POLITECNICO DI TORINO Repository ISTITUZIONALE

The bisymplectomorphism group of a bounded symmetric domain / DI SCALA, ANTONIO JOSE'; A., Loi; G., Roos. - In:

The bisymplectomorphism group of a bounded symmetric domain

TRANSFORMATION GROUPS ISSN 1083-4362 STAMPA 13:(2008), pp. 283-304. [10.1007/S00031-008-9015-z]			
Availability: This version is available at: 11583/1660778 since:			
This version is available at. 11303/1000770 since.			
Publisher: Springer			
Published DOI:10.1007/S00031-008-9015-z			
Terms of use:			
This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository			
Publisher copyright			
(Article begins on next page)			

21 February 2025

Original

THE BISYMPLECTOMORPHISM GROUP OF A BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAIN

ANTONIO J. DI SCALA, ANDREA LOI, AND GUY ROOS

ABSTRACT. We determine the group of diffeomorphisms of a bounded symmetric domain, which preserve simultaneously the hyperbolic and the flat symplectic form.

Post print (i.e. final draft post-refereeing) version of an article published on $Transformation\ Groups\ June\ 2008,\ Volume\ 13,\ Issue\ 2,\ pp\ 283-304\ DOI:\ 10.1007/S00031-008-9015-z$.

Beyond the journal formatting, please note that there could be minor changes from this document to the final published version.

The final published version is accessible from here:

http://rd.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00031-008-9015-z

This document has made accessible through PORTO, the Open Access Repository of Politecnico di Torino (http://porto.polito.it), in compliance with the Publisher's copyright policy as reported in the SHERPA-ROMEO website: http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/issn/1083-4362/

Contents

Introduction		1
1.	Hermitian positive Jordan triples	2
2.	Symplectic forms in polar coordinates	7
3.	Symplectic duality	10
4.	The bisymplectomorphism group	11
References		19

Introduction

Let Ω be an Hermitian bounded symmetric domain in a complex vector space V; we always assume that Ω is given in its circled realization. The domain Ω is endowed with two natural symplectic forms: the flat form ω_0 and the hyperbolic form ω_- . In a similar way, the ambient vector space V is also endowed with two natural symplectic forms: the Fubini-Study form ω_+ and the flat form ω_0 (see Section 1 for the definition of ω_- , ω_0 , ω_+). It has been shown in [1] that there exists a diffeomorphism $F:\Omega\to V$ such that

$$F^*\omega_0 = \omega_-, \quad F^*\omega_+ = \omega_0. \tag{0.1}$$

This map is the same that was used in [4], with the property

$$F^*\omega_+^n=\omega_0^n$$

Date: February 6, 2013.

Research partially supported by GNSAGA (INdAM) and MIUR of Italy.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53D05, 58F06; Secondary 32M15, 17C10.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Kähler metrics; bounded symmetric domains; symplectic duality; Jordan triple systems; Bergman operator.

 $(n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V)$, to show that the flat volume of a bounded symmetric domain, with some natural normalization, is equal to the degree of a canonical projective embedding of its compact dual. In the one-dimensional case, where $V = \mathbb{C}$ and Ω is the unit disc Δ , this map is simply $f : \Delta \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}}. (0.2)$$

Even in this case, it does not seem that the property (0.1) had been noticed before. In the general case, the map F may be defined by

$$F(z) = B(z, z)^{-1/4}z, (0.3)$$

where B(z,z) denotes the Bergman operator of the Jordan triple structure on V associated to Ω ; it may also be defined by functional calculus in Hermitian positive Jordan triples. In view of the property (0.1), the map F is called map of (bi)symplectic duality. The part $F^*\omega_0 = \omega_-$ tells that F is a realization of the isomorphism of Mc Duff [3] for the bounded symmetric domain Ω ; but the property (0.1), which involves two pairs of symplectic forms, is much stronger. In order to determine all diffeomorphisms $F: \Omega \to V$ verifying (0.1), we determine the group of bisymplectomorphims of Ω , that is, diffeomorphisms $\phi: \Omega \to \Omega$ such that

$$\phi^* \omega_0 = \omega_0, \quad \phi^* \omega_- = \omega_-. \tag{0.4}$$

This group is infinite-dimensional, but is the semi-direct product of the compact group K of linear automorphisms of Ω with an infinite-dimensional Abelian group of "radial circular diffeomorphisms" (Theorem 4); this is the main result of this article and may be considered as a kind of Schwarz lemma.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Section 1, we recall known facts about Jordan triple systems associated to bounded complex symmetric domains (see mainly [2]); the only result we could not find in the literature is Proposition 1, which describes the tangent space of the manifold of frames (the "Fürstenberg-Satake boundary" of Ω) in terms of Peirce decomposition in Jordan triples. In Section 2, we compute the symplectic forms ω_0 and ω_- using spectral decomposition in Jordan triples, which is the appropriate generalization of polar coordinates. From this, we derive in Section 3 a simple proof of the property (0.1), different from the proof given in [1]. Section 4 is devoted to the study and characterization of bisymplectomorphisms.

1. HERMITIAN POSITIVE JORDAN TRIPLES

Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain in a finite dimensional complex vector space V. We will always consider such a domain in its (unique up to linear isomorphism) circled realization. Consider the associated Jordan triple system $(V, \{\ ,\ ,\ \})$. For basic facts about Hermitian positive Jordan triples and their correspondence with complex symmetric domains, see [2], [4]. We recall hereunder those which will be used here.

1.1. **Definitions and notations.** Consider the operators on the Jordan triple V defined by

$$D(x,y)z = \{x, y, z\},$$
(1.1)

$$Q(x,z)y = \{x, y, z\},$$
(1.2)

$$Q(x,x) = 2Q(x), (1.3)$$

$$B(x,y) = id_V - D(x,y) + Q(x)Q(y).$$
(1.4)

The operators D(x,y) and B(x,y) are \mathbb{C} -linear, the operator Q(x) is \mathbb{C} -antilinear. The hermitian form

$$(u \mid v) = \operatorname{tr} D(u, v) \tag{1.5}$$

is a Hermitian scalar product on V; with respect to this product, D(x,x) and B(x,x) are self-adjoint.

For $z \in V$, the odd powers $z^{(2p+1)}$ of z in the Jordan triple system V are defined by

$$z^{(1)} = z,$$
 $z^{(2p+1)} = Q(z)z^{(2p-1)}.$ (1.6)

An element $e \in V$ is called *tripotent* if $e \neq 0$ and $e^{(3)} = e$. Two tripotents e_1, e_2 are called *(strongly) orthogonal* if $D(e_1, e_2) = 0$. A tripotent element is called *minimal*, or *primitive*, if it is not the sum of two orthogonal tripotents. A tripotent element e is called *maximal* if there is no tripotent orthogonal to e.

