1c displays the model for the DAC. First, the signal at the DSP output is sub-sampled at the DAC working rate $R_{\text{DAC}}=2$ SpS$^4$. Different values (4,5,6,8) are considered for the DAC resolution in number of bits ($N_{\text{bit}}$). The quantization operation implies some amount of signal clipping. We define the clipping percentage defined:

$$\text{CP} = 100 \cdot \frac{\Delta V_{\text{out,DSP}} - \Delta V_{\text{DAC}}}{\Delta V_{\text{out,DSP}}}$$

where $\Delta V_{\text{out,DSP}}$ is the range of $V_{\text{out,DSP}}$ and $\Delta V_{\text{DAC}}$ is the voltage interval over which the DAC operates. After the digital-to-analog conversion, we emulate the DAC electrical bandwidth limitation with a Bessel-5 LPF with $BW_{\text{DAC}} = 16$ GHz. The DAC output driver $G_1$ adjusts signal levels in order to set the MD of the following nested MZMs.

Fig 1d describes the input/output trans-characteristics of each MZM composing the I/Q modulators. The MD is defined as shown in Fig. 1d insert. The considered model for MZMs includes also a finite extinction ratio (ER). In the described Tx structure, gain $G_1$ is chosen in order to avoid any signal clipping in the DSP, even when the ArcSin operation is ON. The gain $G_2$ at the output of the DSP is used to tune the DAC CP, while the DAC driver $G_3$ defines $\Delta V_{\text{out,DSP}}$, hence controls the MZM MD.

Note that, when DSP ArcSin is turned ON, a perfect compensation of MZM sinusoidal electro-optics trans-characteristics can be obtained only when $CP=0\%$, or when $CP>0\%$ in the absence of PEFil. In general, we change CP, and MD looking for the best system performance.

**Tx optimization analysis**

In order to optimize the DSP algorithm and DAC characteristics, we simulated the back-to-back setup displayed in Fig. 1e. We considered 5 Nyquist-WDM channels with Tx structures defined in the previous section. The system parameters were: $R_s=32$ Gbaud, $R_{\text{DAC}}=2$ SpS and $BW_{\text{DAC}}=16$ GHz$^4$, while the channel spacing $\Delta f$ was varied in the range [32;50] GHz. We optimized CP and MD with ArcSin ON/OFF, for $N_{\text{bit}}=4,5,6,8$.

ASE noise was added at the Rx input in order to set the OSNR value. The target BER was $10^{-3}$. We used a standard receiver based on 90° hybrids and balanced photodetectors with electric bandwidth $\frac{1}{2}R_s=16$ GHz and ideal local oscillator. The Rx DSP operated at 2 SpS and implemented a least mean squares (LMS) butterfly equalizer based on 51-tap FIR filters. Simulation results were obtained by error counting on $2^{16}$ symbols ($2^{18}$ bits).

The $\text{ER}$ was set to 20 dB. We varied the other parameters with the purpose to obtain the optimal CP for each $\Delta f$ and $N_{\text{bit}}$. Results vs. $\Delta f$ showed limited variation, therefore we were able to average on $\Delta f$ obtaining a unique optimal $\text{CP}$ for each $N_{\text{bit}}$ with ArcSin ON and OFF. Results are presented in Tab. 1. With the decreasing of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_{\text{bit}}$</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ArcSin OFF</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ArcSin ON</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1: Optimal CP (average on $\Delta f$) for different DAC resolutions with DSP ArcSin turned OFF/ON.
DAC resolution, the trade-off between quantization noise induces an enlargement of optimal CP. With the use of ArcSin, such a behavior is further emphasized because of MZM linearization. Moreover, for $N_{\text{bit}} \geq 6$ the optimal CP is stable because, as shown in the following, performances do not improve anymore.

The second step of Tx optimization analysis was aimed to derive the required OSNR@BER=10^{-3} vs. $\Delta f$ for all the considered DAC resolutions. For each $N_{\text{bit}}$, we set the CP to the previously obtained optimal values (see Tab. 1) and varied MD, for $\Delta f$ in [32:50] GHz. The results are plotted in Fig. 2a. First, it can be observed that for $N_{\text{bit}}=6$ improvements due to an increase in DAC resolution are almost negligible, and already $N_{\text{bit}}=5$ gives limited impairments. Second, the use of ArcSin in DSP gives almost negligible improvements to OSNR requirements that do not justify to afford the increasing in DSP complexity. Behaviors vs. $\Delta f$ show a limited penalty for $\Delta f \geq 1.1 \cdot R_s$, that can be assumed as lower limit in channel spacing. It is a large improvement with respect to the optically-shaped pulses using 4th-order Super-Gaussian optical filters, whose performances are plotted in Fig. 2a as a comparison. Penalties with smaller $\Delta f$ could be reduced decreasing the NyFil roll-off and increasing $N_{\text{tap}}$ of the Rx equalizer.

We performed the same investigations for different values of $ER$. We observed that behaviors of OSNR vs. $\Delta f$ are similar to the 20 dB ones for all $ER$ values, as well as the hierarchy between the considered scenarios (different $N_{\text{bit}}$ and ArcSin ON/OFF). We observed a penalty that is 0 dB for $ER=28$ dB, is about 1 dB for $ER=20$ dB and is 4 dB for $ER=12$ dB.

The last analysis we carried out was the evaluation of Tx power $P_{\text{tx}}$ at the output of the I/Q modulators with respect to the CW case: for each scenario we evaluated $\text{Loss}$ as the ratio of $P_{\text{tx}}/P_{\text{CW}}$, where $P_{\text{CW}}=P_{\text{tx}}$ without modulation. For each $\Delta f$, $N_{\text{bit}}$ and $ER$ it was possible to plot OSNR@BER=10^{-3} vs. $\text{Loss}$ at the Tx output for the case $\Delta f=33$ GHz and $N_{\text{bit}}=5$ and DSP ArcSin turned OFF/ON (b).

Fig. 2: Optimal OSNR@BER=10^{-3} vs. channel spacing ($\Delta f$) for different DAC resolutions and DSP ArcSin turned OFF/ON. Simulation results for optically shaped Nyquist WDM are plotted as a reference (a). OSNR@BER=10^{-3} vs. $\text{Loss}$ at the Tx output for the case $\Delta f=33$ GHz and $N_{\text{bit}}=5$ and DSP ArcSin turned OFF/ON (b).

Conclusions
We analyzed the Tx characteristics for Nyquist-WDM PM-16QAM based on DSP and DAC. We showed that with roll-off=0.05 in the shaping filter we can pack channels down to 1.1-$R_s$ with penalty below 0.5 dB. The requirement in the DAC resolution is $N_{\text{bit}}=5$ with a clipping percentage of 20%. The use of ArcSin in the DSP ensures OSNR advantages below 0.5 dB, but gives more than 2 dB of Tx power improvement.
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