A Unique March Test Algorithm for the Wide Spread of Realistic Memory Faults in SRAMs

Original

A Unique March Test Algorithm for the Wide Spread of Realistic Memory Faults in SRAMs / Benso, Alfredo; Bosio, Alberto; DI CARLO, Stefano; DI NATALE, Giorgio; Prinetto, Paolo Ernesto. - STAMPA. - (2006), pp. 155-156. (Intervento presentato al convegno IEEE 9th Workshop on Design and Diagnostics of Electronic Circuits and Systems (DDECS) tenutosi a Prague, CZ nel 18-21 Apr. 2006) [10.1109/DDECS.2006.1649602].

Availability:

This version is available at: 11583/1499963 since:

Publisher:

IEEE Computer Society

Published

DOI:10.1109/DDECS.2006.1649602

Terms of use:

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

Publisher copyright

(Article begins on next page)

A Unique March Test Algorithm for the Wide Spread of Realistic Memory Faults in SRAMs

A. Benso, A. Bosio, S. Di Carlo, G. Di Natale, P. Prinetto

Politecnico di Torino

Dipartimento di Automatica e Informatica

Torino, Italy

E-mail {benso, bosio, dicarlo, dinatale, prinetto}@polito.it

<http://www.testgroup.polito.it>

Abstract—Among the different types of algorithms proposed to test Static Random Access Memories (SRAMs), March Tests have proven to be faster, simpler and regularly structured. A large number of March Tests with different fault coverage have been published. Usually different march tests detect only a specific set of memory faults.

The always growing memory production technology introduces new classes of fault, making a key hurdle the generation of new march tests. The aim of this paper is to target the whole set of realistic fault model and to provide a unique march test able to reduce the test complexity of 15.4% than state-of-the-art march algorithm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Memories are one of the most important components in digital systems, and semiconductor memories are nowadays one of the fastest growing technologies. *System-On-a-Chip* (SOC) technologies allow to embed in a single chip all the components and functions that historically were placed on a hardware board. Within SOC, embedded memories are the densest components, accounting for up to 90% of chips area [1]. It is thus common finding, on a single chip, tens of memories of different types, sizes, access protocols and timing. Moreover they can recursively be embedded in embedded cores.

In the latest decade published researches mainly focused on the definition of new fault models [2] [3] [4]. The high number of fault models can be reduced considering so called *Realistic Faults* only. Those faults have been proved to be realistic by performing fault injection and circuit simulation experiments [4][8][9].

The realistic fault models can be clustered in three main fault sets: (i) static un-linked (ii) dynamic un-linked and (iii) static linked faults. [8].

Up to now, researchers mainly focused on the minimization of existing memory tests for a single target fault set [5] [6] [7]. In case of complex fault lists, the typical test strategy is to apply several march tests customized for the different fault sets. As an example to

detect both static un-linked and static linked faults a typical approach is to apply a march test for static un-linked faults followed by a test algorithm for static linked fault. The main lack of this solution is the time effort. It is the sum of the complexity of the two march tests. Moreover, since some fault models are usually detected by both the algorithms the resulting strategy is redundant.

The aim of this work is to propose a unique non redundant march test able to detect the whole set of realistic fault models (static un-linked, dynamic un-linked and, static linked faults). The proposed solution reduces the test effort of about 15.4% w.r.t state of the art algorithms by applying just a single march test having a complexity of $22n$.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 introduces the fault taxonomy; Section 3 and Section 4 introduce the proposed solution. Section 5 summarizes the main contributions and outlines future research activities.

2. FAULT MODEL TAXONOMY

For test purposes, faults in memories are usually modeled as Functional Faults. A *Functional Fault Model* (FFM) is a deviation of the memory behavior from the expected one under a set of performed operations.

Each FFM can be described by a set of Fault Primitives (FPs) [9].

In this paper we focus on the following sets of realistic fault models:

- **Static un-linked faults** (TABLE 1 and TABLE 4)
- **Dynamic un-linked faults** : (TABLE 2 and TABLE 5)
- **Static linked faults**: described in TABLE 3 and TABLE 6. They are composed by a combination of static un-linked faults as described in definition 1.

Definition 1 : two FPs, $FP1 = \langle S1/F1/R1 \rangle$ and $FP2 = \langle S2/F2/R2 \rangle$, are said to be *Linked*, and denoted by

“FP1 $\rightarrow$ FP2”, if both of the following conditions are satisfied:

- FP2 masks FP1, i.e., $F2 = \text{not}(F1)$;
- Read operations of FP1 and FP2 don’t detect a fault.
- The Sensitizing operation (S_2) of FP2 is applied after S_1 , on either the a -cell or v -cell of FP1.

TABLE 1 Single Cell Static Un-linked Faults

FFM	FPS
SF	$\langle x / y \rangle$
WDF	$\langle yw_y / x / - \rangle$
TF	$\langle xw_x / x / - \rangle$
RDF	$\langle xr_x / y / y \rangle$
DRDF	$\langle yr_y / x / y \rangle$
IRF	$\langle yr_y / x / y \rangle$

TABLE 2 Single Cell Dynamic Un-linked Faults

FFM	FPS
dRDF	$\langle zw_x r_x / y / y \rangle$
dDRDF	$\langle zw_x r_x / y / x \rangle$
dIRF	$\langle zw_x r_x / x / y \rangle$

TABLE 3 Single Cell Static Linked Faults

Linked Fault	FPS	FPI
FP1 $\rightarrow$ WDF	FP1 $\rightarrow \langle xw_x / y / - \rangle$	TF, WDF, DRDF
FP1 $\rightarrow$ RDF	FP1 $\rightarrow \langle xr_x / y / y \rangle$	TF, WDF, DRDF