1.2. Spectral decomposition. Each element $z \in V$ has a unique spectral decomposition

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_s e_s \qquad (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_s > 0), \tag{1.7}$$

where (e_1,\ldots,e_s) is a sequence of pairwise orthogonal tripotents. The integer $s=\operatorname{rk} z$ is called the rank of z. Let V_z^+ be the $\mathbb R$ -subspace of V generated by the odd powers $z,\ldots,z^{(2p+1)},\ldots$ and $V_z=V_z^+\oplus \operatorname{i} V_z^+$ the $\mathbb C$ -subspace generated by the odd powers of z. Then $\operatorname{rk} z=\dim_{\mathbb R} V_z^+$ and (e_1,\ldots,e_s) is an $\mathbb R$ -basis of V_z^+ . The rank of V is $v=\operatorname{rk} V=\operatorname{max}\{\operatorname{rk} z\mid z\in V\}$; elements v=v such that $\operatorname{rk} v=v$ are called v=v is regular. If v=v is regular, with spectral decomposition

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_r e_r \qquad (\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0), \tag{1.8}$$

then (e_1, \ldots, e_r) is a *(Jordan) frame of V*, that is, a maximal sequence of pairwise orthogonal minimal tripotents.

1.3. **Peirce decompositions.** Let $e \in V$ be a tripotent. Then the eigenvalues of D(e, e) are contained in $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Define the *Peirce subspaces* of e as

$$V_i(e) = \{ z \in V \mid D(e, e)z = iz \} \qquad (i \in \{0, 1, 2\}). \tag{1.9}$$

The decomposition

$$V = V_0(e) \oplus V_1(e) \oplus V_2(e) \tag{1.10}$$

is called the *Peirce decomposition* of V w.r. to e. A tripotent e is maximal if $V_0(e) = 0$, minimal if $V_2(e) = \mathbb{C}e$. The Peirce subspaces compose according to the law

$$\{V_i(e), V_i(e), V_k(e)\} \subset V_{i-j+k}(e),$$
 (1.11)

where $V_m(e) = 0$ if $m \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$; in particular, Peirce subspaces are Jordan subsystems of V. The \mathbb{C} -antilinear operator Q(e) is 0 on $V_0(e) \oplus V_1(e)$; its restriction to $V_2(e)$ is involutive. Let

$$V_2^+(e) = \{ v \in V \mid D(e, e)v = 2v, \ Q(e)v = v \}. \tag{1.12}$$

Then the decomposition of V(e) into (real) eigenspaces of Q(e) is

$$V_2(e) = V_2^+(e) \oplus i V_2^+(e).$$
 (1.13)

Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_s)$ be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal tripotents. Then the operators $D(e_j, e_j)$, $1 \le j \le s$ commute and have the common eigenspaces

$$V_{jj}(\mathbf{e}) = V_{2}(e_{j}) \qquad (1 \le j \le s),$$

$$V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}) = V_{1}(e_{j}) \cap V_{1}(e_{k}) \qquad (1 \le j < k \le s),$$

$$V_{0j}(\mathbf{e}) = V_{1}(e_{j}) \cap \bigcap_{k \ne j} V_{0}(e_{k}) \qquad (1 \le j \le s),$$

$$(1.14)$$

$$V_{00}(\mathbf{e}) = \bigcap_{k} V_0(e_k)$$

(some of these subspaces may be 0). The decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{0 \le j \le k \le s} V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}) \tag{1.15}$$

is called the simultaneous Peirce decomposition of V w.r. to \mathbf{e} . If

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_s e_s$$
 $(\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}),$
 $e = e_1 + \dots + e_s$

and $v \in V_{jk}(\mathbf{e})$, then

$$D(z,z)v = \left(\left|\lambda_j\right|^2 + \left|\lambda_k\right|^2\right)v \tag{1.16}$$

$$Q(z)v = \lambda_j \lambda_k Q(e)v, \tag{1.17}$$

$$Q(z)Q(z) = |\lambda_j \lambda_k|^2 v, \qquad (1.18)$$

$$B(z,z)v = \left(1 - |\lambda_j|^2\right) \left(1 - |\lambda_k|^2\right) v, \tag{1.19}$$

$$B(z, -z)v = (1 + |\lambda_j|^2) (1 + |\lambda_k|^2) v,$$
(1.20)

where $\lambda_0 = 0$. So the $V_{jk}(\mathbf{e})$'s are eigenspaces for all the operators D(z, z), B(z, z), B(z, -z), $z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s e_s$.

1.4. Hermitian metrics and symplectic forms. Let V be a Hermitian positive Jordan triple and let Ω be the associated Hermitian bounded symmetric domain. Let

$$h_0(z)(u,v) = (u \mid v) = \text{tr } D(u,v)$$
 (1.21)

be the flat Hermitian metric and let

$$\omega_0(z) = \frac{i}{2} \partial \overline{\partial} (z \mid z),$$

$$\omega_0(z)(u, v) = \frac{i}{2} ((u \mid v) - (v \mid u))$$

be the associated flat symplectic form. If Ω is endowed with the volume form ω_0^n $(n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V)$, the Bergman kernel of Ω is

$$K(x,y) = \frac{C}{\det B(x,y)},$$

with $C = \left(\int_{\Omega} \omega_0^n\right)^{-1}$. The Bergman metric of Ω is

$$h_{-}(z)(u,v) = \partial_u \overline{\partial}_v \ln K(z,z) = -\partial_u \overline{\partial}_v \ln \det B(z,z).$$

It satisfies the relation

$$h_{-}(z)(u,v) = h_0 \left(B(z,z)^{-1} u, v \right). \tag{1.22}$$

In view of this relation, B(z,z) is called the Bergman operator at $z \in \Omega$.

The hyperbolic symplectic form of Ω , associated to the Bergman metric, is defined by

$$\omega_{-}(z) = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\ln\det B(z,z). \tag{1.23}$$

From (1.22), it results that the forms ω_0 and ω_- are related with the Bergman operator by

$$\omega_{-}(z)(u,v) = \omega_{0}(B(z,z)^{-1}u,v), \tag{1.24}$$

for $z \in \Omega$ and $u, v \in T_z\Omega$.

The (generalized) Fubini-Study metric on V is defined by

$$h_{+}(z)(u,v) = \partial_{u}\overline{\partial}_{v} \ln \det B(z,-z).$$

The associated Kähler form is

$$\omega_{+}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \partial \overline{\partial} \ln \det B(z, -z).$$

It is related to the flat form by

$$\omega_{+}(z)(u,v) = \omega_{0}(B(z,-z)^{-1}u,v). \tag{1.25}$$

1.5. **Polar coordinates.** Let M be the set of tripotents elements of the positive Jordan triple V. Then M is a compact submanifold of V (with connected components of different dimensions). At $e \in M$, the tangent space T_eM and the normal space N_eM to M are

$$T_e M = i V_2^+(e) \oplus V_1(e),$$
 (1.26)

$$N_e M = V_0(e) \oplus V_2^+(e)$$
 (1.27)

(see [2], Theorem 5.6).

The height k of a tripotent element e is the maximal length of a decomposition $e = e_1 + \cdots + e_k$ into a sum of pairwise orthogonal (minimal) tripotents. Minimal tripotents have height 1, maximal tripotents have height $r = \operatorname{rk} V$. Denote by M_k the set of tripotents of height k. If V is simple (that is, if Ω is irreducible), the submanifolds M_k are the connected components of M.

The set \mathcal{F} of frames (also called Fürstenberg-Satake boundary of Ω):

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ (e_1, \dots, e_r) \mid e_j \in M_1, \ e_j \perp e_k \ (1 \le j < k \le r) \}, \tag{1.28}$$

(where $e_j \perp e_k$ means orthogonality of tripotents: $D(e_j, e_k) = 0$ or equivalently $\{e_j, e_j, e_k\} = 0$) is a submanifold of V^r . The following proposition provides a description of the tangent space of \mathcal{F} .

Proposition 1. Let $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r) \in \mathcal{F} \subset V^r$ and $e = e_1 + \dots + e_r$. Then $(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$ if and only if

$$v_j = i \alpha_j e_j + v_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le r \\ k \ne j}} v_{jk} \qquad (1 \le j \le r),$$
 (1.29)

where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_{j0} \in V_{0j}(\mathbf{e})$, $v_{jk} \in V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}) = V_{kj}(\mathbf{e})$ and

$$Q(e)v_{jk} = -v_{kj} (1 \le j < k \le r). (1.30)$$

Proof. Let $(v_1, \ldots, v_r) \in T_{\mathbf{e}} \mathcal{F}$. As e_j are minimal tripotents, we have

$$v_j = T_{e_j} M_1 = i \mathbb{R} e_j \oplus V_1(e_j) = i \mathbb{R} e_j \oplus V_{0j}(\mathbf{e}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \le k \le r \\ k \ne j}} V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}),$$

which shows that v_i has the form (1.29).

The orthogonality conditions in a frame are

$${e_j, e_j, e_k} = 0$$
 $(1 \le j < k \le r).$

Differentiating these conditions yields

$$\{v_j, e_j, e_k\} + \{e_j, v_j, e_k\} + \{e_j, e_j, v_k\} = 0$$
 $(1 \le j < k \le r).$

As $D(e_j, e_k) = 0$, this condition is reduced to

$$Q(e_j, e_k)v_j + D(e_j, e_j)v_k = 0 (1 \le j < k \le r). (1.31)$$

Let

$$v_j = i \alpha_j e_j + v_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{1 \le m \le r \\ m \ne j}} v_{jm} \qquad (1 \le j \le r).$$

Then

$$D(e_j, e_j)v_k = v_{kj}, \quad Q(e_j, e_k)e_j = 0,$$

 $Q(e_j, e_k) = Q(e_j + e_k) - Q(e_j) - Q(e_k),$

and we get from (1.17)

$$Q(e_j + e_k)v_{jm} = \delta_k^m Q(e)v_{jm}, \quad Q(e_j)v_{jm} = 0, \quad Q(e_k)v_{jm} = 0,$$

 $Q(e_j, e_k)v_j = Q(e)v_{jk}.$

This shows that the conditions (1.31) are equivalent to (1.30).

Comparing the description of $T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$ with the simultaneous Peirce decomposition of V w.r. to \mathbf{e} , it is easily checked that $T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$ is a real vector space of dimension 2n-r, where $n=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}V$. This implies that the map

$$\{\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to V_{\text{reg}}$$

$$((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), (e_1, \dots, e_r)) \mapsto \sum \lambda_j e_j$$
(1.32)

is a diffeomorphism onto the set V_{reg} of regular elements of V; its restriction

$$\{1 > \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to \Omega_{\text{reg}}$$

is a diffeomorphism onto the set Ω_{reg} of regular elements of Ω . This map plays the same role as polar coordinates in rank one.

1.6. **Functional calculus.** Using the spectral decomposition, it is possible to associate to an *odd* function $f:(-1,1)\to\mathbb{C}$ (resp. $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{C}$) a "radial" map $F:\Omega\to V$ (resp. $F:V\to V$) in the following way. Let $z\in V$ and let

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_k e_k, \quad \lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_k > 0$$

be the spectral decomposition of z. Define the map $F = \tilde{f}$ associated to f by

$$F(z) = f(\lambda_1)e_1 + \dots + f(\lambda_k)e_k. \tag{1.33}$$

Since f is odd, it follows from properties of tripotents that, for

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_r e_r,$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ is a frame and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$F(z) = f(\lambda_1)e_1 + \dots + f(\lambda_r)e_r. \tag{1.34}$$

If f is continuous, then F is continuous.

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k t^{2k+1}$$

is a polynomial, then F is the map defined by

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} a_k z^{(2k+1)} \qquad (z \in V).$$
(1.35)

If f is analytic, then F is real-analytic; if f is given near 0 by

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k t^{2k+1},$$

then F has the Taylor expansion near $0 \in V$:

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^{(2k+1)}.$$
 (1.36)

If f is C^{∞} , then F is also C^{∞} .

2. Symplectic forms in polar coordinates

2.1. We compute the flat symplectic form ω_0 in the "polar coordinates"

$$\{\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_r > 0\} \times \mathcal{F} \to V_{\text{reg}}$$
$$((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), (e_1, \dots, e_r)) \mapsto \sum \lambda_j e_j.$$

If (z_1, \ldots, z_n) $(n = \dim V)$ are orthonormal coordinates for the Hermitian product $(u \mid v)$, then

$$\omega_0 = \mathrm{i} \sum_{m=1}^n \mathrm{d} z_m \wedge \mathrm{d} \, \overline{z}_m.$$

From

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j e_j,$$

we have

$$d z_m \wedge d \overline{z}_m = \sum_{j,k=1}^r \lambda_j \lambda_k d e_{jm} \wedge d \overline{e}_{km} + \sum_{j,k=1}^r e_{jm} \overline{e}_{km} d \lambda_j \wedge d \lambda_k$$
$$+ \sum_{j,k=1}^r \lambda_k d \lambda_j \wedge (e_{jm} d \overline{e}_{km} - \overline{e}_{km} d e_{jm}).$$

Using $(e_j \mid e_k) = \delta_{jk}$, we get

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{j,k=1}^r \lambda_j \lambda_k \omega_{jk} + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^r \lambda_k \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \eta_{jk}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\eta_{jk} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n} \left(e_{jm} \, \mathrm{d} \, \overline{e}_{km} - \overline{e}_{km} \, \mathrm{d} \, e_{jm} \right) \bigg|_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathrm{i} \sum_{m=1}^{n} e_{jm} \, \mathrm{d} \, \overline{e}_{km} \bigg|_{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\omega_{jk} = i \sum_{m=1}^{n} d e_{jm} \wedge d \overline{e}_{km} \bigg|_{\mathcal{F}} = d \eta_{jk}. \tag{2.3}$$

We compute η_{jk} and ω_{jk} using the description of $T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$ given in Proposition 1. Let $v, w \in T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$ with $v = (v_1, \dots, v_r), w = (w_1, \dots, w_r),$

$$v_{j} = i \alpha_{j} e_{j} + v_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq r \\ m \neq j}} v_{jm} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq r),$$

$$w_{j} = i \beta_{j} e_{j} + w_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq r \\ m \neq j}} w_{jm} \qquad (1 \leq j \leq r),$$

$$\alpha_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad v_{j0} \in V_{0j}(\mathbf{e}), \quad v_{jk} \in V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}),$$

$$\beta_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad w_{j0} \in V_{0j}(\mathbf{e}), \quad w_{jk} \in V_{jk}(\mathbf{e}),$$

$$Q(e)v_{jk} = -v_{kj}, \quad Q(e)w_{jk} = -w_{kj} \qquad (1 \leq j < k \leq r).$$