TABLE 4 Two Cell Static Un-linked Faults, $z, k, x, y \in \{0,1\}$, $x = \text{not}(y)$, $z = \text{not}(k)$

FFM	FPS
CFst	$\langle z ; x / y / - \rangle$
CFds	$\langle zr_z ; x / y / - \rangle, \langle zw_z ; x / y / - \rangle, \langle zw_k ; x / y / - \rangle$
CFtr	$\langle z ; xw_x / x / - \rangle$
CFwd	$\langle z ; xw_x / y / - \rangle$
CFrd	$\langle z ; xr_x / y / y \rangle$
CFdr	$\langle z ; xr_x / y / x \rangle$
CFir	$\langle z ; xr_x / x / y \rangle$

TABLE 5 Two Cell Dynamic Un-linked Faults, $z, k, x, y \in \{0,1\}$, $x = \text{not}(y)$, $z = \text{not}(k)$

FFM	FPS
dCFds	$\langle zw_k r_k ; x / y / - \rangle$
dCFrd	$\langle z ; kw_x r_x / y / y \rangle$
dCFdrd	$\langle z ; kw_x r_x / y / x \rangle$
dCFir	$\langle z ; kw_x r_x / x / y \rangle$

TABLE 6 Two Cell Static Linked Faults

Type	Linked Fault	FPI
LF2aa	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFds	CFds, CFtr, CFwd, CFdr
	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFwd	CFds, CFtr, CFwd, CFdr
	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFrd	CFds, CFtr, CFwd, CFdr
LF2av	FP1 $\rightarrow$ WDF	CFds, CFtr, CFwd, CFdr
	FP1 $\rightarrow$ RDF	CFds, CFtr, CFwd, CFdr
LF2va	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFds	WDF, TF, DRDF
	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFwd	WDF, TF, DRDF
	FP1 $\rightarrow$ CFrd	WDF, TF, DRDF

3. FAULT DETECTION

Single cell faults can be detected by two Fault Coverage Conditions:

- FCC1 = $\Downarrow(r_y, w_x, r_x, w_x, r_x) \Downarrow(r_x, \dots)$
- FCC2 = $\Downarrow(r_x, w_y, r_y, w_y, r_y) \Downarrow(r_y, \dots)$

Two cell faults can be detected by the following FCC:

- FCC3 : $\Downarrow(r_x, w_y, r_y, w_y, r_y) \Downarrow(r_y, w_x, r_x, w_x, r_x)$
 $\Uparrow(r_x, w_y, r_y, w_y, r_y) \Uparrow(r_y, w_x, r_x, w_x, r_x) \Downarrow(r_x, \dots)$

4. MARCH SOLUTION

March AB [10] shown in Fig. 1, contains all the FCCs required to cover the full set of realistic memory faults.

Fig. 1 : March AB.

5. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposed a new March Test targeting the entire set of realistic memory faults. Our test provides the same coverage of the state-of-the-art test algorithms but reducing test complexity of 15.4% and therefore the test time. It makes possible resort to a single march test able to detect the bigger set of realistic memory fault, therefore March AB becomes a natural candidate for memory BIST architectures, building our test solution very attractive for the industry.

REFERENCES

- [1] International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors, “International technology roadmap for semiconductors 2004 Update”, <http://public.itrs.net/Home.htm>, 2004.
- [2] R. Dekker, F. Beenker, L. Thijssen, “A Realistic Fault Model and Test Algorithms for Static Random Access Memory”, IEEE Transaction on Computer-Aided Design, Volume: 9, Issue: 6, June 1990.
- [3] R.D. Adams and E.S. Cooley, “Analysis of a Deceptive Destructive Read Memory fault Model and Recommended Testing”, NATW 1996. 5th IEEE North Atlantic Test Workshop, 1996.
- [4] Z. Al-Ars, Ad J. van de Goor, “Static and Dynamic Behavior of Memory Cell Array Opens and Shorts in Embedded DRAMs”, DATE 2001, IEEE Design Automation and Test in Europe, 2001, pp. 496-503.
- [5] A. J. van de Goor, G.N. Gayadadjiev, V.N. Yarmolik, V.G. Mikitjuk, “March LA: A Test for Linked Memory Faults”, ED&TC 1997, Proc. European Design and Test Conference, 1997, pp. 167.
- [6] A. J. van de Goor, G.N. Gayadadjiev, V.N. Yarmolik, V.G. Mikitjuk, “March LR: A Test for Realistic Linked Faults”, VTS 1996, 16th IEEE VLSI Test Symposium, 1996, pp. 272-280.
- [7] S.M. Al-Harbi, S.K. Gupta, “Generating Complete and Optimal March Tests for Linked Faults in Memories”, VTS 2003, 21th IEEE VLSI Test Symposium, 2003, pp. 254 -261.
- [8] S. Hamdioui, Z. Al-Ars, A. J. van de Goor, M. Rodgers, “Linked Faults in Random Access Memories Concept Fault Models Test Algorithms and Industrial Results”, IEEE Transaction on Computer-Aided Design, Volume: 23, Issue: 5, May 2004, pp. 737-757.
- [9] A. J. van de Goor, Z. Al-Ars, “Functional Memory Faults: A Formal Notation and a Taxonomy”, VTS 2000, 18th IEEE VLSI Test Symposium, 2000, pp. 281-289.
- [10] A. Benso, A. Bosio, S. Di Carlo, G. Di Natale, P. Prinetto, “March AB, March AB1: New March Tests for Unlinked Dynamic Memory Faults”, ITC 2005, IEEE International Test Conference, 2005.