Then, as the Peirce subspaces are orthogonal w.r. to $(\ |\)$, we deduce from (2.2)-(2.3)

$$\eta_{jj}(\mathbf{e})(v) = i (e_j \mid v_j) = \alpha_j,
\eta_{jk}(\mathbf{e})(v) = i (e_j \mid v_k) = 0 (j \neq k),
\omega_{jk} = d \eta_{jk} = 0 (j \neq k)$$
(2.4)

and

$$\omega_{jj}(\mathbf{e})(v, w) = \frac{i}{2} \left((v_j \mid w_j) - (w_j \mid v_j) \right)$$

$$= \langle v_{j0} \mid w_{j0} \rangle + \sum_{\substack{1 \le m \le r \\ m \ne j}} \langle v_{jm} \mid w_{jm} \rangle, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $\langle | \rangle$ denotes the symplectic product

$$\langle x \mid y \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \left((x \mid y) - (y \mid x) \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Finally, we have

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 \omega_{jj} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \eta_{jj}, \tag{2.7}$$

with ω_{jj} and η_{jj} given by (2.5), (2.4). The expression (2.5) shows that the ω_{jj} ($1 \le j \le r$) are linearly independent at each point $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{F}$.

$$2(Q(z)x | y) = (D(z,x)z | y) = (z | D(x,z)y)$$

= $(z | D(y,z)x) = (D(z,y)z | x) = 2(Q(z)y | x),$

the Q operator satisfies

$$(Q(z)x \mid y) = (Q(z)y \mid x), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\langle Q(z)x \mid y \rangle = -\langle x \mid Q(z)y \rangle \tag{2.9}$$

for all $z, x, y \in V$.

For $v, w \in T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$, using

$$Q(e)v_{jk} = -v_{kj}, \quad Q(e)w_{jk} = -w_{kj} \qquad (1 \le j \ne k \le r),$$

we have then

$$\langle v_{jk} \mid w_{jk} \rangle = \langle Q(e)v_{kj} \mid Q(e)w_{kj} \rangle = -\langle v_{kj} \mid Q(e)^2 w_{kj} \rangle,$$

that is.

$$\langle v_{jk} \mid w_{jk} \rangle = -\langle v_{kj} \mid w_{kj} \rangle, \qquad (2.10)$$

as $w_{kj} \in V_2(e)$ and Q(e) is involutive on $V_2(e)$. In view of (2.10), the flat symplectic form ω_0 in polar coordinates may be rewritten

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 \theta_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ 1 < j < k < r}} (\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2) \theta_{jk} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \, d \lambda_j \wedge \eta_{jj}, \tag{2.11}$$

where the η_{ij} 's are defined by (2.4), and the θ_{i0} 's and θ_{ik} 's by

$$\theta_{j0}(\mathbf{e})(v,w) = \langle v_{j0} \mid w_{j0} \rangle, \qquad (1 \le j \le r), \tag{2.12}$$

$$\theta_{jk}(\mathbf{e})(v, w) = \langle v_{jk} \mid w_{jk} \rangle \qquad (1 \le j < k \le r). \tag{2.13}$$

for $v, w \in T_{\mathbf{e}}\mathcal{F}$. Note that these forms are (pull-backs of) forms on the manifold of frames \mathcal{F} and that the θ_{j0} 's are 0 when the domain is of tube type.

2.2. We compute now the hyperbolic form ω_{-} and the Fubini-Study form ω_{+} in polar coordinates.

Using

$$B(z,z)^{-1}v_{j0} = (1 - \lambda_j^2)^{-1} v_{j0} \qquad (1 \le j \le r),$$

$$B(z,z)^{-1}v_{jk} = (1 - \lambda_j^2)^{-1} (1 - \lambda_k^2)^{-1} v_{jk} \qquad (1 \le j < k \le r),$$

$$B(z,z)^{-1}e_j = (1 - \lambda_j^2)^{-2} e_j \qquad (1 \le j \le r)$$

in (2.11), (2.12), (2.13), we obtain

$$\eta_{ij}(\mathbf{e})(B(z,z)^{-1}v) = (1-\lambda_i^2)^{-2} \eta_{ij}(\mathbf{e})(v),$$
(2.14)

$$\theta_{j0}(\mathbf{e})(B(z,z)^{-1}v,w) = (1-\lambda_j^2)^{-1}\theta_{j0}(\mathbf{e})(v,w),$$
 (2.15)

$$\theta_{jk}(\mathbf{e})(B(z,z)^{-1}v,w) = (1-\lambda_j^2)^{-1}(1-\lambda_k^2)^{-1}\theta_{jk}(\mathbf{e})(v,w),$$
 (2.16)

for $z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r e_r$ and $v, w \in T_{\mathbf{e}} \mathcal{F}$. From (1.24):

$$\omega_{-}(z)(v,w) = \omega_0(B(z,z)^{-1}v,w)$$

and the expression of ω_0 in polar coordinates, we have

$$\omega_{-} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}}{1 - \lambda_{j}^{2}} \theta_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ 1 \le j < k \le r}} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2} - \lambda_{k}^{2}}{\left(1 - \lambda_{j}^{2}\right) \left(1 - \lambda_{k}^{2}\right)} \theta_{jk} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_{j} \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_{j}}{\left(1 - \lambda_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}} \wedge \eta_{jj}.$$
(2.17)

In the same way, the Fubini-Study symplectic form on V is

$$\omega_{+} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}}{1 + \lambda_{j}^{2}} \theta_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{j,k\\1 \le j < k \le r}} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2} + \lambda_{k}^{2}}{\left(1 + \lambda_{j}^{2}\right) \left(1 + \lambda_{k}^{2}\right)} \theta_{jk} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_{j} d \lambda_{j}}{\left(1 + \lambda_{j}^{2}\right)^{2}} \wedge \eta_{jj}.$$
(2.18)

3. Symplectic duality

Consider the real analytic maps $f =]-1, 1[\to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R} \to]-1, 1[$, inverse of each other, defined by

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1 - t^2}} \qquad (-1 < t < 1), \tag{3.1}$$

$$g(t) = \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}). \tag{3.2}$$

By the functional calculus described in Subsection 1.6, we associate to these maps the real analytic diffeomorphisms, also inverse of each other

$$F = \widehat{f} : \Omega \to V,$$

$$G = \widehat{g} : V \to \Omega,$$

where Ω is the bounded symmetric domain associated to the Jordan triple V. If $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ is a frame and $z = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j e_j$, then

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_j^2}} e_j \qquad (z \in \Omega),$$
(3.3)

$$G(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1 + \lambda_j^2}} e_j \qquad (z \in V).$$

$$(3.4)$$

Using (1.16)-(1.20), the maps F and G may also be defined by

$$F(z) = B(z,z)^{-1/4}z = \left(id_V - \frac{1}{2}D(z,z)\right)^{-1/2}z \qquad (z \in \Omega),$$
 (3.5)

$$G(z) = B(z, -z)^{-1/4}z = \left(id_V - \frac{1}{2}D(z, -z)\right)^{-1/2}z \qquad (z \in V).$$
 (3.6)

The following theorem is the main result of [1]. We give here a different and simpler proof, using the expression of the symplectic forms $\omega_0, \omega_-, \omega_+$ in generalized polar coordinates.

Theorem 1. (Symplectic duality)

$$F^*\omega_0 = \omega_-, \quad F^*\omega_+ = \omega_0, \tag{3.7}$$

$$G^*\omega_0 = \omega_+, \quad G^*\omega_- = \omega_0. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. In polar coordinates, the map F is written

$$((\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r),\mathbf{e})\mapsto ((\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_r),\mathbf{e})$$

with

$$\mu_j = \frac{\lambda_j}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_j^2}}. (3.9)$$

As, by (2.11),

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 \theta_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{j,k\\1 \le j < k \le r}} \left(\lambda_j^2 - \lambda_k^2\right) \theta_{jk} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \eta_{jj},$$

we obtain, using (3.9),

$$F^*\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j^2}{1 - \lambda_j^2} \theta_{j0} + \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ 1 \le j < k \le r}} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^2}{1 - \lambda_j^2} - \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 - \lambda_k^2} \right) \theta_{jk} + 2\sum_{j=1}^r \frac{\lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j}{\left(1 - \lambda_j^2 \right)^2} \wedge \eta_{jj},$$

which, compared to (2.17), gives $F^*\omega_0 = \omega_-$ on the open dense subset Ω_{reg} of regular elements, and by continuity on all of Ω .

The relation $G^*\omega_0 = \omega_+$ is proved along the same lines. The relations $F^*\omega_+ = \omega_0$ and $G^*\omega_- = \omega_0$ follow, as F and G are inverse of each other.

In view of this theorem, the map F (or the map $G = F^{-1}$) is called the *duality map*.

Example 1. (Type $I_{1,1}$) Here $V = \mathbb{C}$, Ω is the unit disc,

$$\omega_0 = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \,\mathrm{d}\, z \wedge \mathrm{d}\, \overline{z}, \quad \omega_- = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\, z \wedge \mathrm{d}\, \overline{z}}{\left(1 - z\overline{z}\right)^2}, \quad \omega_+ = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}\, z \wedge \mathrm{d}\, \overline{z}}{\left(1 + z\overline{z}\right)^2}.$$

The duality map is

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - z\overline{z}}}.$$

Example 2. (Type $I_{1,n}$) Here $V = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the Hermitian norm $||z||^2 = \sum z_j \overline{z}_j$, Ω is the unit Hermitian ball,

$$\omega_0 = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum \mathrm{d} z_j \wedge \mathrm{d} \, \overline{z}_j, \quad \omega_- = \frac{\omega_0}{\left(1 - \|z\|^2\right)^{n+1}}, \quad \omega_+ = \frac{\omega_0}{\left(1 + \|z\|^2\right)^{n+1}}.$$

The duality map is

$$F(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{1 - \|z\|^2}}.$$

4. The bisymplectomorphism group

4.1. In this section we study to which extent a diffeomorphism $F: \Omega \to V$ satisfying the property (3.7) is unique. If two diffeomorphisms $F_1, F_2: \Omega \to V$ satisfy (3.7), then $F_2 = F_1 \circ f$, where $f: \Omega \to \Omega$ preserves ω_0 and ω_- . This leads us to the following definition.

Definition 1. A bisymplectomorphism of Ω is a diffeomorphism $f:\Omega\to\Omega$ which satisfies

$$f^*\omega_0 = \omega_0, \tag{4.1}$$

$$f^*\omega_- = \omega_-. \tag{4.2}$$

Clearly, bisymplectomorphisms of Ω form a group, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and called the *bisymplectomorphism group* of Ω .

From (1.24), we derive a characterization of $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ in terms of the Bergman operator:

Proposition 2. Let Ω be a bounded symmetric domain. Then a diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(\Omega)$ is a bisymplectomorphism if and only if it satisfies

$$f^*\omega_0 = \omega_0, \tag{4.3}$$

$$B(f(z), f(z)) \circ df(z) = df(z) \circ B(z, z) \quad (z \in \Omega).$$

$$(4.4)$$

Note that the second condition implies that the tangent map d f(z) maps invariant subspaces of $B_z = B(z, z)$ to invariant subspaces of $B_{f(z)}$, and that $B_{f(z)}$ has the same eigenvalues as B_z .

Proof. Let $z \in \Omega$, $u, v \in T_z\Omega$. We have from (1.24) and (4.1)

$$\omega_{-}(z)(u,v) = \omega_{0}(B(z,z)^{-1}u,sv)$$

$$= \omega_{0}(\mathrm{d}\,f(z)B(z,z)^{-1}u,\mathrm{d}\,f(z)v),$$

$$(f^{*}\omega_{-})(z)(u,v) = \omega_{-}(f(z))(\mathrm{d}\,f(z)u,\mathrm{d}\,f(z)v)$$

$$= \omega_{0}\left(B(f(z),f(z))^{-1}\,\mathrm{d}\,f(z)u,\mathrm{d}\,f(z)v\right),$$

so that, assuming (4.1), the condition (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\omega_0(\mathrm{d} f(z)B(z,z)^{-1}u,\mathrm{d} f(z)v) = \omega_0(B(f(z),f(z))^{-1}\mathrm{d} f(z)u,\mathrm{d} f(z)v)$$

for all u, v. As ω_0 is non singular and df(z) is bijective, this is equivalent to

$$d f(z) \circ B(z, z)^{-1} = B(f(z), f(z))^{-1} \circ d f(z),$$

that is, to
$$(4.4)$$
.

4.2. Here we study diffeomorphisms of Ω satisfying the condition (4.4). Recall that $B_z = B(z,z) : V \to V$ is a \mathbb{C} -linear operator, self-adjoint w.r. to the Hermitian metric h_0 , positive if $z \in \Omega$. Let r denote the rank of Ω and V.

For $z \in \Omega$, consider the spectral decomposition

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \dots + \lambda_s e_s, \quad 1 > \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_s > 0, \tag{4.5}$$

where $s = \operatorname{rk} z \leq r = \operatorname{rk} V$. An element is called *regular* if $\operatorname{rk} z = \operatorname{rk} V$; for regular elements, which form an open dense subset of Ω , the decomposition (4.5) is the decomposition using generalized polar coordinates.

Let

$$V = \bigoplus_{0 \le i \le j \le s} V_{ij}$$

be the simultaneous Peirce decomposition relative to (e_1, \ldots, e_s) . Note that some subspaces V_{ij} may be 0. The operator B(z, z) may only have the eigenvalues

$$(1 - \lambda_i^2)^2$$
 $(1 \le i \le s)$, $(1 - \lambda_i^2)(1 - \lambda_i^2)$ $(1 \le i < j \le s)$, (4.6)

$$(1 - \lambda_i^2) \quad (1 \le i \le s), \quad 1,$$

which occur respectively on the subspaces

$$V_{ii}, V_{ij}, V_{0i}, V_{00}.$$
 (4.7)

The relation (4.4) then implies that B(z,z) and B(f(z),f(z)) have the same eigenvalues with the same multiplicities. Moreover, if all eigenvalues in the list (4.6) occurring for non-zero V_{ij} are different, the non-zero subspaces of the list (4.7) are the eigenspaces of B(z,z) and are mapped by d(z) to the corresponding eigenspaces of B(f(z),f(z)).

Definition 2. Let Ω be an irreducible bounded symmetric domain and denote by $(V, \{ , , \})$ be the corresponding Jordan triple. An element $z \in V$ is called superregular if z is regular and if all eigenvalue in the list (4.6), occurring on non-zero V_{ij} , are different.

If V is of tube type or of rank 1, any regular element $z \in V$ is super-regular. If V is not of tube type, an element $z \in V$ is super-regular if it is regular and if its spectral values satisfy

$$1 - \lambda_i^2 \neq \left(1 - \lambda_i^2\right)^2 \tag{4.8}$$

for all (i, j), i < j. Clearly, super-regular elements form an open dense subset of V. Let $z \in \Omega$ be an element of rank one. Then the spectral decomposition of z is

$$z = \lambda_1 e_1, \quad 0 < \lambda_1 < 1,$$

where e_1 is a tripotent; the associated Peirce decomposition is

$$V_{11} = V_2(e_1), \quad V_{01} = V_1(e_1), \quad V_{00} = V_0(e_1)$$

and the eigenvalues of B(z, z) on these subspaces are respectively

$$(1-\lambda_1^2)^2$$
, $1-\lambda_1^2$, 1.

It then follows that

$$f(z) = \lambda_1 \varepsilon_1,$$

where ε_1 is a tripotent such that $V_2(\varepsilon_1) = \operatorname{d} f(z)V_2(e_1)$, which means that e_1 and ε_1 have the same height $\dim V_2(e_1) = \dim V_2(\varepsilon_1)$. In particular, if e_1 is minimal (resp. maximal), then ε_1 is minimal (resp. maximal).

Let V_z be the \mathbb{C} -subspace generated by the odd powers $z, \ldots, z^{(2p+1)}, \ldots$ Then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_z = \operatorname{rk} z \leq r$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_z = r$ if and only if z is a regular element. Denote

$$P_z = V_z \cap \Omega$$
.

For $z \in \Omega$, $z \neq 0$ with spectral decomposition $z = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j e_j$ ($\alpha_1 > \dots > \alpha_k > 0$; $k = \operatorname{rk}_V x$), P_z is the k-polydisc

$$P_z = \left\{ u = \sum u_j e_j \mid |u_j| < 1 \right\}.$$

Note that if $u \in P_z$ and $\operatorname{rk}_V u = \operatorname{rk}_V z$, then $V_u = V_z$ and $P_u = P_z$.

Lemma 3. Let $f: \Omega \to \Omega$ be a diffeomorphism of Ω such that

$$B(f(z), f(z)) \circ d f(z) = d f(z) \circ B(z, z)$$

for all $z \in \Omega$. Then for all $z \in \Omega$,

$$d f(z)V_z = V_{f(z)}. (4.9)$$

Proof. Let z be a super-regular element and let

$$z = \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r$$

be the spectral decomposition of z in V; then $V_z := \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_r$ is the sum of the eigenspaces of B(z,z) relative to the eigenvalues

$$\left(1 - \alpha_j^2\right)^2 \quad (1 \le j \le r),$$

so that it follows from (4.4) that

$$d f(z)V_z = V_{f(z)}.$$

By continuity, (4.9) holds for all $z \in \Omega$.

Proposition 4. Let $f: \Omega \to \Omega$ be a diffeomorphism of Ω such that

$$B(f(z), f(z)) \circ d f(z) = d f(z) \circ B(z, z)$$

for all $z \in \Omega$. Then for any element $z \in \Omega$, $z \neq 0$, we have

$$f(P_z) = P_{f(z)}.$$

Proof. Let $s = \operatorname{rk}_V z$. We already know that $\operatorname{d} f(z)V_z = V_{f(z)}$, which implies that $\operatorname{rk}_V f(z) = z$. So there exist continuous functions β_j such that

$$d f(z)u = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_{j}(u, z) (f(z))^{(2j+1)} \quad (z \in \Omega, u \in P_{z}).$$

Consider a C^1 path $\eta:[0,1]\to P_z$ from $\eta(0)=z$ to $\eta(1)=w$; let $g(t)=f(\eta(t))$. Then g satisfies the differential equation

$$g'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \beta_j(\eta'(t), \eta(t)) (g(t))^{(2j+1)},$$

$$g(0) = f(z).$$
(4.10)

Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \alpha_k \varepsilon_k$$

be the spectral decomposition of f(z). Let $h:[0,1]\to\mathbb{C}^s$ be the solution of the differential system

$$h'_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^s \beta_j(\eta'(t), \eta(t)) (h_k(t))^{2j+1} \quad (1 \le k \le s),$$

 $h_k(0) = \alpha_k.$

Then the solution of (4.10) is

$$g(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} h_k(t)\varepsilon_k,$$

which shows that g(1) = f(w) belongs to $P_{f(z)}$.

Let K denote the group of linear automorphisms of Ω .

Proposition 5. Let $f: \Omega \to \Omega$ be a diffeomorphism of Ω such that

$$B(f(z), f(z)) \circ d f(z) = d f(z) \circ B(z, z)$$

for all $z \in \Omega$. Then any K-orbit is globally invariant by f.

Proof. Assume first that $z = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r e_r$ is super regular. Then $f(z) = \mu_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \mu_r \varepsilon_r$, and equality between the eigenvalues of B(z,z) and B(f(z),f(z)) implies $\lambda_j = \mu_j$, hence $f(z) \in Kz$ and f(Kz) = Kz. By continuity, this also holds for any $z \in \Omega$.

4.3. We now go back to bisysmplectomorphisms of Ω .

Proposition 6. Let Ω be a bounded circled symmetric domain and denote by K the group of linear automorphisms of Ω . For each bisymplectomorphism $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, we have $d f(0) \in K$.

As $K \subset \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, it will be sufficient to study the subgroup

$$\mathcal{B}_0(\Omega) = \{ f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \mid df(0) = id_V \}. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Let (e_1, \ldots, e_r) be a frame of V and let $V = \bigoplus V_{ij}$ be the associated simultaneous Peirce decomposition. Consider a regular element

$$z = \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r$$

 $1 > \alpha_1 > \cdots > \alpha_r > 0$. For $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ and z super-regular, we have $f(z) = \alpha_1 \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \varepsilon_r$, where $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_r)$ is a frame of V, which may depend on $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$. As $V_{jj} = \mathbb{C}e_j$ is the eigenspace of B(z, z) for the eigenvalue $(1 - \alpha_j^2)^2$, we deduce from (4.4) that

$$d f(z) (e_i) \in \mathbb{C}\varepsilon_i$$
.

By continuity, there exists a frame (e'_1, \ldots, e'_r) such that

$$d f(0) (e_j) \in \mathbb{C}e'_j \qquad (1 \le j \le r).$$

Multiplying e'_i by a suitable complex of modulus 1, we may assume that

$$d f(0) (e_j) = \lambda'_j e'_j, \quad \lambda'_j > 0 \quad (1 \le j \le r).$$
 (4.12)

We have also

$$d f(0)(e_j) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{f(te_j)}{t}$$

and $f(te_j)$ is a multiple of a minimal tripotent, with spectral norm $||f(te_j)|| \le t ||e_j||$, hence $||d f(0)(e_j)|| \le ||e_j||$ and $\lambda'_j \le 1$. The same argument applied to f^{-1} gives $\lambda'_j = 1$. So the image of a frame (e_1, \ldots, e_r) under d f(0) is a frame (e'_1, \ldots, e'_r) and the Peirce spaces V_{jk} relative to (e_1, \ldots, e_r) are mapped by d f(0) onto the corresponding Peirce spaces V'_{jk} relative to (e'_1, \ldots, e'_r) . In particular, d f(0) is an \mathbb{R} -linear map from $\mathbb{C}e_j$ onto $\mathbb{C}e'_j$. We have $d f(0)(ie_j) = \beta e'_j$, with $|\beta| = 1$. As

$$\omega_0(u,v) = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left(\operatorname{tr} D(u,v) - \operatorname{tr} D(v,u) \right),$$

we have

$$\omega_0(e_j, \mathrm{i}\, e_j) = \operatorname{tr} D(e_j, e_j),$$

$$\omega_0(\mathrm{d}\, f(0)\, (e_j), \mathrm{d}\, f(0)\, (\mathrm{i}\, e_j)) = \operatorname{Im}\beta \operatorname{tr} D(e_j', e_j').$$

From $\operatorname{tr} D(e'_j, e'_j) = \operatorname{tr} D(e_j, e_j)$ and $f^*\omega_0 = \omega_0$, we get $\operatorname{Im} \beta = 1$, $\beta = i$, which means that $\operatorname{d} f(0)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear on $\mathbb{C} e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C} e_r$. Finally, $\operatorname{d} f(0)$ is \mathbb{C} -linear on V, maps minimal tripotents to minimal tripotents and Ω to Ω .

4.4. The unit disc. Let Δ be the unit disc of \mathbb{C} . The associated triple product is $\{u, v, w\} = 2u\overline{v}w$. The Bergman operator is $B(z, z)w = (1-|z|^2)^2w$. The Bergman metric is

$$h_z(u,v) = \frac{2u\overline{v}}{(1-|z|^2)^2}.$$

The two symplectic forms are

$$\omega_0 = \mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{d}\,z \wedge \mathrm{d}\,\overline{z},\tag{4.13}$$

$$\omega_{-} = \frac{\omega_0}{(1 - |z|^2)^2}. (4.14)$$

Denote by S^1 the unit circle in $\mathbb C$ and consider the "polar coordinates" diffeomorphism

$$\Theta: (0,1) \times S^1 \to \Delta \setminus \{0\},$$
$$(r,\zeta) \mapsto r\zeta.$$

Then we have

$$\Theta^* \omega_0 = 2r \,\mathrm{d}\, r \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}\, \zeta}{\mathrm{i}\, \zeta}.$$

The following theorem characterizes the elements of $\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$.

Theorem 2. The elements $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)$ are the maps defined by

$$f(z) = u(|z|^2) z$$
 $(z \in \Delta)$,

where u is a smooth function $u:[0,1)\to S^1\simeq U(1)$.

In other words, the restriction of f to a circle of radius r (0 < r < 1) is the rotation u (r^2).

Proof. From (4.14), we see that a diffeomorphism $f: \Delta \to \Delta$ is a bisymplectomorphism if and only if f preserves ω_0 and |f(z)| = |z| for all $z \in \Delta$.

If |f(z)| = |z| for all $z \in \Delta$, the map $F = \Theta^{-1} \circ f \circ \Theta$ may be written

$$(r,\zeta) \stackrel{F}{\rightarrow} (r,Z(r,\zeta))$$

for some smooth function $Z:(0,1)\times S^1\to S^1$. We have

$$F^* (\Theta^* \omega_0) = 2r \,\mathrm{d}\, r \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}\, Z}{\mathrm{i}\, Z} = 2r \,\mathrm{d}\, r \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d}_\zeta\, Z}{\mathrm{i}\, Z}.$$

If f preserves ω_0 , then F preserves $\Theta^*\omega_0$, which implies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\zeta} Z}{Z} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\zeta}{\zeta}.\tag{4.15}$$

For r fixed, let $u_r(\zeta) = Z(r,\zeta)$; the condition (4.15) is then equivalent to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,u_r}{u_r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\zeta}{\zeta} \tag{4.16}$$

for all $r \in (0,1)$. The condition (4.16) is in turn equivalent to

$$u_r(\zeta) = v(r)\zeta,\tag{4.17}$$

with $v(r) \in S^1$. The function v, which is given by

$$v(r) = \frac{Z(r,\zeta)}{\zeta},$$

is smooth on (0,1) and

$$f(r\zeta) = rv(r)\zeta,$$

or

$$f(z) = v(|z|)z \tag{4.18}$$

for $z \in \Delta$, $z \neq 0$; on the other hand, f(0) = 0. Let g be the restriction of f to (-1,1); from (4.18), we see that g is odd. For $x \neq 0$, we have

$$g(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} g(sx) \, \mathrm{d}s = x \int_0^1 g'(sx) \, \mathrm{d}s,$$

which shows that

$$v(r) = \int_0^1 g'(sr) \, \mathrm{d} \, s$$

extends to a smooth even function $v:(-1,1)\to S^1$. Let $u:[0,1)\to S^1$ be defined by

$$u(r) = v\left(\sqrt{r}\right)$$
.

It follows then from Whitney's theorem [5] that u is smooth at 0.

Conversely, if

$$f(z) = u(|z|^2)z,$$

with $u:[0,1)\to S^1$ smooth, then f satisfies |f(z)|=|z|, f is a diffeomorphism with inverse $f^{-1}(w)=u(|w|^2)^{-1}w$; also, f preserves ω_0 on $\Delta\setminus\{0\}$, hence on Δ by continuity. This implies that $f\in\mathcal{B}(\Delta)$.

4.5. The polydisc. Let $\Delta^r \subset \mathbb{C}^r$ be the product of r unit discs. The Jordan triple product on $V = \mathbb{C}^r$ is just the component-wise product

$$\{x,y,z\} = 2(x_1\overline{y_1}z_1,\ldots,x_r\overline{y_r}z_r).$$

Let (e_1, \ldots, e_r) denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^r . The minimal tripotents of V are the elements $\lambda_j e_j$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, $|\lambda_j| = 1$. Any frame (maximal ordered set of mutually orthogonal tripotents) has the form

$$(\lambda_j e_{\sigma(j)})_{1 < j < r}$$

where $|\lambda_j| = 1$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, r\}$. The corresponding Peirce decomposition is $V = \mathbb{C}e_{\sigma(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{\sigma(r)}$.

Theorem 3. A diffeomorphism $f: \Delta^r \to \Delta^r$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_0(\Delta^r)$ if and only if there exist smooth functions $u_j: [0,1) \to S^1$ such that $u_j(0) = 1$ and

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_r) = \sum_{j=1}^r u_j(|z_j|^2) z_j e_j \qquad (z_j \in \Delta).$$
 (4.19)

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(\Delta^r)$ be a bisymplectomorphism with $d f(0) = id_V$. Consider a regular element $z \in \Delta^r$, that is,

$$z = z_1 e_1 + \dots + z_r e_r,$$

with all $|z_j|$ different. The spaces $\mathbb{C}e_j$ of the corresponding Peirce decomposition are mapped by $\mathrm{d}\,f(z)$ to the spaces $\mathbb{C}e_{\sigma(j)}$ of another Peirce decomposition, for some permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$. This means that $[\mathrm{d}\,f(z)\,(e_j)] = [e_{\sigma(j)}]$ for all regular $z \in \Delta^r$, where $[]$ denotes the class in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^r)$; by continuity, this is true for all $z \in \Delta^r$. As $\{[e_1], \ldots, [e_r]\}$ is discrete in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^r)$ and $\mathrm{d}\,f(0) = \mathrm{id}$, we have $[\mathrm{d}\,f(z)\,(e_j)] = [e_j]$ and

$$d f(z) (\mathbb{C}e_j) = \mathbb{C}e_j \tag{4.20}$$

for all $z \in \Delta^r$. This shows that $f(z_1, \ldots, z_r) = \sum_{j=1}^r f_j(z)e_j$, with $|f_j(z)| = |z_j|$. From (4.20), we deduce that f_j depends only of z_j . Each f_j is then a bisymplectomorphism of the unit disc. According to Theorem 2, there exists a smooth function $u_j: [0,1) \to S^1 \simeq U(1)$ such that

$$f_j(z_j) = u_j(|z_j|^2) z_j \qquad (z_j \in \Delta).$$

Finally, any $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(\Delta^r)$ has the form (4.19).

Conversely, each f of the form (4.19) is easily seen to be a bisymplectomorphism.

4.6. The general case. We assume now that the domain Ω is *irreducible*, that is, not a product of two bounded symmetric domains. In Theorem 4, we will characterize the bisymplectomorphisms of Ω . This theorem may be considered as a kind of Schwarz lemma.

Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(\Omega)$. If $z = \sum \lambda_j e_j$ is a regular element, then $P_z = (\mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_r) \cap \Omega$ and $f(P_z) = P_z$. For a frame $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$, let $P(\mathbf{e}) = (\mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_r) \cap \Omega$. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3, the restriction of f to $P(\mathbf{e})$ has the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j e_j \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j u_j \left(|\lambda_j|^2 \right) e_j,$$

where the u_j 's are smooth functions $u_j:[0,1)\to S^1\simeq U(1)$. In the "polar coordinates" $((\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r),\mathbf{e}=(e_1,\ldots,e_r))$, the map f is then represented by

$$((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), \mathbf{e}) \mapsto ((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), (u_1(\lambda_1^2, \mathbf{e}) e_1, \dots, u_r(\lambda_r^2, \mathbf{e}) e_r)). \quad (4.21)$$

Let $w_i(\lambda_i, \mathbf{e}) = u_i(\lambda_i^2, \mathbf{e})$. We obtain

$$f^* \eta_{jj} = i f^* \sum_{m=1}^n e_{jm} d \overline{e}_{jm} = i \sum_{m=1}^n w_j e_{jm} (\overline{w}_j d \overline{e}_{jm} + \overline{e}_{jm} d \overline{w}_j)$$
$$= \eta_{jj} - i (e_j | e_j) \frac{d w_j}{w_j},$$
$$f^* \omega_{jj} = \omega_{jj}.$$

As Ω is irreducible, $(e_j \mid e_j)$ has the same value g for all minimal tripotents. Finally

$$f^*\omega_0 = \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^2 \omega_{jj} + 2\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \left(\eta_{jj} - \mathrm{i} \, g \frac{\mathrm{d} \, w_j}{w_j} \right)$$

$$= \omega_0 - 2 \operatorname{i} g \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d} \, w_j}{w_j}.$$

Then $f^*\omega_0 = \omega_0$ implies that $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(\Omega)$, written in the form (4.21), satisfies

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_j \, \mathrm{d} \, \lambda_j \wedge \frac{\mathrm{d} \, w_j}{w_j} = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

As w_j depends only on λ_j and \mathbf{e} , this implies that $d_{\mathbf{e}} w_j = 0$. As the manifold of frames is connected when the domain Ω is irreducible, w_j does not depend on $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{F}$. As a permutation of a frame is again a frame, we have $w_1 = \cdots = w_r$ and $u_1 = \cdots = u_r$.

Finally, an element $f \in \mathcal{B}_0(\Omega)$ is written in polar coordinates

$$((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), (e_1, \dots, e_r)) \mapsto ((\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), (u(\lambda_1^2) e_1, \dots, u(\lambda_r^2) e_r)),$$
(4.23)

where u is a smooth function $u:[0,1)\to S^1\simeq U(1)$.

Theorem 4. Let Ω be an irreducible Hermitian bounded circled symmetric domain and let K be the isotropy group of 0. The analytic (resp. C^{∞}) bisymplectomorphisms of Ω are the maps $\phi = f \circ g$, where $g = d \phi(0) \in K$ and f is associated to $v(t) = tu(t^2)$, with $u : [0,1) \to S^1 \simeq U(1)$ analytic (resp. C^{∞}) and u(0) = 1.

References

- [1] Di Scala, A.J. and Loi, A., Symplectic duality of symmetric spaces, arXiv:math.DG/0603141.
- [2] Loos, Ottmar, Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs, Math. Lectures, Univ. of California, Irvine, 1977.
- [3] McDuff, Dusa, The symplectic structure of Kähler manifolds of non-positive curvature, *J. Differ. Geom.* **28**, No.3 (1988), 467-475.
- [4] Roos, Guy, Jordan triple systems, pp. 425-534, in J. Faraut, S. Kaneyuki, A. Korányi, Q.k. Lu, G. Roos, Analysis and geometry on complex homogeneous domains, Progress in Mathematics, vol.185, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2000.
- [5] Whitney, H., Differentiable even functions, Duke Math. J., 10 (1943), 159-160.
- $\rm A.D.:\ Dipartimento$ di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino, Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy

E-mail address: antonio.discala@polito.it

A.L.: DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CAGLIARI, VIA OS-PEDALE 72, 09124 CAGLIARI, ITALY

E-mail address: loi@unica.it

G.R.: Nevski prospekt 113/4-53, 191024 St Petersburg, Russian Federation $E\text{-}mail\ address$: guy.roos@normalesup.org